



2016 ANNUAL REPORT

March 23, 2017

To my fellow shareholders:

2016 was another year of growth for Cedar as we continued executing on our long-term strategy for repositioning the company as a premiere shopping center REIT.

This five part long-term strategy begins with a focus on grocery-anchored shopping centers in the high population-density markets between Washington, D.C. and Boston or what is sometimes referred to as the “Acela corridor”. We focus on leasing and operations to extract maximum value from our properties. We pursue redevelopment and value-add investment opportunities within our portfolio to grow the value of our assets. We actively manage our portfolio to migrate our capital to the highest value sub-markets within our footprint. Lastly, we zealously manage our balance sheet to ensure it remains strong and flexible so that we can exploit opportunistic investment opportunities while preserving value if markets get choppy.

As I approach the sixth anniversary of my tenure at Cedar, I am gratified by much of the progress we have made while growing ever more mindful of the rapidly changing retail landscape. As we look ahead, we are pleased to recognize the foresight of some of our strategic moves, such as our decision to migrate our capital out of the more rural markets in which we were substantially invested when I started in 2011 into the Washington, D.C., Philadelphia and New York MSAs and as well as our decision to focus on grocery-anchored centers versus the manifold retail asset-types in which we were previously invested. However, we need to remain nimble and vigilant. With the growth in e-commerce as well as other technology-driven changes to how retailers do business, we must continue to evolve our business strategy.

One way we have been evolving is with our greater emphasis on redevelopment investing. Over the last few years we have been advancing a number of relatively large mixed-use redevelopment projects at certain of our highest population density urban assets, specifically in Philadelphia and Washington, D.C. We are poised to commence these projects and would expect, once the anchor leases are signed, to be able to discuss these projects in much greater detail. I expect that these place-making projects will fundamentally and favorably change how our company and portfolio are viewed. Moreover, they will allow us to strengthen the neighborhoods in which the subject assets are situated and thereby enable us to do well for our shareholders while doing good for relatively under-served communities.

In support of this strategic evolution, we have added critical new members to Team Cedar. Most notably, in 2016, Robin Zeigler joined the team as our Chief Operating Officer. Robin comes with many years of highly relevant experience, most notably, as Mid-Atlantic COO at Federal Realty Trust. During her time there, she oversaw certain of that company’s most notable mixed-use retail development and redevelopment projects. In addition, she supervised a region that is comparable in size to our entire company. With Robin now in her position for almost a year, as of this writing, I can say that we are well-served with her on our team.

In a similar vein, we made an exciting addition to our Board of Directors with the nomination of Steve Rogers. Steve is the former President and CEO of Parkway Properties, a NYSE-listed office REIT that was similar in size to Cedar. Steve has already made a tremendous impact with his keen insights and excellent judgement. On a sadder board-related note, Jim Burns, our longest serving board member, advised us that he will be retiring and therefore not standing for re-election in 2017. Jim has been an invaluable advisor to management and has set an example of professionalism and probity from which we have all learned a great deal. I am pleased to report that Gregg Gonsalves, a retired partner in Goldman Sachs’ real estate investment banking group, has been nominated to fill the soon-to-be-vacated spot on the board.



More generally, whether at the management or Board levels, Team Cedar continues to forge ahead with enthusiasm and optimism for the future of this company. We look forward to continuing to execute and advance our long term strategy in order to reliably deliver value for you and us, the shareholders of Cedar Realty Trust.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B. Schanzer', written over a light blue grid background.

Bruce J. Schanzer
President and Chief Executive Officer
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.



Our Senior Management Team (from left to right): Adina G. Storch, Philip R. Mays, Robin M. Zeigler, Bruce J. Schanzer, Michael Winters, and Charles Burkert.

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-31817

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
44 South Bayles Avenue, Port Washington, NY
(Address of principal executive offices)

42-1241468
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)
11050-3765
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (516) 767-6492

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, \$0.06 par value	New York Stock Exchange
7-1/4% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$25.00 Liquidation Value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Based on the closing sales price on June 30, 2016 of \$7.43 per share, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$606,862,000.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock \$.06 par value was 85,420,135 on February 17, 2017.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to its 2017 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

1 and 2.	Business and Properties	3
1A.	Risk Factors	10
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	22
3.	Legal Proceedings.....	22
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	22

PART II

5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	23
6.	Selected Financial Data	25
7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	27
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	37
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	39
9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....	71
9A.	Controls and Procedures, including Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	71
9B.	Other information	74

PART III

10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	74
11.	Executive Compensation	74
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	74
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	74
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	74

PART IV

15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.....	75
16.	Form 10-K Summary	77

Part I.

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

General

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the “Company”) is a fully-integrated real estate investment trust that focuses primarily on ownership and operation of grocery-anchored shopping centers straddling the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned and managed a portfolio of 61 operating properties (excluding properties “held for sale”) totaling approximately 9.1 million square feet of gross leasable area (“GLA”). The portfolio was 91.9% leased and 89.9% occupied at December 31, 2016.

The Company, organized in 1984, has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). To qualify as a REIT under those provisions, the Company must have a preponderant percentage of its assets invested in, and income derived from, real estate and related sources. The Company’s objectives are to provide to its shareholders a professionally-managed real estate portfolio consisting primarily of grocery-anchored shopping centers straddling the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor, which will provide substantial cash flow, currently and in the future, taking into account an acceptable modest risk profile, and which will present opportunities for additional growth in income and capital appreciation.

The Company, organized as a Maryland corporation, has established an umbrella partnership structure through the contribution of substantially all of its assets to Cedar Realty Trust Partnership L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”), organized as a limited partnership under the laws of Delaware. The Company conducts substantially all of its business through the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned 99.6% of the Operating Partnership and is its sole general partner. The 351,000 limited Operating Partnership Units (“OP Units”) are economically equivalent to the Company’s common stock and are convertible into the Company’s common stock at the option of the holders on a one-to-one basis.

The Company derives substantially all of its revenues from rents and operating expense reimbursements received pursuant to long-term leases. The Company’s operating results therefore depend on the ability of its tenants to make the payments required by the terms of their leases. The Company focuses its investment activities on grocery-anchored shopping centers. The Company believes that, because of the need of consumers to purchase food and other staple goods and services generally available at such centers, its type of “necessities-based” properties should provide relatively stable revenue flows even during difficult economic times.

The Company, the Operating Partnership, their subsidiaries and affiliated partnerships are separate legal entities. For ease of reference, the terms “we”, “our”, “us”, “Company” and “Operating Partnership” (including their respective subsidiaries and affiliates) refer to the business and properties of all these entities, unless the context otherwise requires. The Company’s executive offices are located at 44 South Bayles Avenue, Port Washington, New York 11050-3765 (telephone 516-767-6492). The Company also maintains property management, construction management and/or leasing offices at several of its shopping-center properties. The Company’s website can be accessed at www.cedarrealtytrust.com, where a copy of the Company’s Forms 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) can be obtained free of charge. These SEC filings are added to the website as soon as reasonably practicable. The Company’s Code of Ethics, corporate governance guidelines and committee charters are also available on the website. Information on the website is not part of this Form 10-K.

The Company’s Properties

The following tables summarize information relating to the Company’s portfolio as of December 31, 2016:

State	Number of properties	GLA	Percentage of GLA
Pennsylvania	26	4,619,100	50.6%
Massachusetts	8	1,258,300	13.8%
Connecticut	7	1,133,500	12.4%
Maryland / Washington, D.C.	7	930,000	10.2%
Virginia	8	556,300	6.1%
New Jersey	4	436,900	4.8%
New York	1	193,700	2.1%
Total portfolio	61	9,127,800	100.0%

Tenant Concentration

Tenant	Number of stores	GLA	% of GLA	Annualized base rent	Annualized base rent per sq. ft.	Percentage annualized base rents
Top twenty tenants (a):						
Giant Foods	10	643,000	7.0%	\$ 10,587,000	\$ 16.47	9.6%
LA Fitness	6	240,000	2.6%	3,994,000	16.64	3.6%
Shop Rite	3	182,000	2.0%	2,977,000	16.36	2.7%
Stop & Shop	3	211,000	2.3%	2,786,000	13.20	2.5%
Dollar Tree	22	224,000	2.5%	2,373,000	10.59	2.1%
Farm Fresh	4	196,000	2.1%	2,264,000	11.55	2.0%
Home Depot	2	253,000	2.8%	2,101,000	8.30	1.9%
Big Y	2	106,000	1.2%	1,926,000	18.17	1.7%
Staples	5	106,000	1.2%	1,721,000	16.24	1.6%
BJ's Wholesale Club	1	118,000	1.3%	1,683,000	14.26	1.5%
Marshalls	6	170,000	1.9%	1,465,000	8.62	1.3%
United Artist	1	78,000	0.9%	1,454,000	18.64	1.3%
Shaw's	2	125,000	1.4%	1,431,000	11.45	1.3%
Shoppers Food Warehouse	2	120,000	1.3%	1,267,000	10.56	1.1%
Ukrop's Supermarket	1	63,000	0.7%	1,233,000	19.57	1.1%
Walmart	3	192,000	2.1%	1,193,000	6.21	1.1%
Planet Fitness	5	96,000	1.1%	1,188,000	12.38	1.1%
Redner's	3	159,000	1.7%	1,159,000	7.29	1.0%
Kohl's	2	147,000	1.6%	1,113,000	7.57	1.0%
Home Goods	4	111,000	1.2%	992,000	8.94	0.9%
Sub-total top twenty tenants	87	3,540,000	38.8%	44,907,000	12.69	40.5%
Remaining tenants	761	4,663,000	51.1%	65,862,000	14.12	59.5%
Sub-total all tenants (b)	848	8,203,000	89.9%	\$ 110,769,000	\$ 13.50	100.0%
Vacant space	N/A	925,000	10.1%			
Total	848	9,128,000	100.0%			

(a) Several of the tenants listed above share common ownership with other tenants:

(1) Giant Foods, Stop & Shop and Food Lion (GLA of 109,000; annualized base rent of \$818,000), (2) Farm Fresh and Shoppers Food Warehouse, (3) Dollar Tree and Family Dollar (GLA of 29,000; annualized base rent of \$329,000), (4) Marshalls, Home Goods, and TJ Maxx (GLA of 54,000; annualized base rent of \$514,000), and (5) Shaw's and Acme Markets (GLA of 117,000; annualized base rent of \$542,000).

(b) Comprised of large tenants (15,000 or more GLA) and small tenants as follows:

	Occupied GLA	Percentage of occupied GLA	Annualized base rent	Annualized base rent per sq. ft.	Percentage annualized base rents
Large tenants	5,740,000	70.0%	\$ 62,856,000	\$ 10.95	56.7%
Small tenants	2,463,000	30.0%	47,913,000	19.45	43.3%
Total	8,203,000	100.0%	\$ 110,769,000	\$ 13.50	100.0%

Lease Expirations

Year of lease expiration	Number of leases expiring	GLA expiring	Percentage of GLA expiring	Annualized expiring base rents	Annualized expiring base rents per sq. ft.	Percentage of annualized expiring base rents
Month-To-Month	53	232,000	2.8%	\$ 3,648,000	\$ 15.72	3.3%
2017	106	827,000	10.1%	11,196,000	13.54	10.1%
2018	125	960,000	11.7%	14,244,000	14.84	12.9%
2019	119	858,000	10.5%	10,956,000	12.77	9.9%
2020	118	1,502,000	18.3%	17,712,000	11.79	16.0%
2021	118	991,000	12.1%	14,376,000	14.51	13.0%
2022	58	381,000	4.6%	5,376,000	14.11	4.9%
2023	22	154,000	1.9%	2,076,000	13.48	1.9%
2024	30	581,000	7.1%	7,716,000	13.28	7.0%
2025	29	476,000	5.8%	6,588,000	13.84	5.9%
2026	27	214,000	2.6%	3,348,000	15.64	3.0%
2027	14	157,000	1.9%	1,932,000	12.31	1.7%
Thereafter	29	870,000	10.6%	11,601,000	13.33	10.5%
All tenants	848	8,203,000	100.0%	<u>\$110,769,000</u>	<u>\$ 13.50</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Vacant space	N/A	925,000	N/A			
Total portfolio	<u>848</u>	<u>9,128,000</u>	<u>N/A</u>			

Real Estate Summary

Property Description	Year acquired	GLA	Percent occupied	Average base rent per leased sq. ft.	Major Tenants (a)	
					Name	GLA
<u>Connecticut</u>						
Big Y Shopping Center	2013	101,105	100.0%	\$ 23.00	Big Y	63,817
Brickyard Plaza	2004	227,598	94.2%	8.90	Home Depot	103,003
					Kohl's	58,966
					Michaels	21,429
					Petsmart	20,405
Groton Shopping Center	2007	121,825	89.7%	11.85	TJ Maxx	30,000
					Goodwill	21,306
					Aldi	17,825
Jordan Lane	2005	177,504	99.2%	11.47	Stop & Shop	60,632
					Fallas	39,280
					Cardio Fitness	20,283
New London Mall	2009	259,566	88.1%	14.70	Shop Rite	64,017
					Marshalls	30,627
					Home Goods	25,432
					Petsmart	23,500
					A.C. Moore	20,932
Oakland Commons	2007	90,100	100.0%	6.37	Walmart	54,911
					Bristol Ten Pin	35,189
Southington Center	2003	155,842	98.5%	7.38	Walmart	95,482
					NAMCO	20,000
Total Connecticut		1,133,540	94.7%	11.76		
<u>Maryland / Washington, D.C.</u>						
East River Park	2015	150,107	93.2%	21.26	Safeway	40,000
					District of Columbia	34,400
Metro Square	2008	71,896	100.0%	19.96	Shoppers Food Warehouse	58,668
Oakland Mills	2005	58,224	100.0%	14.44	Weis Markets	43,470
San Souci Plaza (b)	2009	264,134	78.4%	10.67	Shoppers Food Warehouse	61,466
					Marshalls	27,000
					Maximum Health and Fitness	15,612
Shoppes at Arts District	2016	35,676	100.0%	35.74	Busboys and Poets	9,889
					Yes! Organic Market	7,169
Valley Plaza	2003	190,939	95.8%	5.61	K-Mart	95,810
					Ollie's Bargain Outlet	41,888
					Tractor Supply	32,095
Yorktowne Plaza	2007	158,982	85.8%	13.24	Food Lion	37,692
Total Maryland / Washington, D.C.		929,958	89.5%	13.90		
<u>Massachusetts</u>						
Fieldstone Marketplace	2005/2012	151,995	92.4%	11.52	Shaw's	68,000
					New Bedford Wine and Spirits	15,180
Franklin Village Plaza	2004/2012	303,144	89.9%	21.48	Stop & Shop	75,000
					Marshalls	26,890
					Team Fitness	15,807
Kings Plaza	2007	168,243	95.2%	6.77	Work Out World	42,997
					Fallas	28,504
					Ocean State Job Lot	20,300
					Savers	19,339
Norwood Shopping Center	2006	97,756	98.2%	10.20	Big Y	42,598
					Planet Fitness	18,830
					Dollar Tree	16,798
The Shops at Suffolk Downs	2005	121,320	100.0%	14.14	Stop & Shop	74,977
Timpany Plaza	2007	183,775	92.7%	7.69	Tops	59,947
					Big Lots	28,027
					Gardner Theater	27,576
Webster Plaza	2007	98,984	62.6%	13.90	Planet Fitness	18,681
West Bridgewater Plaza	2007	133,039	94.0%	8.73	Shaw's	57,315
					Pump N Jump	25,000
					Planet Fitness	15,000
Total Massachusetts		1,258,256	91.2%	12.64		

Property Description	Year acquired	GLA	Percent occupied	Average base rent per leased sq. ft.	Major Tenants (a)	
					Name	GLA
<u>New Jersey</u>						
Carll's Corner	2007	129,582	46.3%	13.05	Peebles	18,858
Glenwood Village	2016	63,844	89.9%	19.51	Super Foodtown	28,505
Pine Grove Plaza	2003	86,089	91.9%	11.21	Peebles	24,963
Washington Center Shoppes	2001	157,394	92.3%	9.67	Acme Markets	66,046
					Planet Fitness	20,742
Total New Jersey		436,909	78.2%	12.27		
<u>New York</u>						
Carman's Plaza	2007	193,736	50.6%	20.38	Home Goods	25,806
					Department of Motor Vehicle	19,310
<u>Pennsylvania</u>						
Academy Plaza	2001	137,415	88.4%	14.67	Acme Markets	50,918
Camp Hill	2002	463,967	98.6%	14.89	Boscov's	159,040
					Giant Foods	92,939
					LA Fitness	45,000
					Orthopedic Inst of PA	40,904
					Barnes & Noble	24,908
					Staples	20,000
Colonial Commons	2011	461,914	97.8%	14.64	Giant Foods	67,815
					Dick's Sporting Goods	56,000
					LA Fitness	41,325
					Home Goods	31,436
					Ross Dress For Less	30,000
					Marshalls	27,000
					JoAnn Fabrics	25,500
					David's Furniture	24,970
					Office Max	23,500
					Old Navy	15,500
Crossroads II (b)	2008	133,717	93.9%	20.22	Giant Foods	78,815
Fairview Commons	2007	52,964	66.7%	11.34	Grocery Outlet	16,650
Fort Washington Center	2002	41,000	100.0%	21.83	LA Fitness	41,000
Gold Star Plaza	2006	71,720	97.8%	9.18	Redner's	48,920
Golden Triangle	2003	202,790	94.6%	13.49	LA Fitness	44,796
					Marshalls	30,000
					Staples	24,060
					Just Cabinets	18,665
					Aldi	15,242
Halifax Plaza	2003	51,510	100.0%	12.97	Giant Foods	32,000
Hamburg Square	2004	99,580	88.4%	6.54	Redner's	56,780
					Peebles	19,683
Lawndale Plaza	2015	93,040	98.8%	18.32	Shop Rite	63,342
Maxatawny Marketplace	2011	59,939	100.0%	12.35	Giant Foods	53,914
Meadows Marketplace	2004/2012	91,518	96.5%	15.74	Giant Foods	67,907
Mechanicsburg Center	2005	51,500	100.0%	22.57	Giant Foods	51,500
Newport Plaza	2003	64,489	100.0%	12.65	Giant Foods	43,400
Northside Commons	2008	69,136	100.0%	10.11	Redner's	53,019
Palmyra Shopping Center	2005	111,051	91.7%	7.60	Weis Markets	46,912
					Goodwill	18,104
Port Richmond Village	2001	154,908	79.0%	14.40	Thriftway	40,000
					Pep Boys	20,615
Quartermaster Plaza	2014	456,602	92.4%	14.38	Home Depot	150,000
					BJ's Wholesale Club	117,718
					Planet Fitness	23,146
					Staples	20,388
					Petsmart	19,089

Property Description	Year acquired	GLA	Percent occupied	Average base rent per leased sq. ft.	Major Tenants (a)	
					Name	GLA
<u>Pennsylvania (continued)</u>						
River View Plaza	2003	236,217	87.1%	20.13	United Artists	77,700
					Avalon Carpet	25,000
					Pep Boys	22,000
					Staples	18,000
South Philadelphia	2003	283,415	74.9%	14.74	Shop Rite	54,388
					Ross Dress For Less	31,349
					LA Fitness	31,000
					Modell's	20,000
Swede Square	2003	100,816	95.5%	18.15	LA Fitness	37,200
The Commons	2004	203,426	64.7%	10.74	Bon-Ton	54,500
					TJ Maxx	24,404
The Point	2000	268,037	96.0%	13.01	Burlington Coat Factory	76,665
					Giant Foods	76,627
					A.C. Moore	24,890
					Staples	24,000
Trexler Mall	2005	337,297	96.4%	9.93	Kohl's	88,248
					Bon-Ton	62,000
					Lehigh Wellness Partners	33,227
					Oxyfit Gym	28,870
					Marshalls	28,488
					Home Goods	28,181
Trexlertown Plaza	2006	321,129	73.9%	13.83	Giant Foods	78,335
					Hobby Lobby	57,512
					Big Lots	33,824
					Tractor Supply	19,097
Total Pennsylvania		<u>4,619,097</u>	<u>90.3%</u>	<u>14.12</u>		
<u>Virginia</u>						
Coliseum Marketplace	2005	106,648	100.0%	16.92	Farm Fresh	57,662
					Michaels	23,981
Elmhurst Square	2006	66,254	94.3%	10.59	Food Lion	38,272
Fredericksburg Way	2005	63,000	100.0%	19.58	Ukrop's Supermarket	63,000
General Booth Plaza	2005	71,639	93.3%	13.93	Farm Fresh	53,758
Glen Allen Shopping Center	2005	63,328	100.0%	7.14	Publix	63,328
Kempsville Crossing	2005	79,512	92.7%	11.40	Walmart	41,975
					Farm Fresh	16,938
Oak Ridge Shopping Center	2006	38,700	92.2%	10.90	Food Lion	33,000
Suffolk Plaza	2005	67,216	100.0%	9.90	Farm Fresh	67,216
Total Virginia		<u>556,297</u>	<u>96.9%</u>	<u>12.95</u>		
Total (91.9% leased at December 31, 2016)						
		9,127,793	89.9%	\$ 13.50	(c)	

- (a) Major tenants are determined as tenants with 15,000 or more sq.ft. of GLA, tenants at single-tenant properties, or the largest tenant at a property, based on GLA.
- (b) The Company has a 40% ownership interest in the San Souci Plaza joint venture and a 60% ownership interest in the Crossroads II joint venture. Based on partnership promotes, additional equity interests, and/or other terms of the related joint venture agreements, the Company currently recognizes the results of operations of these joint ventures in excess of its stated percentage ownership.
- (c) Average base rent is calculated as the aggregate, annualized contractual minimum rent for all occupied spaces divided by the aggregate GLA of all occupied spaces as of December 31, 2016. Tenant concessions are reflected in this measure except for a limited number of short-term (generally one to three months) free rent concessions provided to new tenants that took occupancy prior to the end of the reporting period but within the concession period. Average base rent would have been \$13.41 per square foot if all such free rent concessions were reflected.

The terms of the Company's retail leases generally vary from tenancies at will to 25 years, excluding renewal options. Anchor tenant leases are typically for 10 to 25 years, with one or more renewal options available to the lessee upon expiration of the initial lease term. By contrast, smaller store leases are typically negotiated for five-year terms. The longer terms of major tenant leases serve to protect the Company against significant vacancies and to assure the presence of strong tenants which draw consumers to its centers. The shorter terms of smaller store leases allow the Company under appropriate circumstances to adjust rental rates periodically and, where possible, to upgrade or adjust the overall tenant mix.

Most leases contain provisions requiring tenants to pay their pro rata share of real estate taxes, insurance and certain operating costs. Some leases also provide that tenants pay percentage rent based upon sales volume generally in excess of certain negotiated minimums.

Excluding properties held for sale or sold, Giant Food Stores, LLC, Stop & Shop, Inc. and Food Lion, LLC, each of which is owned by Ahold N.V., a Netherlands corporation, leased an aggregate of approximately 11% of the Company's GLA at December 31, 2016, and accounted for an aggregate of approximately 12% of the Company's total revenues during 2016. No other tenant leased more than 10% of GLA at December 31, 2016, or contributed more than 10% of total revenues during 2016.

Executive Offices

The Company's executive offices are located at 44 South Bayles Avenue, Port Washington, New York, pursuant to a lease which expires in February 2020.

