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## AMERICAN NATIONAL is a leading provider of financial

products and services. The company has evolved over the years into a coordinated enterprise of integrated companies, resources and people. We have met the challenges for more than a century to remain strong. A continuous focus on core competencies helps to ensure our long-term financial strength, keeping us poised to serve and protect our policyholders and shareholders.
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# MISSION 

To be the company of choice for insurance and other financial products and services while maintaining superior financial strength


To be a leading provider of financial products and services for current and future generations

# Key Results 

## 2010

ASSIS were $\$ 21,413,039,000$ at December 31, 2010.

## STOGKHOLDEIS' EOUTIY was

 $\$ 3,632,651,000$ or $\$ 136.77$ per share.LIFE INSURANGE IN FORGE totaled \$69,768,501,000.

DIVIDENDS are currently paid by American National at an annual rate of $\$ 3.08$ per share.

The year 2010 was the 100th consecutive year in which dividends have been paid to stockholders.


## Financial Strength Ratings

AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY ("American National") has been evaluated and assigned the following ratings by nationally recognized, independent rating agencies. The ratings are current as of March 31, 2011.

## A.M. Best: A (Excellent)

3rd highest of 13 active company ratings ${ }^{1}$

## Standard \& Poor's: A+ (Strong)

5th highest of 20 active company ratings ${ }^{2}$

Ratings reflect current independent opinions of the financial capacity of an insurance organization to meet the obligations of its insurance policies and contracts in accordance with their terms. They are based on comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluations of the company and its management strategy. The rating agencies do not provide ratings as a recommendation to purchase insurance or annuities. The ratings are not a warranty of an insurer's current or future ability to meet its contractual obligations.

Ratings may be changed, suspended, or withdrawn at any time. For the most current ratings, view the full rating reports on American National's Internet site at www.anico.com.

1. A.M. Best's active company rating scale ranges from A++ (Superior) to D (Poor). 2. Standard \& Poor's active company rating scale ranges from AAA (Extremely Strong) to CC (Extremely Weak). Plus (+) or Minus (-) modifiers show the relative standing within the categories from AA to CCC.



As part of our commitment to profitable growth, an assessment of our core competencies has at times led us to exit particular products or markets. Such was the case during 2010 when management evaluated new health insurance legislation and its impact on our products and markets. This resulted in management's decision to discontinue offering our individual medical expense insurance plans. Additionally, we chose to exit the mutual fund market and subsequently sold Securities Management and Research, Inc., a registered investment adviser and broker-dealer.

Several of our 2010 actions reaffirmed our commitment to life insurance and annuities. During the year, we began operations in a recently formed subsidiary, American National Life Insurance Company of New York. This subsidiary was formed to expand the distribution of our products in New York. Initial offerings included a limited portfolio of annuities, but by the end of 2010 products were expanded to include direct marketed life insurance. We expect to build on these early successes by offering agent-sold life insurance in the coming year.

An increasingly complex business environment requires a more sophisticated and collaborative approach by our management team. Management has taken significant steps to further unify American National by better coordinating efforts among our companies and making changes to promote a stronger team environment. Over the past couple of years we have revised our structure to enhance corporate-level management. For example, under our Corporate Chief Information Officer, Johnny D. Johnson, we completed a data center consolidation which has increased efficiencies, as well as enhanced preparedness to respond to potentially disastrous events.

During 2010, we named a Corporate Chief Financial Officer. Under the direction of John J. Dunn, Jr. we began a multi-year project to update and consolidate our financial systems. Once completed, we believe that these changes will improve our reporting and increase the time available to analyze and respond to the changing world.

Risk Management
We are in the business of managing risk. To an individual
or business, some extreme events can cause devastation. These events or risks become more predictable and manageable as they are aggregated across a large number of diverse clients, which is the nature of our business. But the risks cannot be eliminated completely or predicted with certainty. As a result, management of our risks is paramount to American National's success.

In general, American National is conservative, utilizing a continual risk management process that encompasses all members and activities of our enterprise. Risks are monitored, managed and mitigated using a variety of tools. Our Management Risk Committee, under the direction of the Corporate Risk Officer, Ronald J. Welch, coordinates risk management efforts and ensures there is proper alignment between the risks associated with our activities and strategic objectives.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is especially important in managing the risks associated with the organization's expanding annuity business. The committee regularly monitors and assesses the risks and relationships between our policy liabilities and the supporting assets.

## Operating Earnings

American National's after-tax gain from operations increased to $\$ 96.0$ million in 2010. This represents a $\$ 31.2$ million, or $48 \%$, increase over 2009. The increase is the result of significant improvements in our Life, Annuity and Health insurance segments. Results of the Property and Casualty segment remained challenging in 2010 as unusual weather patterns increased catastropherelated losses $\$ 33.0$ million over the prior year. Overall, insurance operating earnings increased $\$ 35.0$ million to $\$ 45.8$ million in 2010. Non-insurance related operating earnings dipped slightly from 2009, while remaining strong at $\$ 50.2$ million. As expected, we eliminated the significant expenses incurred in prior years to complete our initial SEC registration.

American National remains financially strong, ending the year with $\$ 3.6$ billion in Stockholders'

Equity. Assets totaled $\$ 21.4$ billion, an increase of 6.3\% over the prior year, and were more than 120\% of the organization's liabilities. One of American National's strengths is the fact that the organization is not highly leveraged.

Our continued move toward a streamlined infrastructure with more centralized processing presents opportunities for operational efficiencies and improved future costs but requires up-front investments. These projects are prioritized and managed to ensure that we achieve appropriate returns on investments.

## Capital and Investments

Due to the long-term nature of our liabilities, investment returns are a significant contributor to earnings. We manage our investments to optimize return while maintaining a diversified portfolio supportive of our underlying liabilities. Managing cash flow through an active asset/liability program reduces the risk of an unanticipated cash outflow.

In recent years, we have held higher levels of cash and short-term investments. We chose this course of action to increase liquidity in light of increased market volatility and a limited supply of long-term investments with appropriate yields. During the last quarter of 2010, we responded to an increase in supply and higher interest rates by moving cash into longer term investment opportunities. Even after these investments, we held a substantial and highly liquid short-term portfolio at year-end.

Assets backing insurance and annuity liabilities are generally invested in high-quality bonds and commercial mortgages. Our holdings in below investment-grade bonds account for less than 6\% of the bond portfolio.

American National's strong capitalization has allowed us to invest in assets with opportunities for enhanced returns, such as equity securities and investment real estate. Our allocation to investment real estate and mortgage loans expanded again in 2010 based on the
availability of quality commercial mortgage loans with appropriate investment yields. We do not invest in individual residential mortgage loans.

The stability of financial markets improved substantially during 2010. This resulted in considerably lower other-than-temporary impairments of $\$ 5.7$ million for the year, as compared to $\$ 79.1$ million recorded in 2009 and $\$ 365.6$ million in 2008. Further, unrealized gains improved by $\$ 109.0$ million. Consolidated pre-tax net investment income was $\$ 911.9$ million for 2010, an increase of $\$ 72.1$ million from the prior year.

## Life Insurance

American National's life insurance operating gains increased during 2010 to reach $\$ 39.6$ million on an after-tax basis. Other policy revenues and lower operating costs and expenses were the strongest contributors to this improvement. Other policy revenues were enhanced by a growing block of interest-sensitive life insurance. Lower expenses were largely the result of non-recurring costs incurred in 2009 as we prepared for our initial SEC registration.

Our belief in, and focus on life insurance remains strong, as these products have predictable persistency and mortality that provide many years of stable cash flow and investment returns. In recent years, the troubled economy has resulted in shorter term planning by many individuals struggling to meet their daily needs. During 2010, we were pleased to see a shift in this trend as our life insurance sales increased 2.9\%. During the coming year we look forward to introducing an interest-sensitive life insurance product to be distributed through an already established network of independent and Multiple Line exclusive agents in New York.

## Annuity

Annuities are a core operation for American National. We expanded our market for these products in 2010 to include New York, utilizing our new subsidiary. As anticipated, annuity sales declined $26.9 \%$ in 2010. Sales
during 2009 were abnormally high, the result of individuals seeking safety in an uncertain economy.

After-tax annuity operating gains increased to $\$ 25.2$ million in 2010 from $\$ 9.8$ million in 2009. This significant change was due to higher net investment income and reduced expenses. Earnings continue to be pressured by lower average yields on assets supporting our products. However, we design our products with guaranteed rates appropriate for the changing economic environment and routinely take steps to manage the impact of changes in interest rates.

## Property and Casualty

Our property and casualty results were disappointing, returning a loss of $\$ 28.4$ million in 2010 compared to a prior-year loss of $\$ 10.5$ million. Several one-time expenses contributed to the lower property and casualty results. While we did not experience large hurricane related losses during 2010, our overall net catastrophe losses increased $\$ 33.0$ million, the result of multiple small weather events categorized as catastrophic. The net impact was a $10.6 \%$ increase in our combined ratio during 2010. A $\$ 32.3$ million improvement in non-catastrophe related results partially offset these losses.

## Health

Health insurance after-tax gain from operations rebounded $\$ 24.4$ million in 2010 to reach a gain of $\$ 9.5$ million. Premiums and commissions decreased due to the discontinuation of individual medical expense insurance plans and reduced Medicare Supplement sales. Operating costs and expenses were lower for the year. The health insurance benefit ratio also decreased from 77.3\% in 2009 to a more normal level of $70.1 \%$ in 2010.

## Outlook

American National plans to continue its success in a complex and competitive financial services industry by building on the synergies that exist within our organization. Technologies will be implemented strategically to enhance the experiences of our employees, policyholders and agents, while maintaining our focus on operational results.

In 2010, American National marked a century of paying dividends to our shareholders. We are confident in our future.

## Ten-Year Financial Highlights

(In millions, except per share data and ratios)

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues (a). | \$3,077 | \$2,950 | \$2,527 | \$3,066 | \$3,144 | \$3,045 | \$2,879 | \$2,629 | \$2,221 | \$2,138 |
| Operating Earnings (b). | 96 | 65 | 93 | 214 | 208 | 181 | 220 | 161 | 102 | 61 |
| Realized Capital GainsLosses (c). | 48 | (49) | (247) | 27 | 65 | 55 | 36 | 21 | (85) | 4 |
| Net Income/Loss | 144 | 16 | (154) | 241 | 273 | 236 | 256 | 182 | 17 | 65 |
| Operating Earnings per Share, Diluted (a) (b) (d)... | 3.60 | 2.44 | 3.50 | 7.90 | 782 | 6.79 | 8.30 | 6.07 | 3.87 | 2.29 |
| Realized Capital GainsLosses per Share, Diluted (c) (d). | 1.80 | (1.85) | (9.32) | 1.14 | 2.45 | 2.08 | 1.33 | 0.81 | (3.23) | 0.16 |
| Net Income/Loss per Share, Diluted (d). | 5.40 | 0.59 | (5.82) | 9.04 | 10.27 | 8.87 | 9.63 | 6.88 | 0.64 | 2.45 |

## Financial Position



## Insurance Production Information



## Financial Ratios

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Return on Equity (g) <br> Operating Return on Equity (h) Dividend Payout Ratio (i) |  |  |  |
| Assets per \$100 of Liabilities. |  |  |  |

## Common Stock Statistics

\$ 21,413
17,908
17,777
16,327
3,633

## \$9,955

69,769
1,723
\$ 85.62
136.77
3.08

26,560

| \$9,501 | $\$ 11,244$ | $\$ 12,368$ | $\$ 11,263$ | $\$ 12,077$ | $\$ 13,021$ | $\$ 12,373$ | $\$ 12,874$ | $\$ 11,821$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 69,448 | 69,871 | 68,683 | 65,991 | 64,051 | 61,404 | 58,736 | 56,504 | 54,414 |
| 2,268 | 1,997 | 1,220 | 1092 | 1,212 | 1,554 | 2,695 | 936 | 588 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 119.44$ | $\$ 73.73$ | $\$ 121.24$ | $\$ 114.11$ | $\$ 116.99$ | $\$ 104.16$ | $\$ 84.48$ | $\$ 82.02$ | $\$ 84.10$ |
| 130.29 | 118.35 | 141.12 | 135.03 | 127.57 | 124.46 | 117.52 | 108.52 | 110.89 |
| 3.08 | 3.08 | 3.05 | 3.01 | 2.97 | 2.96 | 2.96 | 2.96 | 2.92 |
| 26,558 | 26,480 | 26,480 | 26,480 | 26,480 | 26,480 | 26480 | 26,480 | 26,480 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.3 | $(14.0)$ | 6.9 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 0.5 | $10.3)$ |
| 1.9 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| 127.2 | 89.2 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 43.9 | 35.8 | 49.0 | 76.8 | 127.8 |
| $\$ 121$ | $\$ 121$ | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 124$ | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 126$ | $\$ 131$ | $\$ 135$ |

(a) Includes discontinued operation amounts to preserve comparability with prior year data
(b) After tax and excluding gains from sale of investments
(c) After tax gains or losses on investments
(d) 2009 Net Income - basic per share
(e) Includes insurance acquired of $\$ 4,125$ million in 2001
(f) Number of unrestricted shares outstanding at year end
(g) Change in total equity before dividends to stockholders, ASC 740 implementation and stock options divided by total equity at the beginning of the year
(h) Operating earnings per share divided by book value per share at the beginning of the year, adjusted to exclude the effect of ASC 320
(i) Total dividends paid to stockholders divided by operating earnings


## Segments

American National operates its business as five segments; four of which are focused on the insurance and annuity products we offer while the fifth is primarily focused on investing activities. The insurance products reflect the varied markets we serve, from the average American to the wealthy, individuals to small businesses, and children to seniors. To reach this broad array of customers we distribute our products through multiple distribution channels.

Life insurance and annuities are the most widely distributed products by our organization. These products can be sold through independent, multiple line and career agents. Life insurance products are also available for individuals preferring to do business directly with the Company.

Our life products include various traditional, interestsensitive, variable and indexed insurance plans. Riders can be added to enhance the value of the policy or to customize it to individual needs. During 2010 we introduced a new life product designed for the low to middle income markets, which we believe are underserved by our industry. The product has been well
received, helping to fuel growth in this segment in the face of continued economic uncertainty.

Individuals are increasingly being pressured to self-fund their retirement. In recent years, American National has worked hard to develop a strong annuity portfolio, designed to help individuals meet these future needs. Deferred and immediate plans are available with fixed or variable returns. These products are supported by pension-related services, when needed.

Traditional fixed and equity-indexed annuities provide a minimum return while offering the opportunity for additional gain. Variable annuities allow individuals with a longer horizon or more capacity for risk to participate in the financial markets. Our variable annuities typically support pension plan sales. With the recent market volatility, consumers have increasingly gravitated toward indexed annuities to take advantage of potential market gains, with the confidence that they would at least maintain a minimum return.

Our health insurance segment is currently concentrated on limited-benefit and supplemental health insurance


JAMES W. PANGBURN
Senior Vice President Credit Insurance Division

STEVEN H. SCHOUWEILER
Senior Vice President Health Division
plans. Last year, the Federal Government enacted new healthcare legislation. After careful consideration of the changes from this legislation, we chose to discontinue sales of our individual medical expense plans effective June 30, 2010. We instead have chosen to focus on a portfolio of supplemental health products consistent with our experience and knowledge. Our new Medicare supplement plan, which was introduced at the beginning of June, is being well received with sales showing significant improvement over the prior Medicare supplement plans offered.

We offer a complete array of property and casualty insurance products for personal lines and agribusiness. Additionally, we have a more limited portfolio of commercial products designed for specific markets. These products are sold through our Multiple Line distribution channels. They sell property, auto and life insurance, as well as annuities to cover individual and small business insurance needs. American National continues to
be a leader in providing auto, home and life insurance coverages to individuals in the same household as measured by our tri-line ratio of $23.4 \%$.

Property and casualty insurance is cyclical and subject to potentially large losses. We attempt to mitigate such losses using rigorous riskmanagement tools designed specifically for the products we offer. Still, during 2010 our catastrophe losses exceeded historical levels. We are addressing the continued elevated levels of weatherrelated catastrophe losses by purchasing additional reinsurance, revising underwriting criteria and taking pricing actions.

American National is a leading provider of creditrelated life, health and property-related insurance products. Our credit-related products posted increased premium production and improved loss performance on most product lines. More restrictive lending standards during the year reduced the opportunity to offer credit products,

## SUMNARY OF JNSURANE: SEGMENUS

|  | Independent Marketing Group <br> Multiple Line <br> Career Sales \& Service Division <br> Health/Senior Age Marketing Division <br> Direct Marketing <br> Credit Insurance Division |
| :--- | :--- |
| ANNUITY | Independent Marketing Group <br> Multiple Line <br> Career Sales \& Service Division <br> Health/Senior Age Marketing Division |
| PROPERTY AND CASUALTY | Multiple Line <br> Credit Insurance Division |
| Career Sales \& Service Division <br> Health/Senior Age Marketing Division <br> Credit Insurance Division |  |


however the overall quality of loans insured was higher, resulting in better loss experience. We anticipate increased premium production as the economic recovery continues and the availability of credit is more accessible.

Our fifth segment, Corporate and Other, is comprised of non-insurance operations and capital not allocated to support the insurance segments. Most realized and unrealized capital gains and losses are allocated to this segment.

Overall, our real estate and mortgage loan investments increased in both 2010 and 2009 as we pursued quality assets available at fair yields. While the real estate economy suffered during the years, our income remained relatively unaffected as most of our real estate assets are mature with high occupancy levels. Newlyconstructed facilities presented more challenges, with success contingent on establishing a minimum level of occupancy. Throughout 2010, real estate markets began to stabilize and liquidity returned to the finance markets.

American National maintains more equity securities as a percent of the overall invested asset portfolio than the industry at large, based on a generally higher level of capitalization. The vast majority of these investments are in publicly-traded common stock.

We anticipate that economic recovery will continue in a generally positive direction with day-to-day fluctuations fueled by nervous reactions to unanticipated news. Our goal is to provide stability and peace of mind to our clients by offering value-added products and services backed by the strength and commitment that American National has built over the past 106 years.


R. EUGENE LUCAS

President Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation Galveston, Texas


ROBERT L. MOODY, JR.
President Moody Insurance Group Galveston, Texas


FRANK P. WILLIAMSON
Pharmacist
Galveston, Texas

SHELBY M. ELLIOTT, D. C.
President-Emeritus
Texas Chiropractic College
Pasadena, Texas

## 


W. L. MOODY IV Investments and Ranching Oil and Gas Galveston, Texas


ARTHUR O. DUMMER Chairman of the Board American Community Mutual Insurance Company Levonia, Michigan

| Ofacturn mond |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American National Insurance Company |  |  |  |
| OFFICERS CORPORATE COMPLINCE MEDICAL DIRECTOR CAREER SALES \& SERVICE DIVISION |  |  |  |
| Robert L. Moody Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer | Dwain A. Akins <br> Senior Vice President Corporate Affairs Chief Compliance Officer | Dr. Harry B. Kelso, Jr. <br> Vice President and Medical Director | Bill J. Garrison <br> Executive Vice President, Director Career Sales \& Service Division |
| G. Richard Ferdinandtsen President and Chief Operating Officer | Judith L. Regini Assistant Vice President Corporate Compliance | Dr. John F. White III Vice President, Associate Medical Director | Hoyt J. Strickland Senior Vice President |
| James E. Pozzi | Corporate Compliance | IT SERVICES | Career Sales \& Service Division |
| Senior Executive Vice President Chief Administrative Officer | Jeanette E. Cernosek Assistant Secretary | Johnny D. Johnson <br> Senior Vice President and Corporate Chief Information Officer | Wayne A. Smith <br> Vice President <br> Career Sales \& Service Division |
| Ronaid J. Weich <br> Senior Executive Vice President <br> Corporate Risk Officer and Chief Actuary | HUMAN RESOURCES | Brian N. Bright Vice President, Computing Services | Raymond E. Pittman, Jr. <br> Assistant Vice President, Director of |
|  | Bruce M. LePard |  |  |
| John J. Dunn, Jr. <br> Executive Vice President <br> Corporate Chief Financial Officer | Carol A. Kratz Assistant Vice President | Donald R. French <br> Vice President, Information Security and Enterprise Architecture | INDEPENDENT MARKETING GROUP |
| J. Mark Flippin <br> Secretary | INTERNAL AUDIT | James B. McEniry <br> Vice President, Director of Telecommunications | David A. Behrens Executive Vice President |
| ACCOUNTING | George A. Macke <br> Vice President, General Auditor |  | Douglas A. Culp Vice President, Financial Institution |
| Stephen E. Pavlicek <br> Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | James J. Fish <br> Assistant Vice President <br> Criminal Investigations and | Vice President Application Development and Support | Steven L. Dobbe <br> Vice President, Broker Dealer Marketing |
| William F. Carton Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller | Michael S. Nimmons Assistant Vice President Associate General Auditor Corporate Audit Services | Assistant Vice President Enterprise Financial Systems | Vice President Independent Marketing Group Operations |
| Barry C. Cooper <br> Vice President Reporting and Life Controller |  | Kenneth J. Juneau <br> Assistant Vice President Advisory Systems Engineer | Richard S. Katz <br> Vice President, Direct Marketing and Sales Rohert W. Schefft |
| Reporting and Life Controiler Richard T. Crawford | INVESTMENTS | Katherine S. Meisetschlaeger Assistant Vice President Life and Annuity Systems | Vice President, Advanced Sales and Marketing |
| Richard T. Crawford <br> Vice President and Assistant Controller | Senior Vice President Real Estate/Mortgage Loan Investments Gordon D. Dixon |  | J. Truitt Smith <br> Vice President, Pension Sales <br> David H. Schutz <br> Assistant Vice President, National Accounts |
| Brian K. Weyer <br> Vice President Assistant Corporate Treasurer |  | James A. Tyra <br> Assistant Vice President <br> Life Insurance Systems |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| E. James Brashaw Assistant Vice President Corporate Financial Control | Senior Vice President <br> Chief Investment Officer | Deanna L. Walton <br> Assistant Vice President, Field Systems | CREDIT INSURANCE OPERATIONS |
|  | Andrew R. Duncan |  |  |
|  | Vice President, Equity Investments | MULTIPLE LINE MARKETING | James W. Pangburn <br> Senior Vice President <br> Dwight D. Judy <br> Vice President, Financial Marketing |
| Assistant Vice President Expense Management | Denny W. Fisher, Jr. <br> Vice President, Mortgage Loan Production | Gregory V. Ostergren Executive Vice President Director of Multiple Line |  |
| Larry E. Linares Assistant Vice President, Tax | Robert J. Kirchner Vice President, Real Estate Investments |  |  |
|  |  | Shannon L. Smith <br> Senior Vice President <br> Chief Multiple Line Marketing Officer <br> Bernard S. Gerwel <br> Senior Vice President, Chief Information and Innovation Officer, MLEA | HEALTH INSURANCE DIVISION |
|  | Anne M. LeMire Vice President, Fixed Income |  |  |
| Frank V. Broll, Jr. <br> Senior Vice President and Actuary | John S. Maididow <br> Vice President, Portfolio Management <br> E. Vince Mathews III Vice President, Mortgage Loan Production |  | Senior Vice President Health Insurance Operations |
| Rex D. Hemme Senior Vice President and Actuary |  |  | William H. Watson III Vice President, Chief Health Actuary |
| John O. Norton Vice President and Actuary | LIFE INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION | Ronald C. Price <br> Senior Vice President <br> Chief Marketing Officer, Career Life Agencies | Joseph F. Grant, Jr. <br> Vice President, Group Actuary |
| Gerald A. Schillaci Vice President and Actuary | Albert L. Amato, Jr. Senior Vice President | James A. Collura <br> Vice President Chief Life Marketing Officer, MLEA | Charles J. Jones <br> Vice President Health Underwriting/New Business |
| Douglas R. Brown <br> Vice President and Associate Actuary | D. Lanette Leining <br> Vice President, Life New Business | William C. Ray <br> Vice President | Michael C. Paetz <br> Vice President, Group and MGU Operations |
| S. Liane Latham Vice President and Associate Actuary | Bradley W. Manning Vice President, Life and Annuity Claims | Market Training and Development, MLEA <br> J. Wayne Cucco | James P. Stelling Vice President, Group/Health Compliance |
| Joseph J. Cantu <br> Assistant Vice President and Illustration Actuary | E. Bruce Pavelka Vice President, Life Policy Administration | Assistant Vice President Director of Advanced Life Sales | Clarence E. Tipton <br> Vice President and Assistant Actuary |
| Dustin J. Dusek Assistant Actuary | Nancy M. Day <br> Assistant Vice President Pension Administration | John D. Ferguson <br> Assistant Vice President Creative Services | William J. Hogan Assistant Vice President Health and HIPAA Compliance |
| Christopher K. Falconer Assistant Actuary | Thomas R. LeGrand Assistant Vice President Life and Annuity Claims | Emerson V. Unger <br> Assistant Vice President <br> National Business Development Executive | Tracy L. Milina <br> Assistant Vice President Health Administration <br> Ronald J. Ostermayer <br> Assistant Vice President Director Health Systems Administration HIPAA Security Officer |
| CORPORATE PLANNING | Michael S. Marquis <br> Assistant Vice President, Life New Business |  |  |
| Deborah K. Janson <br> Vice President, Corporate Planning |  |  |  |
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## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS


#### Abstract

Set forth on the following pages is management's discussion and analysis ("MD\&A") of our financial condition and results of operations. This narrative analysis should be read in conjunction with the forward-looking statement information in this document and the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 8.
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## Overview

We are a diversified insurance and financial services company, offering a broad spectrum of life, annuity, health, and property and casualty insurance products. Chartered in 1905, we are headquartered in Galveston, Texas. We operate in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, American Samoa and Puerto Rico.

## Segments

We manage our business through five business segments, which are comprised of four insurance segments: Life, Annuity, Health and Property and Casualty, and our Corporate and Other segment. The life, annuity, and health insurance segments are operated primarily through six domestic life insurance companies. The property and casualty insurance segment is operated through eight domestic property and casualty insurance companies.

## Insurance Segments

The insurance segments have revenues consisting primarily of the following:

- net premiums earned on individual term and whole life insurance, property and casualty insurance, credit insurance, health insurance and single premium immediate annuity products;
- net investment income; and
- insurance and investment product fees and other income, including surrender charges, mortality and expense risk charges, primarily from variable life and annuity, deferred annuities, and universal life insurance policies, management fees and commissions from other investment products, and other administrative charges.

The insurance segments' expenses consisting primarily of the following:

- benefits provided to policyholders, contract holders and beneficiaries and changes in reserves held for future benefits;
- interest credited on account balances;
- acquisition and operating expenses, including commissions, marketing expenses, policy and contract servicing costs, overhead and other general expenses that are not capitalized (shown net of deferrals);
- amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and other intangible assets; and
- income taxes

The insurance segments have liabilities plus an amount of surplus allocated sufficient to support each segment's business activities. The insurance segments do not directly own assets. Rather assets are allocated to the segments to support the liabilities and surplus of each segment. The mix of assets allocated to each of the insurance segments is modified as necessary to provide for a match of cash flows and earnings to properly support the characteristics of the insurance liabilities. We have utilized this methodology consistently over all periods presented.

The Corporate and Other segment acts as the owner of all of the invested assets of the Company. As noted previously, assets and surplus from the Corporate and Other segment are allocated to the insurance segments to match the liabilities of those segments. The investment income from the invested assets is also allocated to the insurance segments from the Corporate and Other segment in accordance with the amount of assets allocated to each segment. Earnings of the Corporate and Other segment are derived from our non-insurance businesses as well as earnings from those invested assets that are not allocated to the insurance segments. All realized investment gains and losses are recorded in this segment.

## Outlook

The "Outlook" section contains many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to our future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to us, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are subject to the precautionary statements set forth in the introduction to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Actual results are likely to differ materially from those forecast by us, depending on the outcome of various factors.

In recent years, our business has been and likely will continue to be, influenced by a number of industry-wide and segment or product-specific trends and conditions. In our discussion below, we first outline the broad macroeconomic or industry trends (General Trends) that we expect will have an impact on our overall business. Second, we discuss certain segment-specific trends that we believe may impact either individual segments of our business or specific products within these segments.

## General Trends

Challenging Financial and Economic Environment: We believe that as expectations for global economic growth remain uncertain, factors such as consumer spending, business investment, the volatility and condition of the capital markets and inflation will affect the business and economic environment and, in turn, impact the demand for the type of financial and insurance products we offer. Adverse changes in the economy could affect earnings negatively and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. However, we believe those risks are somewhat mitigated by our financial strength, active risk management and disciplined underwriting for our products. Our diverse product mix across multiple lines of business (life, annuity, health and property and casualty) is a strength that will help us adapt to current economic times and give us the ability to serve the changing needs of our customer base. For example, fluctuations in the stock market during recent years have led investors to search for financial products that are insulated from the volatility of the markets. We are well positioned to serve the demand in this marketplace given our success with fixed annuity products. Additionally, through our conservative business approach, we believe we remain financially strong, and we are committed to providing a steady and reliable source of financial protection for policyholders and investors alike.

Low Interest Rates: Low interest rate environments are typically challenging for life and annuity companies as the spreads on deposit-type funds and contracts narrow and policies approach their minimum crediting rates. Low market interest rates may reduce the spreads between the amounts we credit to fixed deferred annuity and individual life policyholders and the yield we earn on the investments that support these obligations. We have an Asset-Liability Management ("ALM") Committee that actively manages the profitability of our in-force block of insurance policies. In response to the unusually low interest rates in recent years, we have reduced the guaranteed minimum crediting rates on newly issued fixed annuity contracts and reduced crediting rates on inforce contracts, where permitted to do so. These actions have helped mitigate the adverse impact of low interest rates on our spreads and on the profitability of these products, although sales volume and persistency could diminish as a result. Additionally, we maintain assets with various maturities to support product liabilities and ensure liquidity. A gradual increase in longer-term interest rates relative to short-term rates generally will have a favorable effect on the profitability of these products. Although rapidly rising interest rates could result in reduced persistency in our spread-based retail products, as contract holders shift assets into higher yielding investments, we believe that our ability to react quickly to the changing marketplace will allow us to manage this risk.

Low interest rates are challenging for property and casualty companies. Investment income is generally a substantial element in earning an acceptable profit margin. Lower interest rates resulting in lower investment income require the company to achieve a lower combined loss and expense ratio to premium earned. We have taken pricing actions to help mitigate the adverse impact of low interest rates on our property and casualty business; although we have seen sales volume and persistency diminish as a result.

Focus on Operating Efficiencies: The challenging economic environment and the recent investment-related losses across the industry have created a renewed focus on operating cost reductions and efficiencies. We aggressively manage our cost base while maintaining our commitment to provide superior customer service to agents and policyholders. Investments in technology are aligned with activities and are coordinated through a disciplined project management process. In 2009, we consolidated our data centers and Information Technology ("IT") operations to realize synergies with our subsidiaries. We also anticipate using technology to enhance our policyholders' and agents web experience.

Changing Regulatory Environment: The insurance industry is regulated at the state level. In addition, some life and annuity products and services are subject to U.S. federal regulation. The debate over the U.S. federal regulatory role in the insurance industry continues to be a divisive issue within the industry. We proactively monitor this debate to determine its impact on our business.

## Life and Annuity

Life insurance continues to be our mainstay product today, as it has been during our long history. We believe that the combination of predictable and decreasing mortality rates, positive cash flow generation for many years after policy issue and favorable persistency characteristics, suggest a viable and profitable future for this line of business. We continue to use a wide variety of marketing channels and plan to expand our traditional distribution models with additional independent agents.

We are committed to maintaining our fixed deferred annuity product lines. We have a conservation program that is intended to retain policyholders through proactive communication and education when a policyholder is considering surrendering his or her policy. We believe this program has resulted in our retaining approximately $5 \%$ of policyholders that have submitted surrender requests. Furthermore, recent marketing and product development efforts have led to increased sales in our equity-indexed deferred annuity product line. We expect the equityindexed deferred annuity product line to continue to make up a significant portion of annuity sales going forward.

Effective management of invested assets and associated liabilities involving credited rates and, where applicable, financial hedging instruments (which are utilized as hedges of equity-indexed annuity sales), is crucial to our success in the annuity segment. Asset "disintermediation", the risk of large outflows of cash at times when it is disadvantageous to us to dispose of invested assets, is a risk associated with this segment. This risk is monitored and managed by the ALM Committee. The ALM Committee monitors asset disintermediation risk through the use of statistical measures such as duration and projected future cash flows based on large numbers of possible future interest environments and the use of modeling to identify potential risk areas. These techniques are designed to manage asset-liability cash flow and minimize potential losses.

Demographics: We believe that a key driver shaping the actions of the life insurance industry is the rising income protection, wealth accumulation, and insurance needs of retiring Baby Boomers (those born between 1946 and 1964). According to U.S. Census information published in 2008, about 19.3 percent of the total population will be over 65 by 2030, compared to about 13.0 percent now. Also, the most rapidly growing age group is expected to be the 85 and older population. As a result of increasing longevity and uncertainty regarding the Social Security system, retirees will need to accumulate sufficient savings to support retirement income requirements.

We are well positioned to address the Baby Boomers' increasing need for savings tools and income protection. We believe our overall financial strength and broad distribution channels position us to respond with a variety of products to individuals approaching retirement age who seek information to plan for and manage their retirement needs. We believe our products that offer guaranteed income flows for life, including single premium immediate annuities, are well positioned to serve this market.

Competitive Pressures: The life insurance industry remains highly competitive. Product development and product life cycles have shortened in many products, leading to more intense competition with respect to product features. In addition, several of the industry's products can be quite homogeneous and subject to intense price competition. We believe we possess sufficient scale, financial strength and flexibility to effectively compete in this market.

The annuity market is also highly competitive. In addition to aggressive annuity rates and new product features such as guaranteed living benefit riders, within the industry there is growing competition from other financial service firms. Insurers continue to evaluate their distribution channels and the way they deliver products to consumers. At this time, we have elected not to provide guaranteed living benefits as a part of our variable annuity products. While this may have impeded our ability to sell variable annuities in the short term, we believe this strategy has given us an advantage in terms of profitability over the long term. We believe these products were not adequately priced relative to the risk profile of the product.

We believe we will continue to be competitive in the life and annuity markets through our broad line of products, our distinct distribution channels, and our consistent high level of customer service. We modify our products to meet customer needs and to expand our reach where we believe we can obtain profitable growth. Some steps we have taken to improve our competitive position in the market include:

- In 2010, we established a New York life insurance subsidiary. The subsidiary started its operations in the first half of 2010. A variety of annuity products were available for sale in 2010 and will be followed in subsequent years by our life products. Initial sales are anticipated to be through independent and multiple-line agents. Based on competitors' market experience, we expect annuity deposits from this subsidiary to represent five to ten percent of total deposits received once the market is established.
- Sales of traditional life insurance products through our Career Sales and Service Division increased in 2010. This, coupled with our focus on policy persistency and expense management, allowed us to continue to maintain a stable and profitable block of in force business.
- Sales of Universal Life insurance products increased for our Multiple-line and Career Sales and Service Divisions in 2010.
- We believe there will be a continuing shift in sales emphasis to utilizing the Internet, endorsed direct mail and innovative product/distribution combinations. Our direct sales of life insurance products rebounded in 2010. Selling traditional life insurance products through our Internet and third-party marketing distribution channels will remain a focus.


## Health

We experienced a decline in our Medicare Supplement policies in-force in 2010 and 2009. Price pressure from traditional Medicare Supplement carriers seeking the lowest market rates and, to a lesser extent, competition from Medicare Advantage plans continues to impact our production. We remain committed to the traditional Medicare Supplement plans, which we consider viable for the long term.

During March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and a reconciliation measure, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, "the Health Acts"), were signed into law. The Health Acts mandate broad changes in the delivery of health care benefits that impact our current business model, including its relationship with current and future customers, producers and health care providers, products, services, processes and technology. As a result of The Health Acts, management decided to discontinue the sale of individual medical expense insurance plans effective June 30, 2010. Such insurance plans included our major medical and hospital surgical products.

We expect our Managing General Underwriter line ("MGU"), which provides a large contribution to health profits, to grow during 2011. It is important to note that most of the income associated with this line is in the form of a fee income included in "Other income" of the Health segment's operating results; we retain only $10 \%$ of the MGU premium. The net earned premium related to this business is presented as part of "All other" lines.

## Property and Casualty

Our operating results continue to be significantly impacted by a high level of catastrophe losses in the Midwest and Northeast. We are not currently planning to change our geographic concentrations as we consider these events to be unusual, and we do not expect them to continue at such high levels.
"U.S. Property/Casualty - Review and Preview" published by A.M. Best on February 14, 2011 noted that the U.S. property and casualty insurance industry has continued to experience persistent competition, rate decreases in practically all commercial lines, weak macroeconomic conditions, and above average catastrophe activity. The industry's underwriting performance deteriorated in 2010, as unusually high catastrophe related losses, driven by increased frequency of low-severity perils, and weaker results in the commercial market took a heavy toll on overall underwriting results. Despite the absence of large hurricane related losses, the U.S. property and casualty industry experienced large catastrophe related losses in 2010, driven by a sharp upswing in the frequency of lowseverity perils, including tornadoes, winter storms, hail and floods. While the severity of these events was not significant, the above-normal frequency took a toll on the industry, as a majority of these small-scale, weather related losses fell short of reinsurance triggers. To illustrate the increased frequency of events in the U.S. during 2010, the Federal Emergency Management Agency declared a record number of major disasters of 81 during the year, up from 59 declared in 2009. The historical average is 34.

While U.S. property and casualty insurers, for the most part, continued to recover from the financial crisis and strengthen their capital positions, the overall industry experienced growing pressure from a number of fronts including sustained competitive market conditions in commercial lines of business, lingering but receding effects of the financial crisis, and volatility in the financial markets. These pressures are expected to continue into 2011. In addition, the industry could experience an uptick in smaller weather-related events that are below reinsurance triggers, as was the case in 2010.

Demand for credit-related insurance products has begun to increase. The tightening of credit in recent years significantly affected the products written through the auto dealer market. However, collateral protection sales increased during this period offsetting the aforementioned decreases. We continue to update credit insurance product offerings and pricing to meet changing market needs, as well as adding new agents to expand market share in the credit-related insurance market. We are reviewing and implementing procedures to enhance customer service and, at the same time, looking for efficiencies to reduce administrative costs.