Competition

The Company believes that competition for the acquisition and operation of grocery-anchored shopping centers is highly fragmented. It faces competition from institutional investors, public and private REITs, owner-operators engaged in the acquisition, ownership and leasing of shopping centers, as well as from numerous local, regional and national real estate developers and owners in each of its markets. It also faces competition in leasing available space at its properties to prospective tenants. Competition for tenants varies depending upon the characteristics of each local market in which the Company owns and manages properties. The Company believes that the principal competitive factors in attracting tenants in its market are location, price and other lease terms, the presence of anchor tenants, the mix, quality and sales results of other tenants, and maintenance, appearance, access and traffic patterns of its properties.

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state, and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or other contaminants at property owned, leased, managed or otherwise operated by such person, and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, and for investigation and cleanup costs in connection with such contamination. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such conditions, may adversely affect the owner's, lessor's or operator's ability to sell or rent such property or to arrange financing using such property as collateral. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of real estate, the Company may potentially become liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs and liabilities, including governmental fines and injuries to persons and/or property. Generally, the Company's tenants must comply with environmental laws and meet any remediation requirements. In addition, leases typically impose obligations on tenants to indemnify the Company from any compliance costs the Company may incur as a result of environmental conditions on the property caused by the tenant. However, if a lease does not require compliance and/or indemnification, or if a tenant fails to or cannot comply, the Company could be forced to pay these costs.

The Company believes that environmental studies conducted at the time of acquisition with respect to its properties did not reveal any material environmental liabilities for which the Company is responsible and that would have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations or liquidity. However, no assurances can be given that existing environmental studies with respect to any of the properties reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner of or tenant at a property did not create a material environmental condition not known to the Company, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist at any one or more of its properties. If a material environmental condition does in fact exist, it could have an adverse impact upon the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Employees

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had 70 employees (69 full-time and one part-time). The Company believes that its relations with its employees are good.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below are the risk factors that we believe are material to our investors. Each of these risk factors could adversely affect our business operating results and/or financial condition, as well as adversely affect the value of our common stock and other securities. In addition to the following disclosures, please refer to the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K including the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the related notes. This section contains forward-looking statements. You should refer to the explanation of the qualifications and limitation on forward-looking statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

These risks factors are not exhaustive. We operate in a competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may affect our business. Investors should also refer to our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K for future periods for material updates to these risk factors.

Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business

Our properties consist primarily of grocery-anchored shopping centers. Our performance therefore is linked to economic conditions in the market for retail space generally.

Our properties consist primarily of grocery-anchored shopping centers, and our performance therefore is linked to economic conditions in the market for retail space generally. This also means that we are subject to the risks that affect the retail environment generally, including the levels of consumer spending, the willingness of retailers to lease space in our shopping centers, tenant bankruptcies, the impact of internet sales on the demand for retail space, ongoing consolidation in the retail sector, and changes in economic conditions and consumer confidence. A downturn in the U.S. economy and reduced consumer spending could impact our tenants' ability to meet their lease obligations due to poor operating results, lack of liquidity or other reasons, and therefore decrease the revenue generated by our properties and/or the value of our properties. Our ability to lease space and negotiate and maintain favorable rents could also be negatively impacted by the state of the U.S. economy. Moreover, the demand for leasing space in our shopping centers could also significantly decline during a significant downturn in the U.S. economy that could result in a decline in our occupancy percentage and reduction in rental revenues. Any sustained levels of high unemployment can be expected to have a serious negative impact on consumer spending and sales by tenants at our shopping centers.

In addition, increases in energy costs in this country may cause shoppers to restrict their trips by automobile to shopping centers, reduce their purchases of gasoline and other products from the fuel service stations at several of our properties, as well as reduce their levels of discretionary spending, all of which, in turn, could adversely affect sales at our properties.

The geographic concentration of our properties in the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor exposes us to greater economic risks than if the distribution of our properties encompassed a broader region.

Our properties are located largely in the region that straddles the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor, which exposes us to greater economic risks than if our properties were more diversely located (in particular, 26 of our properties are located in Pennsylvania). Any adverse economic or real estate developments resulting from the regulatory environment, business climate, fiscal problems or weather in such regions could have an adverse impact on our prospects. In addition, the economic condition of each of our markets may be dependent on one or more industries. An economic downturn in one of these industry sectors may result in an increase in tenant vacancies, which may harm our performance in the affected markets.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and with the real estate industry.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and with the real estate industry, including, among other things, risks related to adverse changes in national, regional and local economic and market conditions. Our continued ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders depends on our ability to generate sufficient revenues to meet operating expenses, future debt service and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events and conditions include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- local oversupply, increased competition or declining demand for real estate;
- local economic conditions, which may be adversely impacted by plant closings, business layoffs, industry slow-downs, weather conditions, natural disasters and other factors;
- non-payment or deferred payment of rent or other charges by tenants, either as a result of tenant-specific financial ills, or general economic events or circumstances adversely affecting consumer disposable income or credit;
- vacancies or an inability to rent space on acceptable terms;
- increased operating costs, including real estate taxes, insurance premiums, utilities, costs associated with the need to periodically renovate and re-lease space, and repairs and maintenance;
- volatility and/or increases in interest rates, or the non-availability of funds in the credit markets in general;
- increased costs of complying with current, new or expanded governmental regulations;
- the relative illiquidity of real estate investments;
- changing market demographics;
- changing traffic patterns; and
- an inability to refinance maturing debt in acceptable amounts and/or on acceptable terms.

The level of our indebtedness and any constraints on credit may impede our operating performance, and put us at a competitive disadvantage.

The level of our indebtedness may harm our business and operating results by (1) requiring us to use a substantial portion of our available liquidity to pay required debt service and/or repayments or establish additional reserves, which would reduce amounts available for distributions, (2) placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that have less debt or debt at more favorable terms, (3) making us more vulnerable to economic and industry downturns and reducing our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions, and (4) limiting our ability to borrow more money for operations or capital expenditures. In addition, increases in interest rates may impede our operating performance and put us at a competitive disadvantage. Further, payments of required debt service or amounts due at maturity, or creation of additional reserves under loan agreements, could adversely affect our liquidity. Our organizational documents do not limit the level or amount of debt that we may incur, nor do we have a policy limiting our debt to any particular level.

Economic conditions in the U.S. economy in general, and any uncertainty in the credit markets and retail environment, could adversely affect our ability to continue to pay dividends or cause us to reduce further the amount of our dividends.

We paid dividends totaling \$0.20 per share during each of 2016, 2015 and 2014. However, any downturn in the state of the U.S. economy, weakness in capital markets and/or difficult retail environment may cause us to reduce or suspend the payment of dividends.

Any volatility or instability in the credit markets could adversely affect our ability to obtain new financing or to refinance existing indebtedness.

Any instability in the credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access debt financing, to arrange property-specific financing or to refinance our existing debt as it matures on favorable terms or at all. As a result, we may be forced to seek potentially less attractive financings, including equity investments, on terms that may not be favorable to us. In doing so, we may be compelled to dilute the interests of existing shareholders that could also adversely reduce the trading price of our common stock.

We may be exposed to additional risks through our hedging activities, including the risks that a counterparty will not perform and that the hedge will not yield the economic benefits we anticipate.

To manage our exposure to variable interest rate risk, we use derivative instruments that involve risk, such as the risk that counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, or that these arrangements may not be effective in

reducing our exposure to interest rate changes. There can be no assurance that our hedging arrangements will qualify for hedge accounting or that our hedging activities will have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations. If we decide to terminate a hedging agreement, there could be significant costs and cash requirements involved to fulfill our obligations under the hedging agreement. Failure to effectively hedge against interest rate changes may adversely affect our results of operations.

In addition, under the REIT qualification provisions of the Code, income we could receive from certain hedging transactions may be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may need to limit or entirely avoid otherwise advantageous hedging techniques.

As substantially all of our revenues are derived from rental income, failure of tenants to pay rent or delays in arranging leases and occupancy at our properties could seriously harm our operating results and financial condition.

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from rental income from our properties. Our tenants may experience a downturn in their respective businesses and/or in the economy generally at any time that may weaken their financial condition. As a result, any such tenants may delay lease commencement, fail to make rental payments when due, decline to extend a lease upon its expiration, become insolvent, or declare bankruptcy. Any leasing delays, failure to make rental or other payments when due, or tenant bankruptcies, could result in the termination of tenants' leases, which would have a negative impact on our operating results. In addition, adverse market and economic conditions and competition may impede our ability to renew leases or re-let space as leases expire, which could harm our business and operating results.

Our business may be seriously harmed if a major tenant fails to renew its lease(s) or vacates one or more properties and prevents us from re-leasing such premises by continuing to pay base rent for the balance of the lease terms. In addition, the loss of such a major tenant could result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants at the affected properties, as provided in their respective leases. Excluding properties held for sale or sold, no tenant leased more than 10% of GLA at December 31, 2016 or contributed more than 10% of total revenues during 2016, except for Giant Food Stores, LLC, Stop & Shop, Inc. and Food Lion, LLC, each of which is owned by Ahold N.V., a Netherlands corporation, which leased an aggregate of approximately 11% of our GLA at December 31, 2016, and accounted for an aggregate of approximately 12% of our total revenues, during 2016.

We may be restricted from re-leasing space based on existing exclusivity lease provisions with some of our tenants. In these cases, the leases contain provisions giving the tenant the exclusive right to sell particular types of merchandise or provide specific types of services within the particular retail center, which limits the ability of other tenants within that center to sell such merchandise or provide such services. When re-leasing space after a vacancy by one of such other tenants, such lease provisions may limit the number and types of prospective tenants for the vacant space. The failure to re-lease space or to re-lease space on satisfactory terms could harm operating results.

We face potential material adverse effects from tenant bankruptcies.

Any bankruptcy filings by, or relating to, one of our tenants or a lease guarantor would generally bar efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that tenant, or lease guarantor, unless we receive an order permitting us to do so from the bankruptcy court. A bankruptcy by a tenant or lease guarantor could delay efforts to collect past due balances, and could ultimately preclude full or, in fact, any collection of such sums. If a lease is affirmed by the tenant in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must generally be paid in full. However, if a lease is disaffirmed by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would have only an unsecured claim for damages, which would be paid normally only to the extent that funds are available, and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other members of the same class of unsecured creditors. In addition, we may be unable to replace the tenant at current rental rates. It is possible, and indeed likely, that we would recover substantially less than, or in fact no portion of, the full value of any unsecured claims we hold, and would be required to write off any straight-line rent receivable recorded for such tenant, which may in turn harm our financial condition.

Our redevelopment activities may not yield anticipated returns, which would harm our operating results and reduce funds available for distributions to shareholders.

Redevelopment projects entail considerable risks, including:

- Time lag between commencement and completion, leaving us exposed to higher-than-estimated construction costs, including labor and material costs;
- Time lag between commencement and completion, leaving us exposed to changes in the overall rental market ;
- Failure or inability to obtain construction or permanent financing on favorable terms;
- Expenditure of money and time on projects that may never be completed;
- Inability to secure key anchor or other tenants;
- Inability to achieve projected rental rates or anticipated pace of lease-up;
- Delays in completion relating to weather, labor disruptions, construction or zoning delays; and
- Higher costs incurred than originally estimated.

In addition, properties we redevelop or acquire may fail to achieve the occupancy or rental rates we project, within the time frames we project, at the time we make the decision to invest, which may result in the properties' failure to achieve the returns we projected. Our pre-acquisition evaluation of the physical condition of each new investment may not detect certain defects or identify necessary repairs until after the property is acquired, which could significantly increase our total acquisition costs or decrease cash flow from the property. Moreover, our investigation of a property or building prior to our acquisition, and any representations we may receive from the seller of such building or property, may fail to reveal various liabilities, which could reduce the cash flow from the property or increase our acquisition cost.

The failure of our redevelopment projects to yield their anticipated return could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

“New Technology” developments may negatively impact our tenants and our business.

We may be adversely affected by developments in new technology which may cause the business of certain of our tenants to become substantially diminished or functionally obsolete, with the result that such tenants may be unable to pay rent, become insolvent, file for bankruptcy protection, close their stores, or terminate their leases. Examples of the potentially adverse effects of new technology on retail businesses include, among other things, the effect of “e-books” and small screen readers on book stores, and increased sales of many products on-line.

Recent annual increases in online sales have also caused many retailers to sell products online on their websites with pick-ups at a store or warehouse or through deliveries, which may have the effect of decreasing the reported amount of their in-store sales and the amount of rent we are able to collect from them. With respect to grocer tenants, on-line grocery orders have become increasingly available, particularly in urban areas, but have not yet become a major factor affecting grocers in our portfolio. We cannot predict with certainty how growth in internet sales will impact the demand for space at our properties or how much revenue will be generated at “bricks and mortar” store locations in the future. If we are unable to anticipate and respond promptly to trends in retailer and consumer behavior, our occupancy levels and financial results could suffer.

Competition may impede our ability to renew leases or re-let spaces as leases expire, which could harm our business and operating results.

We also face competition from similar retail centers within our respective trade areas that may affect our ability to renew leases or re-let space as leases expire. Certain national retail chain bankruptcies and resulting store closings/lease disaffirmations have generally resulted in increased available retail space which, in turn, has resulted in increased competitive pressure to renew tenant leases upon expiration and to find new tenants for vacant space at such properties. In addition, any new competitive properties that are developed within the trade areas of our existing properties may result in increased competition for customer traffic and creditworthy

tenants. Increased competition for tenants may require us to make tenant and/or capital improvements to properties beyond those that we would otherwise have planned to make. Any unbudgeted tenant and/or capital improvements we undertake may reduce cash that would otherwise be available for distributions to shareholders. Ultimately, to the extent we are unable to renew leases or re-let space as leases expire, our business and operations could be negatively impacted.

The financial covenants in our loan agreements may restrict our operating or acquisition activities, which may harm our financial condition and operating results.

The financial covenants in our loan agreements may restrict our operating or acquisition activities, which may harm our financial condition and operating results. Our unsecured credit facilities and the mortgages on our properties contain customary negative covenants, such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to sell or otherwise transfer any ownership interest, to further mortgage the applicable property, to enter into leases, or to discontinue insurance coverage. Our ability to borrow under our unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to compliance with these financial and other covenants, including restrictions on the maximum availability, which is based on the adjusted net operating income of designated unencumbered properties, the payment of dividends, and overall restrictions on the amount of indebtedness we can incur. If we breach covenants in our debt agreements, the lenders could declare a default and require us to repay the debt immediately and, if the debt is secured, take possession of the property or properties securing the loan.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in a property or group of properties subject to mortgage debt.

If a property or group of properties is mortgaged to secure payment of debt and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the holder of the mortgage or lender could foreclose on the property, resulting in a loss of our investment. Alternatively, if we decide to sell assets in the current market to raise funds to repay matured debt, it is possible that these properties will be disposed of at a loss.

Our properties may be subject to impairment charges

On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the value of its held-for-use real estate assets and other investments may be impaired. Held-for-use real estate assets are impaired only if the estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property are less than the carrying value of the property. The estimate of cash flows considers factors such as expected future operating income, capital expenditures, trends and prospects, the effects of demand, competition and other factors. If we are evaluating the potential sale of an asset or development alternatives, the future cash flow considerations include the most likely course of action at the balance sheet date based on current plans, intended holding periods and available market information including a market discount rate applied to the estimated future proceeds. We are required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of our real estate assets and other investments. These assessments have a direct impact on our earnings because recording an impairment charge results in an immediate negative adjustment to earnings. There can be no assurance that we will not take additional charges in the future related to the impairment of our assets. Any future impairment could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the charge is taken.

Our capital migration strategy entails various risks

We intend to sell properties and reinvest those proceeds in the acquisition of higher quality properties in our target markets, the development and redevelopment of our properties, or use the proceeds to pay down debt. While we hope to minimize the dilutive effect of these sales on our earnings, in the near term the returns on the disposed assets are likely to exceed the returns we are able to achieve through the reinvestment of those proceeds. Also, in the event we are unable to sell these assets for amounts equal to or in excess of their current carrying values, we would be required to recognize an impairment charge. Any such impairment charges or earnings dilution could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows and the market price of our publicly traded securities.

Competition and saturation in our existing markets may limit our ability for further growth in these geographic regions.

Numerous commercial developers and real estate companies compete with us seeking properties for acquisition in our existing target markets. This competition may operate to reduce the properties available for acquisition in these markets, increase the cost of the properties we acquire, reduce the rate of return on these properties, and interfere with our ability to attract and retain tenants.

High barriers to entry in the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor due to mature economies, road patterns, density of population, restrictions on development, and high land costs, coupled with large numbers of often overlapping government jurisdictions, may make it difficult for us to continue to grow in these areas.

Future acquisitions may result in disruptions to our business, may strain management resources and may result in earnings per share and shareholder dilution.

If we acquire a business involving multiple properties, we will be required to integrate the operations, personnel and accounting and information systems of the acquired business and train, retain and motivate any key personnel from the acquired business. In addition, acquisitions of or investments in companies may cause disruptions in our operations and divert management's attention away from day-to-day operations, which could impair our relationships with our current tenants and employees. The issuance of equity or debt securities in connection with any acquisition or investment could be substantially dilutive to our shareholders.

Commercial real estate investments are relatively illiquid.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, supply and demand, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot be certain that we will be able to sell any property for the price and other terms we seek, or that any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot estimate with certainty the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to complete the sale of a property. We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. Factors that impede our ability to dispose of properties could adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Our success depends on the efforts of key personnel, whose continued service is not guaranteed. Key personnel could be lost because we could not offer, among other things, competitive compensation programs. The loss of services of key personnel could materially and adversely affect our operations because of diminished relationships with lenders, sources of equity capital, construction companies, and existing and prospective tenants, and the ability to conduct our business and operations without material disruption.

Natural disasters and severe weather conditions could have an adverse impact on our cash flow and operating results.

Some of our properties could be subject to potential natural or other disasters. In addition, we may acquire properties that are located in areas which are subject to natural disasters. Properties could also be affected by increases in the frequency or severity of hurricanes or other storms, whether such increases are caused by global climate changes or other factors. The occurrence of natural disasters or severe weather conditions can increase investment costs to repair or replace damaged properties, increase operating costs, increase future property insurance costs, and/or negatively impact the tenant demand for lease space. If insurance is unavailable to us, or is unavailable on acceptable terms, or if our insurance is not adequate to cover business interruption or losses from such events, our earnings, liquidity and/or capital resources could be adversely affected.

Property ownership through joint ventures could limit our control of those investments and reduce their expected return.

As of December 31, 2016, we owned two of our operating properties through consolidated joint ventures. Our joint ventures, and joint ventures we may enter into in the future, may involve risks not present with respect to our wholly owned properties, including the following:

- We may share decision-making authority with our joint venture partners regarding certain major decisions affecting the ownership or operation of the joint venture and the joint venture property, such as, but not limited to, (1) additional capital contribution requirements, (2) signing of major leases, (3) obtaining debt financing, and (4) obtaining consent prior to the sale or transfer of our interest in the joint venture to a third party, which may prevent us from taking actions that are opposed by our joint venture partners;
- Our joint venture partners might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions, which may increase our financial commitment to the joint venture;

- Our joint venture partners may have business interests or goals with respect to the property that conflict with our business interests and goals, which could increase the likelihood of disputes regarding the ownership, management or disposition of the property;
- Disputes may develop with our joint venture partners over decisions affecting the property or the joint venture, which may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and distract our officers and/or trustees from focusing their time and effort on our business, and possibly disrupt the day-to-day operations of the property such as by delaying the implementation of important decisions until the conflict is resolved; and
- The activities of a joint venture could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

Potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

Potential losses may not be covered by insurance. We carry comprehensive liability, fire, flood, extended coverage and rental loss insurance under a blanket policy covering all of our properties. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for losses related to war, nuclear accidents, and nuclear, biological and chemical occurrences from terrorist's acts. Some of the insurance, such as those covering losses due to wind, floods and earthquakes, is subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses. The availability of insurance coverage may decrease and the prices for insurance may increase as a consequence of significant losses incurred by the insurance industry and other factors outside our control. As a result, we may be unable to renew or duplicate our current insurance coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In addition, insurance companies may no longer offer coverage against certain types of losses, such as losses due to terrorist acts and toxic mold, or, if offered, the expense of obtaining these types of insurance may not be justified. Additionally, certain tenants have termination rights in respect of certain casualties. If we receive casualty proceeds, we may not be able to reinvest such proceeds profitably or at all, and we may be forced to recognize taxable gain on the affected property. If we experience losses that are uninsured or that exceed policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. Tenants may not properly maintain their insurance policies or have the ability to pay the deductibles associated with such policies. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and litigation over environmental matters and various other federal, state and local regulatory requirements.

All real property and the operations conducted on real property are subject to federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to hazardous materials, environmental protection and human health and safety. Accordingly, we or our tenants may be required to investigate and clean up certain hazardous or toxic substances released on properties we own or operate, and also may be required to pay other related costs. Our leases typically impose obligations on our tenants to indemnify us for any compliance costs we may incur as a result of environmental conditions on the property caused by the tenant. If a tenant fails to or is unable to comply, we could be forced to pay these costs. If not addressed, environmental conditions could impair our ability to sell or re-lease the affected properties in the future, result in lower sales prices or rent payments, and restrict our ability to borrow funds using the affected properties as collateral.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulations and litigation over environmental matters. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or other contaminants at property owned, leased, managed or otherwise operated by such person, and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, and for investigation, remediation and cleanup costs in connection with such contamination. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such conditions, may adversely affect the owner's, lessor's or operator's ability to sell or rent such property or to arrange financing using such property as collateral. We may be liable without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the environmental contamination and with respect to properties we have acquired, whether the contamination occurred before or after the acquisition.

We believe environmental studies conducted at the time of acquisition with respect to all of our properties did not reveal any material environmental liabilities for which the Company is responsible, and we are unaware of any subsequent environmental matters that would have created a material liability. If one or more of our properties were not in compliance with federal, state and local laws, including environmental laws, we could be required to incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance. If we incur substantial costs to comply with such requirements, our business and operations could be adversely affected. If we fail to comply with such requirements, we might additionally incur governmental fines or private damage awards. There can be no assurance that existing

requirements will not change or that future requirements will not require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely impact our business and operations.

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the “ADA”) could require us to take remedial steps with respect to our properties.

Our existing properties, as well as properties we may acquire, may be required to comply with Title III of the ADA. We may incur significant costs to comply with the ADA, as amended, and similar laws, which require that all public accommodations meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons, and with various other federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements.

We face risks relating to cybersecurity attacks, loss of confidential information and other business disruptions.

We rely extensively on computer systems to manage our business and process transactions. Our business is at risk from and may be impacted by cybersecurity attacks, including attempts to gain unauthorized access to our confidential data and other electronic security breaches. Such cyber-attacks can range from individual attempts to gain unauthorized access to our information technology systems to more sophisticated security threats. While we employ a number of measures to prevent, detect and mitigate these threats including password protection, backup servers and annual penetration testing, there is no guarantee such efforts will be successful in preventing a cyber-attack. Cybersecurity incidents, depending on their nature and scope, could potentially lead to the compromise of confidential information, improper use of our systems and networks, manipulation and destruction of data, system downtimes and operational disruptions, which in turn could adversely affect our reputation, competitiveness and results of operations. In the event a security breach or failure results in the disclosure of sensitive tenant or other third-party data, or the transmission of harmful/malicious code to third parties, we could be subject to liability or claims.

Furthermore, it is possible that our computer systems, including our back-up systems, could be subject to damage or interruption from power outages, computer and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, catastrophic events such as fires, hurricanes, earthquakes and tornadoes, and intentional and inadvertent acts and errors by our employees. If our computer systems cease to function properly or are damaged, we may have to make a significant investment to repair or replace them, and we may suffer interruptions in our operations in the interim. Any material interruption in our computer systems or issues with the ongoing implementation of newly adopted IT solutions may have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations or on our ability to timely and accurately report the results of our operations.

Future terrorist attacks and shooting incidents could harm the demand for, and the value of, our properties.

Future terrorist attacks, such as the number of highly publicized terrorists acts and shootings that have occurred at domestic and international retail properties, could harm the demand for, and the value of, our properties. Terrorist attacks could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction, loss or increased security costs, and the availability of insurance for such acts may be limited or may be subject to substantial cost increases. If such an incident were to occur at one of our properties, we may be subject to significant liability claims. While we attempt to mitigate this risk through insurance coverage and the employment of third party security services where we feel conditions warrant, we cannot guarantee that losses would not exceed applicable insurance coverages, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations and our ability to meet our obligations, including distributions to our shareholders. To the extent that our tenants are impacted by future attacks, their ability to continue to honor obligations under their existing leases could be adversely affected.

We could be subject to litigation that may negatively impact our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

From time to time, we may be a defendant in lawsuits and regulatory proceedings relating to our business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and regulatory proceedings, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of any such litigation or proceedings. We could experience a negative impact to our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations due to an unfavorable outcome.

Risks Related to Our Qualification as a REIT and other Tax Matters

If we fail to continue as a REIT, our distributions will not be deductible, and our income will be subject to taxation, thereby reducing earnings available for distribution.

If we do not continue to qualify as a REIT, our distributions will not be deductible, and our income will be subject to taxation, reducing earnings available for distribution. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. A REIT will generally not be subject to federal and substantially all state and local income taxation on that portion of its income that qualifies as REIT taxable

income, to the extent that it distributes at least 90% of its taxable income to its shareholders and complies with certain other requirements. In addition, we would be subject to a 4% excise tax if we fail to distribute sufficient income to meet a minimum distribution test based on our ordinary income, capital gain and aggregate undistributed income from prior years. If we did not continue to qualify as a REIT, we would also be subject to state and local income taxes in certain of the jurisdictions in which our properties are located. In addition, tax laws would no longer require us to pay any distributions to our shareholders. Unless we are entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT again for the four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to certain state and local income and franchise taxes and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income.

We intend to make distributions to shareholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets, borrow funds or pay a portion of the dividend in common stock to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code. Certain assets generate substantial differences between taxable income and income recognized in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”). Such assets include, without limitation, operating real estate that was acquired through structures that may limit or completely eliminate the depreciation deduction that would otherwise be available for income tax purposes. As a result, the Code requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our otherwise net taxable income in order to maintain REIT status could cause us to (1) distribute amounts that could otherwise be used for future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt, (2) borrow on unfavorable terms, (3) sell assets on unfavorable terms, or (4) if necessary, pay a portion of our common dividend in common stock. If we fail to obtain debt or equity capital in the future, it could limit our operations and our ability to grow, which could have a material adverse effect on the value of our common stock.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities and limit our growth opportunities

In order to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our investments in commercial real estate and related assets, the amounts we distribute to shareholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

Frequent asset sales could trigger adverse tax consequences.

Tax laws applicable to REITs require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forego or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interest. Therefore, we may be unable to adjust our portfolio mix promptly in response to market conditions, which may adversely affect our financial position.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. We may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our qualification as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100% tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets that are treated as dealer property or inventory.

In addition, the sale of our properties may generate gains for tax purposes which, if not adequately deferred through “like kind exchanges” under Section 1031 of the Code or other tax deference strategies, could require us to pay income taxes or make additional distributions to our shareholders, thus reducing our capital available for investment in other properties, or if the proceeds of such sales are already invested in other properties, require us to obtain additional funds to pay such taxes or make such distributions, in either such case to permit us to maintain our status as a REIT.

Failure to qualify as a domestically-controlled REIT could subject our non-U.S. shareholders to adverse federal income tax consequences.

We will be a domestically-controlled REIT if, at all times during a specified testing period, less than 50% in value of its shares are held directly or indirectly by non-U.S. shareholders. Because our shares are publicly traded, we cannot guarantee that we will, in fact, be a domestically-controlled REIT. If we fail to qualify as a domestically-controlled REIT, our non-U.S. shareholders that otherwise would not be subject to federal income tax on the gain attributable to a sale of our shares would be subject to taxation upon such a sale if either (a) the shares were not considered to be “regularly traded” under applicable Treasury regulations on an established securities market, such as the NYSE, or (b) the shares were considered to be “regularly traded” on an established securities market and the selling non-U.S. shareholder owned, actually or constructively, more than 5% (10% on or after December 18, 2016) in value of the outstanding shares at any time during specified testing periods. If gain on the sale or exchange of our shares was subject to taxation for these reasons, the non-U.S. shareholder would be subject to federal income tax with respect to any gain on a net basis in a manner

similar to the taxation of a taxable U.S. shareholder, subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax and special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals, and corporate non-U.S. shareholders may be subject to an additional branch profits tax.

We may choose to make distributions in our own stock, in which case you may be required to pay income taxes without receiving any cash dividends.

In connection with our qualification as a REIT, we are required to annually distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (which does not equal net income, as calculated in accordance with GAAP), determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. In order to satisfy this requirement, we may make distributions that are payable in cash and/or shares of our stock (which could account for up to 90% of the aggregate amount of such distributions) at the election of each shareholder. Taxable shareholders receiving such distributions will be required to include the full amount of such distributions as ordinary dividend income to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, U.S. shareholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such distributions in excess of the cash portion of the distribution received. Accordingly, U.S. shareholders receiving a distribution of our shares may be required to sell shares received in such distribution or may be required to sell other stock or assets owned by them, at a time that may be disadvantageous, in order to satisfy any tax imposed on such distribution. If a U.S. shareholder sells the stock that it receives as part of the distribution in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount it must include in income with respect to the distribution, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such distribution, including in respect of all or a portion of such distribution that is payable in stock, by withholding or disposing of part of the shares included in such distribution and using the proceeds of such disposition to satisfy the withholding tax imposed. In addition, if a significant number of our shareholders determine to sell shares of our stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividend income, such sale may put downward pressure on the market price of our stock.

Various tax aspects of such a taxable cash/stock distribution are uncertain and have not yet been addressed by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). No assurance can be given that the IRS will not impose requirements in the future with respect to taxable cash/stock distributions, including on a retroactive basis, or assert that the requirements for such taxable cash/stock distributions have not been met.

Dividends paid by REITs generally do not qualify for reduced tax rates.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for reduced tax rates under the Code. Currently, the maximum federal individual tax rate for nonqualified dividends payable is 39.6%; qualified dividends from most C corporations received by individuals are subject to a reduced maximum federal rate of 20%. In addition to these rates, certain high income individuals may be subject to an additional 3.8% tax on certain investment income, including dividends and capital gains. As a REIT, our distributions to individual shareholders generally are not eligible for the reduced rates and are, consequently, taxed at ordinary income rates. The more favorable federal tax rates applicable to regular corporate dividends may result in the stock of REITs being perceived to be less attractive than the stock of corporations that pay dividends qualifying for reduced rates of tax, which may adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs.

Changes in accounting standards may adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), in conjunction with the SEC, has several key projects on their agenda, some of which have already been adopted, that could impact how we currently account for our material transactions, including, but not limited to, lease accounting, revenue recognition, and other accounting pronouncements disclosed in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 below. New accounting standards or pronouncements that will become applicable to us, or changes in the interpretation of existing standards and pronouncements, could have a significant adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Our charter and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction and depress our stock price.

Our charter and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction and depress the price of our common stock. The charter, subject to certain exceptions, authorizes directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable relating to qualification as a REIT, and to limit any person to beneficial ownership of no more than 9.9% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. This ownership limit may delay or impede a transaction or a change of control that might

involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of shareholders. Our Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt a proposed transferee from the ownership limit, but not grant an exemption from the ownership limit to any proposed transferee whose direct or indirect ownership could jeopardize our status as a REIT. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if our Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in our best interests to continue to qualify as, or to be, a REIT. Our Board of Directors has waived the ownership limit to permit certain institutional investors to own common stock in excess of the ownership limit and may grant additional waivers in the future as long as the Company is able to maintain its REIT status. This concentration of ownership could deprive other shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their shares of common stock as part of a sale of our Company and ultimately might affect the market price of our common stock.

We may authorize and issue stock and OP Units without shareholder approval. Our charter authorizes the Board of Directors to issue additional shares of common or preferred stock, to issue additional OP Units, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common or preferred stock, and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of such classified or unclassified shares. We have agreed not to use our preferred stock for anti-takeover purposes or in connection with a shareholder rights plan unless we obtain shareholder approval. Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (the “MGCL”) may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares, including:

- “business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person or an affiliate thereof who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes special appraisal rights and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and
- “control share” provisions that provide that our “control shares” (defined as shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

We have opted out of these provisions of the MGCL. However, the Board of Directors may, by resolution, elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL, and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited by the requirements of Maryland law.

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the laws of the State of Maryland. Under applicable Maryland law, a Maryland corporation generally may not make a distribution if, after giving effect to the distribution, the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business, or the value of the corporation’s total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus, unless the corporation’s charter provides otherwise, the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. Accordingly, we generally may not make a distribution on our common stock if, after giving effect to the distribution, we would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business or our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus, unless the terms of such class or series provide otherwise, the amount that would be needed to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of the holders of shares of any class or series of preferred stock then outstanding, if any, with preferences senior to those of our common stock.

Our Board of Directors may change our strategy without shareholder approval.

Our Board of Directors may change our strategy with respect to capitalization, investment, distributions and/or operations. Our Board of Directors may establish investment criteria or limitations as it deems appropriate, but currently does not limit the number or types of properties in which we may seek to invest or the concentration of investments in any one geographic region or the amount of development or redevelopment activity occurring across our portfolio. Although our Board of Directors has no present intention to revise or amend our strategies and policies, it may do so at any time without a vote by our shareholders. Accordingly, the results of decisions made by our Board of Directors and implemented by management could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations, including our ability to distribute cash to shareholders or qualify as a REIT.

The rights of shareholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Maryland law provides that a director or officer has no liability in that capacity if he or she satisfies his or her duties to us and our shareholders. As permitted by the MGCL, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our shareholders for monetary damages, except for liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or service, or a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter and bylaws and indemnification agreements that we have entered into with our directors and certain of our officers require us to indemnify our directors and officers, among others, for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our shareholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from such director or officer will be limited. In addition, we will be obligated to advance the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers with indemnification agreements, and may, at the discretion of our Board of Directors, advance the defense costs incurred by our employees and other agents, in connection with legal proceedings.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

The market value of our debt and equity securities is subject to various factors that may cause significant fluctuations or volatility.

As with other publicly traded securities, the market price of our publicly traded securities depends on various factors which may change from time-to-time and are often out of our control. Among the conditions that may affect the market price of our publicly traded securities are the following:

- the extent of institutional investor interest in us;
- the market perception of our business compared to other REITS;
- the market perception of retail REITs, in general, compared to other investment alternatives;
- our financial condition and performance, including changes in our funds from operations, operating funds from operations, or earnings estimates;
- the market's perception of our growth potential and potential future cash dividends;
- publication of research reports about us or our industry by securities analysts;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- the passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us, our tax status, or our industry;
- our credit or analyst ratings;
- any future issuances of equity or debt securities;
- our failure to satisfy the listing requirements of the NYSE
- our failure to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;

- an increase in market interest rates;
- our ability to access the capital markets to raise additional capital; and
- general economic and financial market conditions.

These factors may cause the market price of our common stock to decline, in some cases regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects. It is impossible to ensure that the market price of our common stock will not fall in the future. A decrease in the market price of our common stock could reduce our ability to raise additional equity in the public markets. Selling common stock at a decreased market price would have a dilutive impact on existing shareholders.

Future offerings of debt securities, which would be senior to our common and preferred stock, or equity securities, which would dilute the interests of our existing shareholders and may be senior to our existing common stock, may adversely affect the market prices of our common and preferred stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities, including senior or subordinated notes and classes of preferred or common stock. Holders of debt securities or shares of preferred stock will generally be entitled to receive interest payments or distributions, both current and in connection with any liquidation or sale, prior to the holders of our common stock. Furthermore, offerings of common stock or other equity securities may dilute the holdings of our existing shareholders. We are not required to offer any such equity securities to existing shareholders on a preemptive basis, and future offerings of debt or equity securities, or perceptions that such offerings may occur, may reduce the market prices of our common and preferred stock or the distributions that we pay with respect to our common stock. Because we may generally issue any such debt or equity securities in the future without obtaining the consent of our shareholders, our shareholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market prices of our common and preferred stock and diluting their proportionate ownership.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments: None

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not presently involved in any litigation, nor, to its knowledge, is any litigation threatened against the Company or its subsidiaries, which is either not covered by the Company's liability insurance, or, in management's opinion, would result in a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures: Not applicable

Part II.

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Dividend Information

A corporation electing REIT status is required to distribute at least 90% of its "REIT taxable income", as defined in the Code, to continue qualification as a REIT. In keeping with its stated goal of reducing overall leverage, and in order to maximize financial flexibility, the Company paid dividends totaling \$0.20 per share during 2016, 2015 and 2014. While the Company intends to continue paying regular quarterly dividends, future dividend declarations will continue to be at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and will depend on the cash flow and financial condition of the Company, capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, and such other factors as the Board of Directors may deem relevant.


Market Information

The Company had 85,316,320 shares of common stock outstanding held by approximately 700 shareholders of record at December 31, 2016. The Company believes it has more than 4,000 beneficial holders of its common stock. The Company's shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol "CDR". The following table sets forth, for each quarter for the last two years, (1) the high, low, and closing prices of the Company's common stock, and (2) dividends paid:

Quarter ended	Market price range			Dividends
2016	High	Low	Close	paid
March 31	\$ 7.32	\$ 6.47	\$ 7.23	\$ 0.05
June 30	\$ 7.44	\$ 6.63	\$ 7.43	\$ 0.05
September 30	\$ 8.08	\$ 7.01	\$ 7.20	\$ 0.05
December 31	\$ 7.21	\$ 5.92	\$ 6.53	\$ 0.05
2015				
March 31	\$ 8.36	\$ 7.09	\$ 7.49	\$ 0.05
June 30	\$ 7.64	\$ 6.37	\$ 6.40	\$ 0.05
September 30	\$ 7.06	\$ 5.75	\$ 6.21	\$ 0.05
December 31	\$ 7.40	\$ 6.16	\$ 7.08	\$ 0.05

Stockholder Return Performance Presentation

The following line graph sets forth for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2016, a comparison of the percentage change in the cumulative total stockholder return on the Company's common stock compared to the cumulative total return of the Russell 2000 index and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity REIT Total Return Index. The graph assumes that the shares of the Company's common stock were bought at the price of \$100 per share and that the value of the investment in each of the Company's common stock and the indices was \$100 at the beginning of the period. The graph further assumes the reinvestment of dividends when paid.



Index	Period Ending					
	01/01/12	12/31/12	12/31/13	12/31/14	12/31/15	12/31/16
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 127.40	\$ 156.45	\$ 189.35	\$ 187.88	\$ 178.40
Russell 2000	\$ 100.00	\$ 116.35	\$ 161.52	\$ 169.43	\$ 161.95	\$ 196.45
NAREIT All Equity REIT Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 119.70	\$ 123.12	\$ 157.63	\$ 162.08	\$ 176.07

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Years ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Operations data:					
Total revenues	\$ 151,086,000	\$ 149,207,000	\$ 148,184,000	\$ 139,598,000	\$ 135,726,000
Expenses:					
Property operating expenses	44,515,000	44,590,000	44,786,000	42,319,000	39,387,000
General and administrative	18,154,000	15,004,000	14,356,000	13,980,000	14,277,000
Management transition charges and employee termination costs	-	-	-	106,000	1,172,000
Acquisition pursuit costs	3,426,000	1,238,000	2,870,000	182,000	116,000
Depreciation and amortization	40,787,000	38,594,000	38,700,000	44,405,000	43,289,000
Total expenses	106,882,000	99,426,000	100,712,000	100,992,000	98,241,000
Other:					
Gain on sales	59,000	-	6,413,000	609,000	997,000
Impairment (charges) / reversals	(6,347,000)	212,000	(3,148,000)	172,000	(5,499,000)
Total other	(6,288,000)	212,000	3,265,000	781,000	(4,502,000)
Operating income	37,916,000	49,993,000	50,737,000	39,387,000	32,983,000
Non-operating income and expense:					
Interest expense	(26,529,000)	(28,272,000)	(32,301,000)	(34,762,000)	(38,289,000)
Early extinguishment of debt costs	(2,623,000)	(105,000)	(825,000)	(106,000)	(2,607,000)
Equity in income of unconsolidated joint ventures	-	-	-	-	1,481,000
Gain on exit from unconsolidated joint ventures	-	-	-	-	30,526,000
Total non-operating income and expense	(29,152,000)	(28,377,000)	(33,126,000)	(34,868,000)	(8,889,000)
Income from continuing operations	8,764,000	21,616,000	17,611,000	4,519,000	24,094,000
Income from discontinued operations	-	165,000	11,080,000	9,683,000	9,921,000
Net income	8,764,000	21,781,000	28,691,000	14,202,000	34,015,000
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	179,000	365,000	290,000	246,000	(4,309,000)
Net income attributable to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	8,943,000	22,146,000	28,981,000	14,448,000	29,706,000
Preferred stock dividends and redemption costs	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)	(15,579,000)	(19,817,000)
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (5,465,000)	\$ 7,738,000	\$ 14,573,000	\$ (1,131,000)	\$ 9,889,000
Net (loss) income per common share attributable to common shareholders (basic and diluted):					
Continuing operations	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.05
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.08
	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.13
Dividends to common shareholders	\$ 17,049,000	\$ 17,001,000	\$ 15,841,000	\$ 14,434,000	\$ 14,402,000
Per common share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20
Weighted average number of common shares - basic and diluted	81,672,000	81,356,000	75,311,000	68,381,000	68,017,000

Item 6. Selected Financial Data (continued)

Balance sheet data:	Years ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Real estate, net	\$ 1,183,359,000	\$ 1,249,195,000	\$ 1,208,962,000	\$ 1,199,346,000	\$ 1,194,444,000
Real estate held for sale/conveyance	-	14,402,000	16,508,000	70,757,000	107,097,000
Other assets	50,162,000	54,783,000	58,835,000	59,386,000	64,959,000
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,233,521,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,318,380,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,284,305,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,329,489,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,366,500,000</u>
Mortgage loans payable / credit facilities / term loans	\$ 607,745,000	\$ 673,820,000	\$ 662,914,000	\$ 717,355,000	\$ 741,765,000
Mortgage loans payable - real estate held for sale/conveyance	-	-	-	22,848,000	39,306,000
Other liabilities	43,779,000	47,018,000	46,140,000	53,638,000	63,679,000
Total liabilities	<u>651,524,000</u>	<u>720,838,000</u>	<u>709,054,000</u>	<u>793,841,000</u>	<u>844,750,000</u>
Noncontrolling interest - limited partners' mezzanine OP Units	-	-	396,000	414,000	623,000
Equity:					
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. shareholders' equity	580,740,000	596,050,000	569,552,000	527,677,000	513,656,000
Noncontrolling interests	1,257,000	1,492,000	5,303,000	7,557,000	7,471,000
Total equity	<u>581,997,000</u>	<u>597,542,000</u>	<u>574,855,000</u>	<u>535,234,000</u>	<u>521,127,000</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 1,233,521,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,318,380,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,284,305,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,329,489,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,366,500,000</u>
Other data:					
Funds From Operations ("FFO") (a)	\$ 41,067,000	\$ 45,104,000	\$ 40,273,000	\$ 44,868,000	\$ 26,717,000
Operating Funds From Operations ("Operating FFO") (a)	\$ 49,241,000	\$ 46,447,000	\$ 42,545,000	\$ 36,413,000	\$ 35,813,000
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 57,525,000	\$ 59,136,000	\$ 50,885,000	\$ 49,494,000	\$ 50,362,000
Investing activities	\$ 51,064,000	\$ (47,876,000)	\$ 49,116,000	\$ (15,072,000)	\$ 50,340,000
Financing activities	\$ (107,790,000)	\$ (12,676,000)	\$ (100,475,000)	\$ (37,971,000)	\$ (105,250,000)
Square feet of GLA	9,128,000	9,459,000	9,247,000	9,450,000	9,316,000
Percent occupied	89.9%	90.5%	92.9%	92.6%	92.0%
Average annualized base rent per square foot	\$ 13.50	\$ 13.35	\$ 12.73	\$ 12.31	\$ 12.05

- (a) See Item 7 - "Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for a reconciliation of FFO and Operating FFO to net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company’s consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Executive Summary

The Company is a fully-integrated real estate investment trust that focuses primarily on ownership and operation of grocery-anchored shopping centers straddling the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned and managed a portfolio of 61 operating properties (excluding properties “held for sale”) totaling 9.1 million square feet of gross leasable area (“GLA”). The portfolio was 91.9% leased and 89.9% occupied at December 31, 2016.

The Company, organized as a Maryland corporation, has established an umbrella partnership structure through the contribution of substantially all of its assets to Cedar Realty Trust Partnership L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”), organized as a limited partnership under the laws of Delaware. The Company conducts substantially all of its business through the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned 99.6% of the Operating Partnership and is its sole general partner. The 351,000 limited Operating Partnership Units (“OP Units”) are economically equivalent to the Company’s common stock and are convertible into the Company’s common stock at the option of the holders on a one-to-one basis.

The Company derives substantially all of its revenues from rents and operating expense reimbursements received pursuant to long-term leases. The Company’s operating results therefore depend on the ability of its tenants to make the payments required by the terms of their leases. The Company focuses its investment activities on grocery-anchored shopping centers. The Company believes that, because of the need of consumers to purchase food and other staple goods and services generally available at such centers, its type of “necessities-based” properties should provide relatively stable revenue flows even during difficult economic times.

2016 and Early 2017 Significant Transactions

Acquisitions

On February 25, 2016, the Company acquired Shoppes at Arts District, located in Hyattsville, Maryland. The purchase price for the property was \$20.5 million, of which \$8.5 million was funded from the assumption of a mortgage loan payable bearing interest at the rate of 5.2% per annum and maturing in April 2022.

On May 4, 2016, the Company acquired Glenwood Village, located in Bloomfield, New Jersey. The purchase price for the property, which was unencumbered, was \$19.5 million.

On February 22, 2017, the Company acquired Christina Crossing, located in Wilmington, Delaware. The purchase price for the property, which was unencumbered, was \$29.3 million.