Competition: Property and casualty insurers are facing a continued competitive pricing environment. The condition of the economy in 2010 prevented the rate hardening most industry leaders were expecting following the declines in previous years. The competitive environment is expected to continue into 2011 as excess industry capital, industry loss reserve releases, and an anticipated sluggish economic recovery all undermine any significant improvement in the market.

Despite the challenging pricing environment, we expect to identify profitable opportunities through our strong distribution channels, expanding geographic coverage, target marketing effects and new product development. Through our multiple-line exclusive agents, we will continue to focus on increasing our market share in the home, auto, commercial, and life insurance business. Introduction of new products, such as one targeted toward the young family market in 2008, has been a main driver for increased policy counts in homeowners and auto insurance. The integration of the Farm Family companies has allowed us to expand our geographic coverage into the Northeast and our product portfolio to include agribusiness and commercial insurance. Similarly, Farm Family has expanded its product portfolio to include additional personal line property and casualty products. We expect that our agribusiness product will continue to be a leading provider in the Northeast United States.

## Critical Accounting Estimates

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and their accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from results reported using those estimates.

We have identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a high degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

- Deferred policy acquisition costs;
- Reserves;
- Reinsurance;
- Pension and postretirement benefit plans;
- Other-than-temporary impairment;
- Litigation contingencies; and
- Federal income taxes.

Our accounting estimates inherently require the use of judgments relating to a variety of assumptions, in particular, expectations of current and future mortality, morbidity, persistency, losses and loss adjustment expenses, recoverability of receivables, investment returns and interest rates. In developing these estimates, we make subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material changes as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, we believe that the amounts provided are appropriate, based upon the facts available upon compilation of the consolidated financial statements. Due to the inherent uncertainty when using assumptions and estimates, the effect of certain accounting policies under different conditions or assumptions could be different from those reported in the consolidated financial statements.

A discussion of these critical accounting estimates is presented below.

## Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

We incur significant costs in connection with acquiring insurance business, including commissions and certain other expenses. The deferred costs are recorded and reported as "Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs ("DAC")" in the asset section of the consolidated statements of financial position. The deferred costs are subsequently amortized over the lives of the underlying contracts in relation to the anticipated emergence of premiums, gross margins, or gross profits, depending on the type of product.

The DAC on traditional life and health products are amortized with interest over the anticipated premium-paying period of the related policies, in proportion to the ratio of annual premium revenue to be received over the life of the policies. Expected premium revenue is estimated by using the same mortality and withdrawal assumptions used in computing liabilities for future policy benefits. The amount of DAC is reduced by a provision for anticipated inflation of maintenance and settlement expenses in the determination of such amounts by means of grading interest rates.

Costs deferred on universal life, limited pay and investment-type contracts are amortized as a level percentage of the present value of anticipated gross profits from investment yields, mortality, and surrender charges. The effect on the DAC that would result from realization of unrealized gains (losses) is recognized with an offset to "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" in consolidated statements of financial position as of the reporting date. It is possible that a change in interest rates could have a significant impact on the DAC calculated for these contracts.

DAC associated with property and casualty insurance business consists principally of commissions, underwriting and issue costs. These deferred costs are amortized over the coverage period of the related policies, in relation to premium revenue recognized.

We had a total DAC asset of approximately $\$ 1.32$ billion and $\$ 1.33$ billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We believe that the estimates used in our deferred policy acquisition cost calculations provide a representative example of how variations in assumptions and estimates would affect our business. The following table displays the sensitivity of reasonably likely changes in assumptions included in the amortization of the DAC balance of our long-tail business for the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

|  | Increasel(decrease) <br> in DAC |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ | 29,050 |
| Increase in future investment margins of 25 basis points | $\$$ | $(33,594)$ |
| Decrease in future investment margins of 25 basis points |  | 2,462 |
| Decrease in future life mortality by 1\% |  | $(2,528)$ |

## Reserves

Life and Annuity Reserves:
Liability for Future Policy Benefits and Policy Account Balances - For traditional life products, liabilities for future policy benefits have been calculated based on a net level premium method using estimated investment yields, withdrawals, mortality and other assumptions that were appropriate at the time of policy issuance. The estimates used are based on our experience, adjusted with a provision for adverse deviation. Investment yields used for traditional life products range from $3.0 \%$ to $8.0 \%$ and vary by issue year.

Future policy benefits for universal life and investment-type deferred annuity contracts reflect the current account value before applicable surrender charges. Future policy benefits for group life policies have been calculated using a level interest rate ranging from $3.0 \%$ to $5.5 \%$. Mortality and withdrawal assumptions are based on our experience.

Fixed payout annuities included in future policy benefits are calculated using a level interest rate of 5.0\%. Mortality assumptions are based on standard industry mortality tables. Liabilities for payout annuities classified as investment contracts (payout annuities without life contingencies) are determined as the present value of future benefits at the "breakeven" interest rate determined at inception.

At least annually, we test the net benefit reserves (policy benefit reserves less DAC) established for life insurance products, including consideration of future expected premium payments, to determine whether they are adequate to provide for future policyholder benefit obligations. This testing process is referred to as "Loss Recognition" for traditional products or "Unlocking" for non-traditional products. The assumptions used to perform the tests are our current best estimate assumptions as to policyholder mortality, persistency, company maintenance expenses and invested asset returns.

For traditional business, a "lock-in" principle applies, whereby the assumptions used to calculate the benefit reserves and DAC are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience. These assumptions include margins for adverse deviation in the event that actual experience differs from the original assumptions.

For non-traditional business, best-estimate assumptions are updated to reflect observed changes based on experience studies and current economic conditions. We reflect the effect of such assumption changes in DAC and reserve balances accordingly. Due to the long-term nature of many of the liabilities, small changes in certain assumptions may cause large changes in the degree of reserve adequacy or DAC recoverability. In particular, changes in estimates of the future invested asset return assumption have a large effect on the degree of reserve adequacy.

Life Reserving Methodology - We establish liabilities for amounts payable under life insurance policies, including participating and non-participating traditional life insurance and interest-sensitive and variable universal life insurance. In general, amounts are payable over an extended period of time and related liabilities are calculated as the present value of future expected benefits to be paid, reduced by the present value of future expected premiums (for traditional life insurance), or as the account value established for the policyholder (for universal and variable universal life insurance). Such liabilities are established based on methods and underlying assumptions in accordance with ASC 940-40, Financial Services -Insurance- Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits, and applicable actuarial standards. Principal assumptions used in the establishment of liabilities for future policy benefits are mortality, policy lapse, investment return, inflation, expenses and other contingent events as appropriate to the respective product type.

Future policy benefits for non-participating traditional life insurance policies are equal to the aggregate of the present value of expected benefit payments and related expenses less the present value of expected future net premiums. Assumptions as to mortality and persistency are based upon our experience, with provisions for adverse deviation, when the basis of the liability is established. Interest rates for the aggregate future policy benefit liabilities range from $3.0 \%$ to $8.0 \%$ and vary by issue year. Future policy benefit liabilities for participating traditional life insurance policies are equal to the aggregate of (i) net level premium reserves for death and endowment policy benefits (calculated based upon the non-forfeiture interest rate, ranging from 2.5\% to $6.0 \%$, and mortality rates assumed in calculating the cash surrender values described in such contracts); and (ii) the liability for terminal dividends.

Future policy benefits for interest-sensitive and variable universal life insurance policies are equal to the current account value established for the policyholder. Some of our universal life policies contain secondary guarantees, for which an additional liability is established. Liabilities for universal life secondary guarantees and paid-up guarantees are determined by estimating the expected value of death benefits payable when the account balance is projected to be zero and recognizing those benefits over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments.

We regularly evaluate estimates used and adjust the liability balances, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that assumptions should be revised. The assumptions used in estimating the secondary and paid-up guarantee liabilities are consistent with those used for amortizing DAC and are thus subject to the same variability and risk. The assumptions used in calculating our liabilities are based on the average benefits payable over a range of scenarios.

Annuity Reserving Methodology - We establish liabilities for amounts payable under annuity contracts, including fixed payout annuities and deferred annuities. An immediate or payout annuity is an annuity contract in the benefit "payout" phase. In a fixed payout annuity contract, the insurance company agrees, for a cash consideration, to make specified benefit payments for a fixed period, or for the duration of a designated life or lives. The cash consideration can be funded with a single payment, as is the case with single premium immediate annuities, or with a schedule of payments, as is the case with "limited pay" products.

Payout annuities with more than an insignificant amount of mortality risk are calculated in accordance with ASC 944-40 for limited pay insurance contracts. Benefit and maintenance expense reserves are established by using assumptions reflecting our expectations, including an appropriate margin for adverse deviation. Payout annuity reserves are calculated using standard industry mortality tables specified for statutory reporting and an interest rate of 5\% for life annuities and $3 \%$ for shorter duration contracts, such as term certain payouts. If the resulting reserve would otherwise cause profits to be recognized at the issue date, additional reserves are established. The resulting recognition of profits would be gradual over the expected life of the contract.

Liabilities for deferred annuities are established based on methods and underlying assumptions in accordance with ASC 944-40 for investment contracts. Reserves for policyholder account balances are established as the account value held on behalf of the policyholder. The possible need for additional reserves for guaranteed minimum death benefits are determined in accordance with ASC 944-40. The profit recognition on deferred annuity contracts is gradual over the expected life of the contract. No immediate profit is recognized on the sale of the contract.

Health Reserves:
Overview - We establish future policy benefits in order to match income and benefit expenses by accounting period. Claim reserves and liabilities are established in order to associate future benefit payments, both known and unknown, with the period in which they were incurred.

As of year-end 2010 the total Health claim reserve and liability was $\$ 107.2$ million versus $\$ 115.9$ million at yearend 2009.

The following methods are employed to establish claim reserves and liabilities and future policy benefits for the Health segment:

Completion Factor Approach: The claim reserves for most health care coverage can be suitably calculated using a completion factor method. This method assumes that the historical lag pattern will be an accurate representation for the payment of claims that have been incurred but not yet completely paid. An estimate of the unpaid claim amount is calculated by subtracting period-to-date paid claims from an estimate of the ultimate 'complete' payment for all incurred claims in the time period. Completion factors are calculated which "complete" the current period-to-date payment totals for each incurred month to estimate the ultimate expected payout. This method is best used when the incurred date and subsequent paid date is known for each claim and if fairly consistent patterns can be determined from the progression date of incurral until the date paid in full. The completion factor approach is also best used when the time between date of incurral and final payment is short (i.e., less than 24 months) in duration.

For the individual and association medical block (including Medicare Supplement), we use a completion factor approach to establish claim liability and reserves. Group and managing general underwriter claim reserves are also calculated using these methods. Outstanding claim inventories are monitored monthly to determine if any adjustment to the completion factor approach is needed.

For some larger managing general underwriters we engage external actuarial firms to provide an estimate of the claim reserves for their respective blocks. We independently evaluate the external claim reserve estimates provided for reasonableness as well as for consistency with other completion-factor based reserves. These estimates are incorporated into our reserve analysis to determine the booked reserves for the segment.

Tabular Reserves: Disability income and long-term care blocks of business utilize a tabular calculation to generate the present value of expected future payments. These reserves are called tabular because they rely on the published valuation tables and company experience for disability termination. Tabular reserves are determined by applying termination assumptions related to mortality or recovery, or for long-term care, shifts in the mode of care, to the stream of contractual benefit payments. The present value of these expected benefit payments at the required rate of return establishes the tabular reserve.

Credit health claim reserves and liabilities are also based on a tabular calculation using actuarial tables published by the Society of Actuaries and accepted by the NAIC. The reserve for this business is calculated as a function of open claims using the same actuarial tables discussed above. Periodically, we test the total claim reserve using a completion factor calculation.

Future Policy Benefits - Reserves for future policy benefits have been calculated based on a net level premium method. Future policy benefits are calculated consistent with ASC 944-40 and are equal to the aggregate of the present value of expected future benefit payments, less the present value of expected future premiums. Morbidity and termination assumptions are based on our experience or published valuation tables when available and appropriate. Interest rates for the aggregate future policy benefit liabilities range from $3.5 \%$ to $8.0 \%$ and vary by issue year.

Premium Deficiency Reserves - Deficiency reserves are established when the expected benefit payments for a classification of policies having homogenous characteristics are in excess of the expected premiums for these policies. The determination of a deficiency reserve takes into consideration the likelihood of premium rate
increases, the timing of these increases, and the expected benefit utilization patterns. We have established premium deficiency reserve for segments of the major medical business and the Long Term Care business. These lines of business are in run-off and continue to under-perform relative to the original pricing. The assumptions and methods used to determine the deficiency reserves are reviewed periodically for reasonableness and the reserve amount is monitored against emerging losses.

## Property and Casualty Reserves:

Reserves for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense ("LAE") - Property and casualty reserves are established to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims under insurance policies written. These reserves include estimates for both:

- Case reserves - claims that were reported to us but not yet paid, and
- IBNR - anticipated cost of claims incurred but not reported. IBNR reserves include a provision for potential development on case reserves, losses on claims currently closed which may reopen in the future, and claims that have been incurred but not yet reported.

These reserves include an estimate of the expense associated with settling claims, including legal and other fees, and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process. The two major categories of loss adjustment expense are defense and cost containment expense and adjusting and other expense. The details of property and casualty reserves are shown in the following table (in thousands):

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | Year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross |  | Ceded |  | Net |  | Gross |  | Ceded |  | Net |  |
| Case | \$ | 472,794 | \$ | 13,676 | \$ | 459,118 | \$ | 473,908 | \$ | 11,639 | \$ | 462,269 |
| IBNR |  | 458,509 |  | 30,619 |  | 427,890 |  | 443,082 |  | 48,693 |  | 394,389 |
| Total | \$ | 931,303 | \$ | 44,295 | \$ | 887,008 | \$ | 916,990 | \$ | 60,332 | \$ | 856,658 |

Case Reserves: Reserves for reported losses are established on either a judgment or formula basis, depending on the timing and type of the loss. They are based on historical paid loss data for similar claims with provisions for trend changes, such as those caused by inflation. The formula reserve is a fixed amount for each claim of a given type.

Judgment reserve amounts generally replace initial formula based reserves and are set on a per case basis based on facts and circumstances of each case, the type of claim and the expectation of damages. We regularly monitor the adequacy of judgment reserves and formula reserves on a case-by-case basis and change the amount of such reserves as necessary.

IBNR: IBNR reserves are estimated based on many variables, including historical statistical information, inflation, legal developments, economic conditions, and general trends in claim severity, frequency and other factors that could affect the adequacy of loss reserves.

Loss and premium data is aggregated by exposure class and by accident year (i.e., the year in which losses were incurred). IBNR reserves are calculated by projecting ultimate losses on each class of business and subtracting paid losses and case reserves. Unlike case reserves, IBNR is generally calculated at an aggregate level and cannot usually be directly identified as reserves for a particular loss or contract. Our overall reserve practice provides for ongoing claims evaluation and adjustment based on the development of related data and other relevant information pertaining to such claims. Adjustments in aggregate reserves, if any, are reflected in the results of operations of the period during which such adjustments are made.

Our actuaries reflect the potential uncertainty generated by volatility in our loss development profiles when selecting loss development factor patterns for each line of business with a conservative mind set. The net and gross reserve calculations have shown redundancies for the last several year-ends as a result of losses emerging favorably compared to what is implied by the selected loss development patterns. See Results of Operations and Related Information by Segment - Property and Casualty, Prior Period Reserve Development section of the MD\&A for additional information.

The evaluation process to establish the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves involves the collaboration of underwriting, claims and internal actuarial departments. The process also includes consultation with independent actuarial firms on a regular basis. Work performed by independent actuarial firms is an important part of our process of gaining reassurance that the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves determined by our internal actuarial department sufficiently meet all present and future obligations arising from all claims incurred as of yearend. Additionally, the independent actuarial firms complete the Statements of Actuarial Opinion at each year-end, certifying that the recorded loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves appear reasonable.

Premium Deficiency Reserve: Deficiency reserves are established when the expected benefit payments and a maintenance component for a product line is in excess of the expected premiums for that product line. The determination of a deficiency reserve takes into consideration the current profitability of a product line using anticipated losses, loss expense, and policy maintenance costs. The assumptions and methods used to determine the deficiency reserves are reviewed periodically for reasonableness and the reserve amount is monitored against emerging losses. There were no reserves of this type at December 31, 2010.

Reserving Methodology - The following actuarial methods are utilized in our reserving process during both annual and interim reporting periods:

- Initial Expected Loss Ratio: This method calculates an estimate of ultimate losses by applying an estimated loss ratio to an estimate of ultimate earned premium for each accident year. This method is appropriate for classes of business where the actual paid or reported loss experience is not yet mature enough to override initial expectations of the ultimate loss ratios.
- Bornhuetter Ferguson: This method uses as a starting point an assumed initial expected loss ratio method and blends in the loss ratio implied by the claims experience to date by using loss development patterns based on our own historical experience. This method is generally appropriate where there are few reported claims and a relatively less stable pattern of reported losses.
- Loss or Expense Development (Chain Ladder): This method uses actual loss or defense and cost containment expense data and the historical development profiles on older accident periods to project more recent, less developed periods to their ultimate position. This method is appropriate when there is a relatively stable pattern of loss and expense emergence and a relatively large number of reported claims.
- Ratio of Paid Defense and Cost Containment Expense to Paid Loss Development: This method uses the ratio of paid defense and cost containment expense to paid loss data and the historical development profiles on older accident periods to project more recent, less developed periods to their ultimate position. In this method, an ultimate ratio of paid defense and cost containment expense to paid loss is selected for each accident period. The selected paid defense and cost containment expense to paid loss ratio is then applied to the selected ultimate loss for each accident period to estimate the ultimate defense and cost containment expense. Paid defense and cost containment expense is then subtracted from the ultimate defense and cost containment expense to calculate the unpaid defense and cost containment expense for that accident period.
- Calendar Year Paid Adjusting and Other Expense to Paid Loss: This method uses the ratio of prior calendar years' paid expense to paid loss to project ultimate loss adjustment expenses for adjusting and other expense. The key to this method is the selection of the paid expense to paid loss ratio based on prior calendar years' activity. A percentage of the selected ratio is applied to the case reserves (depending on the line of insurance) and $100 \%$ to the indicated IBNR reserves. These ratios assume that a percentage of the expense is incurred when a claim is opened and the remaining percentage is paid throughout the claim's life.

The basis of our selected single point best estimate on a particular line of business is often a blended result from two or more methods (e.g. weighted averages). Our estimate is highly dependent on actuarial and management judgment as to which method(s) is most appropriate for a particular accident year and class of business. Our methodology changes over time, as new information emerges regarding underlying loss activity and other factors.

## Key Assumptions:

Implicit in the actuarial methodologies previously discussed are the following critical reserving assumptions which may impact our reserves:

- The selected loss ratio used in the initial expected loss ratio method and Bornhuetter Ferguson method for each accident year;
- The expected loss development profiles;
- A consistent claims handling process;
- A consistent payout pattern;
- No unusual growth patterns;
- No major shift in liability limits distribution on liability policies; and
- No significant prospective changes in workers' compensation laws that would significantly affect future payouts.

The loss ratio selections and loss development profiles are developed primarily using our own historical claims and loss experience. These assumptions have not been modified from the preceding periods and are consistent with historical loss reserve development patterns.

Management believes our loss reserves at December 31, 2010 are adequate. New information, legislation, events or circumstances, unknown at the original valuation date, however, may result in future development to our ultimate losses significantly greater or less than the recorded reserves at December 31, 2010.

For non-credit lines of business, our claims handling process is the most likely of those assumptions previously noted to vary from our expectations. This assumption was determined to most likely impact our results of operations, financial position and liquidity, and thus we chose to measure the sensitivity to this assumption. The table below presents estimates of the range of likely scenarios related to a speed-up or slow-down of five days in the claims handling process and its subsequent impact on our estimate of gross loss reserves at year end (amounts in thousands). Without certainty of future reporting patterns, we do not consider any change within the range displayed as more reasonably likely than any other.

| Year ended December 31, 2010 Cumulative Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Day Speed Up |  | 5 Day Slow Down |  |
| \$ | $(1,421)$ | \$ | 1,236 |
|  | 14 |  | 345 |
|  | 71 |  | 377 |
|  | (374) |  | 1,409 |
|  | $(1,106)$ |  | 3,169 |

The analysis of our credit insurance line of business quantifies the estimated impact on gross loss reserves of a reasonably likely scenario of varying the ratio applied to the unearned premium to determine the IBNR reserves at December 31, 2010. IBNR reserving methodology for this line of business focuses primarily on the use of a ratio applied to the unearned premium for each credit insurance product. The selected ratios are based on historical loss and claim data. In our analysis, we varied this ratio by $+/-5 \%$ across all credit insurance products combined. The results of our analysis show an increase or decrease in gross reserves across all accident years combined of approximately $\$ 7.2$ million.

It is not appropriate to aggregate the impacts shown in our sensitivity analysis, as our lines of business are not directly correlated. The variations set forth are not meant to be a "best-case" or "worst-case" scenario, and therefore, it is possible that future variations may be more or less than the amounts in our sensitivity analysis. While we believe these are possible scenarios based on the information available to us at this time, we do not believe the reader should consider our sensitivity analysis an actual reserve range.

## Reserving by class of business:

The weight given to a particular actuarial method depends on the characteristics specific to each class of business, including the types of coverage and the expected claim-tail.

Short-tail business - Lines of business for which loss data emerge more quickly are referred to as short-tail lines of business. For these lines, emergence of paid losses and case reserves is credible and likely indicative of ultimate losses; therefore, more reliance is placed on the Loss or Expense Development methods.

Large catastrophe and weather-related events are analyzed separately using information available to our claims staff, loss development profiles from similar events and our own historical experience.

Long-tail business - For long-tail lines of business, emergence of paid losses and case reserves is less credible in early periods and, accordingly, may not be indicative of ultimate losses. For these lines of business, more reliance is placed on the Bornhuetter Ferguson and Initial Expected Loss Ratio methods.

Credit business - For credit lines of business, the IBNR is estimated either by applying a selected ratio to the unearned premium reserve or by using the loss development methods previously discussed.

Loss adjustment expenses - We estimate adjusting and other expense separately from loss reserves using the Calendar Year Paid-to-Paid method. Reserves for defense and cost containment expense are estimated separately from loss reserves, using either the Loss or Expense Development method or Ratio of Paid Defense and Cost Containment Expense to Paid Loss method.

## Reinsurance

Reinsurance recoverable balances include amounts owed to us in respect of paid and unpaid ceded losses and loss expenses and are presented net of a reserve for non-recoverability. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, reinsurance recoverable balances were $\$ 355.2$ million and $\$ 371.7$ million, respectively.

Recoveries on our gross ultimate losses are determined using distributions of gross ultimate loss by layer of loss retention to estimate ceded IBNR as well as through the review of individual large claims. The most significant assumption we use is the average size of the individual losses for claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded by us. The reinsurance recoverable is based on what we believe are reasonable estimates and is disclosed separately in the consolidated financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses are settled.

We manage counterparty risk by entering into agreements with reinsurers we generally consider to be highly rated. However, we do not require a specified minimum rating. We monitor the concentrations of the reinsurers and reduce the participation percentage of lower-rated companies when appropriate. We believe we currently have no reinsurance amounts with any significant risk of becoming unrecoverable due to reinsurer insolvency.

Our reinsurance contracts contain clauses that allow us to terminate the participation with reinsurers who are downgraded. Our risk assessment is comprised of industry ratings, recent news and reports, and a limited review of financial statements, for any new reinsurer under consideration. We also may require letters of credit, trust agreements, or cash advances from unauthorized reinsurers (reinsurers not licensed in our state of domicile) to fund their share of outstanding losses and LAE. Final assessment is based on the judgment of senior management.

## Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

We maintain qualified and nonqualified defined benefit pension plan and one qualified defined benefit pension plan. We also provide certain health and life insurance benefits to qualified current and former employees. We recognize the funded status of defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans on our consolidated statements of financial position.

The pension benefit and postretirement benefit obligations and related costs for all plans are calculated using actuarial concepts in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance. The discount rate and the expected return on plan assets are important elements of expense and/or liability measurement. We evaluate these key assumptions annually. Other assumptions involve demographic factors such as retirement age, mortality, turnover and rate of compensation increases.

We use a discount rate to determine the present value of future benefits on the measurement date. The guideline for setting this rate is a high-quality long-term corporate bond rate. To determine the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, a building-block method is used. The expected rate of return on each asset is broken down into three components: (1) inflation, (2) the real risk-free rate of return (i.e., the long-term estimate of future returns on default-free U.S. government securities), and (3) the risk premium for each asset class (i.e., the expected return in excess of the risk-free rate). Using this approach, the precise expected return derived will fluctuate somewhat from year to year; however, it is our policy to hold this long-term assumption relatively constant.

The assumptions used in the measurement of our pension benefit obligations for 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

|  | Used for Net Benefit Cost for year ended December 31, 2010 | Used for Benefit Obligations as of December 31, 2010 | Used for Net Benefit Cost for year ended December 31, 2009 | Used for Benefit Obligations as of December 31, 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discount rate | 6.17 | 5.34\% | 6.17 | 5.73 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.20 | 3.78\% | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Long-term rate of return | 7.65 | 7.65\% | 7.65 | 7.65 |

## Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

Our accounting policy requires that a decline in the fair value of investment securities below their cost basis be evaluated on an ongoing basis to determine if the decline is other-than-temporary. There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments to determine if they are other-than-temporary which include 1) our ability and intent to hold the investment securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the expected recoverability of principal and interest; 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost for fixed income securities or less than cost basis; 4) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; and 5) the specific reasons that a security is in a significant unrealized loss position, including market conditions, which could affect liquidity.

## Litigation Contingencies

We review existing litigation and potential litigation with counsel quarterly to determine if an accrual of a liability for possible losses is necessary. Liabilities for losses are established whenever they are probable and estimable based on our best estimate of the probable loss. If no one number within the range of possible losses is more probable than any other, we record a liability at the low end of the estimated range.

Based on information currently available, we believe that amounts ultimately paid, if any, arising from existing and currently potential litigation would not have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition. However, it should be noted that the frequency of large damage awards, which bear little or no relation to the
economic damages incurred by plaintiffs, continue to create the potential for an unpredictable judgment in any given lawsuit. It is possible that, if the defenses in these lawsuits are not successful, and the judgments are greater than we anticipate, the resulting liability could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

## Federal Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate is based on income, non-taxable and non-deductible items, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available. Inherent in determining our annual tax rate are judgments regarding business plans, planning opportunities and expectations about future outcomes.

Deferred tax assets generally represent items that can be used as a tax deduction or credit in future years for which we have already recorded the tax benefit in our income statement. Deferred tax liabilities generally represent tax expense recognized in our consolidated financial statements for which tax payment has been deferred, or expenditures for which we have already taken a deduction in our tax return but have not yet recognized in our consolidated financial statements.

GAAP requires us to evaluate the recoverability of our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce our deferred tax asset to an amount that is more-likely-than-not to be realized. Considerable judgment is required in determining whether a valuation allowance is necessary, and if so, the amount of such valuation allowance. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more-likely-than-not that the deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances, will be realized.

Our accounting represents management's best estimate of future events that can be appropriately reflected in the accounting estimates. Certain changes or future events, such as changes in tax legislation, geographic mix of earnings and completion of tax audits could have an impact on our estimates and effective tax rate. For example, the dividends received deduction ("DRD") reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between our actual tax expense and the expected amount determined using the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of $35 \%$. The U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") intend to address through regulations the methodology to be followed in determining the DRD related to variable life insurance and annuity contracts. A change in the DRD, including the possible retroactive or prospective elimination of this deduction through regulations or legislation, could increase our actual tax expense and reduce our consolidated net income.

Our liability for income taxes includes the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, interest and penalties, that relate to tax years still subject to review by the IRS or other taxing authorities. Audit periods remain open for review until the statute of limitations has passed. The statute of limitations for the examination of federal income tax returns by the IRS for years 2006 to 2009 has either been extended or has not expired. In the opinion of management, all prior year taxes have been paid or adequate provisions have been made for any uncertain tax positions taken in prior year returns.

## Consolidated Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of our consolidated results of operations, which should be read in conjunction with the Outlook section. For discussions of our segments' results, see the "Results of Operations and Related Information by Segment" section. The following table sets forth the consolidated results of operations (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Premiums and other revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 1,877,908 | \$ | 1,974,024 | \$ | 1,888,495 | \$ | $(96,116)$ | \$ | 85,529 |
| Other policy revenues |  | 185,805 |  | 179,504 |  | 174,899 |  | 6,301 |  | 4,605 |
| Net investment income |  | 911,915 |  | 839,777 |  | 795,442 |  | 72,138 |  | 44,335 |
| Realized investments gains (losses), net |  | 74,062 |  | $(73,855)$ |  | $(379,034)$ |  | 147,917 |  | 305,179 |
| Other income |  | 17,398 |  | 19,000 |  | 22,777 |  | $(1,602)$ |  | $(3,777)$ |
| Total revenues |  | 3,067,088 |  | 2,938,450 |  | 2,502,579 |  | 128,638 |  | 435,871 |
| Benefits, losses and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 1,608,415 |  | 1,709,899 |  | 1,601,854 |  | $(101,484)$ |  | 108,045 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 393,119 |  | 370,563 |  | 299,833 |  | 22,556 |  | 70,730 |
| Commissions |  | 448,880 |  | 459,943 |  | 475,345 |  | $(11,063)$ |  | $(15,402)$ |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 454,146 |  | 471,920 |  | 493,907 |  | $(17,774)$ |  | $(21,987)$ |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $(40,095)$ |  | $(63,611)$ |  | $(67,439)$ |  | 23,516 |  | 3,828 |
| Total benefits and expenses |  | 2,864,465 |  | 2,948,714 |  | 2,803,500 |  | $(84,249)$ |  | 145,214 |
| Income (loss) before other items and federal income taxes | \$ | 202,623 | \$ | $(10,264)$ | \$ | $(300,921)$ | \$ | 212,887 | \$ | 290,657 |

(1) A negative amount of net change indicates more expense was deferred than amortized and represents a decrease to expenses in the periods indicated.

Consolidated income before other items and federal income taxes increased during 2010 compared to 2009. The increase was primarily driven by the following:

- an increase in our Corporate and Other segment's realized investment gains and net investment income as a result of improved market conditions,
- a decrease in policy benefits across all segments,
- a decrease in other operating costs and expenses in our Life and Health segments,
- partially offset by a decrease in Life and Health segment premiums and an increase in Annuity segment interest credited to policy account balances.

Consolidated income before other items and federal income taxes increased during 2009 compared to 2008 . The increase was primarily driven by the following:

- a decrease in our Corporate and other segment's realized investment losses partially offset by increased investment income,
- an increase in annuity premiums,
- partially offset by increased policy benefits in annuities due to strong single premium immediate annuity sales and increased interest credited to policy account balances.


## Results of Operations and Related Information by Segment

## Life

The Life segment markets traditional life insurance products such as whole life and term life, and interest sensitive life insurance products such as universal life, variable universal life as well as indexed universal life. These products are marketed on a nationwide basis through employee agents, multiple-line agents, independent agents, brokers and direct marketing channels.

Life segment financial results for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 282,160 | \$ | 284,530 | \$ | 299,338 | \$ | $(2,370)$ | \$ | $(14,808)$ |
| Other policy revenues |  | 170,729 |  | 164,748 |  | 154,984 |  | 5,981 |  | 9,764 |
| Net investment income |  | 223,753 |  | 222,611 |  | 226,643 |  | 1,142 |  | $(4,032)$ |
| Other income |  | 3,547 |  | 2,720 |  | 3,767 |  | 827 |  | $(1,047)$ |
| Total revenues |  | 680,189 |  | 674,609 |  | 684,732 |  | 5,580 |  | $(10,123)$ |
| Benefits, losses and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 294,177 |  | 297,719 |  | 296,078 |  | $(3,542)$ |  | 1,641 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 59,149 |  | 58,983 |  | 62,221 |  | 166 |  | $(3,238)$ |
| Commissions |  | 91,165 |  | 91,968 |  | 126,813 |  | (803) |  | $(34,845)$ |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 178,619 |  | 185,048 |  | 222,908 |  | $(6,429)$ |  | $(37,860)$ |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(1,963)$ |  | 1,536 |  | $(42,103)$ |  | $(3,499)$ |  | 43,639 |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses |  | 621,147 |  | 635,254 |  | 665,917 |  | $(14,107)$ |  | $(30,663)$ |
| Income before other items and federal income taxes | \$ | 59,042 | \$ | 39,355 | \$ | 18,815 | \$ | 19,687 | \$ | 20,540 |

Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased significantly compared to 2009 primarily due to an increase in other policy revenues, decreases in policy benefits and operating expenses, and an increase in deferred policy acquisition costs. Operating expenses in 2010 were lower due to the absence of nonrecurring costs associated with the Company's SEC registration and lower direct marketing expenses. The increase in other policy revenues was due to higher policy service fees on a growing block of interest-sensitive life policies.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, earnings increased compared to 2008. The overall increase was primarily attributed to lower operating expenses in 2009 due to the absence of two lawsuit settlements recorded in 2008. In addition, expenses related to preparing for our initial SEC registration decreased compared to 2008.

During the second quarter of 2009, we paid $\$ 12.9$ million in connection with the settlement of a class action lawsuit that was finalized in 2007. Such settlement was comprised of credit life premium refunds and other related damages and fees to certain previously insured persons. The Life segment was fully reserved for this settlement and did not incur any related impact to its results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. For additional information on this settlement, refer to the discussion of the Perkins litigation in Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our amended Form 10 Registration Statement, filed with the SEC on July 1, 2009.

## Premiums

Revenues from traditional life insurance products include scheduled premium payments from policyholders on whole life and term life products. These premiums are in exchange for financial protection for the policyholder from a specific insurable event, such as death or disability. The change in these premiums is impacted by new sales during the period and the persistency of in-force policies.

Premiums have decreased during the past two years. The decrease in premiums for both periods was attributable to increasing Yearly Renewable Term renewal ceded reinsurance premiums on the higher face amounts issued in 2007, 2008 and 2009.

## Other Policy Revenues

Other policy revenues include mortality charges, earned policy service fees, and surrender charges on interestsensitive life insurance policies. These charges increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to higher policy service fees on a growing block of life policies. This increase reflects growth in interest-sensitive life business.

Other policy revenues also increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher mortality charges and fees, which are a result of the large volume of sales of lifetime secondary guarantee universal life products in previous years.

## Commissions

Commissions remained relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009.
Commissions decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower first year universal life premiums. Partially offsetting the decrease in first year commissions was the increase in renewal commissions at a lower rate on a large portion of business sold in 2008. In addition, credit life business experienced a decrease in sales for 2009 as a result of the downturn in the economy and the constraints of the credit markets.

## Other Operating Costs and Expenses

Other operating costs and expenses decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily due to reductions in consulting fees attributed to Sarbanes-Oxley and SEC registration, as well as marketing and legal expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, other operating costs and expenses decreased compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in production bonuses, which is directly a result of lower sales in 2009. Additionally, there was a reduction in marketing expenses for our Direct Marketing channel.

## Change in Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The following table presents the components of the change in DAC (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Acquisition cost capitalized | \$ | 80,789 | \$ | 77,161 | \$ | 129,031 | \$ | 3,628 | \$ | $(51,870)$ |
| Amortization of DAC |  | $(78,826)$ |  | $(78,697)$ |  | $(86,928)$ |  | (129) |  | 8,231 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 1,963 | \$ | $(1,536)$ | \$ | 42,103 | \$ | 3,499 | \$ | $(43,639)$ |

(1) A positive amount of net change indicates more expense was deferred than amortized and represents a decrease to expenses in the periods indicated.

Acquisition costs capitalized increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The increase primarily resulted from non-commission related compensation. The amortization of DAC as a percentage of gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was $39.2 \%$ and $43.3 \%$, respectively. The decrease in DAC amortization rate was primarily due to lower lapse rates in 2010. The average annualized lapse/surrender rates for the Life segment were $10.1 \%$ and $10.7 \%$ for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In general, stable or lower lapse rates are important toward maintaining profitability of the Life segment, as higher lapse rates will reduce the average term of the in-force block of business and could result in acceleration of DAC amortization.

Acquisition costs capitalized decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. This decrease resulted from the decline in production related compensation in first year commissions to our independent agents. The amortization of DAC as a percentage of gross profits for the years ended December 31, 2009, and 2008 was $43.3 \%$, and $44.5 \%$, respectively. The change in the ratio for 2009 was primarily attributable to the premium refund lawsuit as previously described. Profitability was down due to decreased investment yields and increased surrenders.

The average annualized lapse/surrender rates in the Life segment were 10.7\%, and 10.5\% for the years ended December 31, 2009, and 2008, respectively. These combined rates reflected both first year and renewal business. Over the course of 2008 through 2010, we experienced normal fluctuations in lapse rates.

## Reinsurance

The table below summarizes reinsurance reserve and premium amounts assumed and ceded (in thousands):

|  | Reserves |  |  |  |  |  | Premiums |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Reinsurance assumed | \$ | 9,827 | \$ | 19,514 | \$ | 25,553 | \$ | $(1,130)$ | \$ | 4,512 | \$ | 8,460 |
| Reinsurance ceded |  | $(173,097)$ |  | $(160,934)$ |  | $(147,523)$ |  | $(86,241)$ |  | $(74,577)$ |  | $(80,826)$ |
| Total | \$ | $(163,270)$ | \$ | $(141,420)$ | \$ | $(121,970)$ | \$ | $(87,371)$ | \$ | $(70,065)$ | \$ | $(72,366)$ |

We use reinsurance to mitigate excessive risk to the Life segment. As of December 31, 2010, our current consolidated retention limit was $\$ 1,550,000$ for traditional and universal life. Accidental death benefits and premium waiver benefits are mostly retained on new business issued beginning in 2008. Increases in reserves and premium amounts ceded primarily reflect increased use of reinsurance in conjunction with treaties related to universal life products. Decreases in assumed reserves and premium were primarily due to the cancellation of the our reinsurance agreement with two credit life reinsurers. Those blocks of business are now in run-off, and the new business retained is currently written by us on a direct basis.