Dispositions

During 2016, the Company sold the following properties:

Property	Location	GLA	Date Sold	Sales Price
Liberty Marketplace	Dubois, PA	68,200	2/11/2016	\$ 15,000,000
Upland Square	Pottstown, PA	399,948	11/2/2016	\$ 83,250,000

Debt

During 2016, the Company repaid the following mortgage loans payable:

Property	Repayment date	Principal payoff amount
Gold Star Plaza	March 10, 2016	\$ 953,000
West Bridgewater	June 6, 2016	\$ 10,037,000
Hamburg Square	July 1, 2016	\$ 4,569,000
Meadows Marketplace	August 1, 2016	\$ 9,089,000
Carman's Plaza	August 1, 2016	\$ 33,500,000
San Souci Plaza	September 1, 2016	\$ 27,200,000
Camp Hill	September 30, 2016	\$ 60,742,000
Swede Square	December 29, 2016	\$ 9,652,000
Golden Triangle	December 30, 2016	\$ 18,496,000

On April 26, 2016, the Company closed a new \$100 million unsecured term loan maturing on April 26, 2023 (all of which was borrowed on September 30, 2016). Proceeds were used primarily to repay mortgages maturing through January 2017. Interest on borrowings under the term loan can range from LIBOR plus 165 to 225 basis points bps (165 bps on December 31, 2016), based on the Company's leverage ratio. Additionally, the Company entered into a forward interest rate swap agreement which converts the LIBOR rate to a fixed rate for the term loan beginning November 1, 2016 through its maturity. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of December 31, 2016, the effective fixed interest rate is 3.2%.

On May 3, 2016, the Company refinanced its existing \$40.3 million mortgage loan payable secured by Franklin Village Plaza with a new \$50.0 million mortgage loan payable, bearing interest at the rate of 3.9% per annum and maturing in June 2026.

Equity

On August 1, 2016, the Company entered into a forward sales agreement to issue 5,750,000 common shares for estimated net proceeds of \$44.2 million, before adjustments for dividends paid and other administrative costs incurred prior to settlement. To date, there have been no physical settlements regarding this offering. The Company expects to physically settle the agreement in full prior to its expiration on August 1, 2017. The Company does have the option to net settle this agreement in shares or cash prior to its expiration, but does not expect to utilize this option.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition and the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, real estate investments and purchase accounting allocations related thereto, asset impairment, and derivatives used to hedge interest-rate risks. Management's estimates are based both on information that is currently available and on various other assumptions management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates and those estimates could be different under varying assumptions or conditions.

The Company has identified the following critical accounting policies, the application of which requires significant judgments and estimates:

Revenue Recognition

Rental income with scheduled rent increases is recognized using the straight-line method over the respective terms of the leases. The aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis over base rents under applicable lease provisions is included in straight-line rents receivable on the consolidated balance sheet. Leases also generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred; such income is recognized in the periods earned. In addition, certain operating leases contain contingent rent provisions under which tenants are required to pay a percentage of their sales in excess of a specified amount as additional rent. The Company defers recognition of contingent rental income until those specified targets are met.

The Company must make estimates as to the collectability of its accounts receivable related to base rent, straight-line rent, expense reimbursements and other revenues. Management analyzes accounts receivable by considering tenant creditworthiness, current economic conditions, and changes in tenants' payment patterns when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable. These estimates have a direct impact on net income, because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income, whereas a lower bad debt allowance would result in higher net income.

Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. The provision for depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives. Expenditures for maintenance, repairs and betterments that do not materially prolong the normal useful life of an asset are charged to operations as incurred. Expenditures for betterments that substantially extend the useful lives of real estate assets are capitalized.

Real estate investments include costs of development and redevelopment activities, and construction in progress. Capitalized costs, including interest and other carrying costs during the construction and/or renovation periods, are included in the cost of the related asset and charged to operations through depreciation over the asset's estimated useful life. The Company is required to make subjective estimates as to the useful lives of its real estate assets for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis. These assessments have a direct impact on net income. A shorter estimate of the useful life of an asset would have the effect of increasing depreciation expense and lowering net income, whereas a longer estimate of the useful life of an asset would have the effect of reducing depreciation expense and increasing net income.

A variety of costs are incurred in the acquisition, development and leasing of a property, such as pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs, and other costs incurred during the period of development. After a determination is made to capitalize a cost, it is allocated to the specific component of a project that is benefited. The Company ceases capitalization on the portions substantially completed and occupied, or held available for occupancy, and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portions under construction. The Company considers a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but not later than one year from cessation of major development activity. Determination of when a development project is substantially complete and capitalization must cease involves a degree of judgment. The effect of a longer capitalization period would be to increase capitalized costs and would result in higher net income, whereas the effect of a shorter capitalization period would be to reduce capitalized costs and would result in lower net income.

The Company allocates the fair value of real estate acquired to land, buildings and improvements. In addition, the fair value of in-place leases is allocated to intangible lease assets and liabilities. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, which value is then allocated to land, buildings and improvements based on management's determination of the fair values of such assets. In valuing an acquired property's intangibles, factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, such as real estate taxes, insurance, other operating expenses, and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on its evaluation of current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases, including leasing commissions, tenant improvements, legal and other related costs.

The values of acquired above-market and below-market leases are recorded based on the present values (using discount rates which reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the differences between the contractual amounts to be received and management's estimate of market lease rates, measured over the terms of the respective leases that management deemed appropriate at the time of the acquisitions. Such valuations include a consideration of the non-cancellable terms of the respective leases as well as any applicable renewal period(s). The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on the Company's experience and the relevant facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the acquisitions. The values of above-market leases are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancelable lease periods. The portion of the values of below-market leases associated with the original non-cancelable lease terms are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancelable lease periods. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that are likely of exercise are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The value of other intangible assets (including leasing commissions, tenant improvements, etc.) is amortized to expense over the applicable terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its stated expiration or not renewed, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recognized in operations at that time.

Management is required to make subjective assessments in connection with its valuation of real estate acquisitions. These assessments have a direct impact on net income, because (1) above-market and below-market lease intangibles are amortized to rental income, and (2) the value of other intangibles is amortized to expense. Accordingly, higher allocations to below-market lease liability

and other intangibles would result in higher rental income and amortization expense, whereas lower allocations to below-market lease liability and other intangibles would result in lower rental income and amortization expense.

Management reviews each real estate investment for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of a real estate investment may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future cash flows that are expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. These estimates of cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If an impairment event exists due to the projected inability to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value. A real estate investment held for sale is carried at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value, less the cost of a potential sale. Depreciation and amortization are suspended during the period the property is held for sale. Management is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of its real estate properties. These assessments have a direct impact on net income, because an impairment loss is recognized in the period that the assessment is made.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 below for information relating to new accounting pronouncements.

Results of Operations

Comparison of 2016 to 2015

	2016	2015	Change	
			Dollars	Percent
Revenues	\$151,086,000	\$149,207,000	\$ 1,879,000	1.3%
Property operating expenses	(44,515,000)	(44,590,000)	75,000	-0.2%
Property operating income	106,571,000	104,617,000	1,954,000	
General and administrative	(18,154,000)	(15,004,000)	(3,150,000)	21.0%
Acquisition pursuit costs	(3,426,000)	(1,238,000)	(2,188,000)	n/a
Depreciation and amortization	(40,787,000)	(38,594,000)	(2,193,000)	5.7%
Gain on sale	59,000	—	59,000	n/a
Impairment (charges) / reversals	(6,347,000)	212,000	(6,559,000)	n/a
Interest expense	(26,529,000)	(28,272,000)	1,743,000	-6.2%
Early extinguishment of debt costs	(2,623,000)	(105,000)	(2,518,000)	n/a
Income from continuing operations	8,764,000	21,616,000	(12,852,000)	
Discontinued operations	—	165,000	(165,000)	n/a
Net income	8,764,000	21,781,000	(13,017,000)	
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	179,000	365,000	(186,000)	
Net income attributable to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	\$ 8,943,000	\$ 22,146,000	\$(13,203,000)	

Revenues were higher primarily as a result of (1) an increase of \$7.1 million in rental revenues and expense recoveries attributable to properties acquired in 2016 and 2015, (2) an increase of \$0.4 million in rental revenues attributable to the Company's same-center properties, and (3) an increase of \$0.3 million in other income primarily attributable to lease termination income, partially offset by (1) a decrease of \$3.1 million in rental revenues and expense recoveries attributable to properties that were sold in 2016 and 2015, (2) a decrease of \$2.0 million in base rents and expense recoveries attributable to redevelopment properties, and (3) a decrease of \$0.6 million in straight-line revenues and amortization of intangible lease liabilities revenue attributable to the Company's same-center properties.

Property operating expenses were lower primarily as a result of (1) a decrease of \$1.2 million in property operating expenses attributable to properties that were sold in 2016 and 2015, and (2) a decrease of \$0.9 million in property operating expenses attributable to the Company's same-center properties (consisting primarily of a reduction in bad debt expense, non-billable expenses and snow removal costs), partially offset by an increase of \$2.2 million in property operating expenses attributable to properties acquired in 2016 and 2015.

General and administrative costs were higher primarily as a result of (1) \$1.4 million associated with the signing bonus and relocation expenses associated with the hiring of the new Chief Operating Officer and estimated expenses relating to the termination

of the prior Chief Operating Officer, and (2) a \$1.0 million increase in payroll, with approximately 50% related to salaries and 50% related to bonuses.

Acquisition pursuit costs in 2016 relate to (1) \$1.7 million of transfer taxes relating to the buyout of a ground lease and acquisition of the fee interest in a currently owned property, (2) \$0.6 million for the purchase of Glenwood Village, located in Bloomfield, New Jersey, (3) \$0.5 million for the purchase of the Shoppes at Arts District, located in Hyattsville, Maryland, (4) \$0.4 million for additional real estate transfer taxes assessed on a property which was purchased in 2014, and (5) \$0.3 million of other costs. Acquisition pursuit costs in 2015 relate to the purchase of Lawndale Plaza, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Depreciation and amortization expenses were higher primarily as a result of (1) an increase of \$3.1 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to properties acquired in 2016 and 2015, and (2) an increase of \$0.2 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to the Company's redevelopment properties, partially offset by (1) a decrease of \$0.6 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to properties that were sold or held for sale in 2016 and 2015, and (2) a decrease of \$0.5 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to the Company's same-center properties.

Impairment charges in 2016 relate to the sale of Upland Square, located in Pottstown, Pennsylvania. Impairment reversals in 2015 relate to properties that were initially classified as held for sale in 2015.

Interest expense was lower primarily as a result of (1) \$1.9 million as a result of a decrease in the overall weighted average interest rate, and (2) \$0.3 million as a result of additional capitalized interest, partially offset by (1) \$0.4 million as a result of an increase in the overall outstanding principal balance of debt, and (2) \$0.1 million as a result of an increase in amortization of deferred financing costs.

Early extinguishment of debt costs in 2016 and 2015 relates to defeasement fees and the accelerated write-off of unamortized fees associated with the prepayment of certain mortgage loans payable.

Discontinued operations in 2015 include the results of operations and impairment reversals attributable to a property that qualified for treatment as discontinued operations.

Comparison of 2015 to 2014

	2015	2014	Change	
			Dollars	Percent
Revenues	\$ 149,207,000	\$ 148,184,000	\$ 1,023,000	0.7%
Property operating expenses	(44,590,000)	(44,786,000)	196,000	-0.4%
Property operating income	104,617,000	103,398,000	1,219,000	
General and administrative	(15,004,000)	(14,356,000)	(648,000)	4.5%
Acquisition pursuit costs	(1,238,000)	(2,870,000)	1,632,000	n/a
Depreciation and amortization	(38,594,000)	(38,700,000)	106,000	-0.3%
Gain on sales	—	6,413,000	(6,413,000)	n/a
Impairment reversals / (charges)	212,000	(3,148,000)	3,360,000	n/a
Interest expense	(28,272,000)	(32,301,000)	4,029,000	-12.5%
Early extinguishment of debt costs	(105,000)	(825,000)	720,000	n/a
Income from continuing operations	21,616,000	17,611,000	4,005,000	
Discontinued operations	165,000	11,080,000	(10,915,000)	n/a
Net income	21,781,000	28,691,000	(6,910,000)	
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	365,000	290,000	75,000	
Net income attributable to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	\$ 22,146,000	\$ 28,981,000	\$ (6,835,000)	

Revenues were higher primarily as a result of (1) an increase of \$4.1 million in rental revenues and expense recoveries attributable to properties acquired in 2015 and 2014, (2) an increase of \$1.1 million in base rental revenue, percentage rental revenue and expense recoveries attributable to the Company's same-center properties, (3) an increase of \$1.0 million in rental revenues and expense recoveries attributable to the Company's redevelopment properties, and (4) an increase of \$0.5 million in other income, partially offset by (1) a decrease of \$4.1 million in rental revenues and expense recoveries attributable to properties that were sold in 2015 and 2014, and (2) a decrease of \$1.6 million in straight-line revenue and amortization of intangible lease liabilities revenue attributable to the Company's same-center properties.

Property operating expenses were lower primarily as a result of (1) a decrease of \$0.9 million in property operating expenses attributable to properties that were sold in 2015 and 2014, (2) a decrease of \$0.6 million in other operating expenses, primarily bad debt expense, repairs and maintenance, and non-billable expenses, and (3) a decrease of \$0.1 million in payroll and payroll related costs, partially offset by an increase of \$1.4 million in property operating expenses attributable to properties acquired in 2015 and 2014.

General and administrative costs were higher primarily as a result of increased costs across various administrative departments.

Acquisition pursuit costs in 2015 relate to the purchase of Lawndale Plaza, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and East River Park, located in Washington, D.C. Acquisition pursuit costs in 2014 relate to the purchase of Quartermaster Plaza, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Depreciation and amortization expenses were lower primarily as a result of (1) a decrease of \$1.1 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to properties that were sold in 2015 and 2014, and (2) a decrease of \$0.5 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to the Company's same-center properties, partially offset by an increase of \$1.3 million in depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to properties acquired in 2015 and 2014.

Gain on sales in 2014 relates to the sales of Carbondale Plaza, located in Carbondale, Pennsylvania, and Virginia Little Creek, located in Norfolk, Virginia.

Impairment reversals / (charges) in 2015 and 2014 relate to properties that were sold or held for sale in 2015 and 2014 that did not qualify for discontinued operations treatment.

Interest expense was lower primarily as a result of (1) \$2.0 million as a result of a decrease in the overall outstanding principal balance of debt, (2) \$1.8 million as a result of a decrease in the overall weighted average interest rate, and (3) \$0.6 million as a result of a decrease in amortization of deferred financing costs, partially offset \$0.3 million as a result of a decrease in capitalized interest.

Early extinguishment of debt costs in 2015 and 2014 relates to defeasement fees and the accelerated write-off of unamortized fees associated with the prepayment of certain mortgage loans payable.

Discontinued operations for 2015 and 2014 include the results of operations, impairment reversals, gain on extinguishment of debt obligations, and gain on sales attributable to properties that qualified for treatment as discontinued operations.

Same-Property Net Operating Income

Same-property net operating income ("same-property NOI") is a widely-used non-GAAP financial measure for REITs that the Company believes, when considered with financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, is useful to investors as it provides an indication of the recurring cash generated by the Company's properties by excluding certain non-cash revenues and expenses, as well as other infrequent items such as lease termination income which tends to fluctuate more than rents from year to year. Properties are included in same-property NOI if they are owned and operated for the entirety of both periods being compared, except for properties undergoing significant redevelopment and expansion until such properties have stabilized, and properties classified as held for sale. Consistent with the capital treatment of such costs under GAAP, tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other direct leasing costs are excluded from same-property NOI.

The most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is consolidated operating income. Same-property NOI should not be considered as an alternative to consolidated operating income prepared in accordance with GAAP or as a measure of liquidity. Further, same-property NOI is a measure for which there is no standard industry definition and, as such, it is not consistently defined or reported on among the Company's peers, and thus may not provide an adequate basis for comparison among REITs. The following table reconciles same-property NOI to the Company's consolidated operating income:

	Years ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Consolidated operating income	\$ 37,916,000	\$ 49,993,000
Add (deduct):		
General and administrative	18,154,000	15,004,000
Acquisition pursuit costs	3,426,000	1,238,000
Gain on sales	(59,000)	—
Impairment charges / (reversals)	6,347,000	(212,000)
Depreciation and amortization	40,787,000	38,594,000
Corporate costs included in property expenses charges to all properties	4,470,000	4,621,000
Straight-line rents	(38,000)	(506,000)
Amortization of intangible lease liabilities	(2,751,000)	(3,125,000)
Internal management fees charged to same center properties	(3,667,000)	(3,619,000)
Other adjustments	(229,000)	129,000
NOI related to properties not defined as same-property	(18,951,000)	(18,232,000)
Same-property NOI	<u>\$ 85,405,000</u>	<u>\$ 83,885,000</u>
Number of same properties	52	52
Same-property occupancy, end of year	91.1%	92.1%
Same-property leased, end of year	92.6%	93.0%
Same-property average base rent, end of year	\$ 13.23	\$ 12.98

Same-property NOI for the comparative years increased by 1.8%. The results reflect an increase in average base rent of \$0.25 per square foot, partially offset by a reduction in occupancy of 100 basis points (“bps”).

Leasing Activity

The following is a summary of the Company’s retail leasing activity during 2016:

	Leases signed	GLA	New rent per sq.ft. (\$)	Prior rent per sq.ft. (\$)	Cash basis % change	Tenant improvements per sq.ft. (\$) (a)
Renewals	138	650,600	15.14	13.91	8.8%	0.00
New Leases - Comparable	27	258,200	12.35	9.47	30.4%	31.69
New Leases - Non-Comparable (b)	13	69,400	15.81	n/a	n/a	5.20
Total (c)	<u>178</u>	<u>978,200</u>	<u>14.45</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>8.73</u>

(a) Includes both tenant allowance and landlord work. Excludes first generation space.

(b) Includes leases signed at first generation and expansion spaces.

(c) Legal fees and leasing commissions averaged a combined total of \$3.47 per square foot.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company funds operating expenses and other short-term liquidity requirements, including debt service, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, preferred and common dividend distributions and distributions to minority interest partners, if made, primarily from its operations. The Company may also use its revolving credit facility for these purposes. The Company expects to fund long-term liquidity requirements for property acquisitions, redevelopment costs, capital improvements, and maturing debt initially with its revolving credit facility, and ultimately through a combination of issuing and/or assuming additional debt, the sale of equity securities, the issuance of additional OP Units, and/or the sale of properties. Although the Company believes it has access to secured and unsecured financing, there can be no assurance that the Company will have the availability of financing on completed development projects, additional construction financing, or proceeds from the refinancing of existing debt.

The Company has a \$310 million unsecured credit facility which consists of (1) a \$260 million revolving credit facility, and (2) a \$50 million term loan. Under an accordion feature, the facility can be increased to \$750 million, subject to customary conditions and lending commitments. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had \$168.0 million available for additional borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

On April 26, 2016, the Company closed a new \$100 million unsecured term loan maturing on April 26, 2023 (all of which was borrowed on September 30, 2016). Proceeds were used primarily to repay mortgages maturing through January 2017.

On May 3, 2016, the Company refinanced its existing \$40.3 million mortgage loan payable secured by Franklin Village Plaza with a new \$50.0 million mortgage loan payable, bearing interest at the rate of 3.9% per annum and maturing in June 2026.

On August 1, 2016, the Company entered into a forward sales agreement to issue 5,750,000 common shares for estimated net proceeds of \$44.2 million, before adjustments for dividends paid and other administrative costs incurred prior to settlement. To date, there have been no physical settlements regarding this offering. The Company expects to physically settle the agreement in full prior to its expiration on August 1, 2017. The Company does have the option to net settle this agreement in shares or cash prior to its expiration, but does not expect to utilize this option.

The Company's unsecured credit facility and term loans contain financial covenants including, but not limited to, maximum debt leverage, maximum secured debt, minimum fixed charge coverage, and minimum net worth. In addition, the facilities contain restrictions including, but not limited to, limits on indebtedness, certain investments and distributions. Although the credit facilities are unsecured, borrowing availability is based on unencumbered property adjusted net operating income, as defined in the agreements. The Company's failure to comply with the covenants or the occurrence of an event of default under the facilities could result in the acceleration of the related debt. As of December 31, 2016 the Company is in compliance with all financial covenants. Interest on borrowings under the unsecured credit facility and terms loans are based on the Company's leverage ratio.

Debt is composed of the following at December 31, 2016:

Description	December 31, 2016		
	Balance outstanding	Contractual interest rates Weighted - average	Range
Fixed-rate mortgages	\$ 138,288,000	4.6%	3.9% - 7.5%
Unsecured credit facilities:			
Variable-rate:			
Revolving credit facility	72,000,000	2.1%	
Term loan	50,000,000	2.1%	
Fixed-rate (a):			
Term loan	75,000,000	2.9%	
Term loan	50,000,000	2.8%	
Term loan	75,000,000	4.0%	
Term loan	50,000,000	3.3%	
Term loan	100,000,000	3.2%	
	610,288,000	3.3%	
Unamortized premium	667,000		
Unamortized debt issuance costs	(3,210,000)		
	<u>\$ 607,745,000</u>		

- (a) The interest rates on these term loans consist of LIBOR plus a credit spread based on the Company's leverage ratio, for which the Company has interest rate swap agreements which convert the LIBOR rates to fixed rates. Accordingly, these term loans are presented as fixed-rate debt. See "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" below.

The following table details the Company's debt maturities at December 31, 2016:

Year	Secured Debt		Unsecured Debt		Total	Unamortized Premium	Unamortized Debt		Total
	Scheduled Amortization	Balloon Payments	Revolving Credit Facility	Term Loans			Issuance Costs		
2017	\$ 3,221,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,221,000	\$ 119,000	\$ (759,000)		\$ 2,581,000
2018	3,377,000	-	-	-	3,377,000	126,000	(759,000)		2,744,000
2019	3,542,000	-	72,000,000 (a)	75,000,000	150,542,000	126,000	(607,000)		150,061,000
2020	3,707,000	-	-	100,000,000	103,707,000	126,000	(391,000)		103,442,000
2021	3,253,000	22,367,000	-	75,000,000	100,620,000	126,000	(259,000)		100,487,000
Thereafter	11,561,000	87,260,000	-	150,000,000	248,821,000	44,000	(435,000)		248,430,000
	<u>\$ 28,661,000</u>	<u>\$ 109,627,000</u>	<u>\$ 72,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 400,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 610,288,000</u>	<u>\$ 667,000</u>	<u>\$ (3,210,000)</u>		<u>\$ 607,745,000</u>

- (a) The revolving credit facility is subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option.

Property-specific mortgage loans payable mature at various dates through 2029. The terms of several of the Company's mortgage loans payable require the Company to deposit certain replacement and other reserves with its lenders. Such "restricted cash" is generally available only for property-level requirements for which the reserves have been established, and is not available to fund other property-level or Company-level obligations.

In order to continue qualifying as a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its "REIT taxable income", as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The Company paid common and preferred stock dividends during 2016 and 2015. While the Company intends to continue paying regular quarterly dividends, future dividend declarations will continue to be at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and will depend on the cash flow and financial condition of the Company, capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, and such other factors as the Board of Directors may deem relevant.

Contractual obligations and commercial commitments

The following table sets forth the Company's significant debt repayment, interest and operating lease obligations at December 31, 2016:

	Maturity Date						
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter	Total
Debt:							
Mortgage loans payable	\$ 3,221,000	\$ 3,377,000	\$ 3,542,000	\$ 3,707,000	\$ 25,620,000	\$ 98,821,000	\$ 138,288,000
Unsecured revolving credit facility (a)	-	-	72,000,000	-	-	-	72,000,000
Unsecured term loans	-	-	75,000,000	100,000,000	75,000,000	150,000,000	400,000,000
Interest payments (b)	20,155,000	19,999,000	16,556,000	13,801,000	9,537,000	14,661,000	94,709,000
Operating lease obligations	1,029,000	878,000	892,000	461,000	375,000	13,504,000	17,139,000
Total	<u>\$ 24,405,000</u>	<u>\$ 24,254,000</u>	<u>\$ 167,990,000</u>	<u>\$ 117,969,000</u>	<u>\$ 110,532,000</u>	<u>\$ 276,986,000</u>	<u>\$ 722,136,000</u>

- (a) The revolving credit facility is subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option.

- (b) Represents interest payments expected to be incurred on the Company's debt obligations as of December 31, 2016, including interest that may subsequently be capitalized. For variable-rate debt, the rate in effect at December 31, 2016 is assumed to remain in effect until the maturities of the respective obligations.

In addition, the Company has outstanding construction commitments totaling approximately \$3.0 million at December 31, 2016.

Net Cash Flows

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 57,525,000	\$ 59,136,000	\$ 50,885,000
Investing activities	\$ 51,064,000	\$ (47,876,000)	\$ 49,116,000
Financing activities	\$ (107,790,000)	\$ (12,676,000)	\$ (100,475,000)

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities, before net changes in operating assets and liabilities, was \$59.0 million, \$62.6 million, and \$57.3 million for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The approximately \$3.7 million decrease between 2016 and 2015 was primarily attributable to the increase in acquisition pursuit costs and general and administrative costs, partially offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$1.2 million. The approximately \$5.3 million increase between 2015 and 2014 was primarily attributable to a reduction in interest expense of \$4.8 million.

Investing Activities

Net cash flows provided by / (used in) investing activities were primarily the result of the Company's property disposition activities, property acquisitions and expenditures for property improvements. During 2016, the Company received \$96.2 million in proceeds from the sale of a two shopping centers classified as held for sale, and had a decrease of \$2.3 million in construction escrows and other, which was offset by the acquisition of two shopping centers, which were partially paid in cash for \$31.9 million, and expenditures of \$15.5 million for property improvements. During 2015, the Company acquired shopping centers for \$43.0 million, and expenditures of \$12.7 million for property improvements, offset by \$5.9 million in proceeds received from the sales of shopping

centers classified as held for sale, and \$1.9 million in construction escrows and other. During 2014, the Company received \$102.1 million in proceeds from sales of shopping centers classified as held for sale and received \$2.1 million in construction escrows and other, offset by the purchase of a shopping center for \$38.9 million, and expenditures of \$16.3 million for property improvements.

Financing Activities

During 2016, the Company made \$218.8 million of repayments of mortgage obligations, \$31.5 million of preferred and common stock distributions, net repayments of \$6.0 million under the revolving credit facility, and \$1.4 million of payments for debt financing costs, which was offset by \$100.0 million borrowing under a new term loan, and a mortgage borrowing of \$50.0 million. During 2015, the Company made \$114.8 million of repayments of mortgage obligations, \$31.4 million of preferred and common stock distributions, \$11.2 million for the purchase of a joint venture minority interests share, and \$2.9 million of payments for debt financing costs, which was offset by borrowings of \$100.0 million under new term loans, proceeds, net of issuance expenses, of \$41.7 million in sales of its common stock, and \$6.0 million of net borrowings under the revolving credit facility. During 2014, the Company made \$177.1 million of repayments of mortgage loans payable, \$81.5 million of net repayments under the revolving credit facility, \$30.2 million of preferred and common stock distributions, \$1.3 million in payments of debt financing costs, \$1.0 million of distributions to consolidated joint venture minority interests and limited partners, and a \$0.4 million payment for the redemption of OP Units, offset by borrowings of \$150.0 million under new term loans, and proceeds, net of issuance expenses, of \$41.2 million from the sale of common stock.

Funds From Operations

Funds From Operations (“FFO”) is a widely recognized supplemental non-GAAP measure utilized to evaluate the financial performance of a REIT. The Company presents FFO in accordance with the definition adopted by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”). NAREIT generally defines FFO as net income attributable to common shareholders (determined in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (losses) from sales of real estate properties, impairment provisions on real estate properties, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization, and adjustments for partnerships and joint ventures to reflect FFO on the same basis. The Company considers FFO to be an appropriate measure of its financial performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than other depreciable assets.

The Company also considers Operating Funds From Operations (“Operating FFO”) to be an additional meaningful financial measure of financial performance because it excludes items the Company does not believe are indicative of its core operating performance, such as acquisition pursuit costs, amounts relating to early extinguishment of debt and preferred stock redemption costs, management transition costs and certain redevelopment costs. The Company believes Operating FFO further assists in comparing the Company’s performance across reporting periods on a consistent basis by excluding such items.

FFO and Operating FFO should be reviewed with net income attributable to common shareholders, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, when trying to understand the Company’s operating performance. FFO and Operating FFO do not represent cash generated from operating activities and should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to common shareholders or to cash flow from operating activities. The Company’s computations of FFO and Operating FFO may differ from the computations utilized by other REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such REITs.

A reconciliation of net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders to FFO and Operating FFO for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (5,465,000)	\$ 7,738,000	\$ 14,573,000
Real estate depreciation and amortization	40,616,000	38,354,000	38,365,000
Limited partners' interest	(17,000)	28,000	80,000
Impairment charges / (reversals)	6,347,000	(365,000)	3,101,000
Gain on sales	(59,000)	—	(14,376,000)
Consolidated minority interests:			
Share of loss	(162,000)	(393,000)	(370,000)
Share of FFO	(193,000)	(258,000)	(1,100,000)
FFO applicable to diluted common shares	41,067,000	45,104,000	40,273,000
Acquisition pursuit costs (a)	3,426,000	1,238,000	2,870,000
Financing costs (b)	2,623,000	105,000	825,000
Redevelopment costs (c)	698,000	—	—
Management transition costs (d)	1,427,000	—	—
Gain on extinguishment of debt obligations	—	—	(1,423,000)
Operating FFO applicable to diluted common shares	<u>\$ 49,241,000</u>	<u>\$ 46,447,000</u>	<u>\$ 42,545,000</u>
FFO per diluted common share	<u>\$ 0.48</u>	<u>\$ 0.53</u>	<u>\$ 0.51</u>
Operating FFO per diluted common share	<u>\$ 0.57</u>	<u>\$ 0.54</u>	<u>\$ 0.54</u>
Weighted average number of diluted common shares (e):			
Common shares	85,303,000	84,850,000	78,985,000
OP Units	352,000	378,000	433,000
	<u>85,655,000</u>	<u>85,228,000</u>	<u>79,418,000</u>

- (a) Represents costs directly associated with acquiring properties that are expensed pursuant to GAAP such as transfer taxes, brokerage fees and legal expenses.
- (b) Represents extinguishment of debt costs.
- (c) Includes redevelopment project costs expensed pursuant to GAAP such as certain demolition and lease termination costs.
- (d) Costs associated with hiring a new Chief Operating Officer and estimated expenses related to termination of prior Chief Operating Officer.
- (e) The weighted average number of diluted common shares used to compute FFO and Operating FFO applicable to diluted common shares includes OP Units and unvested restricted shares that are excluded from the computation of diluted EPS.

Inflation

Inflation has been relatively low in recent years and has not had a significant detrimental impact on the Company's results of operations. Should inflation rates increase in the future, substantially all of the Company's tenant leases contain provisions designed to partially mitigate the negative impact of inflation in the near term. Such lease provisions include clauses that require tenants to reimburse the Company for inflation-sensitive costs such as real estate taxes and many of the operating expenses it incurs. Significant inflation rate increases over a prolonged period of time may have a material adverse impact on the Company's business.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

One of the principal market risks facing the Company is the risk of interest rate changes, primarily through its variable-rate revolving credit facility and term loans. The Company's objectives with respect to interest rate risk are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on operations and cash flows, and to lower its overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, the Company may borrow at either fixed rates or at variable rates and enter into derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swaps, to mitigate its interest rate risk. The Company does not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes. The Company is not subject to foreign currency risk.

The Company has entered into forward interest rate swap agreements which convert the LIBOR rates to fixed rates for certain unsecured term loans. At December 31, 2016, the Company had \$3.1 million included in deferred charges and other assets, net, in

addition to \$2.3 million included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet relating to the fair value of the interest rate swaps applicable to certain unsecured term loans. Based on the Company's leverage ratio at December 31, 2016, the following table details the unsecured term loans which are subject to interest rate swap agreements:

Amount	Effective date	Maturity date	Effective fixed interest rate
\$ 75,000,000	July 2014	February 2019	2.9%
\$ 50,000,000	July 2015	February 2020	2.8%
\$ 75,000,000	July 2014	February 2021	4.0%
\$ 50,000,000	July 2015	February 2022	3.3%
\$ 100,000,000	November 2016	April 2023	3.2%

At December 31, 2016, long-term debt consisted of fixed-rate mortgage loans payable, unsecured term loans, and the Company's unsecured variable-rate credit facility. Excluding unamortized premiums and debt issuance costs, the average interest rate on the \$488.3 million of fixed-rate debt outstanding was 3.6%, with maturities at various dates through 2029. The average interest rate on the \$122.0 million of variable-rate debt outstanding, which consists of the unsecured revolving credit facility and a term loan, was 2.1%. With respect to the \$122.0 million of variable-rate debt, if contractual interest rates either increase or decrease by 100 bps, the Company's interest cost would increase or decrease respectively by approximately \$1.2 million per annum.

With respect to the Company's fixed rate mortgage notes and unsecured term loans, changes in interest rates generally do not affect the Company's interest expense as these notes are at fixed rates for extended terms. Because the Company intends to hold its existing fixed-rate debt either to maturity or until the sale of the associated property, these fixed-rate notes pose an interest rate risk to the Company's results of operations and its working capital position only upon the refinancing of that indebtedness. The Company's possible risk is from increases in long-term interest rates that may occur as this may increase the cost of refinancing maturing fixed-rate debt. In addition, the Company may incur prepayment penalties or defeasance costs when prepaying or defeasing debt.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	40
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2016 and 2015	41
Consolidated Statements of Operations, years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.....	42
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	43
Consolidated Statements of Equity, years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	44-45
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	46
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	47-67
Schedule Filed As Part Of This Report	
Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation, December 31, 2016.....	68-70

All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is not present, is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

New York, New York
February 23, 2017

**CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Land	\$ 301,299,000	\$ 323,859,000
Buildings and improvements	1,195,130,000	1,226,168,000
	1,496,429,000	1,550,027,000
Less accumulated depreciation	(313,070,000)	(300,832,000)
Real estate, net	1,183,359,000	1,249,195,000
Real estate held for sale	—	14,402,000
Cash and cash equivalents	2,882,000	2,083,000
Restricted cash	2,880,000	5,592,000
Receivables	14,894,000	17,912,000
Other assets and deferred charges, net	29,506,000	29,196,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,233,521,000	\$ 1,318,380,000
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Mortgage loans payable	\$ 138,243,000	\$ 298,089,000
Unsecured revolving credit facility	72,000,000	78,000,000
Unsecured term loans	397,502,000	297,731,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	23,463,000	23,831,000
Unamortized intangible lease liabilities	20,316,000	23,187,000
Total liabilities	651,524,000	720,838,000
Commitments and contingencies	—	—
Equity:		
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value, 12,500,000 shares authorized):		
Series B (\$25.00 per share liquidation value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 7,950,000 issued and outstanding)	190,661,000	190,661,000
Common stock (\$.06 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 85,316,000 and 85,049,000 shares, issued and outstanding, respectively)	5,119,000	5,103,000
Treasury stock (3,264,000 and 3,182,000 shares, respectively, at cost)	(18,129,000)	(17,284,000)
Additional paid-in capital	829,526,000	825,979,000
Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	(426,864,000)	(404,350,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	427,000	(4,059,000)
Total Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. shareholders' equity	580,740,000	596,050,000
Noncontrolling interests:		
Minority interests in consolidated joint ventures	(1,132,000)	(970,000)
Limited partners' OP Units	2,389,000	2,462,000
Total noncontrolling interests	1,257,000	1,492,000
Total equity	581,997,000	597,542,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 1,233,521,000	\$ 1,318,380,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
REVENUES			
Rents	\$ 118,098,000	\$ 116,739,000	\$ 116,505,000
Expense recoveries	32,036,000	31,834,000	31,392,000
Other	952,000	634,000	287,000
Total revenues	151,086,000	149,207,000	148,184,000
EXPENSES			
Operating, maintenance and management	24,898,000	25,401,000	26,604,000
Real estate and other property-related taxes	19,617,000	19,189,000	18,182,000
General and administrative	18,154,000	15,004,000	14,356,000
Acquisition pursuit costs	3,426,000	1,238,000	2,870,000
Depreciation and amortization	40,787,000	38,594,000	38,700,000
Total expenses	106,882,000	99,426,000	100,712,000
OTHER			
Gain on sale	59,000	—	6,413,000
Impairment (charges)/reversals	(6,347,000)	212,000	(3,148,000)
Total other	(6,288,000)	212,000	3,265,000
OPERATING INCOME	37,916,000	49,993,000	50,737,000
NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES			
Interest expense	(26,529,000)	(28,272,000)	(32,301,000)
Early extinguishment of debt costs	(2,623,000)	(105,000)	(825,000)
Total non-operating income and expenses	(29,152,000)	(28,377,000)	(33,126,000)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	8,764,000	21,616,000	17,611,000
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS			
Income from operations	—	12,000	1,647,000
Impairment reversals	—	153,000	47,000
Gain on extinguishment of debt obligations	—	—	1,423,000
Gain on sales	—	—	7,963,000
Total income from discontinued operations	—	165,000	11,080,000
NET INCOME	8,764,000	21,781,000	28,691,000
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:			
Minority interests in consolidated joint ventures	162,000	393,000	370,000
Limited partners' interest in Operating Partnership	17,000	(28,000)	(80,000)
Total net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	179,000	365,000	290,000
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.	8,943,000	22,146,000	28,981,000
Preferred stock dividends	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)
NET (LOSS) INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	<u>\$ (5,465,000)</u>	<u>\$ 7,738,000</u>	<u>\$ 14,573,000</u>
NET (LOSS) INCOME PER COMMON SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS (BASIC AND DILUTED)			
Continuing operations	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.04
Discontinued operations	0.00	0.00	0.14
	<u>\$ (0.08)</u>	<u>\$ 0.09</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>
Weighted average number of common shares - basic and diluted	81,672,000	81,356,000	75,311,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	<u>Years ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Net income	\$ 8,764,000	\$ 21,781,000	\$ 28,691,000
Other comprehensive income - unrealized gain (loss) on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	<u>4,901,000</u>	<u>(918,000)</u>	<u>(1,858,000)</u>
Comprehensive income	13,665,000	20,863,000	26,833,000
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	<u>155,000</u>	<u>370,000</u>	<u>305,000</u>
Comprehensive income attributable to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	<u>\$ 13,820,000</u>	<u>\$ 21,233,000</u>	<u>\$ 27,138,000</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY
Years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. Shareholders									
	Preferred stock		Common stock		Treasury stock, at cost	Additional paid-in capital	Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total
	Shares	\$ 25.00 Liquidation value	Shares	\$ 0.06 Par value					
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2013	7,950,000	\$ 190,661,000	72,200,000	\$ 4,332,000	\$(20,191,000)	\$ 747,997,000	\$(393,819,000)	\$ (1,303,000)	\$ 527,677,000
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	28,981,000	—	28,981,000
Unrealized loss on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,843,000)	(1,843,000)
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	60,000	4,000	1,388,000	1,947,000	—	—	3,339,000
Common stock sales and issuance expenses, net	—	—	6,902,000	414,000	—	40,749,000	—	—	41,163,000
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14,408,000)	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(15,841,000)	—	(15,841,000)
Conversions of OP Units into common stock	—	—	51,000	3,000	—	368,000	—	—	371,000
Redemptions of OP Units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners' interest	—	—	—	—	—	113,000	—	—	113,000
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2014	7,950,000	190,661,000	79,213,000	4,753,000	(18,803,000)	791,174,000	(395,087,000)	(3,146,000)	569,552,000
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	22,146,000	—	22,146,000
Unrealized loss on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(913,000)	(913,000)
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	44,000	3,000	1,519,000	877,000	—	—	2,399,000
Common stock sales and issuance expenses, net	—	—	5,752,000	345,000	—	41,396,000	—	—	41,741,000
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14,408,000)	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(17,001,000)	—	(17,001,000)
Conversions / Redemption of OP Units	—	—	40,000	2,000	—	280,000	—	—	282,000
Reclassification of mezzanine OP Units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners' interest	—	—	—	—	—	19,000	—	—	19,000
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	(7,767,000)	—	—	(7,767,000)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2015	7,950,000	190,661,000	85,049,000	5,103,000	(17,284,000)	825,979,000	(404,350,000)	(4,059,000)	596,050,000
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	8,943,000	—	8,943,000
Unrealized gain on change in fair value of flow hedges	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,877,000	4,877,000
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	261,000	16,000	(845,000)	3,297,000	—	—	2,468,000
Common stock sales, net of issuance expenses	—	—	6,000	—	—	(142,000)	—	—	(142,000)
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14,408,000)	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(17,049,000)	—	(17,049,000)
Redemptions of OP Units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners' interest	—	—	—	—	—	392,000	—	(391,000)	1,000
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2016	7,950,000	\$ 190,661,000	85,316,000	\$ 5,119,000	\$(18,129,000)	\$ 829,526,000	\$(426,864,000)	\$ 427,000	\$ 580,740,000

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY
Years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014
Continued

	Noncontrolling Interests			
	Minority interests in consolidated joint ventures	Limited partners' OP Units	Total	Total equity
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2013	\$ 4,202,000	\$ 3,355,000	\$ 7,557,000	\$ 535,234,000
Net income (loss)	(370,000)	69,000	(301,000)	28,680,000
Unrealized loss on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	—	(13,000)	(13,000)	(1,856,000)
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	—	3,339,000
Common stock sales and issuance expenses, net	—	—	—	41,163,000
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	(960,000)	(74,000)	(1,034,000)	(16,875,000)
Conversions of OP Units into common stock	—	(371,000)	(371,000)	—
Redemptions of OP Units	—	(437,000)	(437,000)	(437,000)
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners' interest	—	(98,000)	(98,000)	15,000
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2014	2,872,000	2,431,000	5,303,000	574,855,000
Net income (loss)	(393,000)	28,000	(365,000)	21,781,000
Unrealized loss on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	—	(5,000)	(5,000)	(918,000)
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	—	2,399,000
Common stock sales and issuance expenses, net	—	—	—	41,741,000
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	—	(76,000)	(76,000)	(17,077,000)
Conversions / Redemption of OP Units	—	(289,000)	(289,000)	(7,000)
Reclassification of mezzanine OP Units	—	385,000	385,000	385,000
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners interest	—	(12,000)	(12,000)	7,000
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	(3,449,000)	—	(3,449,000)	(11,216,000)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2015	(970,000)	2,462,000	1,492,000	597,542,000
Net income (loss)	(162,000)	(17,000)	(179,000)	8,764,000
Unrealized gain on change in fair value of flow hedges	—	24,000	24,000	4,901,000
Share-based compensation, net	—	—	—	2,468,000
Common stock sales, net of issuance expenses	—	—	—	(142,000)
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders/noncontrolling interests	—	(71,000)	(71,000)	(17,120,000)
Redemptions of OP Units	—	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)
Reallocation adjustment of limited partners' interest	—	(1,000)	(1,000)	—
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2016	\$ (1,132,000)	\$ 2,389,000	\$ 1,257,000	\$ 581,997,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 8,764,000	\$ 21,781,000	\$ 28,691,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Impairment charges / (reversals)	6,347,000	(365,000)	3,101,000
Gain on extinguishment of debt obligations	—	—	(1,423,000)
Gain on sales	(59,000)	—	(14,376,000)
Straight-line rents	(38,000)	(506,000)	(761,000)
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,198,000	1,463,000	1,985,000
Depreciation and amortization	40,787,000	38,594,000	38,700,000
Amortization of intangible lease liabilities	(2,751,000)	(3,125,000)	(4,322,000)
Expense relating to share-based compensation, net	2,930,000	3,168,000	3,531,000
Amortization (including accelerated write-off) of deferred financing costs	1,778,000	1,611,000	2,158,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions:			
Rents and other receivables	(942,000)	(1,346,000)	(2,989,000)
Prepaid expenses and other	(1,418,000)	(1,929,000)	(2,460,000)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	929,000	(210,000)	(950,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>57,525,000</u>	<u>59,136,000</u>	<u>50,885,000</u>
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Acquisitions of real estate	(31,923,000)	(42,991,000)	(38,861,000)
Expenditures for real estate improvements	(15,484,000)	(12,698,000)	(16,254,000)
Net proceeds from sales of real estate	96,170,000	5,891,000	102,124,000
Construction escrows and other	<u>2,301,000</u>	<u>1,922,000</u>	<u>2,107,000</u>
Net cash provided by / (used in) investing activities	<u>51,064,000</u>	<u>(47,876,000)</u>	<u>49,116,000</u>
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Repayments under revolving credit facility	(197,000,000)	(186,400,000)	(231,500,000)
Advances under revolving credit facility	191,000,000	192,400,000	150,000,000
Advances under term loans	100,000,000	100,000,000	150,000,000
Mortgage borrowing	50,000,000	—	—
Mortgage repayments	(218,757,000)	(114,828,000)	(177,094,000)
Payments of debt financing costs	(1,355,000)	(2,879,000)	(1,312,000)
Noncontrolling interests:			
Purchase of joint venture minority interests share	—	(11,216,000)	—
Distributions of consolidated joint venture minority interests	—	—	(960,000)
Distributions to limited partners	(71,000)	(78,000)	(86,000)
Redemptions of OP Units	(8,000)	(7,000)	(437,000)
Common stock sales less issuance expenses, net	(142,000)	41,741,000	41,163,000
Preferred stock dividends	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)
Distributions to common shareholders	<u>(17,049,000)</u>	<u>(17,001,000)</u>	<u>(15,841,000)</u>
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(107,790,000)</u>	<u>(12,676,000)</u>	<u>(100,475,000)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	799,000	(1,416,000)	(474,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	<u>2,083,000</u>	<u>3,499,000</u>	<u>3,973,000</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 2,882,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,083,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,499,000</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 1. Business and Organization

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the "Company") is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") that focuses primarily on ownership and operation of grocery-anchored shopping centers straddling the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned and managed a portfolio of 61 operating properties (excluding properties "held for sale").

Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership") is the entity through which the Company conducts substantially all of its business and owns (either directly or through subsidiaries) substantially all of its assets. At December 31, 2016, the Company owned a 99.6% economic interest in, and was the sole general partner of, the Operating Partnership. The limited partners' interest in the Operating Partnership (0.4% at December 31, 2016) is represented by Operating Partnership Units ("OP Units"). The carrying amount of such interest is adjusted at the end of each reporting period to an amount equal to the limited partners' ownership percentage of the Operating Partnership's net equity. The 351,000 OP Units outstanding at December 31, 2016 are economically equivalent to the Company's common stock. The holders of OP Units have the right to exchange their OP Units for the same number of shares of the Company's common stock or, at the Company's option, for cash.