We periodically adjust our reinsurance program and retention limits as market conditions warrant, consistent with our corporate risk management strategy. While we have, in the past, reinsured up to $90 \%$ of new business, we are currently reinsuring newly developed permanent products on a modified excess retention basis, in which we reinsure mortality risk on a yearly renewable term basis, ceding a $75 \%$ quota share of policies with a face value of at least $\$ 500,000$ up to our retention and then a $100 \%$ quota share in excess of retention. Term products are coinsured between $60 \%$ and $100 \%$ on a first-dollar quota share basis. Current traditionally marketed term products are coinsured on a $90 \%$ quota share basis, while current direct marketed products are coinsured on a $60 \%$ basis, up to our retention, and then a $100 \%$ quota share in excess of retention.

In the case of credit life business, we use reinsurance primarily to provide producers of credit-related insurance products the opportunity to participate in the underwriting risk through offshore producer-owned reinsurance companies. A majority of the treaties entered into by our Credit Insurance Division are normally written on a 100\% coinsurance basis with benefit limits of $\$ 100,000$ on credit life. We have entered into funds withheld reinsurance treaties which are ceded to the reinsurer on a written basis.

Our individual life reinsurance is primarily placed with highly rated companies, and we monitor the financial condition of those companies. For 2010, the companies where we have placed material amounts of reinsurance for the Life segment are shown in the table below (in thousands, except percentages):

| Reinsurer |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { A.M. Best } \\ \text { Rating }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Ceded } \\ \text { Premium }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Percentage of <br>

Gross Premium\end{array}\right]\)
(1) A.M. Best rating as of the most current information available February 22, 2011.

## Policy in-force information

The following table summarizes the Life segment's in-force amounts (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Life insurance in-force: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traditional life | \$ | 45,919,000 | \$ | 45,229,000 | \$ | 45,008,000 | \$ | 690,000 | \$ | 221,000 |
| Interest sensitive life |  | 23,879,000 |  | 24,219,000 |  | 24,863,000 |  | $(340,000)$ |  | $(644,000)$ |
| Total life insurance in-force | \$ | 69,798,000 | \$ | 69,448,000 | \$ | 69,871,000 | \$ | 350,000 | \$ | $\stackrel{(423,000)}{ }$ |

The following table summarizes the Life segment's policy counts (in thousands):


There was a slight increase in total life insurance in-force as of December 31, 2010 when compared to 2009, as new policies issued exceeded the aggregate face amount of older policies terminated by death, lapse, or surrender.

The total life insurance in-force experienced a minimal decrease as of December 31, 2009 when compared to 2008. The decrease was mainly attributed to a reduction in the average face amount of our interest sensitive life policies, partially offset by an increase in the average face amount of the traditional life policies.

The decreasing policy count, from 2008 through 2010, is attributable primarily to the natural attrition of a larger number of older policies, partially offset by newer policies that are fewer in number but larger in face amount.

## Annuity

We develop, sell and support a variety of immediate and deferred annuities, including fixed, equity-indexed and variable products. We sell these products through independent agents, brokers, financial institutions, and multipleline and employee agents.

Annuity segment financial results for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 174,193 | \$ | 220,284 | \$ | 116,248 | \$ | $(46,091)$ | \$ | 104,036 |
| Other policy revenues |  | 15,076 |  | 14,756 |  | 19,915 |  | 320 |  | $(5,159)$ |
| Net investment income |  | 510,106 |  | 449,035 |  | 374,023 |  | 61,071 |  | 75,012 |
| Other income (expense) |  | $(7,900)$ |  | $(3,870)$ |  | $(5,718)$ |  | $(4,030)$ |  | 1,848 |
| Total revenues |  | 691,475 |  | 680,205 |  | 504,468 |  | 11,270 |  | 175,737 |
| Benefits, losses and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 205,948 |  | 249,709 |  | 142,867 |  | $(43,761)$ |  | 106,842 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 333,970 |  | 311,580 |  | 237,612 |  | 22,390 |  | 73,968 |
| Commissions |  | 95,701 |  | 107,053 |  | 79,213 |  | $(11,352)$ |  | 27,840 |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 62,791 |  | 59,254 |  | 45,491 |  | 3,537 |  | 13,763 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(44,569)$ |  | $(62,013)$ |  | $(20,690)$ |  | 17,444 |  | $(41,323)$ |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses |  | 653,841 |  | 665,583 |  | 484,493 |  | (11,742) |  | 181,090 |
| Income before other items and federal income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxes | \$ | 37,634 | \$ | 14,622 | \$ | 19,975 | \$ | 23,012 | \$ | $(5,353)$ |

Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2010 improved significantly when compared to 2009 primarily due to an increase in our net investment income offset by an increase in interest credited to policy account balances. These changes are explained further in the "Interest Credited to Policy Account Balances" section.

Earnings decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. A number of factors contributed to the lower earnings, including compressed earned investment spreads, decreased annuity surrender charge revenue and certain non-recurring expenses. The expense increases are primarily due to our initial SEC registration. Interest spreads in 2009 were below 2008 levels as a result of lower yields on our larger cash and cash equivalents position from those in 2008.

Surrender charge revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of fewer surrenders. Additionally, some policies surrendered in 2009 with positive market value adjustments which increased the cash surrender value paid and consequently decreased surrender charges collected.

## Premiums

Amounts received on SPIA are classified as premiums and are earned immediately as income. Amounts received from fixed deferred annuity policyholders and equity-indexed deferred annuity policyholders are classified as policy deposits and are not part of earned premiums. Annuity premium and deposit amounts received are shown in the table below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Fixed deferred annuity | \$ | 1,045,429 | \$ | 1,715,871 | \$ | 1,573,237 | \$ | $(670,442)$ | \$ | 142,634 |
| Equity-indexed deferred annuity |  | 340,920 |  | 239,664 |  | 85,334 |  | 101,256 |  | 154,330 |
| Single premium immediate annuity |  | 177,688 |  | 227,937 |  | 121,952 |  | $(50,249)$ |  | 105,985 |
| Variable deferred annuity |  | 90,188 |  | 99,429 |  | 103,233 |  | $(9,241)$ |  | $(3,804)$ |
| Total |  | 1,654,225 |  | 2,282,901 |  | 1,883,756 |  | $(628,676)$ |  | 399,145 |
| Less: policy deposits |  | 1,480,032 |  | 2,062,617 |  | 1,767,508 |  | $(582,585)$ |  | 295,109 |
| Total earned premiums | \$ | 174,193 | \$ | 220,284 | \$ | 116,248 | \$ | $(46,091)$ | \$ | 104,036 |

Fixed deferred annuity receipts decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, which had abnormally high sales in the first quarter of 2009 due to a "flight to safety" related to the credit crisis of late 2008. Equity-indexed deferred annuity premiums and deposits increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 as certain annuitants accepted some exposure to volatility in the pursuit of potentially higher returns. Equity-indexed deferred annuities allow policyholders to participate in equity returns while also having certain downside protection resulting from the guaranteed minimum returns defined in the products.

Fixed deferred annuity receipts for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased compared to 2008. The increase in sales of our fixed deferred annuity products was a result of lower yields on competing products such as CD's and money market funds and policyholders looking for an alternative to the volatile stock market. Equityindexed deferred annuity sales also increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 as investors accepted some risk in the pursuit of potentially higher returns while receiving some guaranteed minimum return.

SPIA premiums decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The competitiveness of rates in the SPIA line change very quickly and premium income reflects changes in our position relative to the financial marketplace. We believe that the current low interest rate environment has led some prospective SPIA buyers to defer their purchase of a payout annuity and temporarily invest in cash and cash equivalents, in the hope that rates will be higher at a later date, affording a higher annuity payment per premium dollar.

SPIA premiums increased in 2009 compared to 2008 as a direct result of consumers' search for a more stable retirement income.

Variable deferred annuity products are a relatively small portion of our annuity portfolio. Variable deferred annuity premiums decreased for the past two years. This decrease is primarily attributable to our competitive position, as we do not offer income guarantees on our variable deferred annuity products.

## Net Investment Income

Net investment income, a key component of the profitability of the Annuity segment, increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 and 2009 compared to 2008. The increase is mainly attributed to growth in the assets backing the in-force fixed deferred annuity account balances of $10.5 \%$ and $17.8 \%$ for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In 2009, our fixed deferred annuity account values rose by $\$ 1.2$ billion to $\$ 8.2$ billion compared to an increase of $\$ 707.9$ million in 2008. Also contributing to the increases in net investment income was the positive change in realized and unrealized gains on equity options. Equity option gains increased $\$ 29.8$ million to $\$ 5.4$ million during 2009.

For a number of years, earnings in the Annuity segment have been pressured by lower average yield rates on the bonds and mortgage loans supporting the reserves. Offsetting the effect of lower yield rates, crediting rates on interest-sensitive products have decreased accordingly where permitted by policy terms. Since approximately $90 \%$ of the Annuity segment is interest-sensitive, offsetting credited rate adjustments are usually possible subject to minimum interest rate guarantees that may apply. We have reconfigured the product portfolio to lower those guarantees in response to the current low interest rate environment.

We utilize equity calls as a means to hedge equity-indexed deferred annuity benefits. The realized and unrealized gains or losses on the equity options causes fluctuations in net investment income. Accordingly, we analyze net investment income with and without equity option returns. Refer to the analysis of net investment income with and without equity options in the table shown below (in thousands):

Net investment income

| With Options |  |  | Without Options |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years ended December 31, |  |  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |
| 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| \$ 510,106 | \$ 449,035 | \$ 374,023 | \$ 500,163 | \$ 443,655 | \$ 398,423 |

The fluctuations in net investment income due to equity option returns is offset in part by changes in equityindexed deferred annuity interest credited (which has an implied embedded derivative gain/(loss) component). See the discussion in the Interest Credited to Policy Account Balances section for presentation of interest credited with and without equity-indexed deferred annuity interest credited.

## Account Values

We monitor account values and changes in those values as a key indicator of the performance of our Annuity segment. Changes in account values are mainly the result of net inflows, surrenders, policy fees, interest credited and market value changes (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Fixed deferred annuity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account value, beginning of period | \$ | 8,151,365 | \$ | 6,918,365 | \$ | 6,210,456 |
| Net inflows |  | 528,338 |  | 930,417 |  | 487,410 |
| Fees |  | $(10,080)$ |  | $(10,592)$ |  | $(15,363)$ |
| Interest credited |  | 337,069 |  | 313,175 |  | 235,862 |
| Account value, end of period | \$ | 9,006,692 | \$ | 8,151,365 | \$ | 6,918,365 |
| Variable deferred annuity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account value, beginning of period | \$ | 400,624 | \$ | 309,011 | \$ | 429,505 |
| Net inflows/(outflows) |  | $(27,792)$ |  | 20,452 |  | 24,364 |
| Fees |  | $(4,795)$ |  | $(4,096)$ |  | $(4,582)$ |
| Change in market value and other |  | 47,720 |  | 75,257 |  | $(140,276)$ |
| Account value, end of period | \$ | 415,757 | \$ | 400,624 | \$ | 309,011 |
| Single premiumimmediate annuity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve, beginning of period | \$ | 820,295 | \$ | 701,141 | \$ | 693,137 |
| Net inflows/(outflows) |  | 42,476 |  | 84,785 |  | $(26,330)$ |
| Interest and mortality |  | 40,355 |  | 34,369 |  | 34,334 |
| Reserve, end of period | \$ | 903,126 | \$ | 820,295 | \$ | 701,141 |

Fixed Deferred Annuity: For the year ended December 31, 2010, fixed deferred annuity account values increased $\$ 855.3$ million compared to an increase of $\$ 1.2$ billion in 2009. The reduced growth in 2010 was primarily the result of the abnormally high levels of growth in 2009. Slower growth in 2010 was partially offset by a decrease in surrenders when compared to 2009.

Account values associated with fixed deferred annuities increased $\$ 1.2$ billion for the year ended December 31, 2009 as a result of an increase in sales of fixed deferred annuity products.

Fees charged against account values decreased $\$ 4.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decline in surrender charges.

Variable Deferred Annuity: For the year ended December 31, 2010, variable deferred annuity account values increased $\$ 15.1$ million compared to an increase of $\$ 91.6$ million in 2009. This lower increase was attributed mainly to an increase in surrenders and a decrease in market appreciation in 2010 compared to 2009.

Variable deferred annuity account values increased $\$ 91.6$ million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to fluctuations in market value.

A portion of the variable deferred annuity policies include guaranteed minimum death benefits. The total account value related to variable deferred annuity policies with guaranteed minimum death benefit features was $\$ 67.0$ million, $\$ 66.8$ million and $\$ 60.4$ million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We are subject to equity market volatility related to these guaranteed minimum death benefits. We use reinsurance to mitigate the mortality exposure associated with such benefits. Our maximum guaranteed minimum death benefit exposure, before reinsurance, in the event that all annuitants die, was $\$ 3.0$ million, $\$ 6.6$ million and $\$ 17.9$ million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decreases in the amounts at risk was due to an improved equity investment market.

SPIA: For the year ended December 31, 2010, SPIA reserves increased $\$ 82.8$ million compared to an increase of $\$ 119.2$ million in 2009. The decrease in growth was primarily due to lower sales in a lower interest rate environment.

SPIA reserves increased $\$ 119.2$ million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to reserves established on inflows from new sales and accretion of reserves on existing policies due to interest and survivorship.

## Policy Benefits

Benefits consist of annuity payments and reserve increases on SPIA contracts. Benefits decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease was mainly attributed to a reduced amount of newissue reserve additions due to lower SPIA premium receipts in 2010. Benefits increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008 due to higher SPIA in-force policies resulting from increased SPIA sales.

## Interest Credited to Policy Account Balances

Interest credited to policy account balances is generally comprised of interest accruals to fixed deferred annuity account balances. Equity-indexed deferred annuities include a fixed host annuity contract and an embedded equity derivative. In addition to the accrual of interest on the host contract, the gain or loss on the embedded equity derivative is also recognized as interest credited to policy account balances. Equity-indexed deferred annuity interest credited can fluctuate from one period to the next as a result of this embedded equity derivative. For this reason, we analyze interest credited to policy account balances with and without equity-indexed deferred annuities. A comparison of interest credited to policy account balances with and without equity-indexed deferred annuities are shown in the table below (in thousands):


The fluctuations in interest credited due to the embedded equity derivative returns is offset in part by changes in equity option investment income (loss), since the equity options are held to hedge the equity-indexed deferred annuity benefits. See the discussion in the Net Investment Income section for presentation of investment income with and without investment income (loss) from equity options.

The equity-indexed deferred annuities portion of the interest credited to account balances amounted to increases of $\$ 24.9$ million, $\$ 17.7$ million and a decrease of $\$ 16.7$ million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase in the interest credited amounts each year was primarily attributable to the growth of in-force account balances due to sales. The negative amount in 2008 was primarily a result of the volatile financial market conditions during 2008, and the resulting fall of financial indexes during the year.

Interest credited to policy account balances without equity-indexed deferred annuities increased for the past two years. The increase was primarily attributed to increases in in-force fixed deferred annuity account balances due to new premiums.

The profits on fixed deferred annuity contracts are driven by interest spreads and, to a lesser extent, other policy fees. When determining crediting rates for fixed deferred annuities, management considers current investment yields in setting new money crediting rates and looks at average portfolio yields when setting renewal rates. In setting rates, management takes into account target spreads established by pricing models while also factoring in price levels needed to maintain a competitive position. Target interest spreads vary by product depending on specific attributes.

## Commissions

Commissions decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, and increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to fluctuations in sales each year.

## Other Operating Costs and Expenses

Other operating costs and expenses increased slightly during 2010 compared to 2009 primarily the result of increases to our allocated overhead expenses during the year.

Other operating costs and expenses increased during 2009 compared to 2008. This was primarily the result of increases to our allocated overhead expenses and our initial SEC registration. Also, there were expense increases that were attributable to agent production bonus payments resulting from the increased level of new business written.

## Change in Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The change in DAC represents acquisition costs capitalized, net of amortization of existing DAC. The amortization of DAC is calculated in proportion to gross profits. The following table presents the components of change in DAC (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Acquisition cost capitalized | \$ | 117,090 | \$ | 126,769 | \$ | 96,544 | \$ | $(9,679)$ | \$ | 30,225 |
| Amortization of DAC |  | $(72,521)$ |  | $(64,756)$ |  | $(75,854)$ |  | $(7,765)$ |  | 11,098 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 44,569 | \$ | $\underline{62,013}$ | \$ | 20,690 | \$ | $(17,444)$ | \$ | 41,323 |

(1) A positive amount of net change indicates more expense was deferred than amortized and is a decrease to expense in the periods indicated.

An important measure of the Annuity segment is amortization of DAC as a percentage of gross profits. The amortization of DAC as a percentage of gross profits for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was $57.3 \%, 63.7 \%$, and $68.9 \%$, respectively. The reduction in the ratio was due to improved persistency. We believe low interest rates on competing guaranteed interest products, such as certificates of deposit and money market funds, was a contributing factor to our improved persistency.

Acquisition costs capitalized increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to higher sales of fixed deferred annuities, which resulted in increases to commissions and other operating costs and expenses.

## Reinsurance

We employ reinsurance for guaranteed minimum death benefit risks on certain variable annuity contracts. Our maximum guaranteed minimum death benefit exposure, before reinsurance, which represents the total exposure in the event that all annuity policyholders die, was $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 6.6$ million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. After reinsurance, the net amounts at risk were $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 3.3$ million, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. All such guaranteed minimum death benefit reinsurance is with reinsurers rated "A" or higher by A.M. Best.

## Health

The Health segment has been primarily focused on supplemental and limited benefit coverage products including Medicare Supplement insurance for the aged population as well as hospital surgical and cancer policies for the general population. In 2010, premium volume for health insurance-related products was concentrated in our Medicare Supplement (44.5\%) and medical expense (25.5\%) lines. Our other health products include credit accident and health policies, employer-based stop loss, and dental coverage. Our health insurance products are distributed through our network of independent agents and MGUs.

Health Segment results for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 263,294 | \$ | 309,701 | \$ | 290,883 | \$ | $(46,407)$ | \$ | 18,818 |
| Net investment income |  | 14,855 |  | 15,992 |  | 16,566 |  | $(1,137)$ |  | (574) |
| Other income |  | 10,384 |  | 10,382 |  | 13,252 |  | 2 |  | $(2,870)$ |
| Total premiums and other revenues |  | 288,533 |  | 336,075 |  | 320,701 |  | $(47,542)$ |  | 15,374 |
| Benefits and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 184,554 |  | 239,407 |  | 223,055 |  | $(54,853)$ |  | 16,352 |
| Commissions |  | 35,263 |  | 51,717 |  | 43,219 |  | $(16,454)$ |  | 8,498 |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 49,634 |  | 62,134 |  | 69,961 |  | $(12,500)$ |  | $(7,827)$ |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | 4,886 |  | 5,017 |  | 5,023 |  | (131) |  | (6) |
| Total benefits and expenses |  | 274,337 |  | 358,275 |  | 341,258 |  | $(83,938)$ |  | 17,017 |
| Income (loss) before other items and federal income taxes | \$ | 14,196 | \$ | $(22,200)$ | \$ | $(20,557)$ | \$ | 36,396 | \$ | $(1,643)$ |

Earnings for the Health segment improved for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, primarily as a result of reductions in policy benefits and a decrease in commissions. Lower operating costs and expenses also contributed to the improvement in earnings resulting from lower personnel costs. A decrease in premiums resulting from a reduction of in-force policies partially offset the improvement in earnings.

Earnings for the Health segment experienced a slight decline in 2009 relative to 2008. During 2009, earnings were negatively impacted by one-time charges of $\$ 5.9$ million, which included a $\$ 2.8$ million marketing expense, as well as several large claims incurred on our medical expense line.

During 2009, as a matter of standard practice, a review of the records of one of our MGUs resulted in the following adjustments, which were recorded in 2009 (the "review adjustments"): $\$ 23.6$ million increase in premiums, $\$ 12.9$ million increase in policy benefits and $\$ 10.7$ million increase in commissions. These review adjustments had no material net impact to the consolidated statements of operations for 2009.

## Premiums

Premiums for the periods indicated are as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
|  | Premiums |  |  | Premiums |  |  | Premiums |  |  |
|  | dollars |  | percentage | dollars |  | percentage | dollars |  | percentage |
| Medicare Supplement | \$ | 117,132 | 44.5 \% | \$ | 123,102 | 39.8 \% | \$ | 120,757 | 41.5 \% |
| Medical expense |  | 67,050 | 25.5 |  | 80,716 | 26.1 |  | 78,291 | 26.9 |
| Group |  | 29,343 | 11.1 |  | 33,484 | 10.8 |  | 33,758 | 11.6 |
| Credit accident and health |  | 21,553 | 8.2 |  | 19,627 | 6.3 |  | 24,676 | 8.5 |
| All other |  | 28,216 | 10.7 |  | 52,772 | 17.0 |  | 33,401 | 11.5 |
| Total | \$ | 263,294 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 309,701 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 290,883 | 100.0 \% |

The Health segment's earned premiums decreased during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, which was mainly attributable to the discontinuation of sales of our medical expense insurance plans effective June 30, 2010. Additionally, the decrease was driven by the non-renewal of two MGUs (included in the "All other" line), decreased sales of our Medicare Supplement product in 2010, and the the recording in 2009 of a one-time premium associated with the unwinding of an MGU.

The Health segment's earned premiums increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008. The increase was primarily driven by premium rate increases on our Medicare Supplement line and the review adjustments previously described.

Our in-force certificates or policies as of the dates indicated are as follows:

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  | number | percentage | number | percentage | number | percentage |
| Medicare Supplement | 48,584 | 8.0 \% | 58,627 | 8.9 \% | 60,264 | 8.2 \% |
| Medical expense | 11,057 | 1.8 | 18,368 | 2.8 | 20,352 | 2.8 |
| Group | 17,038 | 2.8 | 23,890 | 3.7 | 21,409 | 2.9 |
| Credit accident and health | 294,702 | 48.2 | 309,695 | 47.2 | 323,158 | 44.0 |
| All other | 239,624 | 39.2 | 245,689 | 37.4 | 309,938 | 42.1 |
| Total | 611,005 | 100.0 \% | 656,269 | 100.0 \% | 735,121 | 100.0 \% |

Our total in-force policies had a net decrease during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The net decrease was mainly attributed to a decrease in the credit accident and health line due to a decrease in shortterm furniture and finance company credit product. Management expects a decreasing trend on this product to continue in the future. Also contributing to the decrease in the in-force policies were the decrease in Medicare Supplement line production resulting from current market conditions and a decrease in medical expense line as a result of discontinuance of sales.

## Policy Benefits

A reduction in the medical expense benefit ratio, the loss of two MGUs, and the discontinuance of medical expense sales produced a decrease in benefits. The medical expense benefit ratio, measured as the ratio of claims and other benefits to premiums, decreased to $70.1 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2010, from 77.3\% for the same period in 2009. Unexpected high claim payments on medical expense products in 2009, with a subsequent return to lower levels during 2010, contributed to the decrease in the benefit ratio.

The benefit ratio increased slightly to $77.3 \%$ for 2009 from $76.7 \%$ for 2008 . The medical expense line was the largest driver of the increase in benefit ratio. The increase in benefit ratio on the medical expense line was primarily attributable to aggressive rates and underwriting practices in prior periods as well as several large claims incurred in 2009. The MGU line (included in the "All other" line) had a decrease in benefit ratio which helped offset
the increase from the medical expense line. The 2009 benefit ratio was positively impacted by the review adjustments previously described. The 2008 benefit ratio was negatively impacted by expenses associated with litigation involving one MGU that resulted in $\$ 8.9$ million of reinsurance write-offs in the first quarter of 2008. We have terminated our relationship with this particular MGU.

As of December 31, 2010, Health claim reserves decreased $\$ 8.7$ million to $\$ 107.2$ million from $\$ 115.9$ million as of December 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the decrease in medical expense and MGU reserves. As of December 31, 2009, the Health claim reserve had increased $\$ 3.1$ million from $\$ 112.8$ million as of December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the benefit ratio in 2009.

## Commissions

Commissions decreased during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of lower sales and a large ceded commission in the MGU line in 2009 that did not occur in 2010.

Commissions increased for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The majority of the increase was attributed to the review adjustments previously discussed. The increase was partially offset by lower commissions incurred on our credit accident and health product, which resulted from a decline in the related earned premiums.

## Other Operating Costs and Expenses

Other operating costs and expenses decreased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, which was mainly attributable to lower payroll costs and a one-time write-off of agent balances in 2009.

In 2009, other operating costs and expenses decreased when compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease was primarily attributed to the absence of a $\$ 10.9$ million legal reserve for the previously noted settlement, which was established in September 2008. The above decrease was partially offset by increases in an excise tax on reinsured foreign premiums, employee benefits, information technology consulting fees and a onetime marketing expense of $\$ 2.8$ million for the write-off of agents' balances as part of reconciliations performed during 2009.

## Change in Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Health premiums are recognized as revenue when due, but certain expenses associated with the acquisition of new business, such as commissions, are incurred before premiums can be earned. In order to recognize profits over the life of the policy, the expenses are deferred and amortized over the life of the policy. Generally, we expect the change in DAC to continue to follow the changes in the in-force block by policy duration.

The following table presents the components of the change in DAC (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Acquisition cost capitalized | \$ | 18,087 | \$ | 16,729 | \$ | 22,762 | \$ | 1,358 | \$ | $(6,033)$ |
| Amortization of DAC |  | $(22,973)$ |  | $(21,746)$ |  | $(27,785)$ |  | $(1,227)$ |  | 6,039 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | $(4,886)$ | \$ | $(5,017)$ | \$ | $(5,023)$ | \$ | 131 | \$ | 6 |

(1) A negative amount of net change indicates less expense was deferred than amortized and represents an increase to expenses in the periods indicated.

As of December 31, 2010, the Health related DAC balance was $\$ 65.1$ million compared to $\$ 69.9$ million in 2009. The decrease in DAC was caused by the overall decrease in the sales of health products, particularly the medical expense, Medicare Supplement, and credit accident and health products.

As of December 31, 2009, the Health related DAC balance was $\$ 69.9$ million compared to $\$ 74.9$ million in 2008. The $\$ 5.0$ million decrease in DAC reflects a reversal of acquisition costs previously capitalized and related amortization expense associated with the previously noted settlement as well as a reduction in the acquisition costs capitalized due to the decline in new sales of our Medicare Supplement and credit accident and health products.

## Reinsurance

For the major medical business, we use reinsurance on an excess of loss basis. Our retention limit is $\$ 500,000$ per claim on these types of policies. Certain amounts of stop-loss and other types of catastrophe health reinsurance programs are also reinsured. We manage these risks by reinsuring a majority of the risk to highly rated reinsurance companies. We also maintain reinsurance on a quota share basis for our long-term care and disability income business.

Reinsurance is also used in the credit accident and health business. In certain cases, particularly in the auto retail market, we may also reinsure the policy written through offshore producer-owned captive reinsurer to allow the dealer to participate in the performance of these credit accident and health contracts. A majority of the treaties entered into by our Credit Insurance Division are written on a $100 \%$ coinsurance basis with benefit limits of $\$ 1,000$ per month.

The companies where we have placed material amounts of reinsurance for the Health segment are shown in the table below (in thousands, except percentages):

| Reinsurer | A.M. Best Rating ${ }^{(1)}$ | Ceded <br> Premium |  | Percentage of Gross Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maiden Re Insurance Company | A- | \$ | 21,916 | 18.8 \% |
| Harbour Life and Reinsurance Co. Ltd. | $N R^{(2)}$ |  | 15,102 | 13.0 |
| Munich Reinsurance America | A+ |  | 13,800 | 11.8 |
| AmFirst Insurance Company | B+ |  | 11,045 | 9.5 |
| United States Fire Insurance Company | A |  | 8,768 | 7.5 |
| Madison National Life Insurance Company | A- |  | 7,433 | 6.4 |
| Other reinsurers with no single company greater than 5\% of the total |  |  | 38,593 | 33.0 |
| Total health reinsurance ceded |  | \$ | 116,657 | 100.0 \% |

(1) A.M. Best rating as of the most current information available February $22,2011$.
(2) Not Rated.

## Property and Casualty

Property and Casualty business is written through our multiple-line and Credit Insurance Division agents. Evaluation of our property and casualty insurance operations is based on the total underwriting results (net premiums earned less incurred losses and loss expenses, policy acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses) and the ratios noted in the table below. Property and Casualty segment results for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nount |  | mount |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net premiums written | \$ | 1,185,366 | \$ | 1,164,136 | \$ | 1,184,686 | \$ | 21,230 | \$ | $(20,550)$ |
| Net premiums earned | \$ | 1,158,261 | \$ | 1,159,509 | \$ | 1,182,026 | \$ | $(1,248)$ | \$ | $(22,517)$ |
| Net investment income |  | 67,545 |  | 66,175 |  | 69,348 |  | 1,370 |  | $(3,173)$ |
| Other income |  | 8,192 |  | 7,064 |  | 8,973 |  | 1,128 |  | $(1,909)$ |
| Total premiums and other revenues |  | 1,233,998 |  | 1,232,748 |  | 1,260,347 |  | 1,250 |  | $(27,599)$ |
| Benefits and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 923,736 |  | 923,064 |  | 939,854 |  | 672 |  | $(16,790)$ |
| Commissions |  | 226,748 |  | 209,203 |  | 226,100 |  | 17,545 |  | $(16,897)$ |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 124,410 |  | 124,266 |  | 132,601 |  | 144 |  | $(8,335)$ |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | 1,551 |  | $(8,151)$ |  | $(9,669)$ |  | 9,702 |  | 1,518 |
| Total benefits and expenses |  | 1,276,445 |  | 1,248,382 |  | 1,288,886 |  | 28,063 |  | $(40,504)$ |
| Income before other items and federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss ratio |  | 79.8 \% |  | 79.6 \% |  | 79.5 \% |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |
| Underwriting expense ratio |  | 30.5 |  | 28.1 |  | 29.5 |  | 2.4 |  | (1.4) |
| Combined ratio |  | 110.3 \% |  | 107.7 \% |  | 109.0 \% |  | 2.6 |  | ${ }^{(1.3)}$ |
| Effect of net catastrophe losses on combined ratio |  | 10.6 \% |  | 7.8 \% |  | 11.1 \% |  | 2.8 |  | $\stackrel{\text { (3.3) }}{ }$ |

The Property and Casualty segment net loss worsened significantly during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The change was primarily driven by increases in commissions, the change in deferred policy acquisition costs, and a $\$ 33.0$ million increase in catastrophe losses. This deterioration was offset by a $\$ 32.3$ million improvement in non-catastrophe loss results.

The Property and Casualty segment net loss improved in 2009 compared to 2008 due to a $\$ 40.5$ million decrease in net catastrophe losses from those in 2008, partially offset by a $\$ 17.1$ million increase in non-catastrophe related policy benefits and by a decrease in net premiums earned.

## Net Premiums Written and Earned

Net premiums written are the premiums charged for policies issued during a fiscal period. Property and casualty premiums are recognized as earned premiums proportionately over the contract period. The majority of our automobile policies have terms of six months to one year while our credit related property policies have terms of six months to seven years, depending on the related loan term. All other policies, such as homeowners' policies and the agribusiness policies, have terms of twelve months. The portion of the premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies are recorded as "other policyholder funds" in our consolidated statements of financial position.

Net premiums written increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, due primarily to increases in our credit-related property insurance and personal auto products partially offset by decreases in our commercial lines.

Net premiums earned remained relatively flat during 2010 primarily due to increases in our personal lines, partially offset by decreases in our commercial lines.

Net premiums written and earned decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of decreases in our personal auto and workers' compensation insurance products.

Reinsurance costs increased $7.1 \%$ during 2010 compared to the $6.9 \%$ increase for 2009, due primarily to a $\$ 2.3$ million reinstatement premium and the cost of additional catastrophe reinsurance coverage purchased as part of our management of our catastrophe exposure. Refer to the discussion of our reinsurance program and the effect on the consolidated financial statements, under Part I, Item 1, Business.

## Policy Benefits

Policy benefits include losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred on property and casualty policies. Policy benefits remained flat during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of the net catastrophe experience increase over the prior year, offset by the decreases in our credit-related property products and non-catastrophe loss experience. Policy benefits decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of the decrease in net catastrophe experience. The loss ratios have remained relatively flat for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, gross catastrophe losses increased to $\$ 141.7$ million compared to $\$ 80.9$ million in 2009. Net catastrophe losses increased to $\$ 123.3$ million from $\$ 90.3$ million as a result of 33 catastrophes experienced in 2010 compared to 27 in 2009. The increase was primarily incurred in the second and fourth quarters of 2010, when we experienced increases of $\$ 23.9$ million and $\$ 17.7$ million, respectively, in net catastrophe losses compared to 2009 due to spring and fall storm activity throughout our geographic coverage area.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, gross catastrophe losses decreased to $\$ 80.9$ million, compared to $\$ 191.6$ million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Estimated reinsurance recoveries on all catastrophe losses were a negative $\$ 9.4$ million for the year-end December 31, 2009 and $\$ 60.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The negative amount of estimated reinsurance recoverables for 2009 arose mainly from a decrease in the ultimate gross loss estimates for Hurricanes Ike and Gustav at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31,2008 . These 2008 hurricanes produced losses, which are recoverable under our reinsurance program, and a decrease in the ultimate gross loss estimates resulted in a decrease in estimated reinsurance recoverables.

Net catastrophe losses contributed to increases of 10.6\%, 7.8\%, and 11.1\% in the combined ratio during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The property losses as a result of catastrophes are a part of the variability in this segment and are the result of differences in both the frequency and severity of catastrophic events. We continue to evaluate and manage our aggregate catastrophe risk exposures, and manage our risk with targeted rate activity and purchasing additional reinsurance coverage where we believe it is cost efficient to do so.

## Commissions and Change in Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Commissions increased significantly during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. This was primarily the result of a $\$ 10.0$ million expense for post termination compensation for certain agents in addition to increases in our credit-related property products due to a change in our product mix.

Commissions decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 as the result of a $\$ 9.6$ million decrease in credit-related insurance commissions due to a shift in our credit insurance products towards those with lower commission structures. Commissions on personal and commercial auto policies also decreased compared to the same period in 2008 due to reductions in net premiums earned in these lines as well as an overall decrease in commissions.

The increase in expense as a result of the change in DAC for the year ended December 31, 2010, was primarily driven by the change in our deferral estimates during 2009, deferring less in some policies and more in others in order to improve our consistency among subsidiaries. An increase in commissions of our credit-related property insurance products added to this increase. The decrease in expense as a result of the change in DAC was slightly less in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the decrease in commissions.

We regularly review the recoverability of DAC, and if the actual emergence of future profitability were to be substantially lower than estimated, we would accelerate DAC amortization to account for any recoverability issues or premium deficiency. We have not historically experienced these issues with our DAC balances as catastrophe losses have been a significant contribution to our underwriting losses.

## Other Operating Costs and Expenses

Other operating costs and expenses were virtually level for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009 due to a focus on expense management. For the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, the decrease was primarily due to a one time accrual relating to the Farm Bureau lawsuit during 2008. For additional information, refer to Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Products

Our Property and Casualty segment consists of three product lines: (i) Personal Lines, which we market primarily to individuals, representing $61.1 \%$ of net premiums written, (ii) Commercial Lines, which focus primarily on businesses engaged in agricultural and other targeted markets, representing $26.0 \%$ of net premiums written, and (iii) Credit-related property insurance products which are marketed to financial institutions and retailers and represent $12.9 \%$ of net premiums written.

Property and Casualty segment results for Personal Products for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prior year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Net premiums written |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto | \$ | 468,100 | \$ | 456,960 | \$ | 462,545 | \$ | 11,140 | \$ | $(5,585)$ |
| Homeowner |  | 217,785 |  | 217,963 |  | 203,516 |  | (178) |  | 14,447 |
| Other Personal |  | 38,875 |  | 38,815 |  | 34,610 |  | 60 |  | 4,205 |
| Total net premiums written |  | 724,760 |  | 713,738 |  | 700,671 |  | 11,022 |  | 13,067 |
| Net premiums earned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto |  | 470,535 |  | 452,754 |  | 469,425 |  | 17,781 |  | $(16,671)$ |
| Homeowner |  | 216,849 |  | 208,558 |  | 205,764 |  | 8,291 |  | 2,794 |
| Other Personal |  | 39,298 |  | 37,283 |  | 31,990 |  | 2,015 |  | 5,293 |
| Total net premiums earned | \$ | 726,682 | \$ | 698,595 | \$ | 707,179 | \$ | 28,087 | \$ | $(8,584)$ |
| Loss ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto |  | 78.0 \% |  | 83.9 \% |  | 78.0 \% |  | (5.9) |  | 5.9 |
| Homeowner |  | 104.1 |  | 100.6 |  | 111.0 |  | 3.5 |  | (10.4) |
| Other Personal |  | 61.6 |  | 44.9 |  | 87.7 |  | 16.7 |  | (42.8) |
| Personal line loss ratio |  | 84.9 \% |  | 86.8 \% |  | 88.1 \% |  | (1.9) |  | (1.3) |
| Combined Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto |  | 102.3 \% |  | 104.9 \% |  | 101.6 \% |  | (2.6) |  | 3.3 |
| Homeowner |  | 129.6 |  | 122.8 |  | 138.0 |  | 6.8 |  | (15.2) |
| Other Personal |  | 68.7 |  | 51.3 |  | 110.1 |  | 17.4 |  | (58.8) |
| Personal line combined ratio |  | 108.6 \% |  | 107.4 \% |  | 112.6 \% |  | 1.2 |  | (5.2) |

Personal Automobile: Net written and earned premiums increased in our personal automobile line during 2010 as a result of premium rate increases implemented during the second half of 2009. The increase in premium per policy is slightly offset by a $3.4 \%$ decline in the number of policies.

Net premiums written and earned decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 despite flat policy counts during these periods. A portion of the decrease in net premiums earned during 2009 was due to an internal review of our methodology for establishing reserves for our "Cashback" program, which estimates the potential refund portion of premiums paid on homeowners and auto policies within certain product lines, certain states and specific time frames.