As used herein, the "Company" refers to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, including the Operating Partnership or, where the context so requires, Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. only.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation/Basis of Preparation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of the Company, the Operating Partnership, its subsidiaries, and certain joint venture partnerships in which it participates. The Company consolidates all variable interest entities ("VIEs") for which it is the primary beneficiary. Generally, a VIE is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (1) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (2) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk (a) lack the power through voting or similar rights to make decisions about the entity's activities that significantly impact the entity's performance, (b) have no obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (c) have no right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests, and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately fewer voting rights. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE has (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant to the VIE. Significant judgments related to these determinations include estimates about the current and future fair values, performance of real estate held by these VIEs, and general market conditions.

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance which amends the consolidation requirements, including introducing a separate consolidation analysis specific to limited partnerships and other similar entities. Under the analysis, limited partnerships and other similar entities will be considered variable interest entities unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights. The guidance was adopted on January 1, 2016. The Company evaluated its existing joint venture property at San Souci Plaza based on the new guidance, determined the entity is now deemed to be a variable interest entity, and will continue to consolidate the entity. At December 31, 2016, this VIE owned real estate with a carrying value of \$26.2 million and no mortgage loan payable.

The Company has a 60%-owned joint venture originally formed to develop the project known as Crossroads II. This joint venture is consolidated as it is deemed to be a VIE and the Company is the primary beneficiary. The Company (1) guaranteed all related debt, (2) does not require its partners to fund additional capital requirements, (3) has an economic interest greater than its voting proportion and (4) directs the management activities that significantly impact the performance of the joint venture. At December 31, 2016, this VIE owned real estate with a carrying value of \$39.2 million and no mortgage loan payable.

On January 23, 2015, the Company acquired the New London Mall joint venture partner's 60% ownership interest, giving the Company a 100% ownership interest in this property, which is located in New London, Connecticut (See Note 3 - "Real Estate"). Prior to the acquisition of the joint venture partner's ownership interest, the entity was not a VIE, the Company was the sole general partner and exercised substantial operating control over the entity; accordingly, the Company determined that the entity should be consolidated.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The accompanying financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"), which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods covered by the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. The provision for depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based upon the estimated useful lives of the respective assets of between 3 and 40 years, with buildings being depreciated at the upper end of the range. Depreciation expense amounted to \$37.1 million, \$35.0 million and \$35.0 million for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Expenditures for betterments that substantially extend the useful lives of the assets are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance, repairs, and betterments that do not substantially prolong the normal useful life of an asset are charged to operations as incurred.

Real estate investments include costs of ground-up development and redevelopment activities, and construction in progress. Capitalized costs, including interest and other carrying costs during the construction and/or renovation periods, are included in the cost of the related asset and charged to operations through depreciation over the asset's estimated useful life. A variety of costs are incurred in the development and leasing of a property, such as pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs, and other costs incurred during the period of development. After a determination is made to capitalize a cost, it is allocated to the specific component of a project that is benefited. The Company ceases capitalization on the portions substantially completed and occupied, or held available for occupancy, and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portions under development. The Company considers a construction project to be substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but not later than one year from cessation of major construction activity.

The Company allocates the fair value of real estate acquired to land, buildings and improvements. In addition, the fair value of in-place leases is allocated to intangible lease assets and liabilities. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, which value is then allocated to land, buildings and improvements based on management's determination of the fair values of these assets. In valuing an acquired property's intangibles, factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, such as real estate taxes, insurance, other operating expenses, and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on its evaluation of current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases, including leasing commissions, tenant improvements, legal and other related costs.

The values of acquired above-market and below-market leases are recorded based on the present values (using discount rates which reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the differences between the contractual amounts to be received and management's estimate of market lease rates, measured over the terms of the respective leases that management deemed appropriate at the time of the acquisitions. Such valuations include consideration of the non-cancellable terms of the respective leases as well as any applicable renewal periods. The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on the Company's experience and the relevant facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the acquisitions. The values of above-market leases are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancelable lease periods. The portion of the values of below-market leases associated with the original non-cancelable lease terms are amortized to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancelable lease periods. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that are likely of exercise are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The value of other intangible assets (including leasing commissions, tenant improvements, etc.) is amortized to expense over the applicable terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its stated expiration or not renewed, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recognized in operations at that time.

Management reviews each real estate investment for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of a real estate investment may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability of real estate investments held for use is based on an estimate of the future cash flows that are expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, capital expenditures, competition and other factors. If an impairment event exists due to the projected inability to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Sales of real estate are recognized only when sufficient down payments have been obtained, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer and the Company has no significant continuing involvement. The Company believes these criteria were met for all real estate sold during 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Properties Held For Sale

The Company follows the guidance for reporting discontinued operations, whereby a disposal of an individual property or group of properties is required to be reported in “discontinued operations” only if the disposal represents a strategic shift that has, or will have, a major effect on the Company’s operations and financial results. The results of operations for those properties not meeting such criteria are reported in “continuing operations” in the consolidated statements of operations. The provisions of the guidance were applied prospectively, i.e., for properties classified as “held for sale” subsequent to December 31, 2013. The results of operations for all properties classified as “held for sale” prior to the adoption of the guidance were reported as “discontinued operations” in the consolidated statements of operations.

The carrying values of the assets and liabilities of properties determined to be held for sale, principally the net book values of the real estate and the related mortgage loans payable expected to be assumed by the buyers, are reclassified as “held for sale” on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets at the time such determinations are made, on a prospective basis only. In addition, the Company anticipates that sales of all such properties remaining classified as “held for sale” at the balance sheet date will be concluded within one year from such date.

The Company conducts a continuing review of the values for all properties “held for sale” based on final sales prices and sales contracts entered into. Impairment charges/reversals, if applicable, are based on a comparison of the carrying values of the properties with either (1) actual sales prices less costs to sell for properties sold, or contract amounts for properties in the process of being sold, (2) estimated sales prices, less costs to sell, based on discounted cash flow analyses, if no contract amounts were as yet being negotiated (see Note 5 — “Fair Value Measurements”), or (3) with respect to land parcels, estimated sales prices, less costs to sell, based on comparable sales completed in the selected market areas. Prior to the Company’s determination to dispose of properties, which are subsequently reclassified to “held for sale”, the Company performed recoverability analyses based on the estimated undiscounted cash flows that were expected to result from the real estate investments’ use and eventual disposal. The projected undiscounted cash flows of each property reflects that the carrying value of each real estate investment would be recovered. However, as a result of the properties’ meeting the “held for sale” criteria, such properties were written down to the lower of their carrying value and estimated fair values less costs to sell.

Cash and Cash Equivalents / Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash in banks and short-term investments with original maturities when purchased of less than ninety days, and include cash at consolidated joint ventures of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The terms of several of the Company’s mortgage loans payable require the Company to deposit certain replacement and other reserves with its lenders. Such “restricted cash” is generally available only for property-level requirements for which the reserves have been established.

Fair Value Measurements

The accounting guidance for fair value measurement establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three levels:

- Level 1 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 – Inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 – Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs. In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible while also considering counterparty credit risk in the assessment of fair value.

Revenue Recognition and Receivables

Management has determined that all of the Company's leases with its various tenants are operating leases. Rental income with scheduled rent increases is recognized using the straight-line method over the respective non-cancelable terms of the leases. The aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual base rents is included in receivables on the consolidated balance sheet. Leases also generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred, generally attributable to their respective allocable portions of gross leasable area. Such income is recognized in the periods earned. In addition, a limited number of operating leases contain contingent rent provisions under which tenants are required to pay, as additional rent, a percentage of their sales in excess of a specified amount. The Company defers recognition of contingent rental income until those specified sales targets are met. Revenues also include items such as lease termination fees, which tend to fluctuate more than rents from year to year. Termination fees are fees that the Company has agreed to accept in consideration for permitting certain tenants to terminate their lease prior to the contractual expiration. The Company recognizes lease termination income when the following conditions are met: (1) the lease termination agreement has been executed, (2) the lease termination fee is determinable, (3) all the Company's landlord services pursuant to the terminated lease have been rendered, and (4) collectability of the lease termination fee is assured.

The Company must make estimates as to the collectability of its accounts receivable related to base rent, straight-line rent, percentage rent, expense reimbursements and other revenues. When management analyzes accounts receivable and evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts, it considers such things as historical bad debts, tenant creditworthiness, current economic trends, current developments relevant to a tenant's business specifically and to its business category generally, and changes in tenants' payment patterns. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$4.7 million and \$4.4 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The provision for doubtful accounts (included in operating, maintenance and management expenses) was \$1.2 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.9 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Segment Information

The Company's primary business is the ownership and operation of grocery-anchored shopping centers. The Company reviews operating and financial information for each property on an individual basis and, accordingly, each property represents an individual operating segment. The Company evaluates financial performance using property operating income, which consists of rental income and other property income, less operating expenses and real estate taxes. No individual property constitutes more than 10% of the Company's revenues or property operating income, and the Company has no operations outside of the United States of America. Therefore, the Company has aggregated its properties into one reportable segment as the properties share similar long-term economic characteristics and have other similarities including the fact that they are operated using consistent business strategies, are typically located in major metropolitan areas, and have similar tenant mixes.

Income Taxes

The Company, organized in 1984, has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). A REIT will generally not be subject to federal income taxation on that portion of its income that qualifies as REIT taxable income, to the extent that it distributes at least 90% of such REIT taxable income to its shareholders and complies with certain other requirements. As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all REIT requirements.

The Company follows a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain federal, state and local tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The Company has not identified any uncertain tax positions which would require an accrual.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company occasionally utilizes derivative financial instruments, principally interest rate swaps, to manage its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. The Company has established policies and procedures for risk assessment, and the approval, reporting and monitoring of derivative financial instruments. Derivative financial instruments must be effective in reducing the Company's interest rate risk exposure in order to qualify for hedge accounting. When the terms of an underlying transaction are modified, or when the underlying hedged item ceases to exist, all changes in the fair value of the instrument are marked-to-market with changes in value included in net income for each period until the derivative financial instrument matures or is settled. Any derivative financial instrument used for risk management that does not meet the hedging criteria is marked-to-market with the changes in value included in net income. The Company has not entered into, and does not plan to enter into, derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company's 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan") establishes the procedures for the granting of, among other things, restricted stock awards. The maximum number of shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued pursuant to the 2012 Plan is 4.5 million (see Note 15 – "Share-Based Compensation"), and the maximum number of shares that may be granted to a participant in any calendar year may not exceed 500,000. All grants issued pursuant to the 2012 Plan generally vest (1) at the end of designated time periods for time-based grants, or (2) upon the completion of a designated period of performance for performance-based grants and satisfaction of performance criteria. Time-based grants are valued according to the market price for the Company's common stock at the date of grant. For performance-based grants, the Company generally engages an independent appraisal company to determine the value of the shares at the date of grant, taking into account the underlying contingency risks associated with the performance criteria. The value of all grants are being expensed on a straight-line basis over their respective vesting periods (irrespective of achievement of the performance-based grants) adjusted, as applicable, for forfeitures. For restricted share grants subject to graded vesting, the amounts expensed are at least equal to the measured expense of each vested tranche. Based on the terms of the 2012 Plan, those grants of restricted shares that are contributed to the Rabbi Trusts are classified as treasury stock on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Supplemental Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Information

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Supplemental disclosure of cash activities:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 26,296,000	\$ 27,521,000	\$ 32,275,000
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activities:			
Capitalization of interest and financing costs	743,000	409,000	757,000
Conversions of OP Units into common stock	—	282,000	371,000
Mortgage loan payable assumed upon acquisition	(8,501,000)	(20,462,000)	(53,439,000)
Mortgage loan assumed by buyer	—	—	15,557,000
Deed-in-lieu of foreclosure of properties:			
Real estate transferred	—	—	(6,238,000)
Mortgage loans payable and related obligations settled	—	—	7,661,000

Recently-Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance which amends the accounting for revenue recognition. Under the amended guidance, an entity will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled to and receive in exchange for those goods or services. Leases are specifically excluded from this guidance and will be governed by the applicable lease codification; however, this update may have implications with respect to certain variable payment terms included in lease agreements. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption not permitted. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the guidance; however, based on its initial assessment, the Company does not believe it will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance which requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions and events that raise substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide disclosures when it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The guidance was adopted on January 1, 2016 and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance which amends the existing accounting standards for lease accounting, including requiring lessees to recognize most leases on their balance sheets and making targeted changes to lessor accounting. The guidance requires lessees to apply a dual approach, classifying leases as either finance or operating leases based on the principle of whether or not the lease is effectively a financed purchase of the leased asset by the lessee. This classification will determine whether the lease expense is recognized based on an effective interest method or on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. A lessee is also required to record a right-of-use asset and a lease liability for all leases with a term of greater than twelve months regardless of their classification. Leases with a term of twelve months or less will be accounted for pursuant to existing guidance for operating leases. The guidance is expected to result in the recognition of a right-to-use asset and related liability to account for the Company's future obligations under its ground lease and executive office lease agreements for which the Company is the lessee. As of December 31, 2016, the remaining contractual payments under the Company's ground lease and executive office lease agreements aggregated \$17.1 million with a remaining weighted average term of 46.1 years. Additionally, the guidance will require that lessees and lessors capitalize, as initial direct costs, only those costs that are incurred due to the execution of a lease. Under this guidance, allocated payroll costs and other costs that are incurred regardless of whether the lease is obtained will no longer be capitalized as initial direct costs and instead will be expensed as incurred. Lessors will continue to account for leases using an approach that is substantially equivalent to existing guidance for sales-type leases, direct financing leases, and operating leases. The new lease accounting guidance requires a modified retrospective transition approach for all leases existing at, or entered into after, the date of initial application, with an option to use certain transition relief. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption being permitted. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption of the guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued guidance which amends the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption being permitted. The Company has evaluated the guidance and has determined it will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued guidance which enhances the methodology of measuring expected credit losses to include the use of forward-looking information to better calculate credit loss estimates. The guidance will apply to most financial assets measured at amortized cost and certain other instruments, including accounts receivable, straight-line rent receivables, loans, held-to-maturity debt securities, net investments in leases, and off-balance-sheet credit exposures. The guidance will require that the Company estimate the lifetime expected credit loss with respect to these receivables and record allowances that, when deducted from the balance of the receivables, represent the net amounts expected to be collected. The Company will also be required to disclose information about how it developed the allowances, including changes in the factors that influenced the Company's estimate of expected credit losses and the reasons for those changes. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption of the guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies how an entity should classify certain cash receipts and cash payments on its statement of cash flows. The guidance established that an entity will classify cash payments for debt prepayment or extinguishment costs as financing cash flows. In addition, the guidance provides entities with an alternative to consider regarding the nature of the source of distributions that an investor receives from an equity method investment when classifying distributions received in its cash flow statement (the nature of the distribution approach). Alternatively, entities can elect to classify the distributions received from equity method investees based on the cumulative earnings approach. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption being permitted. The Company has evaluated the guidance and has determined it will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2016, the FASB issued guidance that will require entities to show the changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash in the statement of cash flows. When cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash are presented in more than one line item on the balance sheet, the new guidance requires a reconciliation of the totals in the statement of cash flows to the related captions in the balance sheet. This reconciliation can be presented either on the face of the statement of cash flows or in the notes to the

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

financial statements. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption being permitted. The Company has evaluated the guidance and has determined it will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued guidance which changes the definition of a business to assist entities with evaluating when a set of transferred assets and activities is a business. The guidance requires an entity to evaluate if substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or a group of similar identifiable assets; if so, the set of transferred assets and activities is not a business. The guidance also requires a business to include at least one substantive process and narrows the definition of outputs by more closely aligning it with how outputs are described in the guidance on revenue from contracts with customers. The Company believes that most of its typical acquisitions of real estate will not meet the new definition of a business, and accordingly, will result in the capitalization of associated acquisition pursuit costs. The guidance would be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption being permitted. The Company has elected to early adopt this guidance effective January 1, 2017.

Note 3. Real Estate

Real estate activity for 2016 and 2015 is composed of the following:

	Years ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
<u>Cost</u>		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,550,027,000	\$ 1,476,173,000
Properties transferred to held for sale	(96,596,000)	(4,599,000)
Properties acquired	39,456,000	65,313,000
Write-off fully-depreciated assets	(12,130,000)	—
Improvements and betterments	15,672,000	13,140,000
Balance, end of the year	<u>\$ 1,496,429,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,550,027,000</u>
<u>Accumulated depreciation</u>		
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 300,832,000	\$ 267,211,000
Properties transferred held for sale	(11,280,000)	(1,380,000)
Write-off fully-depreciated assets	(12,130,000)	—
Depreciation expense	35,648,000	35,001,000
Balance, end of the year	<u>\$ 313,070,000</u>	<u>\$ 300,832,000</u>
Net book value	<u>\$ 1,183,359,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,249,195,000</u>

At December 31, 2016, certain of the Company's shopping center properties were pledged as collateral for mortgage loans payable. See Note 9 - "Mortgage Loans Payable and Credit Facilities".

2017 Acquisition

On February 22, 2017, the Company acquired Christina Crossing, located in Wilmington, Delaware. The purchase price for the property, which was unencumbered, was \$29.3 million.

2016 Acquisitions

On February 25, 2016, the Company acquired Shoppes at Arts District, located in Hyattsville, Maryland. The purchase price for the property was \$20.5 million, of which \$8.5 million was funded from the assumption of a mortgage loan payable bearing interest at the rate of 5.2% per annum and maturing in April 2022.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

On May 4, 2016, the Company acquired Glenwood Village, located in Bloomfield, New Jersey. The purchase price for the property, which was unencumbered, was \$19.5 million.

2015 Acquisitions

On January 23, 2015, the Company acquired the New London Mall joint venture partner's 60% ownership interest, giving the Company a 100% ownership interest in this property, which is located in New London, Connecticut. The purchase price for the interest was \$27.3 million, consisting of \$10.9 million in cash, and \$16.4 million representing the 60% share of the in-place mortgage financing. As the property was previously controlled and consolidated by the Company, the acquisition of the 60% noncontrolling ownership interest was recorded as a capital transaction.

On February 27, 2015, the Company acquired Lawndale Plaza, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The purchase price for the property, which was unencumbered, was \$25.2 million. The Company incurred costs of \$0.5 million in connection with this acquisition.

On December 23, 2015, the Company acquired East River Park, located in Washington, D.C. The purchase price for the property was \$39.0 million, of which \$20.5 million was funded from the assumption of a mortgage loan payable bearing interest at the rate of 3.9% per annum and maturing in September 2022. The Company incurred costs of \$0.7 million in connection with this acquisition.

Properties Held For Sale Subsequent to December 31, 2013

During 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company sold the properties listed below which did not meet the criteria set forth in the guidance for reporting discontinued operations that was adopted in 2014:

Property	Location	Date Sold	Sales Price	Gain on Sale
2016				
Liberty Marketplace	Dubois, PA	2/11/2016	\$ 15,000,000	\$ -
Upland Square	Pottstown, PA	11/2/2016	83,250,000 (a)	-
			<u>\$ 98,250,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
2015				
Kenley Village	Hagerstown, MD	5/28/2015	\$ 2,275,000	\$ -
Circle Plaza	Shamokin Dam, PA	7/22/2015	1,800,000	-
			<u>\$ 4,075,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
2014				
Fairview Plaza	New Cumberland, PA	5/27/2014	\$ 12,450,000	\$ 3,810,000
Carbondale Plaza	Carbondale, PA	7/18/2014	10,700,000	123,000
Virginia Little Creek	Norfolk, VA	8/22/2014	9,850,000	2,209,000
Annie Land Plaza	Lovington, VA	9/26/2014	3,500,000	-
Smithfield Plaza	Smithfield, VA	10/21/2014	12,350,000	-
Blue Mountain Commons land parcel	Harrisburg, PA	10/22/2014	350,000	-
St. James Square	Hagerstown, MA	11/5/2014	4,125,000	271,000
			<u>\$ 53,325,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,413,000</u>

(a) The company recorded a \$6.3 million impairment charge in connection with the sale of this property.

The Company recorded impairment charges of \$6.3 million and \$3.1 million in 2016 and 2014, respectively, in addition to reversals of impairments of \$0.2 million in 2015, relating to these properties, which are included in continuing operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 4 –Discontinued Operations

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations applicable to properties classified as such prior to the adoption in 2014 of guidance for reporting discontinued operations:

	Years ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Rents	\$ 38,000	\$ 2,698,000
Expense recoveries and other	1,000	918,000
Total revenues	39,000	3,616,000
EXPENSES		
Operating, maintenance and management	20,000	783,000
Real estate and other property related-taxes	7,000	555,000
Interest	—	631,000
Total expenses	27,000	1,969,000
Income from operations	12,000	1,647,000
Impairment reversals	153,000	47,000
Gain on extinguishment of debt obligations	—	1,423,000
Gain on sales	—	7,963,000
Total income from discontinued operations	<u>\$ 165,000</u>	<u>\$ 11,080,000</u>

2015 Transaction

On February 2, 2015, the Company sold Huntingdon Plaza, located in Huntingdon, Pennsylvania, for \$2.2 million.

2014 Transactions

During 2014, the Company sold or conveyed the following properties classified as discontinued operations:

Property	Location	Date Sold	Sales Price	Gain on Sale
Harbor Square (f/k/a Shore Mall)	Egg Harbor, NJ	2/25/2014	\$ 25,000,000	\$ -
McCormick Place	Olmstead, OH	5/6/2014	2,679,000 (a)	-
Gahanna Discount Drug Mart Plaza	Columbus, OH	5/27/2014	4,982,000 (a)	-
Townfair Center	Indiana, PA	5/29/2014	22,600,000	1,472,000
Lake Raystown Plaza	Huntingdon, PA	6/25/2014	19,500,000	6,491,000
			<u>\$ 74,761,000</u>	<u>\$ 7,963,000</u>

(a) Lender accepted a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure on the property. Sales price represents mortgage loan payable, accrued interest and other expenses forgiven upon title transfer.

On May 6, 2014, the McCormick Place lender accepted and recorded the deed to the property, thus completing the deed-in-lieu of foreclosure process in full satisfaction of the mortgage loan payable and related accrued interest aggregating \$2.7 million. Based on the \$1.8 million carrying value of the property, the Company recorded a \$0.8 million gain on the extinguishment of a debt obligation in the second quarter of 2014, which is included in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

On May 27, 2014, the Gahanna Discount Drug Mart Plaza lender accepted and recorded the deed to the property, thus completing the deed-in-lieu of foreclosure process in full satisfaction of the mortgage loan payable and related accrued interest aggregating \$5.0 million. Based on the \$4.3 million carrying value of the property, the Company recorded a \$0.6 million gain on the extinguishment of a debt obligation in the second quarter of 2014, which is included in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 5. Fair Value Measurements

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, rents and other receivables, certain other assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and variable-rate debt approximate their fair value due to their terms and/or short-term nature. The fair value of the Company's investments and liabilities related to share-based compensation were determined to be Level 1 within the valuation hierarchy, and were based on independent values provided by financial institutions.

The fair value of the Company's fixed rate mortgage loans were estimated using available market information and discounted cash flow analyses based on borrowing rates the Company believes it could obtain with similar terms and maturities. As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the aggregate fair values of the Company's fixed rate mortgage loans payable, which were determined to be Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy, were \$143.2 million and \$308.1 million, respectively; the carrying values of such loans were \$138.2 million and \$298.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, the aggregate fair values of the Company's unsecured revolving credit facility and term loans approximated the carrying values.

The valuation of the liabilities for the Company's interest rate swaps, which are measured on a recurring basis, were determined to be Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy, and were based on independent values provided by financial institutions. Such valuations were determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analyses, on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The analyses reflect the contractual terms of the swaps, including the period to maturity, and user-observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves ("significant other observable inputs"). The fair value calculation also includes an amount for risk of non-performance using "significant unobservable inputs" such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default. The Company has concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, the fair value associated with the "significant unobservable inputs" relating to the Company's risk of non-performance was insignificant to the overall fair value of the interest rate swap agreements and, as a result, that the relevant inputs for purposes of calculating the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements, in their entirety, were based upon "significant other observable inputs".

Nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value in the consolidated financial statements consist of real estate held for sale, which, if applicable, are measured on a nonrecurring basis, have been determined to be (1) Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy, where applicable, based on the respective contracts of sale, adjusted for closing costs and expenses, or (2) Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy, where applicable, based on estimated sales prices, adjusted for closing costs and expenses, determined by discounted cash flow analyses, direct capitalization analyses or a sales comparison approach if no contracts had been concluded. The discounted cash flow and direct capitalization analyses include all estimated cash inflows and outflows over a specific holding period and, where applicable, any estimated debt premiums. These cash flows were composed of unobservable inputs which included forecasted rental revenues and expenses based upon existing in-place leases, market conditions and expectations for growth. Capitalization rates and discount rates utilized in these analyses were based upon observable rates that the Company believed to be within a reasonable range of current market rates for the respective properties. The sales comparison approach is utilized for certain land values and includes comparable sales that were completed in the selected market areas. The comparable sales utilized in these analyses were based upon observable per acre rates that the Company believes to be within a reasonable range of current market rates for the respective properties.

Valuations were prepared using internally-developed valuation models. These valuations are reviewed and approved, during each reporting period, by a diverse group of management, as deemed necessary, including personnel from the acquisition, accounting, finance, operations, development and leasing departments, and the valuations are updated as appropriate. In addition, the Company may engage third-party valuation experts to assist with the preparation of certain of its valuations.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The following tables show the hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively:

Description	December 31, 2016			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments related to deferred compensation liabilities (a)	\$ 447,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 447,000
Deferred compensation liabilities (b)	\$ 435,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 435,000
Interest rate swaps asset (a)	\$ —	\$ 3,074,000	\$ —	\$ 3,074,000
Interest rate swaps liability (b)	\$ —	\$ 2,321,000	\$ —	\$ 2,321,000

Description	December 31, 2015			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments related to deferred compensation liabilities (a)	\$ 539,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 539,000
Deferred compensation liabilities (b)	\$ 529,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 529,000
Interest rate swaps liability (b)	\$ —	\$ 3,945,000	\$ —	\$ 3,945,000

(a) Included in other assets and deferred charges, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

(b) Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

There were no assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2016. The following table shows the hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2015:

Description	December 31, 2015			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Real estate held for sale	\$ —	\$ 14,402,000	\$ —	\$ 14,402,000

Note 6. Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents in excess of insured amounts and tenant receivables. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high quality financial institutions. Management performs ongoing credit evaluations of its tenants and requires certain tenants to provide security deposits and/or suitable guarantees.

Excluding properties held for sale, Giant Food Stores, LLC, Stop & Shop, Inc. and Food Lion, LLC, each of which is owned by Ahold N.V., a Netherlands corporation, accounted for an aggregate of approximately 12%, 12% and 14% of the Company's total revenues during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company's properties are located largely in the region straddling the Washington, D.C. to Boston corridor, which exposes it to greater economic risks than if the properties it owned were located in a greater number of geographic regions (in particular, 26 of the Company's properties are located in Pennsylvania).

Note 7. Receivables

Receivables at December 31, 2016 and 2015 are composed of the following:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Rents and other receivables, net	\$ 1,710,000	\$ 2,439,000
Straight-line rents, net	13,184,000	15,473,000
	<u>\$ 14,894,000</u>	<u>\$ 17,912,000</u>

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 8. Other Assets and Deferred Charges, Net

Other assets and deferred charges, net at December 31, 2016 and 2015 are composed of the following:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Lease origination costs (a)	\$ 17,717,000	\$ 18,394,000
Unsecured revolving credit facility financing costs	1,554,000	2,288,000
Prepaid expenses	4,872,000	6,104,000
Leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures	431,000	532,000
Investments related to share-based compensation	447,000	539,000
Interest rate swaps	3,074,000	—
Other	1,411,000	1,339,000
Total other assets and deferred charges, net	<u>\$ 29,506,000</u>	<u>\$ 29,196,000</u>

Lease origination costs include the unamortized balance of intangible lease assets resulting from purchase accounting allocations of \$8.4 million (cost of \$20.0 million and accumulated amortization of \$11.7 million) and \$8.0 million (cost of \$21.3 million and accumulated amortization of \$13.3 million) for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Deferred charges are amortized over the terms of the related agreements. Amortization expense related to deferred charges (including amortization of deferred financing costs included in non-operating income and expense) amounted to \$5.4 million, \$5.2 million and \$5.6 million for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The unamortized balances of deferred lease origination costs and deferred financing costs relating to the unsecured revolving credit facility are net of accumulated amortization of \$24.7 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, and will be charged to future operations as follows:

	Lease origination costs	Unsecured revolving credit facility financing costs
2017	\$ 2,788,000	\$ 746,000
2018	2,353,000	746,000
2019	2,012,000	62,000
2020	1,567,000	—
2021	1,176,000	—
Thereafter	7,821,000	—
	<u>\$ 17,717,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,554,000</u>

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 9. Mortgage Loans Payable and Unsecured Credit Facilities

In April 2015, the FASB issued guidance which amends the balance sheet presentation for debt issuance costs. Under the amended guidance, the Company presents the balance of unamortized debt issuance costs for mortgage loans payable and term loans as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. The guidance was adopted on January 1, 2016 and has been applied on a retrospective basis, and, as a result of the adoption, unamortized debt issuance costs for mortgage loans payable and term loans, aggregating \$0.9 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, have been reclassified from other assets and deferred charges, net, on the consolidated balance sheet.

Debt is composed of the following at December 31, 2016 and 2015:

Description	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Balance outstanding	Contractual interest rates		Balance outstanding	Contractual interest rates	
		Weighted - average	Range		Weighted - average	Range
Fixed-rate mortgages	\$ 138,288,000	4.6%	3.9% - 7.5%	\$ 298,779,000	5.4%	3.9% - 7.5%
Unsecured credit facilities:						
Variable-rate:						
Revolving credit facility	72,000,000	2.1%		78,000,000	1.7%	
Term loan	50,000,000	2.1%		50,000,000	1.7%	
Fixed-rate (a):						
Term loan	75,000,000	2.9%		75,000,000	2.9%	
Term loan	50,000,000	2.8%		50,000,000	2.8%	
Term loan	75,000,000	4.0%		75,000,000	4.0%	
Term loan	50,000,000	3.3%		50,000,000	3.3%	
Term loan	100,000,000	3.2%		-	-	
	610,288,000	3.3%		676,779,000	3.3%	
Unamortized premium	667,000			243,000		
Unamortized debt issuance costs	(3,210,000)			(3,202,000)		
	<u>\$ 607,745,000</u>			<u>\$ 673,820,000</u>		

- (a) The interest rates on these term loans consist of LIBOR plus a credit spread based on the Company's leverage ratio, for which the Company has interest rate swap agreements which convert the LIBOR rates to fixed rates. Accordingly, these term loans are presented as fixed-rate debt.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Mortgage Loans Payable

During 2016 and 2015, the Company repaid the following mortgage loans payable:

Property	Repayment date	Principal payoff amount
Gold Star Plaza	March 10, 2016	\$ 953,000
West Bridgewater	June 6, 2016	\$ 10,037,000
Hamburg Square	July 1, 2016	\$ 4,569,000
Meadows Marketplace	August 1, 2016	\$ 9,089,000
Carman's Plaza	August 1, 2016	\$ 33,500,000
San Souci Plaza	September 1, 2016	\$ 27,200,000
Camp Hill	September 30, 2016	\$ 60,742,000
Swede Square	December 29, 2016	\$ 9,652,000
Golden Triangle	December 30, 2016	\$ 18,496,000

Property	Repayment date	Principal payoff amount
New London Mall	February 1, 2015	\$ 27,365,000
Oak Ridge Shopping Center	March 11, 2015	\$ 3,155,000
Pine Grove Plaza	June 1, 2015	\$ 5,139,000
Quartermaster Plaza	July 1, 2015	\$ 41,327,000
Groton Shopping Center	July 1, 2015	\$ 10,953,000
Jordan Lane	August 2, 2015	\$ 11,682,000
Southington Center	August 2, 2015	\$ 5,129,000
Oakland Mills	September 1, 2015	\$ 4,385,000

During 2016 and 2015, in connection with these repayments, the Company incurred charges relating to early extinguishment of mortgage loans payable (prepayment penalties and accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs) of \$2.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, included in continuing operations.

On May 3, 2016, the Company refinanced its existing \$40.3 million mortgage loan payable secured by Franklin Village Plaza with a new \$50.0 million mortgage loan payable, bearing interest at the rate of 3.9% per annum and maturing in June 2026.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loans

The Company has a \$310 million unsecured credit facility which, as amended on February 5, 2015, consists of (1) a \$260 million revolving credit facility, expiring on February 5, 2019, and (2) a \$50 million term loan, expiring on February 5, 2020. The revolving credit facility may be extended, at the Company's option, for an additional one-year period, subject to customary conditions. Under an accordion feature, the facility can be increased to \$750 million, subject to customary conditions and lending commitments. Interest on borrowings under the revolving credit facility component can range from LIBOR plus 135 basis points ("bps") to 195 bps (135 bps at December 31, 2016) and interest on borrowings under the term loan component can range from LIBOR plus 130 to 190 bps (130 bps at December 31, 2016), each based on the Company's leverage ratio. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had \$168.0 million available for additional borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

The Company has \$150 million of unsecured term loans comprised of a five-year \$75 million term loan, maturing on February 11, 2019, and a seven-year \$75 million term loan, maturing on February 11, 2021. Interest on borrowings under the five-year \$75 million term loan can range from LIBOR plus 130 bps to 190 bps (130 bps at December 31, 2016) and interest on borrowings under the seven-year \$75 million term loan can range from LIBOR plus 170 bps to 230 bps (170 bps at December 31, 2016), each based on the Company's leverage ratio. Additionally, the Company has entered into forward interest rate swap agreements which convert the LIBOR rates to fixed rates for these term loans through their maturities. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of December 31, 2016, the effective fixed interest rates are 2.9% for the five-year \$75 million term loan and 4.0% for the seven-year \$75 million term loan, respectively.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

On February 5, 2015, the Company closed \$100 million of new unsecured term loans comprised of a five-year \$50 million term loan maturing February 5, 2020 (all of which was borrowed at closing), and a seven-year \$50 million term loan maturing February 5, 2022 (all of which was borrowed on June 26, 2015). Interest on borrowings under the five-year \$50 million term loan can range from LIBOR plus 130 to 190 bps (130 bps at December 31, 2016) and interest on borrowings under the seven-year \$50 million term loan can range from LIBOR plus 155 bps to 215 bps (155 bps at December 31, 2016), each based on the Company's leverage ratio. Additionally, the Company entered into forward interest rate swap agreements which convert the LIBOR rates to fixed rates for these term loans beginning on July 1, 2015 through their maturities. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of December 31, 2016, the effective fixed interest rates are 2.8% for the five-year \$50 million term loan and 3.3% for the seven-year \$50 million term loan.

On April 26, 2016, the Company closed a new \$100 million unsecured term loan maturing on April 26, 2023 (all of which was borrowed on September 30, 2016). Proceeds were used primarily to repay mortgages maturing through January 2017. Interest on borrowings under the term loan can range from LIBOR plus 165 to 225 bps (165 bps on December 31, 2016), based on the Company's leverage ratio. Additionally, the Company entered into a forward interest rate swap agreement which converts the LIBOR rate to a fixed rate for the term loan beginning November 1, 2016 through its maturity. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of December 31, 2016, the effective fixed interest rate is 3.2%.

The Company's unsecured credit facility and term loans contain financial covenants including, but not limited to, maximum debt leverage, maximum secured debt, minimum fixed charge coverage, and minimum net worth. In addition, the facility contains restrictions including, but not limited to, limits on indebtedness, certain investments and distributions. Although the credit facility is unsecured, borrowing availability is based on unencumbered property adjusted net operating income, as defined in the agreements. The Company's failure to comply with the covenants or the occurrence of an event of default under the facilities could result in the acceleration of the related debt. As of December 31, 2016 the Company is in compliance with all financial covenants. Interest on borrowings under the unsecured credit facility and terms loans are based on the Company's leverage ratio.

Scheduled Principal Payments

Scheduled principal payments on mortgage loans payable, unsecured term loans, and the unsecured credit facility at December 31, 2016, due on various dates from 2017 to 2029, are as follows:

	Secured Debt		Unsecured Debt				Unamortized	
	Scheduled	Balloon	Revolving	Term		Unamortized	Debt	
Year	Amortization	Payments	Credit Facility	Loans	Total	Premium	Issuance Costs	Total
2017	\$ 3,221,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,221,000	\$ 119,000	\$ (759,000)	\$ 2,581,000
2018	3,377,000	-	-	-	3,377,000	126,000	(759,000)	2,744,000
2019	3,542,000	-	72,000,000 (a)	75,000,000	150,542,000	126,000	(607,000)	150,061,000
2020	3,707,000	-	-	100,000,000	103,707,000	126,000	(391,000)	103,442,000
2021	3,253,000	22,367,000	-	75,000,000	100,620,000	126,000	(259,000)	100,487,000
Thereafter	11,561,000	87,260,000	-	150,000,000	248,821,000	44,000	(435,000)	248,430,000
	\$ 28,661,000	\$ 109,627,000	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 400,000,000	\$ 610,288,000	\$ 667,000	\$ (3,210,000)	\$ 607,745,000

(a) The revolving credit facility is subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option.

Derivative Financial Instruments

At December 31, 2016, the Company had \$3.1 million included in other assets and deferred charges, net, in addition to \$2.3 million included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet relating to the fair value of the interest rate swaps applicable to the unsecured term loans discussed above. Charges and/or credits relating to the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps are made to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), noncontrolling interests (minority interests in consolidated joint ventures and limited partners' interest), or operations (included in interest expense), as applicable. Over time, the unrealized gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified into earnings as an increase or reduction to interest expense in the same periods in which the hedged interest payments affect earnings. The Company estimates that approximately \$3.0 million of accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified as a charge to earnings within the next twelve months.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The following is a summary of the derivative financial instruments held by the Company at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

December 31, 2016						
Designation/ Cash flow	Derivative	Count	Notional value	Fair value	Maturity dates	Balance sheet location
Qualifying	Interest rate swaps	3	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 3,074,000	2020 - 2023	Other assets and deferred charges, net
Qualifying	Interest rate swaps	2	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 2,321,000	2019 - 2021	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

December 31, 2015						
Designation/ Cash flow	Derivative	Count	Notional value	Fair value	Maturity dates	Balance sheet location
Qualifying	Interest rate swaps	4	\$ 250,000,000	\$ 3,945,000	2019 - 2022	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

The following presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statements of operations and the consolidated statements of equity 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

		Gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion)		
		Years ended December 31,		
Designation/ Cash flow	Derivative	2016	2015	2014
Qualifying	Interest rate swaps	\$ 1,162,000	\$ (4,539,000)	\$ (3,650,000)

		(Loss) recognized in other comprehensive income reclassified into earnings (effective portion)		
		Years ended December 31,		
Classification		2016	2015	2014
Continuing Operations		\$ (3,739,000)	\$ (3,621,000)	\$ (1,663,000)
Discontinued Operations		\$ -	\$ -	\$ (129,000)

As of December 31, 2016, the Company believes it has no significant risk associated with non-performance of the financial institutions which are the counterparties to its derivative contracts. Additionally, based on the rates in effect as of December 31, 2016, if a counterparty were to default, the Company would receive a net interest benefit.

Note 10. Intangible Lease Asset/Liability

Unamortized intangible lease liabilities that relate to below-market leases amounted to \$20.3 million and \$23.2 million at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Unamortized intangible lease assets that relate to above-market leases amounted to \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The unamortized balance of intangible lease liabilities at December 31, 2016 is net of accumulated amortization of \$44.0 million, and will be credited to future operations as follows:

2017	\$	2,562,000
2018		2,490,000
2019		2,081,000
2020		1,645,000
2021		1,378,000
Thereafter		10,160,000
	\$	<u>20,316,000</u>

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is a party to certain legal actions arising in the normal course of business. Management does not expect there to be adverse consequences from these actions that would be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Under various federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or petroleum product releases, at its properties. The owner may be liable to governmental entities or to third parties for property damage, and for investigation and cleanup costs incurred by such parties in connection with any contamination. Generally, the Company's tenants must comply with environmental laws and meet any remediation requirements. In addition, leases typically impose obligations on tenants to indemnify the Company from any compliance costs the Company may incur as a result of environmental conditions on the property caused by the tenant. However, if a lease does not require compliance, or if a tenant fails to or cannot comply, the Company could be forced to pay these costs. Management is unaware of any environmental matters that would have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company's executive offices are located at 44 South Bayles Avenue, Port Washington, New York. The terms of the lease, which will expire in February 2020, provide for future minimum rents as follows: 2017 - \$489,000, 2018 - \$503,000, 2019 - \$517,000 and 2020 - \$86,000. In addition, several of the Company's properties and portions of several others are owned subject to operating leases which provide for annual payments subject, in certain cases, to cost-of-living, as follows: 2017 - \$0.5 million, 2018 - \$0.4 million, 2019 - \$0.4 million, 2020 - \$0.4 million, 2021 - \$0.4 million, and thereafter - \$13.5 million.

Rent expense was \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.3 million for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Note 12. Shareholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

The Company's 7.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") has a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, has no stated maturity, is not convertible into any other security of the Company, and is redeemable at the Company's option beginning May 22, 2017 at a price of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid distributions. In addition, the Company had an at-the-market equity program, which expired on May 29, 2015, under which the Company could offer and sell, from time-to-time, shares of its Series B Preferred Stock. There were no transactions during 2015 under this program.

Common Stock

On August 1, 2016, the Company entered into a forward sales agreement to issue 5,750,000 common shares for estimated net proceeds of \$44.2 million, before adjustments for dividends paid and other administrative costs incurred prior to settlement. To date, there have been no physical settlements regarding this offering. The Company expects to physically settle the agreement in full prior to its expiration on August 1, 2017. The Company does have the option to net settle this agreement in shares or cash prior to its expiration, but does not expect to utilize this option.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

On January 12, 2015, the Company concluded a public offering of 5,750,000 shares of its common stock (including 750,000 shares relating to the exercise of an over-allotment option by the underwriters), and realized net proceeds, after offering expenses, of approximately \$41.9 million.

The Company had an at-the-market offering program, which expired on May 29, 2015, under which it could offer and sell, from time-to-time, shares of its common stock. Prior to the expiration of this program, no shares were sold in 2015.

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan (“DRIP”) which offers a convenient method for shareholders to invest cash dividends and/or make optional cash payments to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock. Such purchases are at 100% of market value. There were no significant transactions under the DRIP during 2016 and 2015. At December 31, 2016, there remained 2,841,000 shares authorized under the DRIP.

OP Units

Certain noncontrolling interests of the Company had been classified in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet (the “mezzanine OP Units”) as such OP Units had not met the requirements for equity classification (the holders of such OP Units had, under the federal securities laws, demand registration rights of the Company’s common stock upon conversion of such OP Units). Such registration rights expired on April 25, 2015 and, accordingly, such OP Units now meet the requirements for equity classification. There were no significant OP Unit redemptions during 2016 and 2015.

During 2015, holders of 40,000 OP Units converted their holdings to shares of the Company’s common stock.

Dividends

The following table provides a summary of dividends declared and paid per share:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Common stock	\$ 0.200	\$ 0.200	\$ 0.200
7.250% Series B Preferred Stock	\$ 1.812	\$ 1.812	\$ 1.812

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, of accrued preferred stock dividends.

On January 17, 2017, the Company’s Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.05 per share with respect to its common stock. At the same time, the Board declared a dividend of \$0.453125 per share with respect to the Company’s Series B Preferred Stock. The distributions are payable on February 21, 2017 to shareholders of record on February 10, 2017.

Note 13. Revenues

Rents for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, are comprised of the following:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Base rents	\$114,655,000	\$112,319,000	\$110,739,000
Percentage rent	654,000	789,000	683,000
Straight-line rents	38,000	506,000	761,000
Amortization of intangible lease liabilities, net	2,751,000	3,125,000	4,322,000
Total rents	<u>\$118,098,000</u>	<u>\$116,739,000</u>	<u>\$116,505,000</u>

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Annual future base rents due to be received under non-cancelable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2016 are approximately as follows (excluding those base rents applicable to properties classified as real estate held for sale):

2017	\$	101,880,000
2018		93,063,000
2019		79,220,000
2020		63,742,000
2021		49,311,000
Thereafter		213,217,000
	\$	<u>600,433,000</u>

Total future minimum rents do not include expense recoveries for real estate taxes and operating costs, or percentage rents based upon tenants' sales volume. Such additional revenue amounts aggregated approximately \$32.7 million, \$32.6 million and \$32.1 million for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Such amounts do not include amortization of intangible lease liabilities.

Note 14. 401(k) Retirement Plan

The Company has a 401(k) retirement plan (the "Plan"), which permits all eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation under the Code. Pursuant to the provisions of the Plan, the Company may make discretionary contributions on behalf of eligible employees. The Company made contributions to the Plan of \$293,000, \$265,000, and \$279,000 for 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.

Note 15. Share-Based Compensation

The following tables set forth certain share-based compensation information for 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Expense relating to share grants	\$ 3,141,000 (a)	\$ 3,261,000	\$ 3,761,000
Amounts capitalized	(211,000)	(93,000)	(230,000)
Total charged to operations	<u>\$ 2,930,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,168,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,531,000</u>

	Shares	Weighted average grant date value
Unvested shares, December 31, 2015	3,429,000	\$ 5.03
Restricted share grants	491,000	\$ 6.87
Vested during period	(115,000)	\$ 5.43
Forfeitures/cancellations	(167,000)	\$ 5.54
Unvested shares, December 31, 2016	<u>3,638,000</u>	<u>\$ 5.24</u>

(a) Net of an expense reduction of \$267,000 relating to a forfeiture of restricted shares in connection with an employment termination.

At December 31, 2016, 1.2 million shares remained available for grants pursuant to the 2012 Plan and, at that date, there remained an aggregate of \$6.1 million applicable to all grants and awards to be expensed over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

During 2016, there were 491,000 time-based restricted shares granted with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$6.87 per share. During 2015, there were 180,000 time-based restricted shares issued, with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$7.43 per share. During 2014, there were 133,000 time-based restricted shares granted, with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$6.32 per share.

The total fair values of shares vested during 2016, 2015, and 2014 were \$811,000, \$2,869,000, and \$934,000, respectively.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

The Company's President and Chief Executive Officer has received restricted share grants totaling 2.5 million shares as provided in his employment agreement, one-half of which is time-based, vesting upon the seventh anniversary of the date of grant (vesting on June 15, 2018), and the other half performance-based, to be earned if the total annual return on an investment in the Company's common stock is at least an average of 6.5% per year for the seven years ending June 15, 2018. An independent appraisal determined the value of the performance-based award to be \$4.39 per share compared to a market price at the date of grant of \$4.98 per share. Consistent with such awards to other recipients, dividends have been paid on all the shares.