The loss ratio remained relatively flat for the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2008, while the same period in 2009 experienced a slight deterioration as a result of the combination of the decrease in premiums, as well as a significant increase in loss severity. The increase in loss severity was due primarily to increased bodily injury claims, increased litigation costs and increased property damage liabilities.

The combined industry ratios for 2010 (estimated), 2009 and 2008 of $99.0 \%, 101.3 \%$, and 100.3\%, respectively, per A.M. Best's "U.S. Property/Casualty-Review and Preview" are comparable to our combined ratios for the same periods.

Homeowners: Net premiums written experienced a large increase during the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, which resulted in an increase in net premiums earned during the year-end December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. These increases were primarily a result of rate increases across this product line, as well as increases in policyholder-insured values as replacement and repair costs were partially offset by a $4.1 \%$ decline in the number of policies from our risk management initiatives and the impact of the rate increases.

The loss and combined ratios deteriorated during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 due to an increase in catastrophe and non-catastrophe claims affecting this line, resulting in a total increase of $\$ 15.9$ million in policy benefits. The additional increase in the combined ratio was primarily a result of the lower amount of expenses being deferred.

The loss ratio improved in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the $\$ 40.5$ million decrease in catastrophe experience from the prior year and a decline in loss reserves of $\$ 17.8$ million due to favorable loss reserve development. These decreases were offset by a decrease in reinsurance ceded losses from $\$ 35.8$ million in 2008 to $\$ 0.2$ million in 2009. The combined ratio decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the litigation expense described in the "Other Operating Costs and Expenses."

The combined industry ratios for 2010 (estimated), 2009 and 2008 of 103.5\%, 105.6\% and 117.0\%, respectively per A.M. Best are comparable to our combined ratios. Our combined ratio was negatively impacted during these years due to the concentration of catastrophe events occurring in the Midwest, and was also negatively impacted in 2010 from an unusual $\$ 20.0$ million catastrophe event in Arizona, resulting in a combined ratio of $26.1 \%, 17.2 \%$ and $21.0 \%$ above the industry averages, respectively.

Other Personal: This product line is comprised primarily of watercraft, rental-owner and umbrella coverages for individuals seeking to protect their personal property not covered within their homeowner and auto policies. Net premiums written and earned remained relatively level during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009, which experienced an increase over the same period in 2008 due to an increase in policy counts and an increase in the average premium per policy.

The loss and combined ratios deteriorated during 2010 compared to 2009, which were significantly below 2008 levels, due to the increase in premiums during 2009 and a claim frequency well below those experienced in 2010 and 2008. As this is currently our smallest earned premium in our Personal Products line, minor fluctuations in results can more easily cause volatility in these operating results.

Property and Casualty segment results for Commercial Products for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):


Other Commercial: Net written and earned premiums have continued to decrease in 2010 compared to 2009, as well as during 2009 compared to 2008, as a result of the decline in our workers' compensation product and small business coverages. Premiums for our workers' compensation product have decreased as a result of a reduction in exposures and overall rate levels, as well as a decrease in the premium assumed from involuntary pools. Our small business premiums are declining primarily as a result of lower receipts for some of our client's businesses, as well as a lowering premium per policy as businesses reduce coverages and increase deductibles in an effort to reduce their costs.

The loss and combined ratios deteriorated during 2010 compared to 2009, and during 2009 compared to 2008, due to the decreases in premiums in addition to increases in the severity of workers' compensation claims as payrolls contracted.

Agribusiness Product: Our agribusiness product allows policyholders to customize and combine their coverage for residential and household contents, buildings and building contents, farm personal property and liability. Net premiums written and earned remained relatively flat during 2010, 2009 and 2008. This is primarily the result of rate increases offset by a decrease of policy counts.

The loss ratio increased significantly during 2010 when compared to 2009, and in 2009 when compared to 2008, primarily as a result of an increase in catastrophe losses during those years. We expect variability in this line, which is sensitive to the frequency and severity of storm and weather related losses.

Commercial Automobile: Net premium written and earned decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009, and in 2009 as compared to 2008. The decrease in 2010 is primarily the result of vehicle classification revisions, while the decrease in 2009 was primarily a result of reductions in policy counts, minimally offset by implemented rate increases.

The loss and combined ratios remained relatively flat during 2010 as compared to 2009, and 2009 as compared to 2008. The combined industry ratios for 2010 (estimated), 2009, and 2008 of $102.0 \%, 99.5 \%$ and $96.8 \%$, respectively, per A.M. Best are comparable to our combined ratios for the same periods.

## Product Discussion - Credit Products

Credit-related property insurance products are offered on automobiles, furniture, and appliances in connection with the financing of those items. These policies pay an amount if the insured property is lost or damaged and is not directly related to an event affecting the consumer's ability to pay the debt. The primary distribution channel for credit-related property insurance is general agents who market to auto dealers, furniture stores and financial institutions.

Net premiums written increased to $\$ 153.0$ million for 2010 compared to $\$ 133.4$ million for 2009. Net premiums earned decreased to $\$ 123.6$ million, from $\$ 138.1$ million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The primary driver for the increase in written premiums, while earned premiums decreased, was a shift in our product mix from shorter duration contracts in our CPI products, which fell $19.3 \%$, to our longer duration GAP products, which increased $74.9 \%$. Shorter duration products generally earn premiums within 12 months of a contract being written, while our longer duration products may take up to 84 months before they are fully earned.

Net premiums earned increased in 2009 after falling slightly in 2008 to $\$ 135.1$ million due to increasing furniture and appliance business, while automobile products sales remained low due to lower auto sales during 2009 as compared to 2008.

The loss ratios decreased to $26.2 \%$ from $41.1 \%$ during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These decreases were attributable to an overall decrease in benefits of our products as a result of lower frequency and severity of claims. The combined ratios decreased to $96.1 \%$ from $105.8 \%$ during 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease in the loss ratio drove the decrease in the combined ratio, which was partially offset by higher underwriting expenses from rising commission expenses as a result of the change in our product mix.

The increase in the loss ratio to $41.1 \%$ in 2009 compared to $39.3 \%$ in 2008 is attributable to an increase in frequency and severity of GAP claims. Slowing auto sales which began in 2008 and worsened during 2009, drove down the replacement values of most vehicles, thus creating a larger difference between a vehicle's value and its indebtedness. The decrease in the combined ratio of 2009 mainly reflects an accrual for litigation in 2008 when the combined ratio was $104.2 \%$ and a decrease in commission expense relative to earned premium. This is attributable to a shift in product mix during 2009 out of the higher commission structured products.

## Property and Casualty Reinsurance

We reinsure a portion of the risks that we underwrite to manage our loss exposure and protect capital resources. In return for a premium, reinsurers assume a portion of the losses and loss adjustment expense incurred. Amounts not reinsured are known as retention. We primarily use three types of reinsurance to manage our loss exposures:

- Treaty reinsurance, in which certain types of policies are automatically reinsured without the need for approval by the reinsurer of the individual risks;
- Facultative reinsurance, in which an individual insurance policy or a specific risk is reinsured with the prior approval of the reinsurer. Facultative reinsurance is purchased for risks which fall outside the treaty reinsurance; and
- Excess of loss treaty reinsurance, where the reinsurer indemnifies us against all, or a specified portion, of losses and loss adjustment expense incurred in excess of a specified retention or attachment point, and up to the contract limit.

In addition to treaty and facultative reinsurance, we are partially protected by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, which was modified and extended through December 31, 2014 by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007.

We retain the first $\$ 1.0$ million of loss per risk, which will remain the same for 2011. Our corporate catastrophe reinsurance retention has been $\$ 40.0$ million in recent years and will remain the same in 2011. In order to manage our risk exposure, we purchase the following additional catastrophe reinsurance coverages:

- For Louisiana and Texas, we have coverage which lowers our retention to $\$ 10.0$ million in those states.
- In 2010, the Louisiana and Texas covers were expanded to include additional coastal states as well as Oklahoma and Arkansas. Those covers will remain in place for 2011.
- Additional catastrophe coverage for the other states outside of the Northeast. The retention for this cover was $\$ 20.0$ million in 2010, and will be lowered to $\$ 10.0$ million for 2011.
- Additional catastrophe coverage for the Northeast for the last several years, and the retention for Northeast catastrophe events will be reduced from $\$ 20.0$ million to $\$ 10.0$ million in 2011.

The property catastrophe reinsurance limit was $\$ 500.0$ million for 2008, 2009 and 2010 , where it will remain for 2011.
A "top and drop" cover was purchased in 2008 and 2009 to provide an additional $\$ 20.0$ million of coverage above the corporate catastrophe program for a total of $\$ 520.0$ million per event. This coverage was also used to address frequency of events by providing $\$ 20.0$ million of coverage above the $\$ 20.0$ million retention after a $\$ 20.0$ million annual aggregate deductible had been met. The "top and drop" cover was discontinued in 2010 and replaced with the new covers.

We also purchased $\$ 50.0$ million of protection for earthquake losses in all states except California, thereby resulting in a total earthquake limit of $\$ 550.0$ million in 2011, a reduction from the $\$ 625.0$ million in 2010 and $\$ 645.0$ million in 2008 and 2009. This reduction in purchased earthquake coverage is primarily a result of a planned reduction in our earthquake business writings.

Our reinsurance programs use multiple reinsurers with each reinsurer absorbing part of the overall risk ceded. The primary reinsurers who participate in the programs and the amount of coverage each provides are shown in the following table:

| Reinsurer | AM Best Rating ${ }^{(1)}$ | Percent of Risk Covered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Noncatastrophe | Catastrophe Coverage |
| Hannover Re (Bermuda), Ltd. | A | 39.8 \% | 2.4 \% |
| Lloyd's Syndicates | A | 26.6 | 52.3 |
| Platinum Underwriters Bermuda, Ltd | A | 12.1 | 0.2 |
| Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation | A | 8.6 | 0.9 |
| Catin Insurance Co | A | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| Tokio Millenium Re Ltd | A+ | 0.0 | 5.8 |
| Other reinsurers with no single company greater than $5 \%$ of the total |  | 7.6 | 33.8 |
| Total reinsurance coverage |  | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

(1) A.M. Best rating as of the most current information available February 22, 2011.

Our credit-related property insurance products do not employ reinsurance to manage catastrophe loss exposure, and their reinsurers for risks other than catastrophes are not deemed significant to our business.

## Prior Period Reserve Development

The table below shows the development of our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The table does not present individual accident or policy year development data.

The top line shows our original reserves, net of reinsurance recoverable, for each of the indicated years. The table then shows the cumulative net paid loss and loss adjustment expense as of successive years. The table also shows the re-estimated amount of previously recorded reserves based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year. The cumulative deficiency or redundancy represents the aggregate change in the estimates over all prior years. Conditions and trends that affected development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it may be inappropriate to anticipate future redundancies or deficiencies based on historical experience.

While we believe that our loss reserves at December 31, 2010 are adequate, new information, events or circumstances, unknown at the original valuation date, may lead to future developments in our ultimate losses in amounts significantly greater or less than the reserves currently provided. The actual final cost of settling both claims outstanding at December 31, 2010 and claims expected to arise from unexpired periods of risk is uncertain. There are many other factors that would cause our reserves to increase or decrease, which include but are not limited to: changes in claim severity; changes in the expected level of reported claims; judicial action changing the scope or liability of coverage; changes in the regulatory, social and economic environment; and unexpected changes in loss inflation. The deficiency/(redundancy) for different reporting dates is cumulative and should not be added together.


|  | Property and Casualty Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liability Development-Gross Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| Net reserve, as initially estimated | \$ | 384,191 | \$ | 425,129 | \$ | 490,215 | \$ | 590,365 | \$ | 678,379 | \$ | 796,267 | \$ | 801,953 | \$ | 809,500 | \$ | 847,860 | \$ | 856,658 | \$ | 887,008 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables as initially estimated |  | 47,162 |  | 65,327 |  | 61,077 |  | 61,600 |  | 80,526 |  | 86,186 |  | 86,898 |  | 79,071 |  | 109,518 |  | 62,854 |  | 50,972 |
| Gross reserve as initially estimated |  | 431,075 |  | 490,103 |  | 550,022 |  | 646,397 |  | 750,454 |  | 869,781 |  | 875,436 |  | 875,963 |  | 945,810 |  | 909,003 |  | 931,303 |
| Net re-estimated reserve |  | 379,544 |  | 433,070 |  | 473,754 |  | 534,477 |  | 585,914 |  | 717,474 |  | 682,460 |  | 727,675 |  | 770,900 |  | 776,808 |  |  |
| Re-estimated and other reinsurance recoverables |  | 82,008 |  | 78,104 |  | 82,466 |  | 86,211 |  | 86,070 |  | 498,247 |  | 97,434 |  | 79,394 |  | 111,176 |  | 47,206 |  |  |
| Gross re-estimated reserve |  | 461,552 |  | 511,174 |  | 556,220 |  | 620,688 |  | 671,984 |  | 1,215,721 |  | 779,894 |  | 807,069 |  | 882,076 |  | 824,014 |  |  |
| Deficiency(redundancy), gross of reinsurance | \$ | 30,477 | \$ | 21,071 | \$ | 6,198 | \$ | $(25,709)$ | \$ | $(78,470)$ | \$ | 345,940 | \$ | $(95,542)$ | \$ | $(68,894)$ | \$ | $(63,734)$ | \$ | $(84,989)$ |  |  |

For 2010, the net favorable prior year loss and loss adjustment expense development was $\$ 79.9$ million, compared to approximately $\$ 49.3$ million of net favorable prior year loss and loss adjustment expense development for 2009, as a result of better than expected paid and incurred loss emergence across several lines of business.

The current year loss ratio is a blend of the current accident year loss ratio and the impact of favorable or adverse development on prior accident years during the current calendar year. Excluding the $6.9 \%$ impact of favorable prior year loss development for accident years 2009 and prior, the 2010 loss ratio would have been $86.7 \%$. Excluding the 4.2\% impact of favorable prior year loss development for accident years 2008 and prior, the 2009 loss ratio would have been $83.8 \%$.

Net favorable reserve development during 2010 was primarily driven by commercial auto and commercial liability lines. The net and gross reserve calculations have shown favorable development as a result of loss emergence compared to what was implied by the loss development patterns used in the original estimation of losses. The development during 2010 was primarily generated from the personal auto, commercial auto and commercial multiperil lines. The favorable development reflects the recognition of better than expected loss emergence rather than explicit changes to our actuarial assumptions.

For additional information regarding losses and loss expenses, refer to Note 10, Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the $\$ 345.9$ million deficiency gross of reinsurance was primarily the result of our participation in the National Flood Insurance Program as administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. As these losses are $100 \%$ reimbursed by the Federal government, they do not impact our net reserve calculations or our net loss development patterns. The National Flood Insurance Program had paid losses of \$390.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 because of the 2005 hurricanes, specifically Hurricane Katrina. Since reserves are not set up for the National Flood Insurance Program, any payments made subsequent to year-end will appear as adverse development on a gross basis. If the flood losses were removed from the gross data, the $\$ 345.9$ million deficiency would have been a $\$ 44.1$ million redundancy, gross of reinsurance.

## Corporate and Other

Our Corporate and Other segment primarily includes the capital not allocated to support our insurance business segments. Our capital and surplus is invested and managed by internal investment staff. Investments include publicly traded equities, real estate, mortgage loans, high-yield bonds, venture capital partnerships, mineral interests and tax-advantaged instruments. See the "Investments" section of the MD\&A for a more detailed discussion of our investments.

Segment financial results for the periods indicated were as follows (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Change over prioryear |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investmert income | \$ | 95,656 | \$ | 8,964 | \$ | 108,862 | \$ | 9,692 | \$ | (22,898) |
| Gain(loss) frominvestments, net |  | 74,062 |  | $(73,855)$ |  | (379,034) |  | 147,917 |  | 305,179 |
| Other Income |  | 3,175 |  | 2,704 |  | 2508 |  | 471 |  | 201 |
| Total revenues |  | 172,893 |  | 14,813 |  | (267,66) |  | 158,080 |  | 282,482 |
| Beneits and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other qeerating costs and expenses |  | 38,695 |  | 41,220 |  | 22,946 |  | (2,525) |  | 18,274 |
| Total benefits and expenses |  | 3,695 |  | 41,220 |  | 22,946 |  | (2,525) |  | 18,274 |
| Income (loss) before other itemsand federal incometaxes | \$ | 134,198 | \$ | (26,407) | \$ | (290,615) | \$ | 160,605 | \$ | 264,208 |

Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2010 improved compared to 2009. This was primarily due to the increase in gains from investments as a result of improved financial markets, which also led to a reduction in other-than-temporary impairments below those recorded during 2009. We recorded other-than-temporary impairments of $\$ 5.7$ million in 2010, compared to $\$ 79.1$ million in 2009. These other-than-temporary impairments are included above in the "Gain (loss) from investments, net."

Income (loss) before other items and federal income taxes increased during 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decrease in other-than-temporary impairments. We recorded $\$ 79.1$ million of other-than-temporary impairments in 2009, and $\$ 365.6$ million in 2008.

In accordance with our segment allocation process, all realized gains and losses, except those on derivatives, are allocated to the Corporate and Other segment. For 2010 and prior periods the Corporate and Other segment is compensated for the risk it assumes for realized losses through a monthly charge to the insurance segments that reduces the amount of investment income allocated to those segments. Since other-than-temporary impairments are recorded as realized losses they are allocated to the Corporate and Other segment. The Company has undertaken an assessment of the allocation process for assets and investment income. Beginning in 2011, we will discontinue the monthly charge to the insurance segments to improve the comparability for measuring business results between segments and between periods.

## Discontinued Operations

On December 31, 2010, we sold our wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary, Securities, Management \& Research, Inc. ("SM\&R"), pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement we agreed to sell all of the outstanding capital stock of SM\&R to a third-party financial services corporation. The sale qualifies for discontinued operations accounting and accordingly, the results of operations for this subsidiary are presented as income (loss) from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. The sale resulted in a $\$ 1$ million loss for the year-ended 2010, which is presented in loss on sale in the table below. SM\&R had previously been a component of the Corporate and Other reportable segment. Management chose to sell this business based on the belief that similar services could be contracted with a third party at less cost while improving the services to agents and policyholders.

On December 4, 2008, we sold our life insurance business in Mexico, American National de Mexico, Compania de Seguros de Vida, S.A. de C.V., along with non-insurance affiliates Servicios de Administracion American National S.A. de C.V. and American National Promotora de Ventas S.A. de C.V. to a third party for approximately $\$ 2.4$ million. These operations were established in 1999 and reported losses in all years since inception. Management chose to sell these operations to prevent a continued negative impact on consolidated results of operations.

See further detail regarding the discontinued operations disclosed in Note 19, Discontinued Operations, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Liquidity

Our liquidity requirements have been and are expected to continue to be met by funds from operations. Current and expected patterns of claim frequency and severity may change from period to period but continue to be within historical norms. Management considers our current liquidity position to be sufficient to meet anticipated demands over the next twelve months.

To ensure we will be able to continue to pay future commitments, the funds received as premium payments and deposits are invested in high quality investments, primarily fixed maturity securities and individual commercial mortgages. Funds are invested with the intent that income from the investments, plus proceeds from the maturities, will meet our ongoing cash flow needs. We historically have not been put in the position of having to liquidate invested assets in order to provide cash flow; however our portfolio of highly liquid marketable debt and equity securities are available to meet our liquidity needs.

During September of 2010, we renewed a 365 -day $\$ 100$ million short-term variable rate borrowing facility containing a $\$ 55$ million subfeature for the issuance of letters of credit. Borrowings under the facility are at the discretion of the lender and would be used only for funding the Company's working capital requirements. The combination of borrowings and outstanding letters of credit cannot exceed $\$ 100$ million at any time. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding letters of credit were $\$ 37.5$ million and $\$ 36.2$ million, respectively, and there were no borrowings on this facility to meet liquidity requirements.

Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investment position at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 587.7$ million compared to $\$ 798.3$ million at December 31, 2009. The $\$ 210.6$ million decrease in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments relates primarily to our assessment of better long-term investment opportunities available during the fourth quarter of 2010, versus the same period in 2009. We continue to look towards long-term investment opportunities, and in recent years we allocated more assets to shorter-term investment opportunities due to the limited availability of long-term investment opportunities with what we considered to be appropriate riskreturn ratio.

We were committed at December 31, 2010 to purchase, expand or improve real estate, to fund mortgage loans and to purchase other invested assets in the amount of $\$ 275.0$ million, compared to $\$ 240.4$ million for 2009. The expansion of real estate investments and mortgage loans in 2010 and 2009 is attributable to our ability to originate loans collateralized by quality real estate at appropriate yields.

In the normal course of business, we guarantee bank loans of a third-party marketing operation for the benefit of policyholders. The customers, through the use of a trust, use the bank loans to fund premium payments of life insurance policies. These bank loans enable individuals with substantial illiquid wealth to finance their life insurance premiums using the cash value of the policies as collateral for the loans. In the case of a default on the bank loan, we would be obligated to pay off the loans. The total amounts of guarantees outstanding for 2010 and 2009 was approximately $\$ 206.5$ million, while the total cash values of the related life insurance policies were $\$ 210.7$ million for 2010 and $\$ 211.8$ million for 2009.

## Capital Resources

Our capital resources consisted of American National stockholders' equity, summarized as follows (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| American National stockholders' equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax ("AOCl") | \$ | 3,407,439 | \$ | 3,342,805 | \$ | 3,355,004 |
| AOCI |  | 225,212 |  | 117,649 |  | $(221,148)$ |
| Total American National stockholders' equity | \$ | 3,632,651 | \$ | 3,460,454 | \$ | 3,133,856 |

We have notes payable in our consolidated statements of financial position that are not part of our capital resources. These notes payable represent amounts borrowed by real estate joint ventures that we consolidate into our financial statements. The lenders for the notes payable have no recourse against us in the event of default by the joint ventures. Therefore, the only amount of liability we have for these notes payable is limited to our investment in the respective venture, which totaled $\$ 21.2$ million and $\$ 33.3$ million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Total American National stockholders' equity in 2010 increased primarily due to the $\$ 144.0$ million net income attributable to us during the period and $\$ 109.0$ million unrealized gains on marketable securities, offset by $\$ 82.6$ million in dividends paid to stockholders.

Total American National stockholders' equity in 2009 increased $\$ 326.6$ million primarily due to the $\$ 383.1$ million change in net unrealized gains on marketable securities as a result of improving financial markets, combined with $\$ 15.6$ million in net income, offset by $\$ 82.5$ million in dividends paid to stockholders.

## Statutory Surplus and Risk-based Capital

Statutory surplus represents the capital of our insurance companies reported in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the applicable state insurance departments. State laws specify regulatory actions if an insurer's risk-based capital ("RBC"), a measure of an insurer's solvency, falls below certain levels. The NAIC has standard formulas for annually assessing RBC. The formulas seek to identify companies that are undercapitalized.

The RBC formula for life companies establishes capital requirements relating to insurance, business, asset and interest rate risks, as well as the equity, interest rate and expense recovery risks associated with variable annuities and group annuities that contain death benefits or certain living benefits.

RBC is calculated for property and casualty companies after adjusting capital for certain underwriting, asset, credit and off-balance sheet risks. The achievement of long-term growth will require growth in the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries to consolidate into the consolidated entity. Our subsidiaries may obtain additional statutory capital through various sources, such as retained statutory earnings or equity contributions from us. As of December 31, 2010, the levels of our and our insurance subsidiaries' surplus and RBC exceeded the NAIC's minimum RBC requirements.

## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

|  | Payments Due by Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Less than 1 year |  | $1-3$ years |  | 3-5 years |  | More than <br> 5 years |  |
| Life insurance obligations ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 5,441,711 | \$ | 34,770 | \$ | 144,175 | \$ | 328,987 | \$ | 4,933,779 |
| Annuity obligations ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 12,070,742 |  | 1,635,876 |  | 4,337,263 |  | 2,415,466 |  | 3,682,137 |
| Property and casualty insurance obligations ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 931,303 |  | 498,245 |  | 335,177 |  | 73,245 |  | 24,636 |
| Accident and heath insurance obligations ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 139,127 |  | 80,192 |  | 18,989 |  | 8,861 |  | 31,085 |
| Purchase obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to purchase and fund investments ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | 55,385 |  | 48,404 |  | 4,310 |  | 1,130 |  | 1,541 |
| Mortgage loan commitments ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | 227,441 |  | 227,441 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating leases ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | 3,429 |  | 626 |  | 1,275 |  | 1,528 |  |  |
| Defined benefit pension plans ${ }^{(6)}$ |  | 140,503 |  | 9,680 |  | 19,138 |  | 21,825 |  | 89,860 |
| Notes payable ${ }^{(7)}$ |  | 60,140 |  | 47,632 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,508 |
| Total | \$ | 19,069,781 | \$ | 2,582,866 | \$ | 4,860,327 | \$ | 2,851,042 | \$ | 8,775,546 |

(1) Life and annuity obligations include estimated claim, benefit, surrender and commission obligations offset by expected future premiums and deposits on in-force insurance policies and contracts. All amounts are gross of reinsurance. Estimated claim, benefit and surrender obligations are based on mortality and lapse assumptions that are comparable with historical experience. Estimated payments on interest-sensitive life and annuity obligations include interest credited to those products. The interest crediting rates are derived by deducting current product spreads from a constant investment yield. The obligations shown in the table have not been discounted. As a result, the estimated obligations for insurance liabilities included in the table exceed the liabilities recorded in reserves for future policy benefits and the liability for policy and contract claims. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the amounts presented could materially differ from actual payments. Separate account obligations have not been included since those obligations are not part of the general account obligations and will be funded by cash flows from separate account assets. The general account obligations for insurance liabilities will be funded by cash flows from general account assets and future premiums and deposits. Participating policyholder dividends payable consists of liabilities related to dividends payable in the following calendar year on participating policies. As such, the contractual obligation related to participating policyholder dividends payable is presented in the table above in the less than one-year category at the amount of the liability presented in the consolidated statements of financial position. All estimated cash payments in the table above are undiscounted as to interest, net of estimated future premiums on policies currently in-force and gross of any reinsurance recoverable. Estimated future premiums on participating policies currently in-force are net of future policyholder dividends payable. Future policyholder dividends, the participating policyholder share obligation on the consolidated statements of financial position, represents the accumulated net income from participating policies and a pro-rata portion of unrealized investment
gains (losses), net of tax, reserved for payment to such policyholders as policyholder dividends. Because of the nature of the participating policyholder obligation, the exact timing and amount of the ultimate participating policyholder obligation is subject to significant uncertainty and the amount of the participating policyholder obligation is based upon a long-term projection of the performance of the participating policy block.
(2) Expected future gross loss and loss adjustment expense payments from property and casualty policies includes case reserves for reported claims and reserves for IBNR. Timing of future payments is estimated based on our historical payment patterns. The timing of these payments may vary significantly from the pattern shown in the preceding table. The ultimate losses may vary materially from the recorded amounts, which are our best estimates.
(3) Accident and health insurance obligations reflect estimated future claim payment amounts net of reinsurance for claims incurred prior to January 1, 2010. The estimate does not include claim payments for claims incurred after December 31, 2009. Estimated claim payment amounts are based on mortality and morbidity assumptions that are consistent with historical experience and are not discounted with interest so will exceed the liabilities recorded in reserves for future claim payments. Due to the significance of the assumptions used the amounts presented could materially differ from actual payments.
(4) Expected payments to fund investments based on mortgage loans and capital commitments and other related contractual obligations.
(5) Represents estimated obligations due to contracts and agreements entered into within the ordinary course of business for items classified as an operating lease by ASC 840-20 Accounting for Operating Leases.
(6) Represents estimated payments for pension benefit obligations for the non-qualified defined benefit pension plan. As such, these payments are funded through continuing operations. A liability has been established for the full amount of benefits accrued as per ASC 715-40 Compensation-Retirement Benefits, including a provision for the effects on the accrued benefits of assumed future salary increases.
(7) Notes payable are comprised of obligations to third-party lenders, and are collateralized by real-estate owned by the respective entity. The estimated payments due by period for notes payable reflect the contractual maturities of principal and estimated future interest payments. The payment of principal and estimated future interest for the current portion of long-term notes payable are reflected in estimated payments due in less than one year. These are not corporate obligations and the Company's liability is limited to its investment in the respective venture. See Note 12, Notes Payable, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further explanation.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have off-balance sheet arrangements relating to third-party marketing operation bank loans discussed within Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We could be exposed to a liability for these loans which support the cash value of the underlying insurance contracts. However, since the cash value of the life insurance policies is designed to always equal or exceed the balance of the loans, management does not foresee any loss on the guarantees.

## Related-Party Transactions

We have various agency, consulting and investment arrangements with individuals and corporations that are considered to be related parties. Each of these arrangements has been reviewed and approved by our Audit Committee. The total amount involved in these arrangements, both individually and in the aggregate, is not material to any segment or to our overall operations.

## Investments

We manage our investment portfolio to optimize the rate of return that is commensurate with sound and prudent underwriting practices and maintain a well-diversified portfolio. Our investment operations are governed by various regulatory authorities, including but not limited to, the Texas Department of Insurance or the insurance departments of the states of domicile of our insurance subsidiaries. Investment activity, including the setting of investment policies and defining acceptable risk levels, is subject to review and approval of our Finance Committee, a committee made up of two members of the Board of Directors, senior executives and investment professionals.

Pursuant to our Corporate Bylaws, the Finance Committee is also charged with the duty of supervising all of our investments and loans. The Finance Committee generally meets weekly to review and approve investment activity. The committee operates pursuant to an established, formal Investment Plans and Guidelines adopted by our Board of Directors. Collectively, these provide issuer and geographic concentration limits, investment size limits and other applicable parameters such as loan to value guidelines. No material changes were made to these documents during 2010 or are expected in 2011.

Our insurance and annuity products are primarily supported by investment grade bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations, and commercial mortgage loans. We purchase fixed maturity securities and designate them as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale as necessary to match our estimated future cash flow needs. We make use of statistical measures such as duration and the modeling of future cash flows using stochastic interest rate scenarios to balance our investment portfolio to match the pricing objectives of our underlying insurance products. As part of our asset-liability management program, we monitor the composition of our fixed maturity securities between held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities and adjust the concentrations of various investments within the portfolio as investments mature or with the purchase of new investments.

We invest directly in quality commercial mortgage loans when the yield and quality compare favorably with other fixed maturity securities. Investments in individual residential mortgage loans have not been part of our investment portfolio, and we do not anticipate investing in them in the future.

Our strong historic capitalization has enabled us to invest in equity securities and investment real estate where there are opportunities for enhanced returns. We invest in real estate and equity securities based on a risk and reward analysis.

## Composition of Invested Assets

The following summarizes the carrying values of our invested assets by asset class (other than investments in unconsolidated affiliates), (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  | Year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | Percent | Amount |  | Percent |
| Bonds held-to-maturity, at amortized cost | \$ | 8,513,550 | 47.5 \% | \$ | 7,461,711 | 44.9 \% |
| Bonds available-for-sale, at fair value |  | 4,123,613 | 23.0 |  | 4,213,550 | 25.4 |
| Preferred stock, at fair value |  | 36,867 | 0.2 |  | 35,717 | 0.2 |
| Common stock, at fair value |  | 1,045,888 | 5.8 |  | 934,754 | 5.6 |
| Mortgage loans at amortized cost |  | 2,679,909 | 15.0 |  | 2,229,659 | 13.4 |
| Policy loans, at outstanding balance |  | 380,505 | 2.1 |  | 364,354 | 2.2 |
| Investment real estate, net of depreciation |  | 521,768 | 2.9 |  | 635,110 | 3.8 |
| Short-term investments |  | 486,206 | 2.8 |  | 636,823 | 3.9 |
| Other invested assets |  | 119,251 | 0.7 |  | 94,442 | 0.6 |
| Total Invested Assets | \$ | 17,907,557 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 16,606,120 | 100.0 \% |

The increase in our total invested assets was a combined result of net purchases, value recovery attributable to stock market gains and spread narrowing in fixed maturity securities.

The decrease in our short-term investments was the result of an increase in long-term investment purchases during the last quarter of 2010 as long-term investment opportunities with appropriate returns became available.

Each of the components of our invested assets is described further in Note 3, Investments; Note 6, Credit Risk Management; and Note 7, Fair Value of Financial Instruments of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, net investment income and realized investments gains (losses), before federal income taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, are summarized within Note 3, Investments, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contains a detailed description of the Company's methodology for evaluating other-than-temporary impairment losses on its investments.

## Investments to Support Our Insurance Business

Bonds- We allocate most of our fixed maturity securities to support our insurance business.
At December 31, 2010, our fixed maturity securities had an estimated fair market value of $\$ 13.1$ billion, which was $\$ 664.6$ million (5.3\%) above amortized cost. At December 31, 2009, our fixed maturity securities had an estimated fair market value of $\$ 11.9$ billion, which was $\$ 322.3$ million ( $2.8 \%$ ) above amortized cost. The increase was the result of new purchases to support annuity sales as well as market value increases.

Fixed maturity securities' estimated fair value, due in one year or less, increased $\$ 326.9$ million to $\$ 685.3$ million as of December 31, 2010 from $\$ 358.4$ million as of December 31, 2009, primarily as a result of approaching maturity dates of long-term bonds.

The following table identifies the total bonds by credit quality rating, using both S\&P and Moody's ratings (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated <br> Fair Value |  | \% of Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated <br> Fair Value |  | \% of Fair Value |  |
| AAA | \$ | 1,258,952 | \$ | 1,311,152 | 10.0 | \% | \$ | 1,357,021 | \$ | 1,387,783 | 11.6 | \% |
| AA |  | 1,289,870 |  | 1,343,653 | 10.2 |  |  | 927,081 |  | 967,274 | 8.1 |  |
| A |  | 4,551,294 |  | 4,848,986 | 37.0 |  |  | 4,080,455 |  | 4,251,937 | 35.7 |  |
| BBB |  | 4,613,315 |  | 4,871,583 | 37.2 |  |  | 4,287,623 |  | 4,428,359 | 37.2 |  |
| BB and below |  | 725,436 |  | 728,073 | 5.6 |  |  | 945,575 |  | 884,673 | 7.4 |  |
| Total | \$ | 12,438,867 | \$ | 13,103,447 | 100.0 | \% | \$ | 11,597,755 | \$ | 11,920,026 | 100.0 | \% |

The shifts in our credit quality diversification, including exposure to below investment grade securities, at December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, was primarily the result of purchase transactions and maturities. At 5.6\% of our total bond portfolio, the exposure to below investment grade securities is acceptable to management, and we expect this portion of our bond portfolio to decrease as these bonds approach maturity.

Mortgage Loans- We invest in commercial mortgage loans that are diversified by property type and geography. We do not make individual residential mortgage loans. Therefore, we have no direct exposure to sub-prime or Alt A mortgage loans in the mortgage loan portfolio. Generally, mortgage loans are secured by first liens on incomeproducing real estate with a loan-to-value ratio of up to $75 \%$. Mortgage loans are used as a component of fixed maturity investments that support our insurance liabilities. Mortgage loans held-for-investment are carried at outstanding principal balances, adjusted for any unamortized premium or discount, deferred fees or expenses, net of allowances.

The weighted average coupon yield on the principal funded for mortgage loans was $6.8 \%$ and $7.5 \%$ for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Equity Securities- As of December 31, 2010, $96.6 \%$ of our equity securities are invested in publicly traded (on a national U.S. stock exchange) common stock. The remaining $3.4 \%$ of the equity portfolio is invested in publicly traded preferred stock. As of December 31, 2009, $\$ 970.5$ million, or $5.8 \%$ of our invested assets were equity investments. Of these equity securities, $96.3 \%$ were invested in publicly traded common stock, and the remaining $3.7 \%$ were invested in publicly traded preferred stock. The increase in the fair value of our equity securities during 2010 reflects purchases and market value increases within the portfolio.

We carry our equity portfolio at market value based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services. The cost and estimated market value of the equity portfolio are as follows (in thousands):


The relative changes in sector weighting between year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are the result of market appreciation. The investment philosophy or diversification goals remain unchanged.

Investment in Real Estate- We invest in commercial real estate with positive cash flows or where appreciation in value is expected. Real estate is owned directly by our insurance companies, through non-insurance affiliates, or through joint ventures. The carrying value of real estate is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation, and valuation allowance. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the properties.

Short-Term Investments- Short-term investments are composed primarily of commercial paper rated A2/P2 or better by Standard \& Poor's and Moody's, respectively. The amount fluctuates depending on the available longterm investment opportunities and our liquidity needs, including investment-funding commitments.

Policy Loans- Certain life insurance products we offer permit policyholders to borrow funds from us using their policy as collateral. The maximum amount of the policy loan depends upon the policy's surrender value and the number of years since policy origination. As of December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 380.5$ million in policy loans with a loan to surrender value of $70.4 \%$. Interest rates on policy loans primarily range from $4.5 \%$ to $8.0 \%$ per annum. As of December 31, 2010, the average policy loan interest rate was $6.7 \%$.

Policy loans may be repaid at any time by the policyholder and have priority to any claims on the policy. If the policyholder fails to repay the policy loan, funds are withdrawn from the policy's death benefits.

## Net Investment Income and Realized Gains (Losses)

Net investment income from bonds and mortgage loans used to support our insurance products increased consistently over the period as assets increased because of increases in net annuity sales and increases in policyholder benefits each year. Net investment income in other asset classes (equities and real estate) fluctuated in response to investment decisions based on valuations and financial markets movement.

Mortgage loan interest income is accrued on the principal amount of the loan based on the loan's contractual interest rate. Amortization of premiums and discounts is recorded using the effective yield method. Interest income, amortization of premiums and discounts, and prepayment fees are reported in net investment income. Interest income earned on impaired loans is accrued on the principal amount of the loan based on the loan's contractual interest rate. However, interest ceases to be accrued for loans on which interest is generally more than 90 days past due or when the collection of interest is not considered probable. Loans in foreclosure are placed on non-accrual status. Interest received on non-accrual status mortgage loans on real estate is included in net investment income in the period received.

## Unrealized Gains and Losses:

The net change in unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities, as presented in the stockholders' equity section of the consolidated statements of financial position, was an unrealized gain of $\$ 109.0$ million in 2010 and an unrealized gain of $\$ 383.1$ million in 2009.

## Fair Value Disclosures

The fair value of individual invested assets is determined by the use of third party pricing services, independent broker quotes and internal valuation methodologies. Below is a summary of the valuation techniques we utilize to measure fair value of the major investment types. There have been no material changes to our fair value methodologies during the year ending December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, 100\% of our common stock investments are considered Level 1 securities with fair values determinable from observable market prices.

We obtained publicly available prices from third-party pricing services for our bond investments. The typical inputs from pricing services include, but are not limited to, reported trades, bids, offers, issuer spreads, cash flow and performance data. These inputs are usually market observable; however, when trading volumes are low or nonexistent, the pricing services may adjust these values. The adjustments made to the quoted prices are based on recently reported trades for comparable securities. We perform a periodic analysis of the prices received from the third parties to verify that the price represents a reasonable estimate of fair value. When prices are obtained from third-party services, they are classified as Level 2.

Certain private placement debt securities are priced via independent broker quotes and internal valuation methodologies. The quotations received from the broker may use inputs that are difficult to corroborate with observable market data. Additionally, we only obtain non-binding quotations from the independent brokers. Internal pricing methodologies include inputs such as externally provided credit spreads and internally determined credit ratings. Due to the significant non-observable inputs, these prices determined by the use of independent broker pricing and internal valuation methodologies are classified as Level 3.

The discount rate for the fair value of mortgage loans is determined by the weighted average adjustment of the "spread factor" against the U.S. treasury rates. The spread factor includes an adjustment for quality rating, property type, geographic distribution and payment status (current, delinquent, in process of foreclosure) of each loan. Management performs periodic reviews and weighs each adjustment to calculate the spread factor based on the current economic environment and lending practices.

All mortgage loan investments are classified as Level 2. Mortgage loan pricing is evaluated for consistency with our knowledge of the current market environment to ensure amounts are reflective of fair value.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We hold a diversified portfolio of investments that includes cash and cash equivalents, bonds, preferred stocks, common stocks, mortgage loans, policy loans, and real estate. Our investments are subject to various market risks including interest rate risk, credit risk and risk of changes in equity prices. Adverse changes to these rates and prices may occur due to changes in the liquidity of a market or market segment, or to changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness or risk tolerance.

Our management and culture is generally risk averse and emphasizes risk management throughout all our operations. The active management of market risk is integral to our results of operations. A key component of our risk management program is our ALM Committee. The ALM committee, under the direction of the Chief Corporate Risk Management Officer, monitors the level of risk to which we are exposed in managing our assets and liabilities in order to attain the desired risk-return profile. A significant aspect of this risk management involves our managing the link between the characteristics of our investments and the anticipated policy obligations and liabilities, a process commonly referred to as asset-liability management. Among other things, this includes maintaining adequate reserves, monitoring claims experience, managing interest rate spreads and protecting against disintermediation risk for life insurance and annuity products. As part of our risk management procedures, we also manage exposure concentrations, deductibles and reinsurance for property and casualty products.

As a part of the ALM process, we establish target asset portfolios for each major insurance product, which represent the investment strategies used to profitably fund our liabilities within acceptable levels of risk. We monitor these strategies through regular review of portfolio metrics, such as effective duration, yield curve sensitivity, convexity, liquidity, asset sector concentration and credit quality. In executing these asset-liability management strategies, we regularly reevaluate the estimates used in determining the approximate amounts and timing of payments to or on behalf of policyholders for insurance liabilities. Many of these estimates are inherently subjective and could impact our ability to achieve our asset-liability management goals and objectives.

In addition to our ALM Committee, we have expanded enterprise risk management to help identify, prioritize and manage various risks including market risk. Under the leadership of our Chief Corporate Risk Management Officer and with the support of our Board of Directors, we have developed an approach and focused our efforts on the principles of enterprise risk management, including:

- Designing an approach to identify potential risks and events that may affect the entity;
- Managing risks within our risk profile; and
- Providing reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of our strategic objectives.

We expect these ongoing enterprise risk management efforts will expand the management tools used to ensure the efficient allocation of capital and will enhance the measurement of possible diversification benefits across business segments and risk classes.

## Interest Rate Risk

The primary market risk to the investment portfolio is the interest rate risk associated with investments in fixed maturity securities. Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of our interest-sensitive assets or liabilities will change with changes in market interest rates. Fixed maturity securities represent a significant portion ( $70.5 \%$ as of December 31, 2010) of our investment portfolio. Our exposure to interest rate risk relates to the market price or cash flow variability associated with the changes in market interest rates. Our exposure to cash flow changes is discussed further in the Liquidity and Capital Resources sections of the MD\&A. The fair market value of these fixed maturity securities are inversely related to changes in market interest rates. As interest rates fall, the coupon and dividend streams of existing fixed rate investments become more valuable as market values of the fixed maturity security rises. As interest rates rise the inverse occurs and the market value of fixed maturity securities fall. We utilize our ALM Committee as the primary tool to monitor interest rate risk. The carrying value of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was $\$ 17.9$ billion, $\$ 16.6$ billion and $\$ 14.5$ billion, respectively; of which $47.5 \%$ at year-end 2010 was invested in held-to-maturity bonds, $23.0 \%$ was invested in available for-sale bonds, and the remaining amounts were invested primarily in equity securities, mortgage loans,
policy loans, real estate and short term investments. Detailed information regarding the carrying values of our investment portfolio can be found in the Investments section of the MD\&A.

The interest rate exposure for our investments in mortgage loans is a component of our interest rate risk. As of December 31, 2010, these mortgage loans have fixed rates from $5.2 \%$ to $12.0 \%$. Most of the mortgage loan contracts require periodic payments of both principal and interest, and have amortization periods of three years to thirty years.

Market interest rate changes have a direct impact on the value of our available-for-sale bonds. At December 31, 2010, we had a net unrealized gain of $\$ 198.3$ million compared to a net unrealized investment gain of $\$ 77.5$ and a net unrealized loss of $\$ 438.8$ million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These changes were primarily the result of significant improvement in the credit markets during those years. Information regarding our unrealized gains or losses is disclosed in Part II Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data - Note 3 Investments.

Interest Rate sensitivity analysis: The table below shows the estimated sensitivity of our fixed maturity investments to increases and decreases in interest rates and the pre-tax change in market value resulting from such changes (in thousands):

|  |  | Increasel(Decrease) in Market Value Given an Interest rate Increasel(Decrease) of X Basis Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (100) |  | (50) |  | 50 |  | 100 |  |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment portfolio | \$ | 529,510 | \$ | 263,245 | \$ | $(261,875)$ | \$ | $(520,447)$ |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment portfolio | \$ | 489,843 | \$ | 245,091 | \$ | $(245,733)$ | \$ | $(489,148)$ |

Actual results could differ materially from the amounts noted above due to the assumptions and estimates used in calculating the analysis above. Our interest rate sensitivity analysis was calculated assuming instantaneous, one time parallel shifts in the corresponding year-end U.S. Treasury yield curves of $+/-100 \mathrm{bps}$, and $+/-50 \mathrm{bps}$. All other variables were assumed to remain constant. Therefore, these calculations may not fully reflect any prepayment to the portfolio, changes in corporate spreads or non-parallel changes in interest rates.

In addition to our fixed maturity securities being subject to interest-rate risk, we also have liabilities that are sensitive to interest-rate risk. These liabilities include annuities and interest-sensitive insurance contracts, which have the same type of interest rate exposure as our fixed maturity securities.

We employ a combination of product design, pricing and ALM strategies to reduce the adverse effects of interest rate movements on these liabilities. Product design and pricing strategies include the use of surrender charges or restrictions on withdrawals in some products. ALM strategies include the use of derivatives to hedge equityindexed annuity value changes, the purchase of securities structured to protect against prepayments, prepayment restrictions or fees on mortgage loans, and consistent monitoring of the pricing of our products in order to better match the duration of the assets and liabilities.

In addition to interest rate fluctuations impacting our assets and liabilities, we are also exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that interest rates will rise and policy loans and surrenders will increase, or that maturing policies will not renew at anticipated rates of renewal. This risk manifests itself when, due to rapid changes in interest rates, policyholders move their assets into new products offering higher rates. We may then have to sell assets earlier than anticipated to pay for these withdrawals. Our life insurance and annuity product designs, underwriting standards and risk management techniques are utilized to minimize or mitigate disintermediation risk and greater than expected mortality and morbidity risks. We strive to mitigate disintermediation risk through the use of surrender charges, certain provisions prohibiting the surrender of a policy, and market value adjustment
features. Investment guidelines, including duration targets, asset allocation tolerances and return objectives, help to ensure that disintermediation risk is managed within the constraints of profitability criteria. Prudent underwriting is applied to select and price insurance risks, and we regularly monitor claims experience relative to our product pricing assumptions. Implementation of disciplined claims management serves to further protect against fraudulent and unjustified claims activity.

## Credit Risk

We are exposed to credit risk. Credit risk is the level of certainty that an issuer or borrower will honor its obligation under the terms of a security or loan. Our insurance and annuity products are primarily supported by investments in fixed maturity securities, which primarily include investment grade bonds and collateralized mortgage loans. Information regarding the credit quality of our fixed maturity securities can be found in the Bonds discussion in the Investments section of the MD\&A.

To manage credit risk, we have an established, formal Investment Plan approved by our Board of Directors. Collectively, these documents provide issuer and geographic concentration limits, investment size limits and other applicable parameters such as loan to value guidelines. Investment activity, including the setting of investment policies and defining acceptable risk levels, is subject to review and approval of our Finance Committee.

We are also exposed to credit risk because of our purchases of reinsurance. We manage our underwriting risk exposures by following the industry practice of reinsuring portions of our insurance risks. We purchase reinsurance from several providers and are not dependent on any single reinsurer. While we believe these reinsurance providers are reputable and have the financial strength to meet their obligations, our reinsurance program does result in us being subject to credit risk of default of the reinsurer. Reinsurance does not eliminate our liability to pay our policyholders, and we remain primarily liable to our policyholders for the risks we insure.

## Equity Risk

Equity risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity investment markets or in the levels of specific investments within the investment portfolio. At December 31, 2010, we held approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion of equity investments, which had equity risk. Our exposure to the equity markets is managed by sector and is intended to track the Standard \& Poor's 500 Index ("S\&P 500") with minor variations. We continue to mitigate our equity risk by diversification of the overall investment portfolio and through prudent investing activities in the equity markets.

## Changes in Accounting Principles

Refer to Part II Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data - Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices, for a discussion on recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted.
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## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

## The Board of Directors and Stockholders

American National Insurance Company:
We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of American National Insurance Company and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of American National Insurance Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities as of April 1, 2009 due to the adoption of new FASB guidance.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), American National Insurance Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 2, 2011, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
Houston, Texas March 2, 2011

## KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas
March 2, 2011

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
American National Insurance Company:
We have audited American National Insurance Company's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, American National Insurance Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of financial position of American National Insurance Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, and our report dated March 2, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

## KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas
March 2, 2011

## AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except for per share data)
PREMIUMS AND OTHER REVENUE

PREMIUMS AND OTHER REVENUE
Premiums

| Life | \$ | 282,160 | \$ | 284,530 | \$ | 299,338 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annuity |  | 174,193 |  | 220,284 |  | 116,248 |
| Accident and health |  | 263,294 |  | 309,701 |  | 290,883 |
| Property and casualty |  | 1,158,261 |  | 1,159,509 |  | 1,182,026 |
| Other policy revenues |  | 185,805 |  | 179,504 |  | 174,899 |
| Net investment income |  | 911,915 |  | 839,777 |  | 795,442 |
| Realized investments gains (losses) |  | 79,728 |  | 5,248 |  | $(13,483)$ |
| Other-than-temporary impairments |  | $(5,666)$ |  | $(79,103)$ |  | $(365,551)$ |
| Other income |  | 17,398 |  | 19,000 |  | 22,777 |
| Total revenues |  | 3,067,088 |  | 2,938,450 |  | 2,502,579 |
| BENEFITS, LOSSES AND EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life |  | 294,177 |  | 297,719 |  | 296,078 |
| Annuity |  | 205,948 |  | 249,709 |  | 142,867 |
| Accident and health |  | 184,554 |  | 239,407 |  | 223,055 |
| Property and casualty |  | 923,736 |  | 923,064 |  | 939,854 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 393,119 |  | 370,563 |  | 299,833 |
| Commissions for acquiring and servicing policies |  | 448,880 |  | 459,943 |  | 475,345 |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 454,146 |  | 471,920 |  | 493,907 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(40,095)$ |  | $(63,611)$ |  | $(67,439)$ |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses |  | 2,864,465 |  | 2,948,714 |  | 2,803,500 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income tax, and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates |  | 202,623 |  | $(10,264)$ |  | $(300,921)$ |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current |  | 58,946 |  | $(14,203)$ |  | $(35,016)$ |
| Deferred |  | $(3,738)$ |  | $(16,825)$ |  | $(87,378)$ |
| Total provision (benefit) for federal income taxes |  | 55,208 |  | $(31,028)$ |  | $(122,394)$ |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax |  | $(3,169)$ |  | $(4,216)$ |  | 4,965 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations |  | 144,246 |  | 16,548 |  | $(173,562)$ |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax (See Note 19) |  | $(1,275)$ |  | $(1,381)$ |  | 19,533 |
| Net income (loss) |  | 142,971 |  | 15,167 |  | $(154,029)$ |
| Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest |  | $(1,055)$ |  | (458) |  | (31) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 144,026 | \$ | 15,625 | \$ | $(153,998)$ |
| Amounts available to American National Insurance Company common stockholders |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 5.42 | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | (5.82) |
| Diluted |  | 5.40 |  | 0.59 |  | (5.82) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 26,559,035 |  | 26,528,832 |  | 26,479,832 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares |  | 26,687,158 |  | 26,597,476 |  | 26,479,832 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(In thousands, except for share and per share data)

## ASSETS

Investments, other than investments in unconsolidated affiliates Fixed maturity securities:

> Bonds held-to-maturity
> Bonds available-for-sale

Equity securities:
Preferred stocks
Common stocks
Mortgage loans on real estate, net of allowance
Policy loans
Investment real estate, net of
accumulated depreciation of $\$ 202,111$ and $\$ 209,115$
Short-term investments
Other invested assets
Total investments
Cash and cash equivalents
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates
Accrued investment income
Reinsurance ceded receivables
Prepaid reinsurance premiums
Premiums due and other receivables
Deferred policy acquisition costs
Property and equipment, net
Current federal income taxes
Deferred federal income taxes
Other assets
Separate account assets

## Total assets

## LIABILITIES

Policyholder funds
Future policy benefits:
Life
Annuity
Accident and health
Policy account balances
Policy and contract claims
Participating policyholder share
Other policyholder funds
Total policyholder liabilities
Liability for retirement benefits
Current portion of long-term notes payable
Long-term notes payable
Deferred federal income taxes
Other liabilities
Separate account liabilities
Total liabilities
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
Common stock, \$1.00 par value, - Authorized 50,000,000 Issued 30,832,449, Outstanding 26,820,977 shares
Additional paid-in capital
Accumulated other comprehensive income
Retained earnings
Treasury stock, at cost
Total American National stockholders' equity
Noncontrolling interest
Total stockholders' equity
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

| December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| \$ | 8,513,550 | \$ | 7,461,711 |
|  | 4,123,613 |  | 4,213,550 |
|  | 36,867 |  | 35,717 |
|  | 1,045,888 |  | 934,754 |
|  | 2,679,909 |  | 2,229,659 |
|  | 380,505 |  | 364,354 |
|  | 521,768 |  | 635,110 |
|  | 486,206 |  | 636,823 |
|  | 119,251 |  | 94,442 |
|  | 17,907,557 |  | 16,606,120 |
|  | 101,449 |  | 161,483 |
|  | 195,472 |  | 156,809 |
|  | 201,286 |  | 191,737 |
|  | 355,188 |  | 371,654 |
|  | 41,198 |  | 53,545 |
|  | 287,184 |  | 282,865 |
|  | 1,318,426 |  | 1,330,981 |
|  | 77,974 |  | 88,705 |
|  | 7,764 |  | 29,474 |
|  | - |  | 5,034 |
|  | 138,978 |  | 152,722 |
|  | 780,563 |  | 718,378 |
| \$ | 21,413,039 | \$ | 20,149,507 |


| \$ | 2,539,334 | \$ | 2,485,886 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 865,480 |  | 783,065 |
|  | 81,266 |  | 88,545 |
|  | 10,475,159 |  | 9,567,860 |
|  | 1,298,457 |  | 1,302,653 |
|  | 177,794 |  | 162,794 |
|  | 889,446 |  | 919,864 |
|  | 16,326,936 |  | 15,310,667 |
|  | 187,453 |  | 180,909 |
|  | 47,632 |  | 34,297 |
|  | 12,508 |  | 39,545 |
|  | 53,737 |  | - |
|  | 368,332 |  | 393,302 |
|  | 780,563 |  | 718,378 |
|  | 17,777,161 |  | 16,677,098 |
|  | 30,832 |  | 30,832 |
|  | 15,190 |  | 11,986 |
|  | 225,212 |  | 117,649 |
|  | 3,459,911 |  | 3,398,492 |
|  | $(98,494)$ |  | $(98,505)$ |
|  | 3,632,651 |  | 3,460,454 |
|  | 3,227 |  | 11,955 |
|  | 3,635,878 |  | 3,472,409 |
| \$ | 21,413,039 | \$ | 20,149,507 |

## AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands, except for per share data)

## Common Stock

Balance at beginning and end of the year

## Additional Paid-In Capital

Balance as of January 1,
Issuance of treasury shares as restricted stock
Tax benefit on excess restricted stock
Amortization of restricted stock
Balance as of December 31,

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Balance as of January 1,
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net
Cumulative adjustment for accounting change on
other-than-temporary impairments on debt securities
Foreign exchange adjustments
Defined benefit plans adjustment
Balance as of December 31,

## Retained Earnings

Balance as of January 1,
Net income (loss) attributable to American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries
Cash dividends to common stockholders
(\$3.08 per share)

Effect of ASC 715 change in measurement date
Cumulative adjustment for accounting change on other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities Balance as of December 31,

## Treasury Stock

Balance as of January 1,
Net issuance of restricted stock Balance as of December 31,

## Noncontrolling Interest

Balance as of January 1,
Contributions
Distributions
Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest
Effect of ASU 2009-17 implementation
Balance as of December 31,

## Total Equity

Balance as of December 31,

| 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 30,832 | \$ | 30,832 | \$ | 30,832 |
|  | 11,986 |  | 7,552 |  | 6,080 |
|  | (11) |  | 179 |  | $(1,139)$ |
|  | - |  | 439 |  | - |
|  | 3,215 |  | 3,816 |  | 2,611 |
|  | 15,190 |  | 11,986 |  | 7,552 |
|  | 117,649 |  | $(221,148)$ |  | 145,972 |
|  | 109,006 |  | 383,098 |  | $(331,828)$ |
|  | - |  | $(50,411)$ |  | - |
|  | 276 |  | 664 |  | (247) |
|  | $(1,719)$ |  | 5,446 |  | $(35,045)$ |
|  | 225,212 |  | 117,649 |  | $(221,148)$ |
|  | 3,398,492 |  | 3,414,946 |  | 3,653,365 |
|  | 144,026 |  | 15,625 |  | $(153,998)$ |
|  | $(82,607)$ |  | $(82,490)$ |  | $(82,651)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(1,770)$ |
|  | - |  | 50,411 |  | - |
|  | 3,459,911 |  | 3,398,492 |  | 3,414,946 |
|  | $(98,505)$ |  | $(98,326)$ |  | $(99,465)$ |
|  | 11 |  | (179) |  | 1,139 |
|  | $(98,494)$ |  | $(98,505)$ |  | $(98,326)$ |
|  | 11,955 |  | 8,377 |  | 4,539 |
|  | 466 |  | 4,392 |  | 4,279 |
|  | (278) |  | (109) |  | (427) |
|  | $(1,623)$ |  | (705) |  | (14) |
|  | $(7,293)$ |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,227 |  | 11,955 |  | 8,377 |
| \$ | 3,635,878 | \$ | 3,472,409 | \$ | 3,142,233 |

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands)

Net income (loss) attributable to American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net
Foreign exchange adjustments
Defined benefit plans adjustment
Total other comprehensive income (loss)
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries

| 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 144,026 | \$ | 15,625 | \$ | $(153,998)$ |
|  | 109,006 |  | 383,098 |  | $(331,828)$ |
|  | 276 |  | 664 |  | (247) |
|  | $(1,719)$ |  | 5,446 |  | $(35,045)$ |
|  | 107,563 |  | 389,208 |  | $(367,120)$ |
| \$ | 251,589 | \$ | 404,833 | \$ | $(521,118)$ |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

| AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 144,026 | \$ | 15,625 | \$ | $(153,998)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Realized (gains) losses on investments |  | $(79,575)$ |  | $(3,406)$ |  | 14,158 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments |  | 5,666 |  | 79,103 |  | 365,551 |
| Amortization of discounts and premiums on bonds |  | 19,463 |  | 16,215 |  | 16,654 |
| Net capitalized interest on policy loans and mortgage loans |  | $(30,310)$ |  | $(27,881)$ |  | 3,511 |
| Depreciation |  | 40,017 |  | 44,744 |  | 26,496 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 393,119 |  | 370,563 |  | 299,833 |
| Charges to policy account balances |  | $(185,805)$ |  | $(173,360)$ |  | $(191,238)$ |
| Deferred federal income tax benefit |  | $(3,738)$ |  | $(16,825)$ |  | $(87,378)$ |
| Deferral of policy acquisition costs |  | $(481,600)$ |  | $(477,417)$ |  | $(491,342)$ |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs |  | 441,505 |  | 413,806 |  | 424,005 |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of unconsolidated affiliates |  | 4,875 |  | 6,488 |  | $(7,639)$ |
| Changes in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policyholder funds liabilities |  | 101,615 |  | 32,629 |  | 88,908 |
| Reinsurance ceded receivables |  | 16,466 |  | 111,192 |  | $(44,780)$ |
| Premiums due and other receivables |  | $(4,319)$ |  | 42,154 |  | $(38,419)$ |
| Accrued investment income |  | $(9,549)$ |  | $(6,936)$ |  | $(1,952)$ |
| Current federal income tax liability/recoverable |  | 21,710 |  | 38,853 |  | $(65,182)$ |
| Liability for retirement benefits |  | 6,544 |  | $(3,215)$ |  | 6,018 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums |  | 12,347 |  | 7,888 |  | 5,339 |
| Other, net |  | $(11,486)$ |  | 21,170 |  | 3,136 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 400,971 |  | 491,390 |  | 171,681 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds |  | 283,124 |  | 82,861 |  | 6,353 |
| Equity securities |  | 166,923 |  | 182,871 |  | 129,270 |
| Real estate |  | 30,412 |  | 4,837 |  | 4,500 |
| Mortgage loans |  | - |  | - |  | 2,294 |
| Other invested assets |  | 22,550 |  | 1,806 |  | 9,896 |
| Disposals of property and equipment |  | 1,602 |  | 1,608 |  | 1,380 |
| Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates |  | 10,920 |  | 11,310 |  | 12,332 |
| Proceeds from maturities/redemption of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds |  | 1,051,197 |  | 835,722 |  | 850,081 |
| Equity securities |  | 1,556 |  | - |  | - |
| Principal payments received on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage loans |  | 151,828 |  | 116,365 |  | 144,497 |
| Policy loans |  | 49,599 |  | 45,591 |  | 9,459 |
| Purchases of investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds |  | $(2,160,997)$ |  | $(1,538,440)$ |  | (1,270,774) |
| Equity securities |  | $(146,488)$ |  | $(53,758)$ |  | $(290,979)$ |
| Real estate |  | $(26,842)$ |  | $(127,281)$ |  | $(78,119)$ |
| Mortgage loans |  | $(536,830)$ |  | $(477,275)$ |  | $(520,426)$ |
| Policy loans |  | $(41,749)$ |  | $(32,129)$ |  | $(20,447)$ |
| Other invested assets |  | $(44,867)$ |  | $(31,572)$ |  | $(21,795)$ |
| Additions to property and equipment |  | $(9,359)$ |  | $(13,178)$ |  | $(25,024)$ |
| Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates |  | $(36,083)$ |  | $(20,042)$ |  | $(38,514)$ |
| Change in short-term investments |  | 150,617 |  | $(341,653)$ |  | 403,092 |
| Other, net |  | 2,075 |  | (256) |  | 2,483 |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | (1,080,812) |  | (1,352,613) |  | $(690,441)$ |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES $\quad \square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policyholders' deposits to policy account balances |  | 1,722,505 |  | 2,268,201 |  | 1,996,836 |
| Policyholders' withdrawals from policy account balances |  | $(1,022,520)$ |  | $(1,191,021)$ |  | $(1,446,521)$ |
| Change in notes payable |  | 2,429 |  | $(38,080)$ |  | $(16,877)$ |
| Dividends to stockholders |  | $(82,607)$ |  | $(82,490)$ |  | $(82,651)$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 619,807 |  | 956,610 |  | 450,787 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH |  | $(60,034)$ |  | 95,387 |  | $(67,973)$ |
| Cash: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the year |  | 161,483 |  | 66,096 |  | 134,069 |
| End of year | \$ | 101,449 | \$ | 161,483 | \$ | 66,096 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS

American National Insurance Company and its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively "American National") operate primarily in the insurance industry. Operating on a multiple product line basis, American National offers a broad line of insurance coverage, including individual and group life insurance, health insurance, annuities, and property and casualty insurance. In addition, through non-insurance subsidiaries, American National invests in stocks and real estate. The majority of revenues are generated by the insurance business. Business is conducted in all states and the District of Columbia, as well as Puerto Rico, Guam and American Samoa. Various distribution systems are utilized, including multiple-line exclusive agents, independent agents, third-party marketing organizations, career agents, and direct sales to the public.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regarding financial reporting.

## BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are reported in U.S. currency. All material intercompany transactions with consolidated entities have been eliminated.

American National consolidates all entities that are wholly-owned and those in which they own less than 100\% but control, as well as any variable interest entities in which they are the primary beneficiary.

Certain amounts in prior years have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

## USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated financial statement balances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following estimates have been identified as critical in that they involve a high degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

- Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI");
- Deferred policy acquisition costs;
- Reserves;
- Reinsurance;
- Pension and postretirement benefit plans;
- Litigation contingencies; and
- Federal income taxes.

Accounting estimates inherently require the use of judgments relating to a variety of assumptions; in particular, expectations of current and future mortality, morbidity, persistency, losses and loss adjustment expenses, recoverability of receivables, investment returns and interest rates. In developing these estimates, we make subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material changes as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes that the amounts provided are appropriate, based upon the facts available upon compilation of the consolidated financial statements. Due to the inherent uncertainty when using assumptions and estimates, the effect of certain accounting policies under different conditions or assumptions could be different from those reported in the consolidated financial statements.

## INVESTMENTS

## Fixed maturity securities

Bonds that are classified as held-to-maturity are carried at amortized cost. The carrying value of these debt securities is expected to be realized, due to American National's ability and intent to hold these securities until maturity or market recovery. Bonds classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value.

## Equity Securities

All common and preferred stocks are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value.

## Unrealized gains and losses

For all investments carried at fair value (excluding derivative instruments), the unrealized gains or losses (differences between cost and fair value), net of applicable federal income taxes, are reflected in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

## Mortgage loans

Mortgage loans on real estate are stated at unpaid principal balance, adjusted for any unamortized premium or discount, deferred fees or expenses, net of valuation allowances. Interest income is accrued on the principal amount of the loan based on the loan's contractual interest rate. Amortization of premiums and discounts is recorded using the effective yield method. Interest income, amortization of premiums and discounts and prepayment fees are reported in net investment income. Loans are considered to be impaired when it is probable that, based upon current information and events, American National will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Based on the facts and circumstances of the individual loans being impaired, loan specific valuation allowances are established for the excess carrying value of the loan over either: (i) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate, or (ii) the estimated fair value of the loan's underlying collateral if the loan is in the process of foreclosure or otherwise collateral dependent. American National also establishes allowances for loan losses for groups of loans with similar characteristics, such as mortgage loans based on similar property types, when, based on past experience, it is probable that a credit event has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Interest income earned on impaired loans is accrued on the principal amount of the loan based on the loan's contractual interest rate. However, interest ceases to accrue for loans on which interest is generally more than 90 days past due and/or when the collection of interest is not considered probable. Income on past due loans is reported on cash basis. Cash receipts on such impaired loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, interest income, expense reimbursement or other manner in accordance with the loan agreement. Gains and losses from the sale of loans and changes in valuation allowances are reported in "Realized investment gains (losses)" in the consolidated statements of operations.

## Policy loans

Policy loans are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

## Investment real estate

Real estate investments, including related improvements, are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset (typically 15 to 50 years). Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective leases. American National classifies a property as held-for-sale if it commits to a plan to sell a property within one year and actively markets the property in its current condition for a price that is reasonable in comparison to its estimated fair value. Real estate held-for-sale is stated at the lower of depreciated cost or estimated fair value less expected disposition costs. Real estate is not depreciated while it is classified as held-for-sale. American National
periodically reviews its real estate investments for impairment and tests properties for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable and the carrying value of the property exceeds its estimated fair value. Properties whose carrying values are greater than their undiscounted cash flows are written down to their estimated fair value, with the impairment loss included in "Realized investment gains (losses)" in the consolidated statements of operations. Impairment losses are based upon the estimated fair value of real estate, which is generally computed using the present value of expected future cash flows from the real estate discounted at a rate commensurate with the underlying risks as well as other appraisal methods. Real estate acquired upon foreclosure is recorded at the lower of estimated fair value or the carrying value of the mortgage loan at the date of foreclosure.

## Real Estate Joint Ventures and Other Limited Partnership Interests

American National uses the equity method of accounting for investments in real estate joint ventures and other limited partnership interests consisting of private equity funds in which it has more than a minor interest or more than a minor influence over the joint ventures or partnership's operations, but it does not have a controlling interest and is not the primary beneficiary. For certain joint ventures American National records its share of earnings using a three-month lag methodology for all instances where the timely financial information is available and the contractual right exists to receive such financial information. In addition to the investees performing regular evaluations for the impairment of underlying investments, American National routinely evaluates its investments in real estate joint ventures and other limited partnerships for impairments. American National considers financial and other information provided by the investee, other known information and inherent risks in the underlying investments, as well as future capital commitments, in determining whether impairment has occurred. When an OTTI is deemed to have occurred, American National records a realized capital loss within "Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates" to record the investment at its estimated fair value.

## Short-term investments

Short-term investments, comprised of commercial paper, are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

## Other invested assets

Other invested assets, comprised primarily of tax credit partnerships, CAPCO investments and mineral rights, are carried at cost, less allowance for depletion, where applicable. Other invested assets also includes derivative investments (equity-indexed options) which are carried at fair value. Impairments for other invested assets are considered on an individual basis.

## Impairments

An OTTI has occurred for a fixed maturity security in an unrealized loss position when American National either (a) has the intent to sell the fixed maturity security or (b) it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the fixed maturity security before its anticipated recovery of its amortized costs basis. If either criterion is met, an OTTI is recognized in earnings in the amount of the amortized cost basis of the fixed maturity security in excess of its fair value, as of the impairment measurement date.

For all fixed maturity securities in unrealized loss positions which American National does not intend to sell and for which it is not more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell before its anticipated recovery, American National assesses whether the amortized cost basis of the fixed maturity security will be recovered by comparing the net present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security with its amortized cost basis. Management estimates cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security using information based on its historical experience as well as using market observable data, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings and other data relevant to the collectability of a security. The net present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security is calculated by discounting management's best estimate of cash flows expected to be collected on the fixed maturity security at the effective interest rate implicit in the fixed maturity security when acquired. If the net present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security is less than the amortized cost basis of the fixed maturity security, an OTTI has occurred in the form of a credit loss. The credit loss is recognized in earnings in the amount of excess amortized cost over the net present
value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security. If the fair value of the fixed maturity security is less than its net present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security at the impairment measurement date, a non-credit loss exists which is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) in the amount of the fair value of the fixed maturity security that is less than the net present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity security.

After the recognition of an OTTI, the fixed maturity security is accounted for as if it had been purchased on the measurement date of the OTTI, with an amortized cost basis equal to its previous amortized cost basis less the related OTTI recognized in earnings. The new amortized cost basis of an other-than-temporary impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value. Should there be a significant increase in the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected from a previously impaired fixed maturity security, the increase would be accounted for prospectively by accreting it as interest income over the remaining life of the fixed maturity security.

All equity securities, real estate and other invested assets are regularly reviewed for other-than-temporary impairment based on criteria that include the extent to which cost exceeds fair value, the duration of the market decline, and the financial health of and specific prospects for the issuer, borrower, or tenants. Unrealized losses that are determined to be other-than-temporary are recognized in current period income as a realized loss.

## Derivative instruments and hedging activities

American National purchases derivative instruments as hedges of a recognized asset or liability, which are recorded on the consolidated statements of financial position at fair value. The change in fair value of derivative assets is reported as "Net investment income" in the consolidated statements of operations. The change in fair value of embedded derivative liabilities is reported through "Interest credited to policy account balances" in the consolidated statements of operations. Derivative instruments held at December 31, 2010 and 2009 had an immaterial impact on the consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of cash flows.

American National does not apply hedge accounting treatment to its hedging activities.

## Investments in unconsolidated affiliates

These assets are primarily investments in real estate and equity fund joint ventures, and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

## CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

American National considers cash on-hand and in banks plus amounts invested in money market funds as cash and cash equivalents for purposes of the consolidated statements of financial position and consolidated statements of cash flows.

## PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

These assets consist of buildings occupied by American National, electronic data processing equipment, and furniture and equipment. These assets are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using straight-line and accelerated methods that are allowed under GAAP over the estimated useful lives of the assets (3 to 50 years).

## FOREIGN CURRENCIES

Assets and liabilities recorded in foreign currencies are translated at the exchange rate on the report date. Revenues and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are charged or credited to "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" in the consolidated statements of financial position.

## INSURANCE SPECIFIC ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Deferred policy acquisition costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC") represent the costs that vary with and are related primarily to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance and annuity contracts. Significant costs are incurred in connection with acquiring insurance business, including commissions and certain other expenses. The deferred costs are recorded and reported as "deferred policy acquisition costs" in the asset section of the consolidated statements of financial position. The deferred costs are subsequently amortized over the lives of the underlying contracts in relation to the anticipated emergence of premiums, gross margins, or gross profits, depending on the type of product.

The DAC on traditional life and health products are amortized with interest over the anticipated premium-paying period of the related policies, in proportion to the ratio of annual premium revenue to be received over the life of the policies. Expected premium revenue is estimated by using the same mortality and withdrawal assumptions used in computing liabilities for future policy benefits. The amount of DAC is reduced by a provision for possible inflation of maintenance and settlement expenses in the determination of such amounts by means of grading interest rates.

Costs deferred on universal life, limited pay and investment-type contracts are amortized as a level percentage of the present value of anticipated gross profits from investment yields, mortality, and surrender charges. The effect on the DAC that would result from realization of unrealized gains (losses) is recognized with an offset to "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" in the consolidated statements of financial position as of the reporting date. It is possible that a change in interest rates could have a significant impact on the DAC calculated for these contracts.

DAC associated with property and casualty insurance business consists principally of commissions, underwriting and issue costs. These deferred costs are amortized over the coverage period of the related policies, in relation to premium revenue recognized.

For short-duration and long-duration contracts, DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. Investment income is not anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC for short-duration contract.

## Future policy benefits

For traditional products, liabilities for future policy benefits have been provided on a net level premium method based on estimated investment yields, withdrawals, mortality, and other assumptions that were appropriate at the time that the policies were issued. Estimates used are based on the American National's experience, as adjusted to provide for possible adverse deviation. These estimates are periodically reviewed and compared with actual experience. When it is determined that future expected experience differs significantly from existing assumptions the estimates are revised for current and future issues.

Future policy benefits for universal life and investment-type contracts reflect the current account value before applicable surrender charges.

## Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, American National participates in reinsurance to limit its exposure to loss on any single insured and to provide additional capacity for future growth. Reinsurance ceded receivable includes amounts owed to American National in respect of paid and unpaid ceded losses and loss expenses, and presented net of a reserve for non-recoverability.

Recoveries on our gross ultimate losses are generally determined by review of individual large claims as well as by estimating the ceded portion of incurred but not reported ("IBNR") using assumed distribution of claim loss by percentage retained. The most significant assumption used is the average size of the individual losses for those claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded. The reinsurance ceded receivable is based on what American National believes are reasonable estimates. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance ceded receivable is not known until all losses are settled. Refer to Note 11 further information.

## Reserves for losses and loss expenses

American National establishes property and casualty reserves to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims. These reserves include estimates for both case reserves and reserves for IBNR claims. Case reserves include the liability for claims that were reported to American National, but not yet paid. IBNR reserves include a provision for potential development on case reserves, losses on claims currently closed which may reopen in the future as well as claims which have been incurred but not yet reported to American National. These reserves also include an estimate of the expense associated with settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process.

## PREMIUM REVENUE AND POLICY BENEFITS

## Traditional ordinary life and health

Life and accident and health premiums are recognized as revenue when due. Benefits and expenses are associated with earned premiums to result in recognition of profits over the life of the policy contracts. This association is accomplished by means of the provision for liabilities for future policy benefits and the amortization of DAC.

## Annuities

Single premium immediate annuity premiums are recognized as revenue when due. Deferred annuity premiums are not recognized as revenue. Instead, revenues from deferred annuity contracts represent amounts assessed against contract holders. Such assessments are principally surrender charges and, in the case of variable annuities, administrative fees. Policy account balances for deferred annuities represent the deposits received plus accumulated interest less withdrawals and applicable accumulated administrative fees.

## Universal life and single premium whole life

Revenues from universal life policies and single premium whole life policies represent amounts assessed against policyholders. Included in such assessments are mortality charges, surrender charges actually paid and earned policy service fees. Policyholder account balances consist of the premiums received and credited interest, less accumulated policyholder assessments. Amounts included in expense represent benefits in excess of account balances returned to policyholders.

## Property and casualty

Property and casualty premiums are recognized as revenue proportionately over the contract period, net of reinsurance ceded. Policy benefits consist of actual claims paid and the change in reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance received and recoverable.

## PARTICIPATING INSURANCE POLICIES

A portion of the life insurance portfolio is written on a participating basis. Participating business comprised approximately $9.3 \%$ of the life insurance in-force at December 31, 2010 and $12.6 \%$ of life premiums in 2010. Of the total participating business, $74.8 \%$ was written by Farm Family Life Insurance Company ("Farm Family Life"). For the participating business excluding Farm Family Life, the allocation of dividends to participating policyowners is based upon a comparison of experienced rates of mortality, interest and expenses, as determined periodically for representative plans of insurance, issue ages and policy durations, with the corresponding rates assumed in the calculation of premiums.

For the Farm Family Life participating business, profits earned on participating business are reserved for the payment of dividends to policyholders, except for the stockholders' share of profits on participating policies, which is limited to the greater of $10 \%$ of the profit on participating business, or 50 cents per thousand dollars of the face amount of participating life insurance in-force. Participating policyholders' interest includes the accumulated net income from participating policies reserved for payment to such policyholders in the form of dividends (less net income allocated to stockholders as indicated above) as well as a pro rata portion of unrealized investment gains (losses), net of tax.

## FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

American National and its eligible subsidiaries will file a consolidated life and non-life federal income tax return for 2010. Certain subsidiaries that are consolidated for financial reporting are not eligible to be included in the consolidated federal income tax return. Separate provisions for income taxes have been determined for these entities.

Deferred federal income tax assets and liabilities have been recognized to reflect the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

American National recognizes tax benefits on uncertain tax positions only if it is "more-likely-than-not" that the tax position will be sustained by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. Tax benefits recognized in the consolidated financial statements are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than $50 \%$ likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax benefits not meeting the "more-likely-than-not" threshold, if applicable, are included with "Other liabilities" in the consolidated statements of financial position.

Interest expense and penalties, if applicable, are classified as "Other operating costs and expenses" in the consolidated statements of operations.

## PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

American National maintains one open qualified defined benefit pension plan and one qualified defined benefit pension plan that is closed to new participants. In addition, they also sponsor three non-qualified defined benefit pension plans that restore benefits that would otherwise be curtailed by statutory limits on qualified plan benefits for certain key executives. American National also provides certain health and life insurance benefits to qualified current and former employees. American National recognizes the funded status of defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans, on the consolidated statements of financial position.

The pension benefit and postretirement benefit obligations and related costs for all plans are calculated using actuarial concepts in accordance with the relevant GAAP pronouncements. Two key assumptions, the discount rate and the expected return on plan assets, are important elements of expense and/or liability measurement. American National evaluates these key assumptions annually. Other assumptions involve demographic factors such as retirement age, mortality, turnover and rate of compensation increases.

American National uses a discount rate to determine the present value of future benefits on the measurement date. The guideline for setting this rate is a high-quality long-term corporate bond rate. A higher discount rate decreases the present value of benefit obligations and decreases pension expense. To determine the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, a building-block method is used. The expected rate of return on each asset is broken down into three components: (1) inflation, (2) the real risk-free rate of return (i.e., the long-term estimate of future returns on default-free U.S. government securities), and (3) the risk premium for each asset class (i.e., the expected return in excess of the risk-free rate). Using this approach, the precise expected return derived will fluctuate somewhat from year to year; however, it is American National's policy to hold this long-term assumption relatively constant.

The assumptions used in the measurement of the pension benefit obligations for 2010 and 2009 include: the discount rate, long-term rate of return, and rate of compensation increase. For further information, refer to Note 17.

## STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

## Stock Appreciation Rights

American National awards stock appreciation rights ("SARs") to certain executive officers. Upon the exercise of a vested SAR, a holder would be entitled to receive cash payment in an amount equal to the excess of the market value of a share of stock on the exercise date over the market value of a share of stock on the grant date, multiplied times the number of SARs exercised. The compensation cost accrued related to the SAR award is included in "Other liabilities" in the consolidated statements of financial position. SARs vest over five years and will expire five years from the date of vesting.

The measurement of the liability and compensation cost is based on the fair value of the awards and is remeasured each reporting period through the date of settlement. American National estimates the SAR's fair value using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. The key assumptions used in the model include: the stock price on the date of grant, the stock price on the date of remeasurement, expected life of the SARs and the risk-free rate of return. The compensation cost is amortized over the vesting period of the award based on the proportionate amount of the period vested.

## Restricted Stock Units

American National grants restricted stock units ("RSU") awards to certain executive officers. RSUs generally vest over two years from the date of grant and are then converted to American National's common stock on a one for one basis. The compensation cost accrued related to the RSU award is included in "Additional paid-in capital" in the consolidated statements of financial position.

The measurement of the equity and compensation cost is based on the fair value of the RSU awards. Fair value of the RSU award is estimated as the value of the underlying stock at the date of grant. The compensation cost is amortized over the vesting period of the award based on the proportionate amount of the period vested. The compensation cost is not subsequently adjusted for changes in the value of the underlying stock until the settlement date.

## Restricted Stock Awards

American National grants restricted stock ("RS") awards to certain executive officers and directors. The RS award entitles the grantee to full dividend and voting rights. Each RS award has the value of one share of restricted stock upon vesting. The RS award vest over ten years from the date of grant and are then released from forfeiture restrictions. The compensation cost accrued related to the RS award is included in "Additional paid-in capital" in the consolidated statements of financial position.

The measurement of the equity and compensation cost is based on the fair value of the RS awards. Fair value of the RS award is estimated as the value of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. The compensation cost is amortized over the vesting period of the award based on the proportionate amount of the period vested. The compensation cost is not subsequently adjusted for changes in the value of the underlying stock.

## SEPARATE ACCOUNT ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Separate account assets and liabilities represent funds maintained to meet the investment objectives of contract holders who bear the investment risk. Investment income and investment gains and losses from these separate funds accrue directly to the contract holders of the policies supported by the separate accounts. Separate accounts are established in conformity with insurance laws and are not chargeable with liabilities that arise from any other business of American National. American National reports separately, as assets and liabilities, investments held in separate accounts and liabilities of the separate accounts if (i) such separate accounts are legally recognized; (ii) assets supporting the contract liabilities are legally insulated from American National's general account liabilities; (iii) investments are directed by the contract holder; and (iv) all investment performance, net of contract fees and assessments, is passed through to the contract holder. The assets of these accounts are carried at fair value. Deposits, net investment income and realized investment gains and losses for these accounts are excluded from revenues, and related liability increases are excluded from benefits and expenses in the consolidated financial statements.

## LITIGATION CONTINGENCIES

American National reviews existing litigation matters and potential litigation items with counsel quarterly to determine if any adjustments to reserves for possible losses are necessary. Reserves for losses are established whenever they are probable and estimable. American National establishes reserves based on the best estimate of the probable loss. If no one number within the range of possible losses is more probable than any other, a reserve is recorded based on the low end of the estimated range.

## ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

On April 1, 2009, American National adopted accounting guidance contained within ASC 320, "Investments Debt and Equity Securities" (formerly FSP FAS No.115-2/124-2, "Recognition and Presentation of Other-ThanTemporary Impairments"), ("ASC 320"). This guidance required entities to separate an OTTI of a debt security into two components when there are credit related losses associated with the impaired debt security for which management asserts that it does not have the intent to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. The amount of the OTTI related to a credit loss is recognized in earnings, and the amount of the OTTI related to other factors (the "non-credit loss") is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). ASC 320 was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. As of the beginning of the interim period of adoption, ASC 320 required a cumulative-effect adjustment to reclassify the non-credit component of previously recognized OTTI losses from retained earnings to other comprehensive loss. Upon adoption of ASC 320, a cumulative effect adjustment of $\$ 50,411,000$, net of taxes, was recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued authoritative guidance which changes the analysis required to determine whether or not an entity is a variable interest entity ("VIE"). In addition, the guidance changes the determination of the primary beneficiary of a VIE from a quantitative to a qualitative model. Under the new qualitative model, the primary beneficiary must have both the ability to direct the activities of the VIE and the obligation to absorb either losses or gains that could be significant to the VIE. This guidance also changes when reassessment is needed, as well as requires enhanced disclosures, including the effects of a company's involvement with a VIE on its financial statements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The disclosures required by this revised guidance are provided in Note 4.

As a result of the adoption of this guidance, American National deconsolidated certain partnerships for which American National does not have the power to direct the activities of the partnership that most significantly impact its economic activities, and for which it has concluded it is not the primary beneficiary. Additionally, American National is now consolidating certain partnerships that were not previously consolidated. See Note 4 for disclosure of the net impact of the consolidation and deconsolidation on American National's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-02, Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary - A Scope Clarification ("ASU 2010-02"), which amended ASC Topic 810, Consolidations ("ASC 810"). ASU 2010-02 changed ASC 810 by excluding some dispositions of not-for-profit activities and assets sales such as in-substance real estate from its scope. This guidance also required expanded disclosures about changes in ownership of subsidiaries. ASU 2010-02 is effective for interim and annual periods commencing after December 15, 2009. Accordingly, this guidance was adopted on January 1, 2010 and did not have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements ("ASU 2010-06"), which amended ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("ASC 820"). ASU 2010-06 was issued to improve and expand fair value disclosures. Newly required disclosures are as follows: 1) provide information about movements of assets among Levels 1 and 2 of the three-tier fair value hierarchy established by ASC 820; 2) provide a reconciliation of purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of anything valued with a Level 3 method; and 3) provide fair value disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods commencing after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosure of the reconciliation of the Level 3 activities, which is effective for annual periods commencing after December 15, 2010. Accordingly, American National adopted this guidance on January 1, 2010, except for the disclosure of the reconciliation of the Level 3 activities, which will be adopted effective January 1, 2011. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material impact on American National's consolidated financial statements. The residual portion of the guidance to be adopted on January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material impact on American National's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events ("ASU 2010-09"), which amended ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events ("ASC 855"). ASU 2010-09 amended ASC 855 by removing the requirement for an entity that files or furnishes financial statements with the SEC to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both originally issued and restated financial statements. This ASU removed potential conflicts with the SEC's guidance. ASU 2010-09 was effective upon its issuance. Accordingly, this guidance was adopted on February 28, 2010 and did not have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20). This ASU amended ASC Topic 310, Receivables ("ASC 310"), related to financing receivables' credit quality and credit loss disclosures. Additional disclosures are now required that enable readers of the financial statements to understand the nature of the credit risk inherent in the financing receivable portfolio, how the portfolio's credit risk is analyzed and assessed in order to arrive at the allowance for credit losses for each portfolio, and the changes and underlying reason for the changes in the allowance for credit losses for each portfolio. Disclosures previously required for financing receivables are now required to be disclosed on a disaggregated basis. In addition, new disclosures under ASU 2010-20 are required for each financing receivable class including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period, aging of past due financing receivables, the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the reporting period, the nature and extent of financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months that defaulted during the reporting period, and significant purchases and sales of financing receivables during the reporting period. The ASU 2010-20 disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Disclosures concerning the activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Refer below to ASU No. 2011-01 for a discussion on the deferral of the effective date for certain disclosure requirements in ASU 2010-20. Accordingly, American National partially adopted ASU 2010-20's disclosure requirements effective January 1, 2010. ASU 2010-20 did not materially impact American National's consolidated financial statements but has expanded its disclosures related to mortgage loans. The residual portion of the guidance to be adopted on January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material impact on American National's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 ("ASU 2011-01"), which amended ASC 310. This update temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructuring required within ASU 2010-20. The delay is intended to allow the FASB time to complete its deliberations on what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. FASB expects the revised guidance to be effective for interim and annual periods that end after June 15, 2011. ASU 2011-01 is effective upon issuance. Accordingly, this update was retrospectively adopted on Decembe 31, 2010 and did not have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements.

## FUTURE ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

ASU 2010-06 guidance was bifurcated between two effective dates. The disclosure requirement for a reconciliation of Level 3 activities is effective for annual periods commencing after December 15, 2010. American National's adoption of this guidance effective January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements. Refer to the above discussion on ASU 2010-06 for further information.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-15, How Investments Held through Separate Accounts Affect an Insurer's Consolidation Analysis of Those Investments ("ASU 2010-15"), which amended ASC Subtopic 944-80, Financial Services - Insurance - Separate Accounts. ASU 2010-15 clarifies that an insurance entity should not consider any separate account interests held for the benefit of policyholders in an investment to be the insurer's interests and should not combine those interests with its general account interest in the same investment when assessing the investment for consolidation, unless the separate account interests are held for the benefit of a related party policyholder. This guidance also clarifies that for the purpose of evaluating whether the retention of specialized accounting for investments in consolidation is appropriate, a separate account arrangement should be considered a subsidiary. The amendments do not require an insurer to consolidate an investment in which a separate account holds a controlling financial interest if the investment is not or would not be consolidated in the stand-alone financial statements of the separate account. ASU 2010-15 is effective for interim and annual periods commencing after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted and guidance is to be applied retrospectively to all prior periods upon adoption. American National's adoption of this guidance effective January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2010-20 was bifurcated between two effective dates. The disclosure requirements for activities that occur during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual periods commencing after December 15, 2010. American National's adoption of this guidance effective January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements. Refer to the above discussion on ASU 2010-20 for further information.

ASU 2011-01 temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures requirements about troubled debt restructuring in ASU 2010-20. FASB will be issuing revised disclosure requirements on troubled debt restructuring which it anticipates to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011. Refer to the above discussion on ASU 2010-20 and ASU 2011-01 for further information.

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-26, Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts (ASU 2010-26), which amended ASC Topic 944, Financial Services - Insurance. The new guidance redefines the term "acquisition cost" and added the term "incremental direct cost of contract acquisition" to the master glossary. These changes limit the deferrable cost to those costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of insurance contracts and those that result directly from and are essential to the contract acquisition and costs that would have not been incurred had the contract acquisition not occurred. The new guidance also specifies that advertising costs should be deferred only if the capitalization criteria for directresponse advertising under ASC Subtopic 340-20, Other Assets and Deferred Costs - Capitalized Advertising Costs are met. ASU 2010-26 is effective for interim and annual periods, commencing after December 15, 2011. Accordingly, this guidance is expected to be adopted by American National on January 1, 2012. American National is currently assessing the effect that ASU 2010-26 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations ("ASU 2010-29"), which amended ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations ("ASC 805 "). The objective of this guidance is to eliminate diversity in the interpretation of pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The guidance specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The guidance also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures under ASC 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination(s) included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. ASU 2010-29 is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date occurs following the first annual reporting period which commences after December 15, 2010. The guidance is required in interim and annual reporting periods. Early adoption is permitted. American National's adoption of this guidance effective January 1, 2011 is not expected to have a material effect on American National's consolidated financial statements.

## 3. INVESTMENTS

The cost or amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized <br> Gains |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gross } \\ & \text { Unrealized } \\ & \text { Losses } \end{aligned}$ |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 23,117 | \$ | 288 | \$ | - | \$ | 23,405 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | 422,249 |  | 7,117 |  | $(6,920)$ |  | 422,446 |
| Foreign governments | 29,020 |  | 4,910 |  | - |  | 33,930 |
| Corporate debt securities | 7,293,501 |  | 478,353 |  | $(33,077)$ |  | 7,738,777 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 661,516 |  | 33,702 |  | $(3,398)$ |  | 691,820 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 31,340 |  | . |  | $(17,758)$ |  | 13,582 |
| Collateralized debt securities | 8,562 |  | 80 |  | (327) |  | 8,315 |
| Other debt securities | 44,245 |  | 3,314 |  | - |  | 47,559 |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity | 8,513,550 |  | 527,764 |  | $(61,480)$ |  | 8,979,834 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | 13,268 |  | 643 |  | (4) |  | 13,907 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | 583,163 |  | 15,142 |  | $(4,193)$ |  | 594,112 |
| Foreign governments | 5,000 |  | 1,967 |  | - |  | 6,967 |
| Corporate debt securities | 3,030,671 |  | 197,485 |  | $(26,587)$ |  | 3,201,569 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 259,560 |  | 13,250 |  | $(1,417)$ |  | 271,393 |
| Collateralized debt securities | 19,468 |  | 1,459 |  | (218) |  | 20,709 |
| Other debt securities | 14,187 |  | 769 |  | - |  | 14,956 |
| Total bonds available-for-sale | 3,925,317 |  | 230,715 |  | (32,419) |  | 4,123,613 |
| Total fixed maturity securities | 12,438,867 |  | 758,479 |  | $(93,899)$ |  | 13,103,447 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | 154,106 |  | 63,538 |  | $(1,052)$ |  | 216,592 |
| Energy and utilities | 121,727 |  | 72,471 |  | (933) |  | 193,265 |
| Finance | 119,975 |  | 55,175 |  | $(1,571)$ |  | 173,579 |
| Healthcare | 78,256 |  | 31,907 |  | $(1,654)$ |  | 108,509 |
| Industrials | 59,856 |  | 47,649 |  | - |  | 107,505 |
| Information technology | 108,178 |  | 62,284 |  | (161) |  | 170,301 |
| Materials | 16,469 |  | 15,540 |  | - |  | 32,009 |
| Telecommunication services | 31,678 |  | 12,484 |  | (34) |  | 44,128 |
| Total common stock | 690,245 |  | 361,048 |  | $(5,405)$ |  | 1,045,888 |
| Preferred stock | 30,420 |  | 6,714 |  | (267) |  | 36,867 |
| Total equity securities | 720,665 |  | 367,762 |  | $(5,672)$ |  | 1,082,755 |
| Total investments in securities | \$ 13,159,532 | \$ | 1,126,241 | \$ | $\underline{(99,571)}$ | \$ | 14,186,202 |


|  | Year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost or Amortized Cost | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \end{gathered}$Gains |  |  | ealized <br> sses | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Fixed maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 21,222 | \$ | 183 | \$ | (58) | \$ | 21,347 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | 240,403 |  | 8,619 |  | $(1,144)$ |  | 247,878 |
| Foreign governments | 28,997 |  | 3,606 |  |  |  | 32,603 |
| Corporate debt securities | 6,390,377 |  | 327,535 |  | $(73,856)$ |  | 6,644,056 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 693,178 |  | 24,650 |  | $(21,856)$ |  | 695,972 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 33,128 |  | . |  | $(23,941)$ |  | 9,187 |
| Collateralized debt securities | 9,627 |  | 85 |  | $(1,036)$ |  | 8,676 |
| Other debt securities | 44,779 |  | 2,009 |  | (31) |  | 46,757 |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity | 7,461,711 |  | 366,687 |  | (121,922) |  | 7,706,476 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | 3,438 |  | 448 |  | - |  | 3,886 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | 540,210 |  | 18,869 |  | $(1,044)$ |  | 558,035 |
| Foreign governments | 5,000 |  | 1,188 |  | . |  | 6,188 |
| Corporate debt securities | 3,196,202 |  | 126,742 |  | $(69,932)$ |  | 3,253,012 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 353,729 |  | 8,507 |  | $(6,671)$ |  | 355,565 |
| Collateralized debt securities | 23,064 |  | 983 |  | $(1,553)$ |  | 22,494 |
| Other debt securities | 14,401 |  | 225 |  | (256) |  | 14,370 |
| Total bonds available-for-sale | 4,136,044 |  | 156,962 |  | (79,456) |  | 4,213,550 |
| Total fixed maturity securities | 11,597,755 |  | 523,649 |  | $(201,378)$ |  | 11,920,026 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | 129,363 |  | 47,093 |  | $(2,336)$ |  | 174,120 |
| Energy and utilities | 83,284 |  | 42,939 |  | $(1,453)$ |  | 124,770 |
| Finance | 118,622 |  | 40,296 |  | $(2,174)$ |  | 156,744 |
| Healthcare | 81,454 |  | 29,767 |  | $(1,100)$ |  | 110,121 |
| Industrials | 58,900 |  | 28,887 |  | (357) |  | 87,430 |
| Information technology | 102,171 |  | 48,413 |  | (422) |  | 150,162 |
| Materials | 17,875 |  | 7,317 |  | (22) |  | 25,170 |
| Mutual funds | 59,853 |  | 6,426 |  | (77) |  | 66,202 |
| Telecommunication services | 32,272 |  | 8,118 |  | (355) |  | 40,035 |
| Total common stock | 683,794 |  | 259,256 |  | $(8,296)$ |  | 934,754 |
| Preferred stock | 35,359 |  | 5,269 |  | $(4,911)$ |  | 35,717 |
| Total equity securities | 719,153 |  | 264,525 |  | $(13,207)$ |  | 970,471 |
| Total investments in securities | \$ 12,316,908 | \$ | 788,174 | \$ | $\underline{(214,585)}$ | \$ | 12,890,497 |

## Investment securities

Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, which are not due at a single maturity, have been allocated to their respective categories based on the year of final contractual maturity. The amortized cost and estimated fair value, by contractual maturity of fixed maturity securities are shown below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bonds Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  | Bonds Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated FairValue |  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated FairValue |  |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 444,961 | \$ | 455,798 | \$ | 226,340 | \$ | 229,529 |
| Due after one year through five years |  | 3,995,818 |  | 4,273,860 |  | 1,873,992 |  | 1,990,183 |
| Due after five years through ten years |  | 2,992,353 |  | 3,155,544 |  | 1,248,564 |  | 1,309,798 |
| Due after ten years |  | 1,074,567 |  | 1,089,707 |  | 571,421 |  | 589,491 |
|  |  | 8,507,699 |  | 8,974,909 |  | 3,920,317 |  | 4,119,001 |
| Without single maturity date |  | 5,851 |  | 4,925 |  | 5,000 |  | 4,612 |
| Total | \$ | 8,513,550 | \$ | 8,979,834 | \$ | 3,925,317 | \$ | 4,123,613 |

Available-for-sale securities are sold throughout the year for various reasons. All gains and losses were determined using specific identification of the securities sold. Proceeds from the sales of these securities, with the realized gains and losses, are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | \$ 435,293 | \$ | 265,732 | \$ | 135,623 |
| Gross realized gains | 51,248 |  | 42,101 |  | 22,496 |
| Gross realized losses | $(3,590)$ |  | $(11,351)$ |  | $(31,304)$ |

In 2010, securities with amortized costs of $\$ 27,811,000$ were transferred from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale due to evidence of a significant deterioration in the issuers' creditworthiness. An unrealized gain of $\$ 71,000$ was established at the time of the transfer. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2010, a security with a carrying value of $\$ 14,451,000$ was sold from held-to-maturity due to evidence of a significant deterioration of the issuers' creditworthiness. A realized gain of $\$ 303,000$ was realized on this sale.

In 2009, securities with amortized costs of $\$ 4,222,000$ were transferred from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale due to evidence of a significant deterioration in the issuers' creditworthiness. An unrealized loss of $\$ 407,000$ was established at the time of the transfer.

In accordance with various government and state regulations, American National and its wholly-owned insurance subsidiaries had bonds with amortized costs of $\$ 36,432,000$ at December 31, 2010, on deposit with appropriate regulatory authorities.

## Derivative Instruments

American National purchases derivative contracts (equity-indexed options) that serve as economic hedges against fluctuations in the equity markets to which equity-indexed annuity products are exposed. Equity-indexed annuities include a fixed host annuity contract and an embedded equity derivative. These derivative instruments are not designated as hedges. The following tables detail the estimated fair value and the gain or loss on derivatives related to equity-indexed annuities (in thousands):

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Asset (Liability) Reported in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | December 31, |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Equity-indexed options | Other invested assets | \$ | 66,716 | \$ | 32,801 |
| Equity-indexed annuity embedded derivative | Future policy benefits - Annuity |  | $(59,644)$ |  | $(22,487)$ |


| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Gains (Losses) Recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations | Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income on Derivatives |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Equity-indexed options | Net investment income | \$ | 9,942 | \$ | 5,381 | \$ | $(24,400)$ |
| Equity-indexed annuity embedded derivative | Interest credited to policy account balances |  | $(6,604)$ |  | $(8,138)$ |  | 23,184 |

## Unrealized gains (losses) on securities

Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, presented in the stockholders' equity section of the consolidated statements of financial position, are net of deferred tax expense of $\$ 164,108,000$ and $\$ 101,408,000$ for 2010 and 2009, respectively, and a tax benefit of $\$ 84,029,000$, for 2008.

The change in the net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Bonds available-for-sale | \$ | 120,790 | \$ | 516,229 | \$ | $(393,429)$ |
| Preferred stocks |  | 6,089 |  | 12,254 |  | $(3,359)$ |
| Common stocks |  | 104,683 |  | 218,338 |  | $(295,988)$ |
| Adjustment to deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(52,650)$ |  | $(215,294)$ |  | 164,937 |
|  |  | 178,912 |  | 531,527 |  | $(527,839)$ |
| Less: Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes |  | 62,551 |  | 185,700 |  | $(185,273)$ |
|  |  | 116,361 |  | 345,827 |  | $(342,566)$ |
| Change in unrealized (gains) losses of investments attributable to participating policyholders' interest |  | $(7,355)$ |  | $(13,140)$ |  | 10,738 |
| Cumulative adjustment for accounting change <br> on OTTI on fixed maturity securities $\quad-\quad-\quad 50,411$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 109,006 | \$ | 383,098 | \$ | $(331,828)$ |

Gross unrealized losses on investment securities and the fair value of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 12 months |  |  | 12 Months or more |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| Fixed maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | \$ 6,898 | \$ | 195,634 | \$ | 22 | \$ | 878 | \$ | 6,920 | \$ | 196,512 |
| Corporate debt securities | 22,493 |  | 912,554 |  | 10,584 |  | 128,721 |  | 33,077 |  | 1,041,275 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 579 |  | 57,160 |  | 2,819 |  | 64,798 |  | 3,398 |  | 121,958 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | - |  | - |  | 17,758 |  | 13,583 |  | 17,758 |  | 13,583 |
| Collateralized debt securities | - |  | - |  | 327 |  | 5,465 |  | 327 |  | 5,465 |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity | 29,970 |  | 1,165,348 |  | 31,510 |  | 213,445 |  | 61,480 |  | 1,378,793 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | 4 |  | 7,040 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |  | 7,040 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states | 4,193 |  | 151,860 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,193 |  | 151,860 |
| Corporate debt securities | 8,378 |  | 249,240 |  | 18,209 |  | 159,227 |  | 26,587 |  | 408,467 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 81 |  | 26,909 |  | 1,336 |  | 29,393 |  | 1,417 |  | 56,302 |
| Collateralized debt securities | . |  | - |  | 218 |  | 4,664 |  | 218 |  | 4,664 |
| Total bonds available-for-sale | 12,656 |  | 435,049 |  | 19,763 |  | 193,284 |  | 32,419 |  | 628,333 |
| Total fixed maturity securities | 42,626 |  | 1,600,397 |  | 51,273 |  | 406,729 |  | 93,899 |  | 2,007,126 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | 440 |  | 25,333 |  | 612 |  | 19,419 |  | 1,052 |  | 44,752 |
| Energy and utilities | 642 |  | 7,093 |  | 291 |  | 1,289 |  | 933 |  | 8,382 |
| Finance | 1,217 |  | 7,954 |  | 354 |  | 11,204 |  | 1,571 |  | 19,158 |
| Healthcare | 813 |  | 14,927 |  | 841 |  | 5,523 |  | 1,654 |  | 20,450 |
| Industrials | - |  | 7 |  | - |  | - |  | . |  | 7 |
| Information technology | 156 |  | 2,013 |  | 5 |  | 44 |  | 161 |  | 2,057 |
| Materials | . |  | 61 |  | . |  | - |  | . |  | 61 |
| Telecommunications services | 34 |  | 393 |  | - |  | - |  | 34 |  | 393 |
| Total common stock | 3,302 |  | 57,781 |  | 2,103 |  | 37,479 |  | 5,405 |  | 95,260 |
| Preferred stock | 231 |  | 6,133 |  | 36 |  | 4,464 |  | 267 |  | 10,597 |
| Total Equity securities | 3,533 |  | 63,914 |  | 2,139 |  | 41,943 |  | 5,672 |  | 105,857 |
| Total investments in securities | \$ 46,159 | \$ | 1,664,311 | \$ | 53,412 | \$ | 448,672 | \$ | 99,571 | \$ | 2,112,983 |



For all investment securities with an unrealized loss, including those in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more, American National performs a quarterly analysis to determine if an OTTI loss should be recorded. As of December 31, 2010, the securities with unrealized losses did not meet management's criteria for OTTI. Even though the duration of the unrealized losses on some of the securities exceeds one year, American National has no intent to sell, and it is not more-likely-than-not that American National will be required to sell these securities prior to recovery. Recovery is expected in the near term for equity securities.

## Bonds

American National evaluates all bonds that have unrealized losses on a quarterly basis to determine if the creditworthiness of any of the bonds have deteriorated to a point that would prevent American National from realizing the full amount at maturity. For those bonds where management believes that the full amount will not be realized, an OTTI loss is recorded. At December 31, the unrealized losses on securities that were not other-than-temporarily impaired were the result of credit spread widening and significant liquidity discounts in an illiquid market. There were no delinquent coupon payments attributed to the bond portfolio at December 31, 2010. American National has the ability and intent to hold these bonds until a market price recovery or maturity and, therefore, these bonds are not considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. However, it is possible that the investee's ability to meet future contractual obligations or performance of underlying assets may be different from what American National determined during its analysis, which may lead to a different impairment conclusion in future periods.

## Equity securities

American National evaluates all equity securities in unrealized loss positions on a quarterly basis and recognizes an OTTI loss on all of those where a market price recovery is not expected in the near term. All securities, which have an unrealized loss, are also evaluated for credit quality, and OTTI are recognized for any securities, regardless of the length of time that they have had an unrealized loss, where management believes the carrying value will not be realized. For the remaining securities with unrealized losses, management believes the losses are temporary, and American National has the ability and intent to hold these securities until a market price recovery. However, it is possible that the investee's ability to perform in the future may be different from what American National determined during its analysis, which may lead to a different impairment conclusion in future periods.

## Mortgage loans

In general, mortgage loans are secured by first liens on income-producing real estate. The loans are expected to be repaid from the cash flows or proceeds from the sale of real estate. American National generally allows a maximum loan-to-collateral-value ratio of up to $75 \%$ on newly funded mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2010, mortgage loans have fixed rates from $5.2 \%$ to $12.0 \%$. The majority of the mortgage loan contracts require periodic payments of both principal and interest, and have amortization periods of 6 months to 30 years.

In July 2010, American National agreed to modify the terms of a $5.80 \%$, $\$ 4.7$ million mortgage loan receivable due in 2020. Under the modified terms, American National has agreed to reduce the amount of the loan. This modification resulted in a mortgage loan write-off of $\$ 1.7$ million in 2010. Interest income of $\$ 230,000$ has been recorded in 2010 on the restructured loan. Had the terms of the loan not been modified, interest income of $\$ 248,000$ would have been recorded.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, total non-cash transactions were $\$ 30.5$ million. This amount includes one mortgage loan which was foreclosed upon and transferred to investment real estate totaling $\$ 2.0$ million and one transfer to investment real estate related to a mortgage loan payoff totaling $\$ 28.5$ million. Non-cash transactions during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 totaled $\$ 24.6$ million in foreclosed mortgage loans which were transferred to investment real estate.

## Policy loans

All of American National's policy loans carried interest rates ranging from 4.5\% to 8.0\% at December 31, 2010.

## Net investment income and realized investments gains (losses)

Net investment income and realized investments gains (losses), before federal income taxes are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Net Investment Income |  |  |  |  |  | Realized Investments Gains (Losses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Bonds | \$ | 652,564 | \$ | 627,236 | \$ | 623,356 | \$ | 34,330 | \$ | $(9,954)$ | \$ | 8,531 |
| Preferred stocks |  | 2,750 |  | 3,419 |  | 5,687 |  | $(2,262)$ |  | $(1,590)$ |  | $(5,307)$ |
| Common stocks |  | 22,561 |  | 22,996 |  | 28,242 |  | 29,865 |  | 38,634 |  | $(7,849)$ |
| Mortgage loans |  | 179,332 |  | 141,124 |  | 118,067 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Real estate |  | 107,929 |  | 122,603 |  | 114,198 |  | 10,101 |  | 1,523 |  | 1,750 |
| Options |  | 11,933 |  | 5,380 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other invested assets |  | 41,333 |  | 41,165 |  | 12,123 |  | (99) |  | 269 |  | $(5,977)$ |
|  |  | 1,018,402 |  | 963,923 |  | 901,673 |  | 71,935 |  | 28,882 |  | $(8,852)$ |
| Investment expenses |  | $(106,487)$ |  | $(124,146)$ |  | $(106,231)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Decrease (increase) in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 911,915 | \$ | 839,777 | \$ | 795,442 | \$ | 79,728 | \$ | 5,248 | \$ | $(13,483)$ |

## Other-than-temporary impairments

The other-than-temporary impairments for the periods indicated are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Bonds | \$ |  | \$ | $(10,046)$ | \$ | $(165,803)$ |
| Stocks |  | $(5,666)$ |  | $(69,057)$ |  | $(199,748)$ |
| Total | \$ | $(5,666)$ | \$ | $(79,103)$ | \$ | $(365,551)$ |

All OTTI recognized on bonds were entirely comprised of credit losses. As discussed in Note 2, certain OTTI losses on bonds are bifurcated into two components: credit losses and non-credit losses. The net amount recognized in earnings ("credit loss impairments") represents the difference between the amortized cost of the bond and the net present value of its projected future cash flows discounted the effective interest rate implicit in the bond prior to impairment. Any remaining difference between the bond's fair value and amortized cost ("non-credit loss impairments") is recognized in other comprehensive income.

## 4. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

In the normal course of their investment activities, American National and its wholly-owned subsidiary, ANTAC, Inc., enter into various partnership agreements. Generally, American National and ANTAC enter into real estate partnerships presented to them by a sponsor, with the significant activities being conducted on behalf of the sponsor. American National and ANTAC participate in the design of these entities, but in most cases their involvement is limited to financing. Through analysis performed by American National, some of these partnerships have been determined to be variable interest entities ("VIEs"). In certain instances, in addition to an economic interest in the entity, American National holds the power to direct the most significant activities of the entity and, as such is deemed to be the primary beneficiary or consolidator of the entity. The assets of the consolidated VIEs are restricted and must be used first to settle the liabilities of the VIE. Creditors or beneficial interest holders of these VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of American National, as American National's obligation is limited to the amount of its committed investment. The following table presents the total assets and total liabilities relating to VIEs which American National has concluded that it is the primary beneficiary and which are consolidated in American National's financial statements for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Investment real estate | \$ | 156,441 | \$ | 146,329 |
| Short-term investments |  | 1,991 |  | 3,034 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 1,164 |  | 3,875 |
| Accrued investment income |  | 2,035 |  | 2,533 |
| Other receivables |  | 16,524 |  | 487 |
| Other assets |  | 3,884 |  | 5,675 |
| Total assets of consolidated VIEs |  | 182,039 |  | 161,933 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable |  | 161,126 |  | 157,875 |
| Other liabilities |  | 3,499 |  | 6,681 |
| Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs |  | 164,625 |  | 164,556 |

For the other partnerships American National and ANTAC are involved in, the major decisions that most significantly impact the economic activities of the partnership require unanimous consent of all partners and it was therefore determined that power was shared between the partners. As a result, American National is not deemed the primary beneficiary and these entities were not consolidated. The following table presents the carrying amount and maximum exposure to loss relating to VIEs for which American National holds significant variable interests but is not the primary beneficiary and which have not been consolidated (in thousands):


As described in Note 18, American National and its subsidiaries make commitments to fund partnership investments in the normal course of business. Excluding these commitments, American National did not provide financial or other support to investees designated as VIEs during the year ended December 31, 2010.

As a result of the adoption of VIE guidance, in 2010 American National consolidated certain partnerships which were previously not consolidated. The new guidance requires a qualitative rather than quantitative analysis of each VIE. The primary factors influencing the decision to consolidate include the company's ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance, with consideration also given to situations in which the company's economic interest in the VIE is disproportionately greater than
its stated power to direct those activities. In addition, American National also deconsolidated certain partnerships for which American National does not have the power to direct activities and for which they have concluded they are no longer the primary beneficiary. As a result of the consolidations and deconsolidations, American National made the following reclassifications to the consolidated statements of financial position as of January 1, 2010 (in thousands):

| Changes to selected financial statement line items | Assets |  | Liabilities and Equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment real estate, net of accumulated depreciation | \$ | $(127,155)$ | \$ |  |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliates |  | 18,227 |  | - |
| Mortgage loans on real estate, net of allowance |  | 73,519 |  | - |
| Current and Long-term portions of notes payable |  | - |  | $(16,139)$ |
| Other assets and liabilities, net |  | 9,652 |  | $(7,156)$ |
| Noncontrolling interest |  | - |  | $(2,462)$ |
| Total impact on financial position | \$ | $(25,757)$ | \$ | $(25,757)$ |

## 5. CREDIT LOSSES

A financing receivable is a contractual right to receive money on demand or on fixed or determinable dates that is recognized as an asset in a company's statement of financial position. American National's mortgage loans on real estate are the only financing receivables reported by American National.

## Nonaccrual and Past Due Mortgage Loans

Interest ceases to be accrued for loans on which interest is more than 90 days past due and/or when the collection of interest is not considered probable. Loans in foreclosure are also placed on non-accrual status. Interest received on non-accrual status mortgage loans is included in net investment income in the period received. Once a loan becomes current, it is placed back into accrual status.

The amount of mortgage loans placed on nonaccrual status is shown in the table below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Commercial mortgages |  |  |
| Retail | $\$$ | 3,685 |
| Total | $\$$ | 3,685 |

The age analysis of past due mortgage loans is shown in the table below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 60-89 Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Greater Than } \\ 90 \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Past } \\ & \text { Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | Current |  | TotalMortgage Loans |  |
| Commerical Mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 798,651 | \$ | 798,651 |
| Industrial |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 935,540 |  | 935,540 |
| Retail |  | 8,579 |  | - |  | 3,685 |  | 12,264 |  | 530,267 |  | 542,531 |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 607,693 |  | 607,693 |
| Total | \$ | 8,579 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,685 | \$ | 12,264 | \$ | 2,872,151 | \$ | 2,884,415 |

## Allowance for Credit Losses

Each loan is evaluated quarterly and placed on a watchlist if it is determined that events occurred or circumstances exist that could indicate we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If, in evaluating loans for inclusion on the watchlist, sufficient analysis is performed to ensure that a loan has no collectability issue, those loans do not require any allowance. All loans on the watchlist are then analyzed individually for impairment. Fair value is determined based on the present value of future cash flows or by estimating the fair value of the underlying collateral. Estimation techniques vary depending on the quality of available data, the type of collateral, and other factors. When the fair value analysis shows that all of the amounts due are not collectable, the difference between the estimated fair value and the loan balance is recorded as an allowance (a loss), reducing the carrying amount of the loan. The fair value and the amount of the allowance are reviewed quarterly to determine whether further allowance is required, or whether recovery of the asset is assured and the allowance can be reduced.

Loans that are not evaluated individually for collectability are segregated by collateral property type and location and allowance factors are applied. These factors are developed annually, and reviewed quarterly based on our historical loss experience adjusted for the expected trend in the rate of foreclosure losses. Allowance factors are higher for loans of certain types and in certain regions (based on loss experience). When no losses have been experienced in a given region/type, a blended historical loss factor is applied. The blended factor is adjusted up or down based on the anticipated trend in foreclosure loss rates. Additionally, the loss factors are compared to insurance industry foreclosure loss experience by type and region. If industry experience is worse than American National's experience for a given region/type, a higher factor is applied. Receivables are charged off as uncollectable only when the receivable is forgiven by a legal agreement. Prior to charging off the receivable, an allowance is recorded based on the estimated recoverable amount. Upon forgiveness, the allowance is reduced, and the loan balance is reduced which result in no further gain or loss.

The allowance for credit losses and recorded investment in mortgage loans are shown in the table below (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial Mortgage Loans |  |
| Allowance for credit losses: |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 13,788 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 2,393 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 11,395 |
| Mortgage Loans: |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 2,884,415 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 341,024 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 2,543,391 |

## Impaired loans

Mortgage loans on real estate are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We closely monitor our commercial mortgage loan portfolio on a loan-by-loan basis. Loans with an estimated collateral value less than the loan balance, as well as loans with other characteristics indicative of higher than normal credit risks are reviewed at least quarterly for purposes of establishing valuation allowances and placing loans on non-accrual status as necessary. The valuation allowance account for mortgage loans on real estate is maintained at a level believed adequate by management and reflects management's best estimate of probable credit losses, including losses incurred at the reporting date but not yet identified by specific loan. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for losses is based on past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of the underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors.

The detail of impaired loans is shown in the table below (in thousands):


## Credit Quality Indicators

The credit quality of the mortgage loan portfolio is assessed monthly to determine the credit risk of each borrower. A loan is classified as performing or non-performing based on whether all of the contractual terms of the loan have been met. As of December 31, 2010, there were two retail loans that were classified as non-performing totaling $\$ 10,318,000$. All other loans were classified as performing.

## 6. CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

American National employs a strategy to invest funds at the highest return possible commensurate with sound and prudent underwriting practices to ensure a well-diversified investment portfolio.

## Bonds

Management believes American National's bond portfolio is diversified and of investment grade. The bond portfolio distributed by credit quality rating, using both S\&P and Moody's ratings, is shown below:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| AAA | 10.0 \% | 11.6 \% |
| AA | 10.2 | 8.1 |
| A | 37.0 | 35.7 |
| BBB | 37.2 | 37.2 |
| $B \mathrm{~B}$ and below | 5.6 | 7.4 |
| Total | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

## Common stock

American National's common stock portfolio by market sector distribution is shown below:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Consumer goods | 20.7 \% | 18.6 \% |
| Energy and utilities | 18.5 | 13.3 |
| Financials | 16.6 | 16.8 |
| Information technology | 16.3 | 16.1 |
| Healthcare | 10.4 | 11.8 |
| Industrials | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| Communications | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| Materials | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Mutual funds | 0.0 | 7.1 |
| Total | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

## Mortgage loans and investment real estate

American National makes mortgage loans and invests in real estate primarily in the commercial sector in areas that offer the potential for property value appreciation. Generally, mortgage loans are secured by first liens on income-producing real estate. American National attempts to maintain a diversified portfolio of mortgage loans and real estate properties by considering the property type as well as the geographic distribution of the property which is the underlying mortgage collateral or investment property.

Mortgage loans and investment real estate by property type distribution are as follows:

|  | Mortgage Loans |  | Investment Real Estate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, |  | December 31, |  |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Industrial | 31.5 \% | 28.1 \% | 24.1 \% | 36.8 \% |
| Office buildings | 29.3 | 31.3 | 20.8 | 15.1 |
| Shopping centers | 17.3 | 18.6 | 35.6 | 18.7 |
| Hotels and motels | 12.5 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Other | 9.4 | 7.0 | 17.5 | 27.6 |
| Total | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

Mortgage loans and investment real estate investments by geographic distribution are as follows:

|  | Mortgage Loans |  | Investment Real Estate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, |  | December 31, |  |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| West South Central | 23.0 \% | 22.4 \% | 61.2 \% | 58.4 \% |
| East North Central | 20.4 | 19.8 | 5.6 | 7.8 |
| South Atlantic | 19.3 | 20.3 | 18.4 | 12.5 |
| Pacific | 9.4 | 9.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Mountain | 7.4 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| East South Central | 6.5 | 5.9 | 10.1 | 7.4 |
| Middle Atlantic | 6.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 10.2 |
| New England | 3.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| West North Central | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Other | 0.6 | - | - | - |
| Total | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

## 7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments are shown below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ | 23,117 | \$ | 23,405 | \$ | 21,222 | \$ | 21,347 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign governments |  | 29,020 |  | 33,930 |  | 28,997 |  | 32,603 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 7,293,501 |  | 7,738,777 |  | 6,390,377 |  | 6,644,056 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 661,516 |  | 691,820 |  | 693,178 |  | 695,972 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 31,340 |  | 13,582 |  | 33,128 |  | 9,187 |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 8,562 |  | 8,315 |  | 9,627 |  | 8,676 |
| Other debt securities |  | 44,245 |  | 47,559 |  | 44,779 |  | 46,757 |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity |  | 8,513,550 |  | 8,979,834 |  | 7,461,711 |  | 7,706,476 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign governments |  | 6,967 |  | 6,967 |  | 6,188 |  | 6,188 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 3,201,569 |  | 3,201,569 |  | 3,253,012 |  | 3,253,012 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 271,393 |  | 271,393 |  | 355,565 |  | 355,565 |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 20,709 |  | 20,709 |  | 22,494 |  | 22,494 |
| Other debt securities |  | 14,956 |  | 14,956 |  | 14,370 |  | 14,370 |
| Total bonds available-for-sale |  | 4,123,613 |  | 4,123,613 |  | 4,213,550 |  | 4,213,550 |
| Total fixed maturity securities |  | 12,637,163 |  | 13,103,447 |  | 11,675,261 |  | 11,920,026 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods |  | 216,592 |  | 216,592 |  | 174,120 |  | 174,120 |
| Energy and utilities |  | 193,265 |  | 193,265 |  | 124,770 |  | 124,770 |
| Finance |  | 173,579 |  | 173,579 |  | 156,744 |  | 156,744 |
| Healthcare |  | 108,509 |  | 108,509 |  | 110,121 |  | 110,121 |
| Industrials |  | 107,505 |  | 107,505 |  | 87,430 |  | 87,430 |
| Information technology |  | 170,301 |  | 170,301 |  | 150,162 |  | 150,162 |
| Materials |  | 32,009 |  | 32,009 |  | 25,170 |  | 25,170 |
| Mutual funds |  | - |  | - |  | 66,202 |  | 66,202 |
| Telecommunication services |  | 44,128 |  | 44,128 |  | 40,035 |  | 40,035 |
| Preferred stock |  | 36,867 |  | 36,867 |  | 35,717 |  | 35,717 |
| Total equity securities |  | 1,082,755 |  | 1,082,755 |  | 970,471 |  | 970,471 |
| Options |  | 66,716 |  | 66,716 |  | 32,801 |  | 32,801 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate, net of allowance |  | 2,679,909 |  | 2,865,187 |  | 2,229,659 |  | 2,267,157 |
| Policy loans |  | 380,505 |  | 380,505 |  | 364,354 |  | 364,354 |
| Short-term investments |  | 486,206 |  | 486,206 |  | 636,823 |  | 636,823 |
| Total financial assets | \$ | 17,333,254 | \$ | 17,984,816 | \$ | 15,909,369 | \$ | 16,191,632 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment contracts | \$ | 8,586,041 | \$ | 8,586,041 | \$ | 7,828,243 | \$ | 7,828,243 |
| Liability for embedded derivatives of equity-indexed annuities |  | 59,644 |  | 59,644 |  | 22,487 |  | 22,487 |
| Notes payable |  | 60,140 |  | 60,140 |  | 73,842 |  | 73,842 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$ | 8,705,825 | \$ | 8,705,825 | \$ | 7,924,572 | \$ | 7,924,572 |

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability. A fair value hierarchy is used to determine fair value based on a hypothetical transaction at the measurement date from the perspective of a market participant. An asset or liability's classification within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input to its valuation. The input levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. American National defines active markets based on average trading volume for equity securities. The size of the bid/ask spread is used as an indicator of market activity for fixed maturity securities.

Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs that are observable directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities other than quoted prices in Level 1; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Unobservable inputs reflect American National's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models and third-party evaluation, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

American National has evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Based on the results of this evaluation and investment class analysis, each price was classified into Level 1, 2, or 3.

American National utilizes a pricing service to estimate fair value measurements for approximately $99.0 \%$ of fixed maturity securities. The pricing service utilizes market quotations for fixed maturity securities that have quoted prices in active markets. Since fixed maturities generally do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements for these securities using its proprietary pricing applications, which include available relevant market information, benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing. Additionally, the pricing service uses an Option Adjusted Spread model to develop prepayment and interest rate scenarios.

The pricing service evaluates each asset class based on relevant market information, relevant credit information, perceived market movements and sector news. The market inputs utilized in the pricing evaluation, listed in the approximate order of priority, include: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, reference data, and economic events. The extent of the use of each market input depends on the asset class and the market conditions. Depending on the security, the priority of the use of inputs may change or some market inputs may not be relevant. For some securities, additional inputs may be necessary.

American National has reviewed the inputs and methodology used by the pricing service and the techniques applied by the pricing service to produce quotes that represent the fair value of a specific security. The review of the pricing services methodology confirms the service is utilizing information from organized transactions or a technique that represents a market participant's assumptions. American National does not adjust quotes received by the pricing service.

The pricing service utilized by American National has indicated that they will only produce an estimate of fair value if there is objectively verifiable information available. If the pricing service discontinues pricing an investment, American National would be required to produce an estimate of fair value using some of the same methodologies as the pricing service, but would have to make assumptions for market-based inputs that are unavailable due to market conditions.

The fair value estimates of most fixed maturity investments including municipal bonds are based on observable market information rather than market quotes. Accordingly, the estimates of fair value for such fixed maturities provided by the pricing service are included in the amount disclosed in Level 2 of the hierarchy.

Additionally, American National holds a small amount of fixed maturities that have characteristics that make them unsuitable for matrix pricing. For these fixed securities, a quote from a broker (typically a market maker) is obtained. Due to the disclaimers on the quotes that indicate that the price is indicative only, American National includes these fair value estimates in Level 3. The pricing of certain private placement debt also includes significant non-observable inputs, the internally determined credit rating of the security and an externally provided credit spread, and are classified in Level 3.

For public common and preferred stocks, American National receives prices from a nationally recognized pricing service that are based on observable market transactions and these securities are disclosed in Level 1. For certain, preferred stock held, current market quotes in active markets are unavailable. In these instances, American National receives an estimate of fair value from the pricing service that provides fair value estimates for the fixed maturity securities. The service utilizes some of the same methodologies to price the preferred stocks as it does for the fixed maturities. These estimates for equity securities are disclosed in Level 2.

Some assets and liabilities do not fit the hierarchical model for determining fair value. For policy loans, the carrying amount approximates their fair value, because the policy loans cannot be separated from the policy contract. The fair value of investment contract liabilities is determined in accordance with GAAP rules on insurance products and is estimated using a discounted cash flow model, assuming the companies' current interest rates on new products. The carrying value for these contracts approximates their fair value. The carrying amount for notes payable approximates their fair value.

The quantitative disclosures regarding fair value hierarchy measurements of our financial assets and liabilities are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Fair Value Measurement as of December 31, 2010 Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated FairValue atDecember 31, 2010 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) |  | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ | 23,405 | \$ | - | \$ | 23,405 | \$ | - |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |
| Foreign governments |  | 33,930 |  | - |  | 33,930 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 7,738,777 |  | - |  | 7,680,834 |  | 57,943 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 691,820 |  | - |  | 689,487 |  | 2,333 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 13,582 |  | - |  | 13,582 |  | - |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 8,315 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,315 |
| Other debt securities |  | 47,559 |  | - |  | 47,559 |  | - |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity |  | 8,979,834 |  | - |  | 8,911,105 |  | 68,729 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies |  | 13,907 |  | - |  | 13,907 |  | - |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign governments |  | 6,967 |  | - |  | 6,967 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 3,201,569 |  | - |  | 3,182,625 |  | 18,944 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 271,393 |  | - |  | 271,376 |  | 17 |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 20,709 |  | - |  | 20,447 |  | 262 |
| Other debt securities |  | 14,956 |  | - |  | 14,956 |  | - |
| Total bonds available-for-sale |  | 4,123,613 |  | - |  | 4,101,865 |  | 21,748 |
| Total fixed maturity securities |  | 13,103,447 |  | - |  | 13,012,970 |  | 90,477 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods |  | 216,592 |  | 216,592 |  | - |  | - |
| Energy and utilities |  | 193,265 |  | 193,265 |  | - |  | - |
| Finance |  | 173,579 |  | 173,579 |  | - |  | - |
| Healthcare |  | 108,509 |  | 108,509 |  | - |  | - |
| Industrials |  | 107,505 |  | 107,505 |  | - |  | - |
| Information technology |  | 170,301 |  | 170,301 |  | - |  | - |
| Materials |  | 32,009 |  | 32,009 |  | - |  | - |
| Telecommunication services |  | 44,128 |  | 44,128 |  | - |  | - |
| Preferred stock |  | 36,867 |  | 36,867 |  | - |  |  |
| Total equity securities |  | 1,082,755 |  | 1,082,755 |  | - |  | - |
| Options |  | 66,716 |  | - |  | - |  | 66,716 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate |  | 2,865,187 |  | - |  | 2,865,187 |  | - |
| Short-term investments |  | 486,206 |  | - |  | 486,206 |  | - |
| Total financial assets | \$ | 17,604,311 | \$ | 1,082,755 | \$ | 16,364,363 | \$ | 157,193 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liability for embedded derivatives of equity-indexed annuities | \$ | 59,644 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 59,644 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$ | 59,644 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 59,644 |


| Financial assets: | Estimated FairValue atDecember 31, 2009 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) |  | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Maturity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ | 21,347 | \$ | - | \$ | 21,347 | \$ |  |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions of the states |  | 247,878 |  | - |  | 247,878 |  | - |
| Foreign governments |  | 32,603 |  | - |  | 32,603 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 6,644,056 |  | - |  | 6,635,387 |  | 8,669 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 695,972 |  | - |  | 692,702 |  | 3,270 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities |  | 9,187 |  | - |  | 9,187 |  | - |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 8,676 |  | - |  | 624 |  | 8,052 |
| Other debt securities |  | 46,757 |  | - |  | 46,757 |  | - |
| Total bonds held-to-maturity |  | 7,706,476 |  | - |  | 7,686,485 |  | 19,991 |
| Bonds available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury and other U.S. government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign governments |  | 6,188 |  | - |  | 6,188 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 3,253,012 |  | - |  | 3,238,004 |  | 15,008 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 355,565 |  | - |  | 355,548 |  | 17 |
| Collateralized debt securities |  | 22,494 |  | - |  | 21,138 |  | 1,356 |
| Other debt securities |  | 14,370 |  | - |  | 14,370 |  | - |
| Total bonds available-for-sale |  | 4,213,550 |  | - |  | 4,197,169 |  | 16,381 |
| Total fixed maturity securities |  | 11,920,026 |  | - |  | 11,883,654 |  | 36,372 |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods |  | 174,120 |  | 174,120 |  | - |  | - |
| Energy and utilities |  | 124,770 |  | 124,770 |  | - |  | - |
| Finance |  | 156,744 |  | 156,744 |  | - |  | - |
| Healthcare |  | 110,121 |  | 110,121 |  | - |  | - |
| Industrials |  | 87,430 |  | 87,430 |  | - |  | - |
| Information technology |  | 150,162 |  | 150,162 |  | - |  | - |
| Materials |  | 25,170 |  | 25,170 |  | - |  | - |
| Mutual funds |  | 66,202 |  | 66,202 |  | - |  | - |
| Telecommunication services |  | 40,035 |  | 40,035 |  | - |  | - |
| Preferred stock |  | 35,717 |  | 35,123 |  | - |  | 594 |
| Total equity securities |  | 970,471 |  | 969,877 |  | - |  | 594 |
| Options |  | 32,801 |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 32,801 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate |  | 2,267,157 |  | - |  | 2,267,157 |  | - |
| Short-term investments |  | 636,823 |  | - |  | 636,823 |  | - |
| Total financial assets | \$ | 15,827,278 | \$ | 969,877 | \$ | 14,787,634 | \$ | 69,767 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liability for embedded derivatives of equity-indexed annuities |  | 22,487 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 22,487 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$ | 22,487 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 22,487 |

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the period, a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances is shown below at estimated fair value (in thousands):

|  | Investment Securities |  | Options |  | Liability for Embedded Derivatives |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ | 84,199 | \$ | 6,157 | \$ | $(6,208)$ | \$ | 84,148 |
| Total realized and unrealized investment gains (losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | 1,237 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,237 |
| Net fair value change included in realized gains (losses) |  | 416 |  | - |  | - |  | 416 |
| Net gain for derivatives included in net investment income |  | - |  | 5,381 |  | - |  | 5,381 |
| Net fair value change included in interest credited |  | - |  | - |  | $(16,279)$ |  | $(16,279)$ |
| Purchases and settlements/maturities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  | 1,435 |  | 21,263 |  | - |  | 22,698 |
| Sales |  | (559) |  | - |  | - |  | (559) |
| Settlements/maturities |  | (727) |  | - |  | - |  | (727) |
| Gross transfers into Level 3 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gross transfers out of Level 3 |  | $(49,035)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(49,035)$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ | 36,966 | \$ | 32,801 | \$ | $\underline{(22,487)}$ | \$ | 47,280 |
| Total realized and unrealized investment gains (losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | 1,461 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,461 |
| Net fair value change included in realized losses |  | (289) |  | - |  | - |  | (289) |
| Net gain for derivatives included in net investment income |  | - |  | 9,942 |  | - |  | 9,942 |
| Net fair value change included in interest credited |  | - |  | - |  | $(37,157)$ |  | $(37,157)$ |
| Purchases and settlements/maturities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  | 65,033 |  | 34,709 |  | - |  | 99,742 |
| Sales |  | $(10,000)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(10,000)$ |
| Settlements/maturities |  | $(2,362)$ |  | $(10,736)$ |  | - |  | $(13,098)$ |
| Gross transfers into Level 3 |  | 5,913 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,913 |
| Gross transfers out of Level 3 |  | $(6,245)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(6,245)$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ | 90,477 | \$ | 66,716 | \$ | $\underline{(59,644)}$ | \$ | 97,549 |

The transfers into Level 3 were the result of existing securities no longer being priced by the third-party pricing service. In accordance with American National's pricing methodology, these securities are being valued using similar techniques as the pricing service; however, the service-developed data is used in the process, which results in unobservable inputs and a corresponding transfer into Level 3.

The transfers out of Level 3 were securities now being priced by a third-party service, using inputs that are observable or derived from market data, which resulted in classification of these assets as Level 2.

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 fair value hierarchies.

## 8. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS

Deferred policy acquisition costs and premiums are shown below (in thousands):


Commissions comprise the majority of the additions to deferred policy acquisition costs for each year.
Acquisitions relate to the purchase of various insurance portfolios under assumption reinsurance agreements. All amounts for the present value of future profits resulting from the acquisition of life insurance portfolios have been accounted for in accordance with ASC 944-20-S99-2, Accounting for Intangible Assets Arising from Insurance Contracts Acquired in a Business Combination," and are immaterial in all periods presented.

Prior to its sale (see Note 19), acquisition costs for American National's Mexican subsidiary were maintained in their functional currency of Mexican pesos, and translated into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. Part of the change in deferred policy acquisition cost balance was due to differences in the exchange rate applied to the balance from period to period. The entire amount of this difference was reported in the shareholders' equity section of the consolidated statements of financial position.

## 9. LIABILITY FOR FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS AND POLICYHOLDER ACCOUNT BALANCES

American National establishes liabilities for amounts payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, traditional annuities and non-medical health insurance. Generally, amounts are payable over an extended period of time and related liabilities are calculated as the present value of expected benefits to be paid reduced by the present value of expected premiums. Such liabilities are established based on methods and underlying assumptions in accordance with GAAP and applicable actuarial standards. Principal assumptions used in the establishment of liabilities for future policy benefits are mortality, morbidity, policy lapse, renewal, retirement, disability incidence, disability terminations, investment returns, inflation, expenses and other contingent events as appropriate to the respective product type. Utilizing these assumptions, liabilities are established on a block of business basis.

Future policy benefits for non-participating traditional life insurance policies are equal to the aggregate of the present value of expected benefit payments and related expenses less the present value of expected net premiums. Assumptions as to mortality and persistency are based upon American National's experience when the basis of the liability is established. Interest rates for the aggregate future policy benefit liabilities range from $3.0 \%$ to $8.0 \%$.

Future policy benefit liabilities for acquired participating traditional life insurance policies are equal to the aggregate of (i) net level premium reserves for death and endowment policy benefits (calculated based upon the non-forfeiture interest rate, ranging from $2.5 \%$ to $5.5 \%$ ) and mortality rates guaranteed in calculating the cash surrender values described in such contracts); and (ii) the liability for terminal dividends. Participating business represented approximately 9.3\% of American National's life insurance in-force at December 31, 2010.

Future policy benefit liabilities for individual fixed deferred annuities after annuitization are equal to the present value of expected future payments. The interest rate used in establishing such liabilities is $5.0 \%$ for all policies in-force.

Future policy benefit liabilities for non-medical health insurance are calculated using the net level premium method and assumptions as to future morbidity, withdrawals and interest, which provide a margin for adverse deviation. Interest rates used in establishing such liabilities range from 3.5\% to 8.0\%.

Future policy benefit liabilities for disabled lives are estimated using the present value of benefits method and experience assumptions as to claim terminations, expenses and interest. Interest rates used in establishing such liabilities range from $3.0 \%$ to $7.5 \%$.

Liabilities for universal life secondary guarantees and paid-up guarantees are determined by estimating the expected value of death benefits payable when the account balance is projected to be zero and recognizing those benefits ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. American National regularly evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balances, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised. The assumptions used in estimating the secondary and paid-up guarantee liabilities are consistent with those used for amortizing DAC, and are thus subject to the same variability and risk. The assumptions of investment performance and volatility for variable products are consistent with historical Standard \& Poor's experience. The benefits used in calculating the liabilities are based on the average benefits payable over a range of scenarios.

American National periodically reviews its estimates of actuarial liabilities for future policy benefits and compares them with its actual experience. Differences between actual experience and the assumptions used in pricing these policies, guarantees and riders and in the establishment of the related liabilities result in variances in profit and could result in losses. The effects of changes in such estimated liabilities are included in the results of operations in the period in which the changes occur.

Policyholder account balances relate to investment-type contracts and universal life-type policies. Investment-type contracts principally include traditional individual fixed annuities in the accumulation phase and non-variable group annuity contracts. Policyholder account balances are equal to (i) policy account values, which consist of an accumulation of gross premium payments; (ii) credited interest, ranging from $2.0 \%$ to $5.5 \%$ (some annuities also offer a first year bonus ranging from 1.0\% to 8.0\%), less expenses, mortality charges, and withdrawals; and (iii) fair value adjustments relating to business combinations.

## 10. LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIM ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Liabilities for unpaid claims and claim expenses for property and casualty insurance are included in the liability for policy and contract claims and represent the amount estimated for claims that have been reported but not settled and claims incurred but not reported. Liabilities for unpaid claims are estimated based upon American National's historical experience and other actuarial assumptions that consider the effects of current developments, anticipated trends and risk management programs, reduced for anticipated salvage and subrogation. The effects of changes in such estimated liabilities are included in the results of operations in the period in which the changes occur.

Activities in the liability for accident and health and property and casualty unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses are shown below (in thousands):

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 1,219,233 | \$ | 1,320,272 | \$ | 1,265,500 |
| Less reinsurance recoverables | 266,530 |  | 380,520 |  | 364,715 |
| Net beginning balance | 952,703 |  | 939,752 |  | 900,785 |
| Incurred related to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 1,188,052 |  | 1,149,248 |  | 1,166,787 |
| Prior years | $(100,726)$ |  | $(29,811)$ |  | $(43,258)$ |
| Total incurred | 1,087,326 |  | 1,119,437 |  | 1,123,529 |
| Paid related to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 715,422 |  | 697,773 |  | 716,528 |
| Prior years | 346,040 |  | 408,713 |  | 368,034 |
| Total paid | 1,061,462 |  | 1,106,486 |  | 1,084,562 |
| Net balance | 978,567 |  | 952,703 |  | 939,752 |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables | 235,855 |  | 266,530 |  | 380,520 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 1,214,422 | \$ | 1,219,233 | \$ | 1,320,272 |

The balances at December 31 are included in Policy and contract claims in the consolidated statements of financial position.

The potential uncertainty generated by volatility in loss development profiles is adjusted for through the selection of loss development factor patterns for each line of insurance. The net and gross reserve calculations have shown favorable development for the last several years as a result of loss emergence compared to what was implied by the loss development patterns used in the original estimation of losses in prior years. Estimates for ultimate incurred claims and claims adjustment expenses attributable to insured events of prior years decreased by approximately $\$ 100,726,000$ in 2010, $\$ 29,811,000$ in 2009 and $\$ 43,258,000$ in 2008.

## 11. REINSURANCE

American National reinsures portions of certain life insurance policies written, thereby providing a greater diversification of risk and managing exposure on larger risks. The maximum amount that would be retained by one life insurance company (American National) would be $\$ 700,000$ individual life, $\$ 250,000$ individual accidental death, $\$ 100,000$ group life and $\$ 125,000$ credit life (total $\$ 1,175,000$ ). If individual, group and credit were in force in all companies at the same time, the maximum risk on any one life could be $\$ 2,425,000$.

For the Property and Casualty segment, American National retains the first $\$ 1,000,000$ of loss per risk. Reinsurance then covers the next $\$ 5,000,000$ of property and liability losses per risk. Additional excess property per risk coverage is purchased to cover risks up to $\$ 15,000,000$, and excess casualty clash coverage is maintained to cover losses up to $\$ 50,000,000$. Excess casualty clash covers losses incurred as a result of one casualty event involving multiple policies, excess policy limits, and excess contractual obligations. Corporate catastrophe coverage is also in place for up to a $\$ 500,000,000$ event with an additional $\$ 50,000,000$ for nonCalifornia earthquake events.

American National remains primarily liable with respect to any reinsurance ceded, and would bear the entire loss if the assuming companies were to be unable to meet their obligations under any reinsurance treaties.

American National had amounts receivable from reinsurers totaling $\$ 355,188,000$ and $\$ 371,654,000$ at December 31,2010 and 2009, respectively. Of this amount, $\$ 3,107,000$ and $\$ 3,527,000$, respectively, are the subject of litigation or are in dispute with the reinsurers involved. Management believes that any dispute that may arise would not have a material impact on American National's consolidated financial statements.

The amounts in the consolidated financial statements include the impact of reinsurance. Information regarding the effect of reinsurance is shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Direct premiums | \$ | 2,049,426 | \$ | 2,113,109 | \$ | 2,117,400 |
| Reinsurance premiums assumed from other companies |  | 101,357 |  | 182,848 |  | 215,189 |
| Reinsurance premiums ceded to other companies |  | $(272,875)$ |  | $(321,933)$ |  | $(444,094)$ |
| Net premiums | \$ | 1,877,908 | \$ | 1,974,024 | \$ | 1,888,495 |

Life insurance in force and related reinsurance amounts are shown below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Direct life insurance in-force | \$ 69,288,973 | \$ | 68,584,383 | \$ | 68,820,212 |
| Reinsurance risks assumed from other companies | 479,528 |  | 863,867 |  | 1,050,645 |
| Total life insurance in-force | 69,768,501 |  | 69,448,250 |  | 69,870,857 |
| Reinsurance risks ceded to other companies | $(31,616,049)$ |  | $(31,347,876)$ |  | (31,241,255) |
| Net life insurance in-force | \$ 38,152,452 | \$ | 38,100,374 | \$ | 38,629,602 |

## 12. NOTES PAYABLE

American National's real estate holding subsidiaries are partners in ventures determined to be a VIE and are consolidated in American National's consolidated financial statements. The current portion and long-term portion of the notes payable to third-party lenders associated with these consolidated VIEs were $\$ 47,632,000$ and $\$ 12,508,000$, respectively at December 31, 2010. The interest rate on the current portion of the notes payable is equivalent to the Wall Street Journal prime rate minus half of one percent. The average interest rate on the current portion of the notes payable in 2010 was $2.75 \%$. The long-term portion of the notes payable have interest rates equivalent to adjusted LIBOR plus $1.00 \%$ and $2.50 \%$ margins. The average interest rate on the long-term portion of the notes payable in 2010 was $4.63 \%$ and will mature in 2016 and 2049. Each of these notes is secured by the real estate owned through the respective venture entity, and American National's liability for these notes is limited to the amount of its investment in the respective venture, which totaled $\$ 21,224,000$ at December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2009, the current portion and long-term portion of the notes payable to third-party lenders associated with the consolidated VIEs were $\$ 34,297,000$ and $\$ 39,545,000$, respectively. These notes have interest rates ranging from $5.95 \%$ to $8.07 \%$ and maturities from 2010 to 2020. Each of these notes is secured by the real estate owned through the respective venture entity, and American National's liability for these notes is limited to the amount of its investment in the respective venture, which totaled $\$ 33,265,000$ at December 31, 2009. The outstanding notes payable as of December 31, 2009 were not settled during 2010; however, they were derecognized from American National's consolidated financial statements as a result of the adoption of ASU 2009-17, Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.

## 13. FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

The federal income tax provisions vary from the amounts computed when applying the statutory federal income tax rate. A reconciliation of the effective tax rate for American National to the statutory federal income tax rate is shown below (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
|  | Amount |  | Rate | Amount |  | Rate | Amount |  | Rate |
| Income tax expense (benefit) on pre-tax income | \$ | 70,918 | 35.0 \% | \$ | $(3,592)$ | 35.0 \% | \$ | $(105,322)$ | 35.0 \% |
| Tax-exempt investment income |  | $(8,852)$ | (4.4) |  | $(9,336)$ | 91.0 |  | $(8,770)$ | 2.9 |
| Dividend exclusion |  | $(5,173)$ | (2.6) |  | $(8,422)$ | 82.0 |  | $(12,002)$ | 4.0 |
| Miscellaneous tax credits, net |  | $(7,715)$ | (3.8) |  | $(6,963)$ | 67.8 |  | $(5,835)$ | 1.9 |
| Other items, net |  | 6,030 | 3.0 |  | $(2,715)$ | 26.5 |  | 9,535 | (3.1) |
| Total | \$ | 55,208 | 27.2 \% | \$ | (31,028) | 302.3 \% |  | $(122,394)$ | 40.7 \% |

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are shown below (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| DEFERRED TAX ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |
| Investments, principally due to impairment losses | \$ | 106,445 | \$ | 115,012 |
| Investment in real estate and other invested assets principally due to investment valuation allowances |  | 9,237 |  | 15,236 |
| Policyholder funds, principally due to policy reserve discount |  | 230,496 |  | 211,547 |
| Policyholder funds, principally due to unearned premium reserve |  | 31,840 |  | 31,312 |
| Non-qualified pension |  | 29,345 |  | 29,109 |
| Participating policyholders' surplus |  | 31,180 |  | 28,505 |
| Pension |  | 37,759 |  | 35,765 |
| Commissions and other expenses |  | 13,870 |  | 20,978 |
| Tax carryforwards |  | 26,599 |  | 8,666 |
| Other assets |  | - |  | 181 |
| Gross deferred tax assets |  | 516,771 |  | 496,311 |
| Valuation allowance |  | - |  | (400) |
| Net deferred tax assets |  | 516,771 |  | 495,911 |
| DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale securities, principally due to net unrealized gains |  | $(195,840)$ |  | $(114,861)$ |
| Investment in bonds, principally due to accrual of discount on bonds |  | $(16,639)$ |  | $(13,426)$ |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, due to difference between GAAP and tax amortization methods |  | $(350,981)$ |  | $(356,014)$ |
| Property, plant and equipment, principally due to difference between GAAP and tax depreciation methods |  | $(5,668)$ |  | $(6,576)$ |
| Other liabilities |  | $(1,380)$ |  | - |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities |  | $(570,508)$ |  | $(490,877)$ |
| Total net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ | $(53,737)$ | \$ | 5,034 |

Management believes that a sufficient level of taxable income will be achieved to utilize the net deferred tax assets of the companies in the consolidated federal tax return. However, if not utilized beforehand, approximately $\$ 26,599,000$ in ordinary loss tax carryforwards will expire at the end of tax year 2030.

American National maintained a reserve for unrecognized tax benefits in 2008. The reserve was removed during 2009 because the tax was fully settled. The reserve was included in the "Other Liabilities" line in the consolidated statements of financial position. The change in the reserve is shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Uncertain tax positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 4,618 |
| Settlements during the year |  | - |  |  |  | $(3,564)$ |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,054 |

American National recognizes interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. Interest expense and penalties are included in the "Other operating costs and expenses" line in the consolidated statements of operations. However, no interest expense was incurred as of December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009 while approximately $\$ 268,000$ was recognized as of December 31, 2008. Also, no provision for penalties was established for uncertain tax positions.

Management does not believe that there are any uncertain tax benefits that could be recognized within the next twelve months that would decrease American National's effective tax rate.

The statute of limitations for the examination of federal income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for years 2006 to 2009 has either been extended or has not expired. In the opinion of management, all prior year deficiencies have been paid or adequate provisions have been made for any tax deficiencies that may be upheld.

Federal income taxes totaling approximately $\$ 33,894,000$ were paid to the Internal Revenue Service in 2010. Federal income taxes netting to approximately $\$ 46,818,000$ were refunded by the IRS in 2009. Federal income taxes totaling approximately $\$ 14,572,000$ were paid to the Internal Revenue Service in 2008.

## 14. COMPONENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The items included in comprehensive income (loss), other than net income (loss), are unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities (net of adjustment to deferred policy acquisition costs), foreign exchange adjustments and pension liability adjustments. The details on the unrealized gains and losses included in comprehensive income (loss), and the related tax effects thereon, are shown below (in thousands):

## December 31, 2010

Total holding gain during the period
Reclassification adjustment for net gain realized in net income (loss) Unrealized gains on securities
Adjustment to deferred policy acquisition costs
Unrealized gain on investments attributable to participating policyholders' interest
Net unrealized gain component of comprehensive income

## December 31, 2009

Total holding gain during the period
Reclassification adjustment for net loss realized in net income (loss)
Unrealized gains on securities
Adjustment to deferred policy acquisition costs
Unrealized gain on investments attributable to participating policyholders' interest
Cumulative effect of change in accounting
Net unrealized gain component of comprehensive income

| Before Federal Income Tax |  | Federal Income Tax Expense |  | Net of Federal Income Tax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 279,566 | \$ | 97,815 | \$ | 181,751 |
|  | $(48,004)$ |  | $(16,836)$ |  | $(31,168)$ |
|  | 231,562 |  | 80,979 |  | 150,583 |
|  | $(52,650)$ |  | $(18,428)$ |  | $(34,222)$ |
|  | (11,315) |  | $(3,960)$ |  | $(7,355)$ |
| \$ | 167,597 | \$ | 58,591 | \$ | 109,006 |

## December 31, 2008

Total holding loss during the period
Reclassification adjustment for net loss realized in net income (loss) Unrealized loss on securities
Adjustment to deferred policy acquisition costs
Unrealized losses on investments attributable to participating policyholders' interest

Net unrealized gain component of comprehensive income

| \$ | 699,318 | \$ | 244,558 | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 454,760 \\ 31,009 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 47,503 |  | 16,494 |  |  |
|  | 746,821 |  | 261,052 |  | 485,769 |
|  | $(215,294)$ |  | $(75,352)$ |  | $(139,942)$ |
|  | $(20,215)$ |  | $(7,075)$ |  | $(13,140)$ |
|  | 77,555 |  | 27,144 |  | 50,411 |
| \$ | 588,867 | \$ | 205,769 | \$ | 383,098 |


| \$ | $(1,064,970)$ | \$ | $(372,840)$ | \$ | $(692,130)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 372,194 |  | 130,208 |  | 241,986 |
|  | $(692,776)$ |  | $(242,632)$ |  | $(450,144)$ |
|  | 164,937 |  | 57,359 |  | 107,578 |
|  | 16,520 |  | 5,782 |  | 10,738 |
| \$ | (511,319) | \$ | $(179,491)$ | \$ | $(331,828)$ |

## 15. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

## Common stock

American National has only one class of common stock with a par value of $\$ 1.00$ per share and $50,000,000$ authorized shares. The amounts outstanding at the dates indicated are shown below:

|  | December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Common stock |  |  |  |
| Shares issued | 30,832,449 | 30,832,449 | 30,832,449 |
| Treasury shares | 4,011,472 | 4,012,283 | 4,013,616 |
| Restricted shares | 261,334 | 261,334 | 339,001 |
| Unrestricted outstanding shares | 26,559,643 | 26,558,832 | 26,479,832 |

## Stock-based compensation

American National has one stock-based compensation plan. Under this plan, American National can grant NonQualified Stock Options, Stock Appreciation Rights ("SAR"), Restricted Stock ("RS") Awards, Restricted Stock Units ("RSU"), Performance Rewards, Incentive Awards or any combination of these. The number of shares available for grants under the plan cannot exceed $2,900,000$ shares, and no more than 200,000 shares may be granted to any one individual in any calendar year.

RS Awards entitle the participant to full dividend and voting rights. Unvested shares are restricted as to disposition, and are subject to forfeiture under certain circumstances. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. The restrictions on these awards lapse after 10 years, and feature a graded vesting schedule in the case of the retirement of an award holder. Restricted stock has been granted, with a total of 340,334 shares granted at an exercise price of zero. These awards result in compensation expense to American National over the vesting period. The amount of compensation expense recorded was $\$ 2,695,000$ in 2010, $\$ 3,733,000$ in 2009, and \$2,694,000 in 2008.

The SARs give the holder the right to compensation based on the difference between the price of a share of stock on the grant date and the price on the exercise date. The SARs vest at a rate of $20 \%$ per year for 5 years and expire 5 years after the vesting period. American National uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate the fair value and compensation expense for SARs. The fair value of the SARs was $\$ 16,700$ and $\$ 1,613,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Compensation income was recorded totaling $\$ 1,388,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2010, while an expense of $\$ 1,997,000$ was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2009, and income of \$1,777,000 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Beginning in 2010, RSUs are awarded as a result of achieving the objectives of a performance based incentive compensation plan. The RSUs generally vest after two years when they will be converted to American National's common stock on a one for one basis. These awards result in compensation expense to American National over the vesting period. Compensation expense was recorded totaling $\$ 520,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2010.

SAR, RS and RSU information for the periods indicated is shown below:

|  | SAR Shares | SAR Weighted- <br> Average Grant <br> Date Fair Value |  |  RS Weighted- <br> Average Grant <br> Date Fair <br> RS Shares Value |  |  | RS Units | RSU Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2008 | 96,724 | \$ | 97.84 | 253,000 | \$ | 84.48 | - | \$ | - |
| Granted | 96,917 |  | 115.92 | 86,001 |  | 116.48 | - |  |  |
| Exercised | $(4,109)$ |  | 81.30 | - |  | - | - |  | . |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 189,532 |  | 107.44 | 339,001 |  | 92.60 | - |  | - |
| Granted | 2,999 |  | 66.76 | 1,333 |  | 40.85 | . |  | - |
| Exercised | $(18,184)$ |  | 92.50 | $(79,000)$ |  | 57.39 | - |  | - |
| Forfeited | $(12,898)$ |  | 105.46 | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 161,449 |  | 108.53 | 261,334 |  | 102.98 | - |  | - |
| Granted | 2,411 |  | 109.62 | $\cdot$ |  | - | 10,230 |  | 109.29 |
| Exercised | $(9,533)$ |  | 95.08 | - |  | - | (811) |  | 109.29 |
| Forfeited | $(7,100)$ |  | 113.55 | - |  | - | . |  | - |
| Expired | $(2,500)$ |  | 90.86 | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 144,727 |  | 109.40 | 261,334 |  | 102.98 | 9,419 |  | 109.29 |

The weighted-average contractual remaining life for the 144,727 SAR shares outstanding as of December 31, 2010, is 4.4 years. The weighted-average exercise price, which is the same with the weighted-average grant date fair value above, for these shares is $\$ 109.40$ per share. Of the shares outstanding, 87,553 are exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of $\$ 106.57$ per share.

The weighted-average contractual remaining life for the 261,334 RS shares outstanding as of December 31, 2010 , is 5.9 years. The weighted-average price at the date of grant for these shares is $\$ 102.98$ per share. None of the shares outstanding were exercisable.

The weighted-average contractual remaining life for the 9,419 RSUs authorized as of December 31, 2010, is 1.2 years. The weighted-average price at the date of grant for these units is $\$ 109.29$ per share. None of the authorized units were exercisable.

## Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings (loss) per share was calculated using a weighted-average number of shares outstanding of $26,559,035$ at December 31, 2010, 26,528,832 at December 31, 2009 and 26,479,832 for 2008. The Restricted Stock resulted in diluted earnings per share as follows for 2010 and 2009. Due to the net losses incurred in 2008, diluted earnings per share equaled basic earnings per share.

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Weighted average shares outstanding |  | 26,559,035 |  | 26,528,832 |  | 26,479,832 |
| Incremental shares from restricted stock |  | 128,123 |  | 68,644 |  | - |
| Total shares for diluted calculations |  | 26,687,158 |  | 26,597,476 |  | 26,479,832 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 145,301,000 | \$ | 17,006,000 | \$ | $(173,531,000)$ |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations |  | $(1,275,000)$ |  | $(1,381,000)$ |  | 19,533,000 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 144,026,000 | \$ | 15,625,000 | \$ | (153,998,000) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share from continued operations | \$ | 5.47 | \$ | 0.64 | \$ | (6.55) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share from discontinued operations |  | (0.05) |  | (0.05) |  | 0.73 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$ | 5.42 | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | (5.82) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share from continued operations | \$ | 5.45 | \$ | 0.64 | \$ | (6.55) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share from discontinued operations |  | (0.05) |  | (0.05) |  | 0.73 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$ | 5.40 | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | (5.82) |

## Dividends

American National's payment of dividends to stockholders is restricted by statutory regulations. Generally, the restrictions require life insurance companies to maintain minimum amounts of capital and surplus, and in the absence of special approval, limit the payment of dividends to the greater of statutory net gain from operations on an annual, non-cumulative basis, or $10 \%$ of statutory surplus. Additionally, insurance companies are not permitted to distribute the excess of stockholders' equity, as determined on a GAAP basis over that determined on a statutory basis. At December 31, 2010 and 2009 American National's statutory capital and surplus was $\$ 1,954,149,000$ and $\$ 1,892,467,000$, respectively.

Generally, the same restrictions on amounts that can transfer in the form of dividends, loans, or advances to the parent company apply to American National's insurance subsidiaries. Dividends received by American National from its non-insurance subsidiaries amounted to $\$ 13,803,000$ in 2010, $\$ 5,000,000$ in 2009, and no dividends were received during 2008.

At December 31, 2010, approximately $\$ 1,396,736,000$ of American National's consolidated stockholders' equity represents net assets of its insurance subsidiaries, compared to $\$ 1,406,599,000$ at December 31, 2009. Any transfer of these net assets to American National would be subject to statutory restrictions and approval.

## Noncontrolling interests

American National County Mutual Insurance Company (County Mutual) is a mutual insurance company that is owned by its policyholders. However, County Mutual has a management agreement, which effectively gives complete control of County Mutual to American National. As a result, County Mutual is included in the consolidated financial statements of American National. The interests that the policyholders of County Mutual have in the financial position of County Mutual is reflected as noncontrolling interest totaling \$6,750,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

American National's wholly-owned subsidiary, ANTAC, Inc., is a partner in various joint ventures. ANTAC exercises significant control or ownership of these joint ventures, resulting in their consolidation into the American National consolidated financial statements. As a result of the consolidation, the interest of the other partners of the joint ventures is shown as noncontrolling interests. Noncontrolling interests were a net asset of $\$ 3,523,000$ and liability of \$5,205,000 in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## 16. SEGMENT INFORMATION

American National and its subsidiaries are engaged principally in the insurance business. Management organizes the business into five operating segments:

- The Life segment markets whole, term, universal and variable life insurance on a national basis primarily through employee and multiple-line agents, direct marketing channels and independent third-party marketing organizations.
- The Annuity segment develops sells and supports fixed, equity-indexed, and variable annuity products. These products are primarily sold through independent agents and brokers, but are also sold through financial institutions, multiple-line agents and employee agents.
- The Health segment's primary lines of business are Medicare Supplement, employer medical stop loss, true group, other supplemental health products and credit disability insurance. Health products are typically distributed through independent agents and managing general underwriters.
- The Property and Casualty segment writes personal, commercial and credit-related property insurance. These products are primarily sold through multiple-line agents and independent agents.
- The Corporate and Other business segment consists of net investment income on the capital not allocated to the insurance lines and the operations of non-insurance lines of business.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those referred to in the summary of significant accounting policies. Many of the principal factors that drive the profitability of each operating segment are separate and distinct. All income and expense amounts specifically attributable to policy transactions are recorded directly to the appropriate operating segment. Income and expenses not specifically attributable to policy transactions are allocated to each segment as follows:

- Net investment income from fixed maturity assets (bonds and mortgage loans) is allocated based on the funds generated by each line of business at the average yield available from these fixed maturity assets at the time such funds become available.
- Net investment income from all other assets is allocated to the operating segments in accordance with the amount of equity invested in each segment, with the remainder going to Corporate and Other.
- Expenses are allocated to the lines based upon various factors, including premium and commission ratios within the respective operating segments.
- Realized gains or losses on investments and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates are allocated to Corporate and Other.
- Federal income taxes have been applied to the net earnings of each segment based on a fixed tax rate. Any difference between the amount allocated to the segments and the total federal income tax amount is allocated to Corporate and Other.

Segment operating income provides pertinent and advantageous information to investors, as it represents the basis on which American National's business performance is internally assessed by its chief operating decision makers. In 2008, the chief operating decision makers redefined the segment reporting structure into five operating segments according to the type of insurance products sold or services rendered.

The following tables summarize American National's key financial measures used by the chief operating decision makers, including results of operations:

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life |  | Annuity |  | Health |  | Property \& Casualty |  | Corporate \& Other |  | TOTAL |  |
| Premiums and Other Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 282,160 | \$ | 174,193 | \$ | 263,294 | \$ | 1,158,261 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,877,908 |
| Other policy revenues |  | 170,729 |  | 15,076 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 185,805 |
| Net investment income |  | 223,753 |  | 510,106 |  | 14,855 |  | 67,545 |  | 95,656 |  | 911,915 |
| Other income (expenses) |  | 3,547 |  | $(7,900)$ |  | 10,384 |  | 8,192 |  | 3,175 |  | 17,398 |
| Total operating revenues |  | 680,189 |  | 691,475 |  | 288,533 |  | 1,233,998 |  | 98,831 |  | 2,993,026 |
| Realized gains (losses) on investments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 74,062 |  | 74,062 |
| Total revenues |  | 680,189 |  | 691,475 |  | 288,533 |  | 1,233,998 |  | 172,893 |  | 3,067,088 |
| Benefits, Losses and Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 294,177 |  | 205,948 |  | 184,554 |  | 923,736 |  | - |  | 1,608,415 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 59,149 |  | 333,970 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 393,119 |
| Commissions for acquiring and servicing policies |  | 91,165 |  | 95,701 |  | 35,263 |  | 226,748 |  | 3 |  | 448,880 |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 178,619 |  | 62,791 |  | 49,634 |  | 124,410 |  | 38,692 |  | 454,146 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(1,963)$ |  | $(44,569)$ |  | 4,886 |  | 1,551 |  | - |  | $(40,095)$ |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses |  | 621,147 |  | 653,841 |  | 274,337 |  | 1,276,445 |  | 38,695 |  | 2,864,465 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxes, and equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates |  | 59,042 |  | 37,634 |  | 14,196 |  | $(42,447)$ |  | 134,198 |  | 202,623 |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes |  | 19,484 |  | 12,419 |  | 4,685 |  | $(14,008)$ |  | 32,628 |  | 55,208 |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(3,169)$ |  | $(3,169)$ |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,055)$ |  | $(1,055)$ |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries |  | 39,558 |  | 25,215 |  | 9,511 |  | $(28,439)$ |  | 99,456 |  | 145,301 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | . |  | $(1,275)$ |  | $(1,275)$ |
| Net Income (loss) attributable to American National |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 39,558 | \$ | $\stackrel{\text { 25,215 }}{ }$ | \$ | 9,511 | \$ | $\stackrel{(28,439)}{ }$ | \$ | 98,181 | \$ | 144,026 |
| Selected Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$ | 4,968,385 | \$ | ,672,524 | \$ | 558,970 | \$ | 2,404,190 | \$ | 2,808,969 | \$ | 21,413,039 |

Premiums and Other Revenues:
Premiums
Other policy revenues
Net investment income
Other income (expenses)
Total operating revenues
Realized gains (losses) on investments
Total revenues
Benefits, Losses and Expenses:
Policy benefits
Interest credited to policy account balances
Commissions for acquiring and servicing policies
Other operating costs and expenses
Change in deferred policy acquisition costs
Total benefits, losses and expenses
Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income
taxes, and equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates
Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes
Equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates
Net loss attributable ton oncontrolling interests
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to
American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense
(benefit)
Net Income (loss) attributable to American National
Insurance Company and Subsidiaries

## Selected Data:

Total Assets

|  | Year ended December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life |  | Annuity |  | Health |  | Property \& Casualty |  | Corporate \& Other |  | TOTAL |  |
| Premiums and Other Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premiums | \$ | 299,338 | \$ | 116,248 | \$ | 290,883 | \$ | 1,182,026 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,888,495 |
| Other policy revenues |  | 154,984 |  | 19,915 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 174,899 |
| Net investment income |  | 226,643 |  | 374,023 |  | 16,566 |  | 69,348 |  | 108,862 |  | 795,442 |
| Other income (expenses) |  | 3,767 |  | $(5,718)$ |  | 13,252 |  | 8,973 |  | 2,503 |  | 22,777 |
| Total operating revenues |  | 684,732 |  | 504,468 |  | 320,701 |  | 1,260,347 |  | 111,365 |  | 2,881,613 |
| Realized gains (losses) on investments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(379,034)$ |  | $(379,034)$ |
| Total revenues |  | 684,732 |  | 504,468 |  | 320,701 |  | 1,260,347 |  | $(267,669)$ |  | 2,502,579 |
| Benefits, Losses and Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy benefits |  | 296,078 |  | 142,867 |  | 223,055 |  | 939,854 |  | - |  | 1,601,854 |
| Interest credited to policy account balances |  | 62,221 |  | 237,612 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 299,833 |
| Commissions for acquiring and servicing policies |  | 126,813 |  | 79,213 |  | 43,219 |  | 226,100 |  | - |  | 475,345 |
| Other operating costs and expenses |  | 222,908 |  | 45,491 |  | 69,961 |  | 132,601 |  | 22,946 |  | 493,907 |
| Change in deferred policy acquisition costs |  | $(42,103)$ |  | $(20,690)$ |  | 5,023 |  | $(9,669)$ |  | - |  | $(67,439)$ |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses |  | 665,917 |  | 484,493 |  | 341,258 |  | 1,288,886 |  | 22,946 |  | 2,803,500 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes |  | 6,209 |  | 6,592 |  | $(6,784)$ |  | $(9,418)$ |  | $(118,993)$ |  | $(122,394)$ |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,965 |  | 4,965 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (31) |  | (31) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American National Insurance Company and Subsidiaries |  | 12,606 |  | 13,383 |  | $(13,773)$ |  | $(19,121)$ |  | $(166,626)$ |  | (173,531) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) |  | 18,728 |  | - |  | . |  | . |  | 805 |  | 19,533 |
| Net Income (loss) attributable to American National |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance Company and Subsidiaries | \$ | 31,334 | \$ | 13,383 | \$ | $(13,773)$ | \$ | $(19,121)$ | \$ | $(165,821)$ | \$ | $(153,998)$ |
| Selected Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$ | 4,823,465 | \$ | 8,265,270 | \$ | 759,089 | \$ | 2,248,514 | \$ | 2,283,101 | \$ | 18,379,439 |

## 17. PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

## Pension benefits

American National and its subsidiaries have one active, tax-qualified, defined-benefit pension plan and one inactive plan. The active plan has three separate noncontributory programs. One of the programs covers Career Sales and Service Division agents and managers. The other two programs cover salaried and management employees and corporate clerical employees subject to a collective bargaining agreement. The program covering salaried and management employees provides pension benefits that are based on years of service and the employee's compensation during the five years before retirement. The programs covering hourly employees and agents generally provide benefits that are based on the employee's career average earnings and years of service.

The inactive tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan covers employees of the Farm Family companies hired prior to January 1, 1997. Effective January 1, 1997, benefits through this plan were frozen, and no new participants have been added. American National also sponsors for key executives three non-tax-qualified pension plans that restore benefits that would otherwise be curtailed by statutory limits on qualified plan benefits.

Effective 2008, American National changed its measurement date for its defined benefit plans from September 30 to December 31, using the alternative method specified in accounting guidance, for the one qualified plan that was not already using a December 31 date. The effect of this change was an additional periodic benefit cost of \$1,770,000 (net of tax) which was charged directly to retained earnings in 2008.

Amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of financial position consist of (in thousands):

|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reconciliation of benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |
| Obligation at January 1, | \$ | 360,374 | \$ | 328,783 |
| Service cost benefits eamed during period |  | 12,937 |  | 11,163 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation |  | 20,884 |  | 20,319 |
| Actuarial gain |  | 17,652 |  | 19,141 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(16,674)$ |  | $(19,032)$ |
| Obligation at December 31, |  | 395,173 |  | 360,374 |
| Reconciliation of fair value of plan assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1, |  | 184,500 |  | 149,874 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 20,559 |  | 28,729 |
| Employer contributions |  | 24,422 |  | 24,929 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(16,674)$ |  | $(19,032)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31, |  | 212,807 |  | 184,500 |
| Funded status at December 31, | \$ | $(182,366)$ | \$ | $(175,874)$ |

The components of the combined net periodic benefit cost for the defined benefit pension plans are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 12,937 | \$ | 11,163 | \$ | 9,974 |
| Interest cost |  | 20,884 |  | 20,319 |  | 19,003 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(15,637)$ |  | $(13,736)$ |  | $(13,571)$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  | 2,324 |  | 3,469 |  | 3,469 |
| Amortization of net gain |  | 7,713 |  | 9,134 |  | 4,412 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 28,221 | \$ | 30,349 | \$ | 23,287 |

Amounts related to the defined benefit pension plans recognized as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Prior service cost | \$ | 2,324 | \$ | 3,469 | \$ | 3,469 |
| Net actuarial gain (loss) |  | $(4,969)$ |  | 4,909 |  | $(57,385)$ |
| Deferred tax benefit (expense) |  | 926 |  | $(2,932)$ |  | 18,871 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | \$ | $(1,719)$ | \$ | 5,446 | \$ | $(35,045)$ |

The estimated net loss and prior service cost for the plan that will be amortized out of accumulated other comprehensive income into the net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are $\$ 8,081,000$ and $\$ 0$, respectively. Amounts recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that have not been recognized as a component of the combined net periodic benefit cost of the defined benefit pension plans, are shown below (in thousands):

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Prior service cost | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,324)$ |
| Net actuarial loss |  | $(100,820)$ |  | $(95,851)$ |
| Deferred tax benefit |  | 35,287 |  | 34,361 |
| Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | $(65,533)$ | \$ | $(63,814)$ |

The weighted average assumptions used in the measurement of American National's benefit obligation are shown below:

|  | Used for Net <br> Benefit Cost in Fiscal Year <br> $1 / \mathbf{1 / 2 0 1 0}$ to 12/31/2010 | Used for Benefit <br> Obligations |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $6.17 \%$ |  |
| as of 12/31/2010 |  |  |  |

American National's funding policy for the pension plans is to make annual contributions in accordance with the minimum funding standards of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The unfunded plans will be funded out of general corporate assets when necessary. American National contributed $\$ 24,422,000$, $\$ 24,929,000$, and $\$ 19,700,000$ to the qualified pension plan in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. American National and its affiliates expect to contribute $\$ 17,100,000$ to its qualified pension plan in fiscal year 2011.

The following table shows pension benefit payments, which reflect expected future service as appropriate, that are expected to be paid (in thousands):

|  | Pension Benefit Payments |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 | $\$$ | 23,246 |
| 2012 |  | 21,313 |
| 2013 |  | 20,771 |
| 2014 | 25,135 |  |
| 2015 | 27,798 |  |
| $2016-2020$ |  | 147,677 |

The fair values of American National's pension plan assets by asset category are shown below (in thousands):

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, 2010 }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Quoted Prices in } \\ \text { Active Markets for } \\ \text { Identical Assets } \\ \text { (Level 1) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { Observable } \\ \text { Inputs }\end{array}$ |
| (Level 2) |  |  |  |$]$

American National utilizes third-party pricing services to estimate fair value measurements of their pension plan assets. Refer to Note 7 for further information concerning the valuation methodologies and related inputs utilized by the third-party pricing services. The pension plans have no level 3 assets.

The Corporate debt securities category, in the above table, represents investment grade bonds of U.S and foreign issuers from diverse industries, with a maturity of 1 to 30 years. Foreign bonds acquired by American National must be Investment Grade, denominated and payable in U.S. Dollars, and in the aggregate shall not exceed 20\% of the bond portfolio without approval of American National's Finance Committee.

Residential mortgage-backed securities represents asset-backed securities with a maturity date 1 to 30 years with a rating of NAIC 1 or 2 .

Equity portfolio managers are allowed to choose the degree of concentration in various issues and industry sectors for the equity securities. However, the initial purchase of a single company should not exceed $5 \%$ of the equity portfolio market value. Permitted securities are those listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange, principal regional exchanges and in over-the-counter securities for which there is an active market providing ready marketability of the specific security.

The commercial paper category represents investments with a credit rating of A-2 Moody's or P-2 by Standard \& Poor's with at least BBB rating on the issuer's outstanding debt, or selected issuers with no outstanding debt.

The investment policy for the qualified retirement plan assets is designed to provide the highest return possible commensurate with sound and prudent underwriting practices. The investment diversification goals are to have investments in cash and cash equivalents as necessary for liquidity, debt securities up to $100 \%$ and equity securities up to $60 \%$ of the total invested plan assets. The amount invested in any particular investment is limited based on credit quality, and no single investment is allowed to be more than $5 \%$ of the total invested assets.

The overall expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption is based upon a building-block method, whereby the expected rate of return on each asset class is broken down into three components: (1) inflation, (2) the real risk-free rate of return (i.e., the long-term estimate of future returns on default-free U.S. government
securities), and (3) the risk premium for each asset class (i.e., the expected return in excess of the risk-free rate). All three components are based primarily on historical data.

While the precise expected return derived using the above approach will fluctuate somewhat from year to year, American National's policy is to hold this long-term assumption constant as long as it remains within a reasonable tolerance from the derived rate.

## Postretirement life and health benefits

American National and its subsidiaries provide certain health and/or dental benefits to retirees. Participation in these plans is limited to current retirees and their dependents who met certain age and length of service requirements. No new participants will be added to these plans in the future.

The primary retiree health benefit plan provides major medical benefits for participants under the age of 65 and Medicare Supplemental benefits for those over 65. Prescription drug benefits are provided to both age groups. The plan is contributory, with American National's contribution limited to $\$ 80$ per month for retirees and spouses under the age of 65 and $\$ 40$ per month for retirees and spouses over the age of 65 . All additional contributions necessary, over the amount to be contributed by American National, are to be contributed by the retirees.

The accrued postretirement benefit obligation, included in the liability for retirement benefits, was $\$ 5,087,000$ and $\$ 5,035,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts were approximately equal to the unfunded accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. Since American National's contributions to the cost of the retiree benefit plans are fixed, the health care cost trend rate will have no effect on the future expense or the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.

Under American National and its subsidiaries' various group benefit plans for active employees, life insurance benefits are provided upon retirement for eligible participants who meet certain age and length of service requirements.

## Savings plans

In addition to the defined benefit pension plans, American National sponsors one defined contribution plan for all employees excluding those of the Farm Family companies, and an incentive savings plan for employees of the Farm Family companies. The defined contribution plan (401(k) plan) allows employees to contribute up to the maximum allowable amount as determined by the IRS. American National does not contribute to the defined contribution plan. Company contributions are made under the incentive savings plan for the Farm Family companies, with a discretionary portion based on the profits earned by the Farm Family companies. The expense associated with this plan was $\$ 1,588,000$ for 2010, $\$ 1,441,000$ for 2009, and $\$ 2,795,000$ for 2008.

## 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Commitments

American National and its subsidiaries lease insurance sales office space in various cities. The remaining longterm lease commitments at December 31, 2010, were approximately $\$ 3,429,000$.

In the ordinary course of their operations, American National and its subsidiaries had commitments outstanding at December 31, 2010, to purchase, expand or improve real estate, to fund mortgage loans, and to purchase other invested assets aggregating $\$ 275,038,000$, of which $\$ 270,765,000$ is expected to be funded in 2011 . The remaining balance of $\$ 4,273,000$ will be funded in 2012 and beyond. As of December 31, 2010, all of the mortgage loan commitments have fixed interest rates.

In September 2010 American National renewed a 365-day \$100,000,000 short-term variable rate borrowing facility containing a $\$ 55,000,000$ subfeature for the issuance of letters of credit. Borrowings under the facility are at the discretion of the lender and would be used only for funding American National's working capital requirements. The combination of borrowings and outstanding letters of credit cannot exceed $\$ 100,000,000$ at any time. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009 the outstanding letters of credit were $\$ 37,452,000$ and $\$ 36,205,000$, respectively, and there were no borrowings on this facility to meet working capital requirements.

## Guarantees

In the normal course of business, American National has guaranteed bank loans for customers of a third-party marketing operation. The bank loans are used to fund premium payments on life insurance policies issued by American National. The loans are secured by the cash values of the life insurance policies. If the customer were to default on the bank loan, American National would be obligated to pay off the loans. As the cash values of the life insurance policies always equals or exceeds the balance of the loans, management does not foresee any loss on these guarantees. The total amount of the guarantees outstanding as of December 31, 2010, was approximately $\$ 206,513,000$, while the total cash values of the related life insurance policies was approximately $\$ 210,727,000$.

## Litigation

As previously reported, American National was a defendant in a lawsuit related to the alleged inducement of another company's insurance agents to become agents of American National (Farm Bureau Life Insurance Company and Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company v. American National Insurance Company et al., U.S. District Court for the District of Utah, filed July 23, 2003). Plaintiffs initially alleged that American National improperly induced agents to leave Plaintiffs and join American National, asserting claims against American National for inducing one of Plaintiffs' managers to breach duties allegedly owed to Plaintiffs as well as claims against American National for misappropriation of trade secrets, tortious interference with contractual relationships, business disparagement, libel, defamation, civil conspiracy, unjust enrichment and unfair competition. By the time of trial, some claims had been dismissed; however, Plaintiffs' surviving claims continued to allege that their damages from the wrongful conduct exceeded $\$ 3.9$ million, and Plaintiffs also sought punitive damages. The jury reached a verdict adverse to American National, in the total amount of approximately $\$ 63.4$ million, of which approximately $\$ 60.0$ million represented punitive damages; however, the court subsequently reduced the punitive damages award, resulting in a total award of approximately \$7.1 million against American National. An appeal was taken to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. The Tenth Circuit reversed and vacated entirely the award of punitive damages and affirmed the district court's award of compensatory damages in the amount of $\$ 3.6$ million. American National has accrued an appropriate amount for resolution of this case, including attorneys' fees, and believes that any additional amounts necessary will not be material to the consolidated financial statements.

As previously reported, American National is a defendant in a putative class action lawsuit wherein the Plaintiff proposes to certify a class of persons who purchased certain American National proprietary deferred annuity products in the State of California (Rand v. American National Insurance Company, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, filed February 12, 2009). Plaintiff alleges that American National violated the California Insurance, Business and Professions, Welfare and Institutions, and Civil Codes through its fixed and equity-indexed deferred annuity sales and marketing practices by not sufficiently providing proper disclosure notices on the nature of surrender fees, commissions and bonus features and not considering the suitability of the product. Certain claims raised by Plaintiff relate to sales of annuities to the elderly. Plaintiff seeks statutory penalties, restitution, interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, punitive damages and rescissionary and/or injunctive relief in an unspecified amount. Discovery in this case is ongoing. If necessary, class certification issues may be briefed and argument heard by the Court in early to mid 2011. In September 2010, the Court granted partial summary judgment for American National due to the nonexistence of certain California Insurance Code violations, and granted partial summary judgment against American National as to whether the Plaintiff received a disclosure notice required by the California Insurance Code. Plaintiff contends that the alleged disclosure violation will support a California Unfair Competition Law claim. American National believes that it has meritorious defenses; however, no prediction can be made as to the probability or remoteness of any recovery against American National.

American National and certain subsidiaries are also defendants in various other lawsuits concerning alleged failure to honor certain loan commitments, alleged breach of certain agency and real estate contracts, various employment matters, allegedly deceptive insurance sales and marketing practices, and other litigation arising in the ordinary course of operations. Certain of these lawsuits include claims for compensatory and punitive damages. After reviewing these matters with legal counsel, management is of the opinion that the ultimate resultant liability, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on American National's consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, these lawsuits are in various stages of development, and future facts and circumstances could result in management's changing its conclusions.

In addition, it should be noted that the frequency of large damage awards, which bear little or no relation to the economic damages incurred by plaintiffs in some jurisdictions, continue to create the potential for an unpredictable judgment in any given lawsuit. It is possible that, if the defenses in these lawsuits are not successful, and the judgments are greater than management can anticipate, the resulting liability could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

## 19. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On December 31, 2010, the Company sold its wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary, Securities, Management \& Research, Inc. ("SM\&R"), pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement American National agreed to sell all of the outstanding capital stock of SM\&R to a third-party financial services corporation. The sale qualifies for discontinued operations accounting and accordingly, the results of operations for this subsidiary are presented as discontinued operations in American National's consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. The sale resulted in a $\$ 1$ million loss before taxes for the year-ended 2010, which is presented as "Loss on sale" in the table below. SM\&R had previously been a component of the Corporate and Other segment.

The following table summarizes income (loss) from discontinued operations:

|  | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment income | \$ | 145 | \$ | 328 | \$ | 735 |
| Realized investments gains (losses) |  | 847 |  | $(1,842)$ |  | (675) |
| Other Income |  | 9,919 |  | 12,843 |  | 16,002 |
| Total revenues |  | 10,911 |  | 11,329 |  | 16,062 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other operating costs |  | 11,842 |  | 13,430 |  | 14,797 |
| Taxes, licenses and fees |  | 69 |  | 59 |  | 96 |
| Total expenses |  | 11,911 |  | 13,489 |  | 14,893 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations |  | $(1,000)$ |  | $(2,160)$ |  | 1,169 |
| Loss on sale |  | $(1,000)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income tax expense (benefit) |  | $(2,000)$ |  | $(2,160)$ |  | 1,169 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | (725) |  | (779) |  | 364 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ | $\underline{(1,275)}$ | \$ | $(1,381)$ | \$ | 805 |

On December 4, 2008, our life insurance business in Mexico, American National de Mexico, Compania de Seguros de Vida, S.A. de C.V., along with non-insurance affiliates Servicios de Administracion American National S.A. de C.V. and American National Promotora de Ventas S.A. de C.V. were sold to a third party. Accordingly, the business is accounted for as a discontinued operation within the Life segment.

The Mexico operation reported a $\$ 3,330,000$ in pretax losses for 2008. The sale resulted in a loss on sale of discontinued operations of $\$ 1,890,000$ before taxes. As part of the sale, a $\$ 22,059,000$ income tax benefit was reported in 2008 because the tax basis of the investment in American National de Mexico exceeded the financial statement carrying value.

Cash flows related to discontinued operations have been combined with cash flows from continuing operations within each category of the statements of cash flows, the effect of which is immaterial to all periods presented.

## 20. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

American National has entered into recurring transactions and agreements with certain related parties as a part of its ongoing operations. These include mortgage loans, management contracts, agency commission contracts, marketing agreements, accident and health insurance contracts and legal services. The impact on the consolidated financial statements of the significant related-party transactions for the periods indicated, is shown below (in thousands):

| Related Party | Financial Statement Line Impacted | Dollar Amount of Transactions Years ended December 31, |  |  |  | Amount due to Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation | Mortgage loans on real estate | \$ | 924 | \$ | 860 | \$ | 10,951 | \$ | 11,875 |
| Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation | Net investment income |  | 831 |  | 895 |  | 66 |  | 72 |
| Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation | Other operating costs and expenses |  | 254 |  | 280 |  | 21 |  | 20 |
| Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation | Accident and health premiums |  | 56 |  | 56 |  | 56 |  | - |
| Moody Insurance Group, Inc. | Commissions for acquiring and servicing policies |  | 3,124 |  | 2,813 |  | 717 |  | 388 |
| Moody Insurance Group, Inc. | Other operating costs and expenses |  | 135 |  | 171 |  |  |  | - |
| National Western Life Ins. | Accident and health premiums |  | 135 |  | 226 |  | 14 |  | - |
| National Western Life Ins. | Other operating costs and expenses |  | 1,328 |  | 1,300 |  | 71 |  | - |
| Moody Foundation | Accident and health premiums |  | 263 |  | 276 |  | 7 |  | - |
| Greer, Herz and Adams, LLP | Other operating costs and expenses |  | 10,785 |  | 9,300 |  | 251 |  | 370 |

## Information Regarding Related Parties and Transactions

Mortgage Loans to Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation ("Gal-Tex"): The Moody Foundation and the Libbie Shearn Moody Trust own $34.0 \%$ and $50.2 \%$, respectively, of Gal-Tex Hotel Corporation ("Gal-Tex"). The Moody Foundation and the Libbie Shearn Moody Trust also own approximately $22.9 \%$ and $37.1 \%$, respectively, of American National. American National held a first mortgage loan issued to Gal-Tex secured by hotel property in San Antonio, Texas. This loan was originated in 1999, had a balance of $\$ 10,951,000$ as of December 31, 2010, has a current interest rate of $7.30 \%$, and has a final maturity date of April 1, 2019. This loan is current as to principal and interest payments. Such loan impacts the "Mortgage loans on real estate" and "Net investment income" lines of the consolidated financial statements.

Management Contracts with Gal-Tex: American National entered into management contracts with Gal-Tex for the management of a hotel and adjacent fitness center owned by us. Such contracts can be terminated upon thirty days' prior written notice. Payments by us to Gal-Tex pursuant to these management contracts impact the "Other operating costs and expenses" line of the consolidated statements of operations.

Transactions with Moody Insurance Group, Inc.: Robert L. Moody, Jr. ("RLM Jr.") is the son of American National's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, brother of two of American National's directors, and he is one of American National's advisory directors. RLM Jr., mainly through his wholly-owned insurance agency, Moody Insurance Group, Inc. ("MIG"), has entered into a number of agency agreements with American National and some of its subsidiaries in connection with the marketing of insurance products.

MIG and American National are also parties to a Consulting and Special Marketing Agreement concerning development and marketing of new products. In addition to consulting fees paid under such agreement, compensation also includes dividends on shares of American National's Restricted Stock granted to MIG as a consultant. Such compensation impacts the "Other operating costs and expenses" line of the consolidated statements of operations.

Health Insurance Contracts with Certain Affiliates: American National's Merit Plan is insured by National Western Life Insurance Company ("National Western"). Robert L. Moody, Sr., American National's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is also the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and controlling stockholder of National Western. The Merit Plan is an insured medical plan that supplements American National's core medical insurance plan for certain officers by providing coverage for co-pays, deductibles, and other out-ofpocket expenses that are not covered by the core medical insurance plan, limited to medical expenses that could be deducted by the recipient for federal income tax purposes. Payments made to National Western in connection with the Merit Plan impact the "Other costs and expenses" line of the consolidated statements of operations.

In addition, American National insures substantially similar plans offered by National Western, Gal-Tex, and The Moody Foundation to certain of their officers. American National also insures The Moody Foundation's basic health insurance plan. Payments received related to this coverage are reflected in the "Accident and health premiums" line of our consolidated statements of operations.

Transactions with Greer, Herz \& Adams, L.L.P.: Irwin M. Herz, Jr. is American National's advisory director and a Partner with Greer, Herz Adams, L.L.P. which serves as American National's General Counsel. Legal fees and reimbursements of expenses in connection with such firm's services as American National's General Counsel and for all of its subsidiaries are reflected in the "Other operating costs and expenses" line of the consolidated statements of operations.

## 21. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

## Supplementary Financial Information

The unaudited selected quarterly financial data is shown below (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  | June 30, |  | September 30, |  | December 31, |  |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenues | \$ 748,733 | \$ 650,650 | \$ 744,540 | \$ 724,301 | \$ 790,456 | \$ 776,868 | \$ 783,359 | \$ 786,631 |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 706,364 | 725,517 | 716,730 | 736,648 | 710,822 | 741,974 | 730,549 | 744,575 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income tax and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 42,369 | $(74,867)$ | 27,810 | $(12,347)$ | 79,634 | 34,894 | 52,810 | 42,956 |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes | 10,016 | $(30,343)$ | 3,307 | $(10,707)$ | 31,257 | 3,178 | 10,628 | 6,844 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax | 7 | $(1,937)$ | 62 | $(3,180)$ | (144) | 2,110 | $(3,094)$ | $(1,209)$ |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 32,360 | $(46,461)$ | 24,565 | $(4,820)$ | 48,233 | 33,826 | 39,088 | 34,003 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) | 223 | $(1,233)$ | 1,778 | (103) | (513) | 122 | (2,763) | (167) |
| Net income (loss) | 32,583 | $(47,694)$ | 26,343 | $(4,923)$ | 47,720 | 33,948 | 36,325 | 33,836 |
| Netincome (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | $(2,195)$ | (1) | (279) | (568) | 664 | 1,448 | 755 | $(1,137)$ |
| Net income (loss) atributable to American National Insurance Company and subsidiaries | 34,778 | $(47,693)$ | 26,622 | $(4,355)$ | 47,056 | 32,700 | 35,70 | 34,973 |
| Earnings (loss) per share attributable to American National Insurance Company and subsidiaries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 1.31 | (1.80) | 1.00 | (0.16) | 1.77 | 1.23 | 1.34 | 1.32 |
| Diluted | 1.30 | (1.80) | 1.00 | (0.16) | 1.76 | 1.23 | 1.33 | 1.32 |



American National Insurance Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the company and its subsidiaries. A copy of the code can be found on the company's

Web site, www.anico.com.

## CORPORATE OFFICE

One Moody Plaza, Galveston, Texas 77550
www.anico.com

## LEGAL COUNSEL

Greer, Herz \& Adams LLP, Galveston, Texas

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

KPMG LLP, BG Group Place, 811 Main Street, Suite 4500, Houston, TX 77002

## STOCK TRANSFER AGENT andREGISTRAR (Shareholder information)
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or changes of address should be directed to:

BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, P.O. Box 358015, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015
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TDD Foreign Shareowners: 1-201-680-6610.
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