Note 16. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to the Company's common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period including participating securities (restricted shares issued pursuant to the Company's share-based compensation program are considered participating securities, as such shares have non-forfeitable rights to receive dividends). Unvested restricted shares are not allocated net losses and/or any excess of dividends declared over net income, as such amounts are allocated entirely to the common shareholders. For 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had 3.6 million, 3.5 million and 3.7 million, respectively, of weighted average unvested restricted shares outstanding. The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the EPS calculations for the 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
<u>Numerator</u>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 8,764,000	\$ 21,616,000	\$ 17,611,000
Preferred stock dividends	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)	(14,408,000)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	179,000	366,000	358,000
Net earnings allocated to unvested shares	(715,000)	(701,000)	(734,000)
(Loss) income from continuing operations attributable to vested common shares	(6,180,000)	6,873,000	2,827,000
Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interests, attributable to vested common shares	-	164,000	11,012,000
Net (loss) income attributable to vested common shares outstanding	<u>\$ (6,180,000)</u>	<u>\$ 7,037,000</u>	<u>\$ 13,839,000</u>
<u>Denominator</u>			
Weighted average number of vested common shares outstanding	<u>81,672,000</u>	<u>81,356,000</u>	<u>75,311,000</u>
<u>Earnings per vested common share, basic and diluted</u>			
Continuing operations	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.04
Discontinued operations	0.00	0.00	0.14
	<u>\$ (0.08)</u>	<u>\$ 0.09</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>

Fully-diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into shares of common stock. The 5,750,000 common shares subject to the forward sale agreements (see Note 12 - "Shareholders' Equity") have been excluded from the denominator as they were anti-dilutive using the treasury stock method. Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests of the Operating Partnership has been excluded from the numerator and the related OP Units have been excluded from the denominator for the purpose of calculating diluted EPS as there would have been no effect had such amounts been included. The weighted average number of OP Units outstanding was 352,000, 378,000 and 433,000 for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2016

Note 17. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

	Quarter ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
2016				
Revenues	\$ 38,251,000	\$ 37,872,000	\$ 37,793,000	\$ 37,170,000
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,576,000	\$ 4,795,000	\$ (761,000)	\$ 3,154,000
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,939,000)	\$ 1,286,000	\$ (4,289,000)	\$ (523,000)
Per common share (basic and diluted) (a)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)
2015				
Revenues	\$ 38,635,000	\$ 36,742,000	\$ 36,100,000	\$ 37,730,000
Net income	\$ 3,399,000	\$ 5,416,000	\$ 6,126,000	\$ 6,840,000
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (123,000)	\$ 1,915,000	\$ 2,590,000	\$ 3,356,000
Per common share (basic and diluted) (a)	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.04

(a) Differences between the sum of the four quarterly per share amounts and the annual per share amounts are attributable to the effect of the weighted average outstanding share calculations for the respective periods.

Note 18. Subsequent Events

In determining subsequent events, management reviewed all activity from January 1, 2017 through the date of filing this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Schedule III
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

Property	State	Year acquired	Percent owned	Year built/ Year last renovated	Gross leasable area	Initial cost to the Company	
						Land	Building and Improvements
Academy Plaza	PA	2001	100%	1965/2013	137,415	\$ 2,406,000	\$ 9,623,000
Big Y Shopping Center	CT	2013	100%	2007	101,105	11,272,000	23,395,000
Camp Hill	PA	2002	100%	1958/2005	463,967	4,460,000	17,857,000
Carll's Corner	NJ	2007	100%	1960's-1999	129,582	3,034,000	15,293,000
Carmans Plaza	NY	2007	100%	1954/2007	193,736	8,539,000	35,804,000
Coliseum Marketplace	VA	2005	100%	1987/2012	106,648	2,924,000	14,416,000
Colonial Commons	PA	2011	100%	2011/2013	461,914	9,367,000	37,496,000
Crossroads II	PA	2008	60%	2009	133,717	15,383,000	-
East River Park	DC	2015	100%	1946-1996	150,107	9,143,000	30,893,000
Elmhurst Square	VA	2006	100%	1961-1983	66,254	1,371,000	5,994,000
Fairview Commons	PA	2007	100%	1976/2003	52,964	858,000	3,568,000
Fieldstone Marketplace	MA	2005/2012	100%	1988/2003	151,995	5,229,000	21,440,000
Fort Washington Center	PA	2002	100%	2003	41,000	2,462,000	-
Franklin Village Plaza	MA	2004/2012	100%	1987/2005	303,144	14,270,000	61,915,000
Fredericksburg Way	VA	2005	100%	1997	63,000	3,213,000	12,758,000
General Booth Plaza	VA	2005	100%	1985	71,639	1,935,000	9,493,000
Glen Allen Shopping Center	VA	2005	100%	2000	63,328	6,769,000	683,000
Glenwood Village	NJ	2016	100%	2015	63,844	625,000	17,674,000
Gold Star Plaza	PA	2006	100%	1988	71,720	1,644,000	6,519,000
Golden Triangle	PA	2003	100%	1960/2005	202,790	2,320,000	9,713,000
Groton Shopping Center	CT	2007	100%	1969	121,825	3,070,000	12,320,000
Halifax Plaza	PA	2003	100%	1994	51,510	1,412,000	5,799,000
Hamburg Square	PA	2004	100%	1993/2010	99,580	1,153,000	4,678,000
Jordan Lane	CT	2005	100%	1969/1991	177,504	4,291,000	21,176,000
Kempsville Crossing	VA	2005	100%	1985/2013	79,512	2,207,000	11,000,000
Kings Plaza	MA	2007	100%	1970/1994	168,243	2,413,000	12,604,000
Lawndale Plaza	PA	2015	100%	1998	93,040	3,635,000	21,854,000
Maxatawny Marketplace	PA	2011	100%	2014	59,939	1,612,000	-
Meadows Marketplace	PA	2004/2012	100%	2005	91,518	1,914,000	-
Mechanicsburg Giant	PA	2005	100%	2003	51,500	2,709,000	12,159,000
Metro Square	MD	2008	100%	1999	71,896	3,121,000	12,341,000
Newport Plaza	PA	2003	100%	1996	64,489	1,721,000	7,758,000
New London Mall	CT	2009	100%	1967/1997	259,566	14,891,000	24,967,000
Northside Commons	PA	2008	100%	2009	69,136	3,332,000	-
Norwood Shopping Center	MA	2006	100%	1965/2013	97,756	1,874,000	8,453,000
Oak Ridge Shopping Center	VA	2006	100%	2000	38,700	960,000	4,254,000
Oakland Commons	CT	2007	100%	1962/2013	90,100	2,504,000	15,662,000
Oakland Mills	MD	2005	100%	1960's/2004	58,224	1,611,000	6,292,000
Palmyra Shopping Center	PA	2005	100%	1960/2012	111,051	1,488,000	6,566,000
Pine Grove Plaza	NJ	2003	100%	2001/2002	86,089	2,010,000	6,489,000
Port Richmond Village	PA	2001	100%	1988	154,908	2,942,000	11,769,000
Quartermaster Plaza	PA	2014	100%	2004	456,602	37,031,000	54,210,000
River View Plaza	PA	2003	100%	1991/1998	236,217	9,718,000	40,356,000
San Souci Plaza	MD	2009	40%	1985 - 1997	264,134	14,849,000	18,445,000
Shoppes at Arts District	DC	2016	100%	2011	35,676	2,247,000	18,616,000
South Philadelphia	PA	2003	100%	1950/2003	283,415	8,222,000	36,314,000
Southington Center	CT	2003	100%	1972/2000	155,842	-	11,834,000
Suffolk Plaza	VA	2005	100%	1984	67,216	1,402,000	7,236,000
Swede Square	PA	2003	100%	1980/2012	100,816	2,268,000	6,232,000
The Brickyard	CT	2004	100%	1990/2012	227,598	7,632,000	29,308,000
The Commons	PA	2004	100%	2003	203,426	3,098,000	14,047,000
The Point	PA	2000	100%	1972/2012	268,037	2,700,000	10,800,000
The Shops at Suffolk Downs	MA	2005	100%	2005/2011	121,320	7,580,000	11,089,000
Timpany Plaza	MA	2007	100%	1970's-1989	183,775	3,412,000	19,240,000
Trexler Mall	PA	2005	100%	1973/2013	337,297	6,932,000	32,815,000
Trexlertown Plaza	PA	2006	100%	1990/2011	321,129	13,349,000	23,867,000
Valley Plaza	MD	2003	100%	1975/1994	190,939	1,950,000	7,766,000
Washington Center Shoppes	NJ	2001	100%	1979/1995	157,394	2,061,000	7,314,000
Webster Plaza	MA	2007	100%	1960's-2004	98,984	3,551,000	18,412,000
West Bridgewater Plaza	MA	2007	100%	1970/2007	133,039	2,823,000	14,901,000
Yorktowne Plaza	MD	2007	100%	1970/2000	158,982	5,940,000	25,505,000
Land parcels	PA	n/a	100%	n/a	-	1,965,000	-
Total Portfolio					9,127,793	\$ 306,824,000	\$ 948,982,000

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Schedule III
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

(continued)	Subsequent cost capitalized (a)	Gross amount at which carried at December 31, 2016			Accumulated depreciation	Amount of Encumbrance
		Land	Building and improvements	Total		
Property						
Academy Plaza	\$ 4,565,000	\$ 2,406,000	\$ 14,188,000	\$ 16,594,000	\$ 4,907,000	\$ -
Big Y Shopping Center	11,000	10,268,000	24,410,000	34,678,000	2,601,000	-
Camp Hill	44,498,000	4,424,000	62,391,000	66,815,000	19,663,000	-
Carl's Corner	(433,000)	2,898,000	14,996,000	17,894,000	4,614,000	-
Carmans Plaza	517,000	8,421,000	36,439,000	44,860,000	10,394,000	-
Coliseum Marketplace	5,426,000	3,586,000	19,180,000	22,766,000	6,361,000	-
Colonial Commons	5,222,000	9,367,000	42,718,000	52,085,000	10,079,000	25,070,000
Crossroads II	28,968,000	17,671,000	26,680,000	44,351,000	5,140,000	-
East River Park	378,000	9,398,000	31,016,000	40,414,000	1,662,000	19,848,000
Elmhurst Square	423,000	1,371,000	6,417,000	7,788,000	1,704,000	-
Fairview Commons	346,000	858,000	3,914,000	4,772,000	860,000	-
Fieldstone Marketplace	1,969,000	5,167,000	23,471,000	28,638,000	7,951,000	-
Fort Washington Center	5,176,000	2,462,000	5,176,000	7,638,000	2,055,000	-
Franklin Village Plaza	1,486,000	14,681,000	62,990,000	77,671,000	10,313,000	49,097,000
Fredericksburg Way	-	3,213,000	12,758,000	15,971,000	4,131,000	-
General Booth Plaza	(947,000)	1,935,000	8,546,000	10,481,000	2,465,000	-
Glen Allen Shopping Center	(212,000)	5,367,000	1,873,000	7,240,000	524,000	-
Glenwood Village	66,000	625,000	17,740,000	18,365,000	370,000	-
Gold Star Plaza	598,000	1,644,000	7,117,000	8,761,000	2,518,000	-
Golden Triangle	9,517,000	2,320,000	19,230,000	21,550,000	7,807,000	-
Groton Shopping Center	4,045,000	3,113,000	16,322,000	19,435,000	3,922,000	-
Halifax Plaza	367,000	1,347,000	6,231,000	7,578,000	2,308,000	-
Hamburg Square	5,518,000	1,153,000	10,196,000	11,349,000	3,038,000	-
Jordan Lane	242,000	4,291,000	21,418,000	25,709,000	6,043,000	-
Kempsville Crossing	(3,227,000)	2,207,000	7,773,000	9,980,000	2,470,000	-
Kings Plaza	(537,000)	2,408,000	12,072,000	14,480,000	2,885,000	-
Lawndale Plaza	436,000	3,635,000	22,290,000	25,925,000	1,665,000	-
Maxatawny Marketplace	9,066,000	1,454,000	9,224,000	10,678,000	879,000	-
Meadows Marketplace	11,425,000	1,914,000	11,425,000	13,339,000	3,160,000	-
Mechanicsburg Giant	-	2,709,000	12,159,000	14,868,000	3,802,000	-
Metro Square	(247,000)	5,250,000	9,965,000	15,215,000	2,445,000	7,344,000
Newport Plaza	401,000	1,682,000	8,198,000	9,880,000	2,942,000	-
New London Mall	1,532,000	8,807,000	32,583,000	41,390,000	10,743,000	-
Northside Commons	10,010,000	3,379,000	9,963,000	13,342,000	1,832,000	-
Norwood Shopping Center	735,000	1,874,000	9,188,000	11,062,000	2,366,000	-
Oak Ridge Shopping Center	46,000	960,000	4,300,000	5,260,000	1,340,000	-
Oakland Commons	(344,000)	2,504,000	15,318,000	17,822,000	4,352,000	-
Oakland Mills	41,000	1,611,000	6,333,000	7,944,000	2,408,000	-
Palmyra Shopping Center	1,700,000	1,488,000	8,266,000	9,754,000	2,780,000	-
Pine Grove Plaza	767,000	2,010,000	7,256,000	9,266,000	2,362,000	-
Port Richmond Village	1,588,000	2,843,000	13,456,000	16,299,000	5,342,000	-
Quartermaster Plaza	812,000	37,031,000	55,022,000	92,053,000	5,142,000	-
River View Plaza	5,309,000	9,718,000	45,665,000	55,383,000	15,689,000	-
San Souci Plaza	2,425,000	13,406,000	22,313,000	35,719,000	9,501,000	-
Shoppes at Arts District	-	2,247,000	18,616,000	20,863,000	503,000	9,044,000
South Philadelphia	2,815,000	8,222,000	39,129,000	47,351,000	15,534,000	-
Southington Center	233,000	-	12,067,000	12,067,000	4,039,000	-
Suffolk Plaza	(1,301,000)	1,402,000	5,935,000	7,337,000	1,701,000	-
Swede Square	5,726,000	2,272,000	11,954,000	14,226,000	4,930,000	-
The Brickyard	3,903,000	7,648,000	33,195,000	40,843,000	9,370,000	-
The Commons	3,093,000	3,098,000	17,140,000	20,238,000	6,364,000	-
The Point	14,787,000	2,996,000	25,291,000	28,287,000	9,288,000	27,840,000
The Shops at Suffolk Downs	9,569,000	7,580,000	20,658,000	28,238,000	5,530,000	-
Timpany Plaza	593,000	3,368,000	19,877,000	23,245,000	4,615,000	-
Trexler Mall	7,588,000	6,932,000	40,403,000	47,335,000	12,052,000	-
Trexlertown Plaza	26,578,000	13,351,000	50,443,000	63,794,000	8,761,000	-
Valley Plaza	1,354,000	1,950,000	9,120,000	11,070,000	3,292,000	-
Washington Center Shoppes	4,460,000	2,000,000	11,835,000	13,835,000	4,821,000	-
Webster Plaza	2,847,000	4,082,000	20,728,000	24,810,000	4,727,000	-
West Bridgewater Plaza	(685,000)	2,596,000	14,443,000	17,039,000	4,127,000	-
Yorktowne Plaza	463,000	5,798,000	26,110,000	31,908,000	7,881,000	-
Land parcels	(1,084,000)	881,000	-	881,000	-	-
Total Portfolio	\$ 240,623,000	\$ 301,299,000	\$ 1,195,130,000	\$ 1,496,429,000	\$ 313,070,000	\$ 138,243,000

Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.
Schedule III
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

The changes in real estate and accumulated depreciation for the three years ended December 31, 2016 are as follows:

Cost	2016	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 1,550,027,000	\$ 1,476,173,000	\$ 1,450,951,000
Properties transferred to held for sale	(96,596,000)	(4,599,000)	(81,223,000)
Properties acquired	39,456,000	65,313,000	91,241,000
Impairments	—	—	(6,000)
Improvements and betterments	15,672,000	13,140,000	15,210,000
Write-off fully-depreciated assets	(12,130,000)	—	—
Balance, end of the year	<u>\$ 1,496,429,000 (b)</u>	<u>\$ 1,550,027,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,476,173,000</u>

Accumulated depreciation			
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 300,832,000	\$ 267,211,000	\$ 251,605,000
Properties transferred to held for sale	(11,280,000)	(1,380,000)	(18,523,000)
Depreciation expense (c)	\$ 35,648,000	\$ 35,001,000	\$ 34,129,000
Write-off fully-depreciated assets	(12,130,000)	-	-
Balance, end of the year	<u>\$ 313,070,000</u>	<u>\$ 300,832,000</u>	<u>\$ 267,211,000</u>
Net book value	<u>\$ 1,183,359,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,249,195,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,208,962,000</u>

- (a) Negative amounts represent write-offs of fully depreciated assets.
(b) At December 31, 2016, the aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$26.5 million greater than the Company's recorded values.
(c) Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the buildings and improvements, which range from 3 to 40 years.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its filings under the Exchange Act is reported within the time periods specified in the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). In this regard, the Company has formed a Disclosure Committee currently comprised of several of the Company’s executive officers as well as certain other employees with knowledge of information that may be considered in the SEC reporting process. The Committee has responsibility for the development and assessment of the financial and non-financial information to be included in the reports filed with the SEC, and assists the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in connection with their certifications contained in the Company’s SEC filings. The Committee meets regularly and reports to the Audit Committee on a quarterly or more frequent basis. The Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated its disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2016, and have concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

During the three months ended December 31, 2016, there have been no changes in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these internal controls over financial reporting. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in "Internal Control – 2013 Integrated Framework". Based on such assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2016, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which appears elsewhere in this report.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.

We have audited Cedar Realty Trust Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Cedar Realty Trust Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Item 9A. Controls and Procedures —“Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting”. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

New York, New York
February 23, 2017

Items 9B. Other Information

None.

Part III.

In accordance with the rules of the SEC, certain information required by Part III is omitted and is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K from the Company's definitive proxy statement, which we expect to file in March 2017 (and in any event not later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year), for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

This item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

This item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

This item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

This item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

This item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The response to this portion of Item 15 is included in Item 8 of this report.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The response to this portion of Item 15 is included in Item 8 of this report.

3. Exhibits

Item	Title or Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc., including all amendments and articles supplementary previously filed, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.
3.2	By-laws of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc., including all amendments previously filed, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
3.3.a	Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Shopping Centers Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on August 20, 2003, as amended.
3.3.b	Amendment No. 1 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Shopping Centers Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on October 14, 2003, as amended.
3.3.c	Amendment No. 2 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Shopping Centers Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3.c of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
3.3.d	Amendment No. 3 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Shopping Centers Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3.d of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
3.3.e	Amendment No. 4 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Shopping Centers Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010.
3.3.f	Amendment No. 5 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K filed on May 16, 2012.
3.3.g	Amendment No. 6 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2012.
3.3.h	Amendment No. 7 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2012.
3.3.i	Amendment No. 8 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2012.
3.3.j	Amendment No. 9 to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K filed on February 11, 2013.
10.1*	Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of the Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 25, 2012.

Item	Title or Description
10.2.a*	2005 Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2005.
10.2.b*	Amendment No. 1 to the 2005 Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 21, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.b of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
10.2.c*	Amendment No. 2 to the 2005 Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 11, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.c of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
10.2.d*	Amendment No. 3 to the 2005 Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 16, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.d of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
10.2.e*	Amendment No. 4 to the 2005 Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of June 30, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011.
10.2.f*	Amendment No. 5 to the 2005 Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 14, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.f of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
10.2.g*	Amendment No. 6 to the 2005 Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 12, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.g of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.
10.2.h*	Amendment No. 7 to the 2005 Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of December 24, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.h of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.
10.3.a*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. and Bruce J. Schanzer, dated as of August 4, 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016.
10.3.b*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. and Philip Mays, dated as of August 4, 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016.
10.3.c*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. and Robin McBride Zeigler, dated as of August 4, 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016.
10.4.a	Third Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) by and among Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., KeyBank National Association and other lending institutions which are or may become parties to the Loan Agreement, and KeyBank National Association (as Administrative Agent), dated as of February 5, 2015, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.a of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.
10.4.b.1	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) by and among Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., KeyBank National Association and other lending institutions which are or may become parties to the Loan Agreement, and KeyBank National Association (as Administrative Agent), dated as of February 5, 2015 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.b of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.
10.4.b.2	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) by and among Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., KeyBank National Association and other lending institutions which are or may become parties to the Loan Agreement, and KeyBank National Association (as Administrative Agent), dated as of July 15, 2016 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016.

Item	Title or Description
10.4.c.1	Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) by and among Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., Regions Bank and other lending institutions which are or may become parties to the Loan Agreement, and KeyBank National Association (as Administrative Agent), dated as of April 26, 2016 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016.
10.4.c.2	First Amendment to Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) by and among Cedar Realty Trust Partnership, L.P., Regions Bank and other lending institutions which are or may become parties to the Loan Agreement, and KeyBank National Association (as Administrative Agent), dated as of July 15, 2016 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016.
10.5	Voting Agreement dated February 13, 2008 among Cedar Shopping Centers, Inc., Inland American Real Estate Trust, Inc., Inland Investment Advisors, Inc. Inland Real Estate Investment Corporation and The Inland Group, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed pursuant to Rule 601 of Regulation S-K.

(b) Exhibits

The response to this portion of Item 15 is included in Item 15(a)(3) above.

(c) The following financial statement schedules are filed as part of the report:

The response to this portion of Item 15 is included in Item 15(a)(2) above.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

/s/ BRUCE J. SCHANZER

Bruce J. Schanzer
President
(principal executive officer)

/s/ PHILIP R. MAYS

Philip R. Mays
EVP, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(principal financial officer)

/s/ GASPARE J. SAITTA, II

Gaspare J. Saitta, II
VP and Chief Accounting Officer
(principal accounting officer)

February 23, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and as of the date indicated.

/s/ JAMES J. BURNS

James J. Burns
Director

/s/ ABRAHAM EISENSTAT

Abraham Eisenstat
Director

/s/ PAMELA N. HOOTKIN

Pamela N. Hootkin
Director

/s/ PAUL G. KIRK, JR

Paul G. Kirk, Jr.
Director

/s/ STEVEN G. ROGERS

Steven G. Rogers
Director

/s/ BRUCE J. SCHANZER

Bruce J. Schanzer
Director

/s/ ROGER M. WIDMANN

Roger M. Widmann
Director

February 23, 2017

CERTIFICATION

I, Bruce J. Schanzer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the “Company” or “registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 23, 2017

/s/ BRUCE J. SCHANZER

Bruce J. Schanzer, Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Philip R. Mays, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the “Company” or “registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 23, 2017

/s/ PHILIP R. MAYS

Philip R. Mays
EVP, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

CERTIFICATION

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Bruce J. Schanzer, Chief Executive Officer of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the “Company”), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, as follows:

1. The Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2016 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Certification this 23rd day of February, 2017.

/s/ BRUCE J. SCHANZER

Bruce J. Schanzer, Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Philip R. Mays, Chief Financial Officer of Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the “Company”), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, as follows:

1. The Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2016, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Certification this 23rd day of February, 2017.

/s/ PHILIP R. MAYS

Philip R. Mays

EVP, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer