UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

9
FORM 10-K

(Mar	k One) ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019	PR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIE	ES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION	or 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECUR	RITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from	_ to	
	Co	ommission File Number: 001-3	66135
		FUND OFFICE T	RUST INVESTOR INC.
	Maryland		46-2616226
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)		(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	250 Vesey Street, 15th Floor		
	New York, NY (Address of principal executive offices)		10281 (Zip Code)
	, ,	(212) 417-7000 it's telephone number, including gistered pursuant to Section 1	,
	Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
	7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	DTLA-P	New York Stock Exchange
	Securities re	gistered pursuant to Section 1 None	2(g) of the Act:
I	indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known sea:	soned issuer, as defined in Rule	- 405 of the Securities Act. Yes □ No ☑
I	indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file	e reports pursuant to Section 13	or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes □ No ☑
the pr			Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 durie eports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submi Regulation S-T ($\S 232.405$ of this chapter) during the precedi No \square		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large a emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large acce Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.		
Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer ✓
Smaller reporting company □	Emerging growth company □	
revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to S Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell of The aggregate market value of the registrant's common As of March 20, 2020, 100% of the registrant's common Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC.	company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes equity held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2019 was	s \$0.
DOCU	MENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	
	None	

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business.	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	5
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments.	22
Item 2.	Properties.	22
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.	26
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	26
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	27
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.	28
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	30
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	55
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	56
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	103
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.	103
Item 9B.	Other Information.	103
	PART III	
	TAKI III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	104
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.	108
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	111
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	112
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services.	115
	PART IV	
	IIMI IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	116
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary.	124
Signatures		125

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Our Company

As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Brookfield DTLA," the "Company," "us," "we" and "our" refer to Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. ("Brookfield DTLA" or the "Company") is a Maryland corporation and was incorporated on April 19, 2013. Brookfield DTLA was formed for the purpose of consummating the transactions contemplated in the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 24, 2013, as amended (the "Merger Agreement"), and the issuance of shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A preferred stock") in connection with the acquisition of MPG Office Trust, Inc. and MPG Office, L.P. (together, "MPG"). Brookfield DTLA is a direct subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("DTLA Holdings", and together with its affiliates excluding the Company and its subsidiaries, the "Manager"). DTLA Holdings is an indirect partially-owned subsidiary of Brookfield Property Partners L.P. ("BPY"), an exempted limited partnership under the Laws of Bermuda, which in turn is the flagship commercial property entity and the primary vehicle through which Brookfield Asset Management Inc. ("BAM"), a corporation under the Laws of Canada, invests in real estate on a global basis.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA owned Bank of America Plaza ("BOA Plaza"), EY Plaza, Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, Gas Company Tower and 777 Tower, which are Class A office properties, and FIGat7th, a retail center nestled between EY Plaza and 777 Tower, all of which are located in the Los Angeles Central Business District (the "LACBD").

On May 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC ("New OP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. (the "Property Owner"), the indirect property owner of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property, in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture with Brookfield DTLA FP IV Holdings, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of DTLA Holdings. See Part II, Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4—Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture."

Brookfield DTLA has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("<u>REIT</u>") pursuant to Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "<u>Code</u>"), commencing with its tax period ended December 31, 2013. Brookfield DTLA conducts and intends to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT.

Brookfield DTLA receives its income primarily from lease income generated from the operations of its office and retail properties, and to a lesser extent, revenue from its parking garages.

Corporate Strategy

Brookfield DTLA's current strategy is to own and invest in commercial properties primarily in the LACBD that are of a high-quality, determined by management's view of the certainty of receiving lease income payments generated by the tenants of those assets.

Competition

Brookfield DTLA competes in the leasing of primarily office space with a number of other real estate companies.

Principal factors of competition in our primary business of owning and operating office properties are: the quality of properties, leasing terms (including rent and other charges and allowances for tenant improvements), attractiveness and convenience of location, the quality and breadth of tenant services provided, and reputation as an owner and operator of quality office properties in the LACBD. Additionally, our ability to compete depends upon, among other factors, trends in the national and local economies, investment alternatives, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends.

Segment, Geographical and Tenant Concentration Information

Segment Information—

Brookfield DTLA currently operates in a single reportable segment, which includes the operation and management of its six commercial office properties and one retail property. Each of Brookfield DTLA's operating properties is considered a separate operating segment, as each property earns revenues and incurs expenses, individual operating results are reviewed by management and discrete financial information is available. Management does not distinguish or group Brookfield DTLA's consolidated operations based on geography, size or type. Brookfield DTLA's operating properties have similar economic characteristics and provide similar products and services to tenants. As a result, Brookfield DTLA's operating properties are aggregated into a single reportable segment.

Geographical Information—

All of Brookfield DTLA's properties are owned and our business is conducted in the state of California.

Tenant Concentration Information—

Brookfield DTLA's properties are typically leased to high credit-rated tenants for terms ranging from five to ten years, although we also enter into some short-term as well as longer-term leases. As our entire portfolio is located in the LACBD, any specific economic changes within that location could affect our tenant base, and by extension, our profitability.

A significant portion of Brookfield DTLA's lease income is generated by a small number of tenants. No tenant accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated lease income during the year ended December 31, 2019. See Item 2. "Properties—Tenant Information."

During the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, BOA Plaza, Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, Gas Company Tower, EY Plaza and 777 Tower each contributed more than 10% of Brookfield DTLA's consolidated revenue. The revenue generated by these six properties totaled 96%, 98% and 100% of Brookfield DTLA's consolidated revenue during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Government and Environmental Regulations

Brookfield DTLA's office properties are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas. We believe that each of our properties has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the "ADA") to the extent that such properties are "public accommodations" as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our properties are in substantial compliance with the ADA, and we continue to make capital expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Some of our properties contain, or may have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that have contained or currently contain, underground storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. These operations create a potential for the release of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Also, some of our properties contain asbestoscontaining building materials ("ACBM"). Environmental laws require that ACBM be properly managed and maintained, and may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators for failure to comply with these requirements. These laws may also allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos fibers. We can make no assurance that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. None of our recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or other environmental site assessments on all of the properties in our portfolio. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey. None of the recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Insurance

Properties held by certain Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates are covered under insurance policies entered into by the Manager that provide, among other things, all risk property and business interruption coverage for BPY's commercial portfolio with an aggregate limit of \$2.5 billion per occurrence as well as an aggregate limit of \$437.5 million of earthquake insurance for California, and \$372.5 million of flood and weather catastrophe insurance. In addition, Brookfield DTLA's properties are covered by a terrorism insurance policy that provides a maximum of \$4.0 billion per occurrence for all of BPY's U.S. properties.

To the extent an act or acts of terrorism produce losses in excess of the limits in place, the resulting loss could have a material adverse effect on Brookfield DTLA's business, financial condition, or results of operations. Brookfield DTLA is in compliance with the contractual obligations regarding terrorism insurance contained in such policies. See Item 1A. "Risk Factors—Insurance may not cover some potential losses or may not be obtainable at commercially reasonable rates, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations."

Employees

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA had no employees. The operations and activities of Brookfield DTLA are externally managed by employees of the Manager.

Corporate Offices

BPY owns the building in which Brookfield DTLA's operations are managed: 250 Vesey Street, New York, NY 10281, telephone number 212-417-7000.

Available Information

Brookfield DTLA files its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements (if any), Information Statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). All reports we file with the SEC are available free of charge via EDGAR through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov and on the Company's website, http://www.dtlaofficefund.com, under "Reports & Filings—SEC Filings" as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Such filings are also available in print to any person who sends a written request to that effect to the attention of Michelle L. Campbell, Senior Vice President, Secretary, and Director, Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc., 250 Vesey Street, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10281.

We have included the web addresses of Brookfield DTLA and the SEC as inactive textual references only. Except as specifically incorporated by reference into this document, information on these websites is not part of this document.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Factors That May Affect Future Results (Cautionary Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of "safe harbor" provisions of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (as set forth in Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements include statements that are predictive in nature, depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, include statements regarding our operations, business, financial condition, expected financial results, performance, prospects, opportunities, priorities, targets, goals, ongoing objectives, strategies and outlook, as well as the outlook for North American and international economies for the current fiscal year and subsequent periods, and include words such as "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "believes," "estimates," "seeks," "intends," "targets," "projects," "forecasts," "likely," or negative versions thereof and other similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could."

Although Brookfield DTLA believes that its anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements and information are based upon reasonable assumptions and expectations, the reader should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements and information because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond its control, which may cause Brookfield DTLA's actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievement expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and information.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated or implied by forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- Risks generally incident to the ownership of real property, including the ability to retain tenants and rent space upon lease expirations, the financial condition and solvency of our tenants, the relative illiquidity of real estate and changes in real estate taxes, regulatory compliance costs and other operating expenses;
- Risks associated with the Downtown Los Angeles market, which is characterized by challenging leasing conditions, including limited numbers of new tenants coming into the market and the downsizing of large tenants in the market such as accounting firms, banks and law firms;
- Risks related to increased competition for tenants in the Downtown Los Angeles market, including aggressive attempts by competing landlords to fill large vacancies by providing tenants with lower rental rates, increasing amounts of free rent and providing larger allowances for tenant improvements;

- The impact or unanticipated impact of general economic, political and market factors in the regions in which Brookfield DTLA or any of its subsidiaries does business;
- The use of debt to finance Brookfield DTLA's business or that of its subsidiaries:
- The behavior of financial markets, including fluctuations in interest rates;
- Uncertainties of real estate development or redevelopment;
- Global equity and capital markets and the availability of equity and debt financing and refinancing within these markets;
- Risks relating to Brookfield DTLA's insurance coverage;
- The possible impact of international conflicts and other developments, including terrorist acts;
- Potential environmental liabilities:
- Dependence on management personnel;
- The ability to complete and effectively integrate acquisitions into existing operations and the ability to attain expected benefits therefrom:
- Operational and reputational risks;
- Catastrophic events, such as pandemics, earthquakes and hurricanes; and
- The impact of legislative, regulatory and competitive changes and other risk factors relating to the real estate industry, as detailed from time to time in the reports of Brookfield DTLA filed with the SEC.

Brookfield DTLA cautions that the foregoing list of important factors that may affect future results is not exhaustive. When relying on Brookfield DTLA's forward-looking statements or information, investors and others should carefully consider the foregoing factors and other uncertainties and potential events. Except as required by law, Brookfield DTLA undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or information, whether written or oral, that may be as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following is a discussion of the risk factors that Brookfield DTLA's management believes are material to Brookfield DTLA at this time. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones facing Brookfield DTLA and there may be additional matters that Brookfield DTLA is unaware of or that Brookfield DTLA currently considers immaterial. In addition to the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the matters addressed above, you should carefully consider the following risk factors. If any of these risks occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be harmed, the market value of the Series A preferred stock could decline and stockholders could lose part or all of their investment.

As used in this section, the terms "<u>Brookfield DTLA</u>," the "<u>Company</u>," "us," "we" and "our" refer to Brookfield DTLA together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries, and the term "<u>stockholders</u>" means holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock.

RISKS RELATED TO THE OWNERSHIP OF BROOKFIELD DTLA SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK

Brookfield DTLA is dependent upon the assets and operations of its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Brookfield DTLA is a holding company and does not own any material assets other than the equity interests of its subsidiaries, which conduct all of the Company's operations. As a result, distributions or advances from the Company's subsidiaries will be the primary source of funds available to meet the obligations of the Company, including any obligation to pay dividends, if declared, or other distributions in respect of the Series A preferred stock. Our current and future obligations and liabilities may limit, and the terms of certain of the equity interests issued in connection with the transactions immediately following the consummation of the merger will limit, the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock.

Brookfield DTLA's subsidiaries have issued, and may in the future issue, equity securities that are senior to the equity interests of such subsidiary that are owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company. The respective organizational documents of Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries generally do not restrict the issuance of debt or equity by any of Brookfield DTLA's subsidiaries, and any such issuance may adversely impact the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or other distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock. As part of the transactions immediately following the consummation of the merger with MPG, subsidiaries of the Company issued equity interests that rank senior to the equity securities of such subsidiaries held indirectly by Brookfield DTLA, and as a result, effectively rank senior to the Series A preferred stock. Additionally, at the time of the merger with MPG, DTLA Holdings made a commitment to contribute up to \$260.0 million in cash or property to New OP, for which it will be entitled to receive a preferred return. As of December 31, 2019 and the date of this report, \$44.5 million is available to the Company under this commitment for future funding.

The Series B preferred interest in New OP held by DTLA Holdings is effectively senior to the interest in New OP held by Brookfield DTLA and has a priority on distributions senior to the equity securities of such subsidiaries held indirectly by Brookfield DTLA and, as a result, effectively rank senior to the Series A preferred stock. The Series B preferred interest in New OP may limit the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or other distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock.

In addition, the amount of cash Brookfield DTLA currently generates from its operations is not sufficient to cover its operating, financing and investing activities, resulting in "negative cash burn," and there can be no assurance that the amount of Brookfield DTLA's negative cash burn will decrease, or that it will not increase, in the future. If Brookfield DTLA's operating cash flows and capital are not sufficient to cover its operating costs or to repay its indebtedness as it comes due, we may issue additional debt and/or equity, including to affiliates of Brookfield DTLA, which could further adversely impact the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or other distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock. In many cases, such securities may be issued if authorized by the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA without the approval of holders of the Series A preferred stock.

The Series A preferred stock effectively ranks junior to any indebtedness of Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries. The Series A preferred stock effectively ranks junior to the indebtedness of Brookfield DTLA or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries. Holders of the Series A preferred stock do not have the right to prevent us from incurring additional indebtedness. As a result, we could become more leveraged, which may increase debt service costs and could adversely affect our cash flows, results of operations, financial condition, and the availability of funds for dividends or distributions to holders of Brookfield DTLA's capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock.

The Series A preferred stock has no stated maturity date, Brookfield DTLA is not obligated to declare and pay dividends on the Series A preferred stock, and Brookfield DTLA may never again declare dividends on the Series A preferred stock. The Series A preferred stock has no stated maturity date, and accordingly, could remain outstanding indefinitely. In addition, while the Series A preferred stock will accumulate dividends at the stated rate (whether or not authorized by the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA and declared by the Company), there is no requirement that Brookfield DTLA declare and pay dividends on the Series A preferred stock, and except for a one time dividend of \$2.25 per share of Series A preferred stock that was paid in connection with the settlement on a class-wide basis of the litigation brought in Maryland State Court and styled as In re MPG Office Trust Inc. Preferred Shareholder Litigation, Case No. 24-C-13-004097, Brookfield DTLA has not, and may not in the future, declare and pay dividends on the Series A preferred stock.

Brookfield DTLA's ability to pay dividends is limited by the requirements of Maryland law. Brookfield DTLA's ability to pay dividends on the Series A preferred stock is limited by the laws of the State of Maryland. Under Maryland General Corporation Law ("MGCL"), a Maryland corporation generally may not make a distribution if, after giving effect to the distribution, the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as the debts become due in the usual course of business or the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus all prior liquidation preferences (unless the charter of the corporation provides otherwise). Accordingly, with limited exception, Brookfield DTLA may not make a distribution (including a dividend payment or redemption) on the Series A preferred stock if, after giving effect to the distribution, Brookfield DTLA may not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business or its total assets would be less than the sum of Brookfield DTLA's total liabilities plus prior liquidation preferences, if any. Due to the foregoing limitations, there can be no assurance that, if Brookfield DTLA desires to declare and pay dividends in the future, that it would be legally permissible for the Company to do so.

There was no established trading market for shares of the Series A preferred stock at the time of issuance and the shares may be delisted and deregistered in the future. The Series A preferred stock was issued in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and there was no established trading market for the shares of Series A preferred stock.

Although the Series A preferred stock is currently registered under the Exchange Act and listed on the New York Stock Exchange, Brookfield DTLA may apply for delisting of the Series A preferred stock in the future provided the requirements for delisting are met. If the Series A preferred stock is delisted, the market for the shares of Series A preferred stock could be adversely affected, though price quotations for the shares of Series A preferred stock might still be available from other sources. Subject to compliance with applicable securities laws, the registration may be terminated if the shares are not listed on a national securities exchange and there are fewer than 300 holders. The extent of the public market for the Series A preferred stock and availability of such quotations would depend upon such factors as the number of holders and/or the aggregate market value of the publicly held shares of Series A preferred stock at such time, the interest in maintaining a market in the Series A preferred stock on the part of securities firms, the possible termination of registration of the Series A preferred stock under the Exchange Act and other factors. Termination of registration would substantially reduce the information required to be furnished to holders of the Series A preferred stock.

Brookfield DTLA's charter contains provisions that may delay, defer or prevent transactions that may be beneficial to holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock. Brookfield DTLA's charter contains provisions that are intended to, among other purposes, assist it in qualifying as a REIT. The charter provides that subject to certain exceptions, including exemptions that may be granted by the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA under certain circumstances, no person or entity may beneficially own or constructively own more than 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of Brookfield DTLA's common stock or Series A preferred stock. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of Brookfield DTLA's common stock or Series A preferred stock in excess of the applicable ownership limit without the consent of the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA either will result in the shares being transferred by operation of the charter to a charitable trust, and the person who attempted to acquire such shares will not have any rights in such shares, or in the transfer being void. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA determines that it is no longer in the Company's best interests to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT or if the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA determines that such restrictions are no longer necessary to maintain REIT status. The ownership limit may delay or impede a transaction or a change in control that might be in the best interests of Brookfield DTLA's stockholders, including holders of the Series A preferred stock.

Brookfield DTLA may authorize and issue capital stock without the approval of holders of the Series A preferred stock. While Brookfield DTLA may not, without a vote of the holders of the Series A preferred stock, authorize, create, issue or increase the authorized or issued amount of any class of capital stock ranking senior to the Series A preferred stock with respect to payment of dividends or the distribution of assets upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of Brookfield DTLA, its charter authorizes the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA, without any action by its stockholders, to (i) amend the charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that Brookfield DTLA has the authority to issue, (ii) issue authorized but unissued shares of common stock or Series A preferred stock, and (iii) classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common stock or Series A preferred stock and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of such classified or unclassified shares. There can be no assurance that the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA will not establish additional classes and/or series of capital stock that would delay, defer or prevent a transaction that may be in the best interests of its stockholders, including holders of the Series A preferred stock.

Holders of the Series A preferred stock have limited voting rights. DTLA Holdings owns 100% of the outstanding shares of Brookfield DTLA's common stock and controls 100% of the aggregate voting power of the Company's capital stock, except that holders of the Series A preferred stock have voting rights, under certain circumstances, (1) to elect two preferred directors to the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA (referred to as preferred directors) and (2) with respect to (i) the creation of additional classes or series of preferred stock that are senior to the Series A preferred stock and (ii) an amendment of its charter (whether by merger, consolidation, transfer or conveyance of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or otherwise) that would materially adversely affect the rights of holders of the Series A preferred stock. By virtue of their limited voting rights, holders of the Series A preferred stock have limited control over the outcome of any corporate transaction or other matters that Brookfield DTLA confronts.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control. Certain provisions of the MGCL may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire the Company or of impeding a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interests of Brookfield DTLA's stockholders, including: (1) "business combination" provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between Brookfield DTLA and an "interested stockholder" (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting stock of Brookfield DTLA or any affiliate or associate who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding stock of Brookfield DTLA) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes special appraisal rights and supermajority stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and (2) "control share" provisions that provide that a holder of "control shares" of Brookfield DTLA (defined as shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a "control share acquisition" (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding "control shares") has no voting rights with respect to such shares except to the extent approved by Brookfield DTLA's stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares. Brookfield DTLA has opted out of these provisions of the MGCL, in the case of the business combination provisions of the MGCL by resolution of the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA, and in the case of the control

share provisions of the MGCL pursuant to a provision in its bylaws. However, the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL and Brookfield DTLA may, by amendment to its bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future. In addition, provided that Brookfield DTLA has a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act and at least three independent directors, Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the MGCL permits Brookfield DTLA to elect to be subject, by provision in its charter or bylaws or a resolution of the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA and notwithstanding any contrary provision in its charter or bylaws, to certain provisions, including, among other provisions, a classified board of directors and a requirement that a vacancy on the board of directors be filled only by the remaining directors and for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred. Brookfield DTLA's charter and bylaws and the MGCL also contain other provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of its stockholders, including holders of the Series A preferred stock.

The Manager controls the management and operations of Brookfield DTLA. The Company does not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing its business or operations. The Manager, through DTLA Holdings, manages the operations and activities, and controls Brookfield DTLA, including the power to vote to elect all members of the board of directors (other than the preferred directors). By virtue of its control of and substantial ownership in Brookfield DTLA, the Manager has significant influence over the outcome of any corporate transaction or other matters that Brookfield DTLA confronts. Subject to any limitations contained in Brookfield DTLA's charter, bylaws or as may be required by applicable law, holders of the Series A preferred stock will be unable to block any such matter in their capacity as stockholders or through their representation under certain circumstances, if any, by up to two directors on the board of directors (which directors are not a majority of the members comprising the board of directors).

There may be conflicts of interest in Brookfield DTLA's relationship with the Manager. Certain subsidiaries of Brookfield DTLA have entered into arrangements with the Manager, pursuant to which the Manager provides property management and various other services. In consideration for the services provided under these arrangements, the Manager is paid fees by Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries. In addition, the Manager may enter into additional arrangements, including additional service agreements, with Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries. There can be no assurance that these agreements will be made on terms that will be at least as favorable to Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries as those that could have been obtained in an arm's length transaction between parties that are not affiliated. Accordingly, these agreements may involve conflicts between the interests of the Manager, on the one hand, and Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries, on the other hand.

Members of Brookfield DTLA's management team have competing duties to other entities. Brookfield DTLA's executive officers are employees of the Manager and therefore do not spend all of their time managing the Company's activities and real estate portfolio. Many of Brookfield DTLA's executive officers allocate most of their time to other businesses and activities. None of these individuals is required to devote a specific amount of time to Brookfield DTLA's affairs. Accordingly, Brookfield DTLA competes with BPY and BAM, their affiliates and possibly other entities for the time and attention of these officers.

COMPANY AND REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY RISKS

Brookfield DTLA's current strategy is to own and invest in commercial properties primarily in the LACBD that are of a high-quality, determined by management's view of the certainty of receiving lease income generated by the tenants of those assets. However, Brookfield DTLA is subject to various risks specific to its portfolio, the geographies in which it operates and where its properties are located and those inherent in the commercial property business generally. In evaluating Brookfield DTLA and its business, the following challenges, uncertainties and risks should be considered in addition to the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Brookfield DTLA's economic performance and the value of its real estate assets are subject to the risks incidental to the ownership and operation of real estate properties. Brookfield DTLA's economic performance, the value of its real estate assets and, therefore, the value of the Series A preferred stock, is subject to the risks normally associated with the ownership and operation of real estate properties, including but not limited to: downturns and trends in the national, regional and local economic conditions where our properties are located; global economic conditions; the cyclical nature of the real estate industry; adverse economic or real estate developments in Southern California, particularly in the LACBD; local real estate market conditions such as an oversupply of office properties, including space available by sublease, or a reduction in demand for such properties; our liquidity situation, including our failure to obtain additional capital or extend or refinance debt maturities on favorable terms or at all; changes in interest rates and the availability of financing; competition from other properties; changes in market rental rates and our ability to rent space on favorable terms; the bankruptcy, insolvency, credit deterioration or other default of our tenants; the need to periodically renovate, repair and re-lease space and the costs thereof; our failure to qualify as and to maintain our status as a REIT or the status of certain of our subsidiaries as REITs; increases in maintenance, insurance and operating costs; civil disturbances, earthquakes and other natural disasters, or terrorist acts or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses; a decrease in the attractiveness of our properties to tenants; a decrease in the underlying value of our properties; and certain significant expenditures, including property taxes, maintenance costs, debt payments, insurance costs and related charges that must be made regardless of whether or not a property is producing sufficient income to s

The results of our business and our financial condition are significantly dependent on the economic conditions and demand for office space in southern California. All of Brookfield DTLA's properties are located in Los Angeles County, California in the LACBD, which may expose us to greater economic risks than if most of our properties were located in a different geographic region or more geographic regions. Moreover, because our portfolio of properties consists primarily of office buildings, a decrease in the demand for office space (especially Class A office space), particularly in the LACBD, may have a greater adverse effect on our business and financial condition than if we owned a more diversified real estate portfolio. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the markets for office space, particularly in Southern California. Such adverse developments could include oversupply of or reduced demand for office space; declines in property values; business layoffs, downsizings, relocations or industry slowdowns affecting tenants of our properties; changing demographics; increased telecommuting; terrorist targeting of or acts of war against high-rise structures; infrastructure quality; California state budgetary constraints and priorities; increases in real estate and other taxes; costs of complying with state, local and federal

government regulations or increased regulation and other factors. In addition, the State of California is generally regarded as more litigious and more highly regulated and taxed than many other U.S. states, which may adversely impact the market, including the demand for, office space in California. There can be no assurance as to the growth of the Southern California or the national economy or our future growth rate.

U.S. economic conditions are uncertain. In particular, volatility in the U.S. and international capital markets and the condition of the California economy may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, as well as the liquidity and financial condition of tenants in our properties.

Brookfield DTLA's inability to enter into renewal or new leases on favorable terms for all or a substantial portion of space that will be subject to expiring leases would adversely affect our cash flows, operating results and financial condition. Our income-producing properties generate revenue through rental payments made by tenants of the properties. Upon the expiry of any lease, there can be no assurance that the lease will be renewed or the tenant replaced. The terms of any lease renewal or extension, or of any new lease for such space may be less favorable to us than the existing lease, and may be less favorable to us than prevailing market terms for similar leases in the relevant market. We would be adversely affected, in particular, if any significant tenant ceases to be a tenant and cannot be replaced on similar or better terms or at all.

Competition may adversely affect Brookfield DTLA's ability to lease available space in its properties. Other developers, managers and owners of office properties compete with us in seeking tenants. Some of the properties of our competitors may be newer, better located or better capitalized than the properties we own. These competing properties may have vacancy rates higher than our properties, which may result in their owners being willing to make space available at lower prices than the space in our properties, particularly if there is an oversupply of space available in the market. Competition for tenants could have an adverse effect on our ability to lease our properties and on the rents that we may charge or concessions that we may grant. If our competitors adversely impact our ability to lease our properties, our cash flows, operating results and financial condition may suffer.

Our ability to realize our strategies and capitalize on our competitive strengths will depend on our ability to effectively operate our properties, maintain good relationships with tenants and remain well capitalized, and our failure to do any of the foregoing could adversely affect our ability to compete effectively in the markets in which we do business.

Reliance on significant tenants could adversely affect Brookfield DTLA's operating results and financial condition. Many of our properties are occupied by one or more significant tenants and our revenues from those properties are materially dependent on the creditworthiness and financial stability of those tenants. Our business would be adversely affected if any of those tenants failed to renew certain of their significant leases, became insolvent, declared bankruptcy or otherwise refused to pay rent in a timely fashion or at all. In the event of a default by one or more of our significant tenants, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment and re-leasing the property. If a lease with a significant tenant is terminated, it may be difficult, costly and time consuming to attract new tenants and lease the property for the rent and on terms as favorable as the previous lease or at all.

Brookfield DTLA could be adversely impacted by tenant defaults, bankruptcies or insolvencies. Brookfield DTLA owns, operates and manages commercial office and retail properties in the LACBD and receives its income primarily from lease income generated from tenants of those properties. Tenants of our properties may experience a downturn in their business from the effects of the recent outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID—19"), which could cause the loss of tenants or weaken their financial condition and result in the tenants' inability to make lease payments when due or require rent concessions. If a tenant defaults, we may experience delays and incur costs in enforcing our rights as landlord and protecting our investments. If any tenant becomes a debtor in a case under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, we cannot evict a tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. In addition, the bankruptcy court may authorize a tenant to reject and terminate its lease. In such a case, our claim against the tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent owed under the lease. In any event, it is unlikely that a bankrupt or insolvent tenant will pay in full the amounts it owes under a lease. The loss of lease payments from tenants and costs of re-leasing would adversely affect our cash flows, operating results and financial condition. In the event of a significant number of lease defaults and/or tenant bankruptcies, it may be difficult, costly and time consuming to attract new tenants and lease the space for the rent and on terms as favorable as the previous leases or at all. The loss of lease payments from tenants and costs of re-leasing would adversely affect our operating results and financial condition, and our cash flows may not be sufficient to meet all of our obligations and liabilities.

There are numerous risks associated with the use of debt to finance our business, including refinancing risk. Brookfield DTLA incurs debt in the ordinary course of its business and therefore is subject to the risks associated with debt financing. These risks, including the following, may adversely impact our operating results and financial condition: our cash flows may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to pay operating expenses; we may not be able to refinance indebtedness on our properties at maturity due to business and market factors (including: disruptions and volatility in the capital and credit markets, the estimated cash flows of our properties, and the value (or appraised value) of our properties); financial, competitive, business and other factors, including factors beyond our control; and if refinanced, the terms of a refinancing may not be as favorable to us as the original terms of the related indebtedness. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, we may need to dispose of one or more of our properties on disadvantageous terms. In addition, prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing could increase our interest expense, and if we mortgage property to secure payment of indebtedness and are unable to make the debt payments, the lender could foreclose upon such property or appoint a receiver to receive an assignment of our rents and leases.

If we are unable to manage our interest rate risk efficiently, our cash flows and operating results may suffer. Some of our indebtedness bears interest at a variable rate and we may in the future incur additional variable-rate indebtedness. In addition, we may be required to refinance our debt at higher rates. There can be no assurance that the benchmarks on which our variable-rate indebtedness is based will not increase or that interest rates available for any refinancing in the future will not be higher than the debt being refinanced. Increases in such rates will increase our interest expense and could have an adverse impact on our cash flows and operating results. In addition, though we will attempt to manage interest rate risk, there can be no assurance that we will hedge such exposure effectively or at all in the future. Accordingly, increases in interest rates above what we anticipate based upon historical trends would adversely affect our cash flows and operating results.

Our substantial indebtedness may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition, and may limit our flexibility to operate our business. Brookfield DTLA currently has aggregate consolidated indebtedness totaling \$2.2 billion. After payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness, we may not have sufficient cash resources to operate our properties or meet all of our other obligations. Certain of our indebtedness include lockbox and other cash management provisions, which, under certain circumstances, could limit our ability to utilize available cash flows from the relevant properties. There can be no assurance that terms of debt we incur in the future or modifications to existing debt will not significantly limit our operating and financial flexibility, which may in turn limit our ability to efficiently respond and adapt to changes or competition in our business.

If we are unable to extend, refinance or repay the debt secured by our properties at maturity, we could default on such debt, which may permit the lenders to foreclose on the applicable property. Proceeds from any disposition of a foreclosed property may not be sufficient to repay the full amount of the underlying debt. If we are unable to extend, refinance or repay our debt as it comes due, our business, financial condition and operating results may be materially and adversely affected. If we are unable to refinance our debt as it matures on acceptable terms, or at all, we may need to dispose of one or more of our properties on disadvantageous terms. Furthermore, even if we are able to obtain extensions on or refinance our existing debt, such extensions or new debt may include operational and financial covenants significantly more restrictive than our current debt covenants and may limit the operation or growth of our business.

Restrictive covenants in indebtedness may limit management's discretion with respect to certain business matters. Instruments governing our indebtedness may contain restrictive covenants limiting our discretion with respect to certain business matters. These covenants could place significant restrictions on our ability to, among other things, create liens or other encumbrances, pay dividends or make distributions on Brookfield DTLA's capital stock (including the Series A preferred stock), make certain other payments, investments, loans and guarantees and sell or otherwise dispose of assets and merge or consolidate with another entity. These covenants could also require us to meet certain financial ratios and financial condition tests. Failure to comply with any such covenants could result in a default which, if not cured or waived, could result in acceleration of the relevant indebtedness.

Increasing utility costs in California may have an adverse effect on our operating results and occupancy levels. The State of California continues to experience issues related to the supply of electricity, water and natural gas. In recent years, shortages of electricity and natural gas have resulted in increased costs for consumers and certain interruptions in service. Increased consumer costs and consumer perception that the State of California is not able to effectively manage its utility needs may reduce demand for leased space in California office properties. A significant reduction in demand for office space could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Because real estate investments are illiquid, we may not be able to sell properties when appropriate or desired. Large and high quality office properties like the ones that we own can be hard to sell, especially if local market conditions are poor. Such illiquidity could limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changing economic or investment conditions. Additionally, financial difficulties of other property owners resulting in distressed sales could depress real estate values in the market in which we operate during times of illiquidity. These restrictions could reduce our ability to respond to changes in the performance of our investments and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Insurance may not cover some potential losses or may not be obtainable at commercially reasonable rates, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The Manager maintains insurance on Brookfield DTLA's properties in amounts and with deductibles that it believes are in line with coverage maintained by owners of similar types of properties; however, the insurance maintained by the Manager may not cover all potential losses Brookfield DTLA might experience. There also are certain types of risks (such as war or acts of terrorism, or environmental contamination, such as toxic mold) that are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should any uninsured or underinsured loss occur, the Company could lose its investment in, and anticipated profits and cash flows from, one or more of its properties, and would continue to be obligated to repay any recourse indebtedness on such properties. Any of these events could adversely impact the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to possible environmental liabilities and other possible liabilities. As an owner and manager of real property, we are subject to various laws relating to environmental matters. These laws could hold us liable for the costs of removal and remediation of certain hazardous substances or wastes present in our buildings, released or deposited on or in our properties or disposed of at other locations. These costs could be significant and would reduce cash available for our business. The failure to remove or remediate such substances could adversely affect our ability to sell our properties or our ability to borrow using real estate as collateral, and could potentially result in claims or other proceedings against us.

Other laws and regulations govern indoor and outdoor air quality including those that can require the abatement or removal of ACBM in the event of damage, demolition, renovation or remodeling and also govern emissions of and exposure to asbestos fibers in the air. The maintenance and removal of lead paint and certain electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs") and underground storage tanks are also regulated by federal and state laws. We are also subject to risks associated with human exposure to chemical or biological contaminants such as molds, pollens, viruses and bacteria which, above certain levels, can be alleged to be connected to allergic or other health effects and symptoms in susceptible individuals. We could incur fines for environmental compliance and be held liable for the costs of remedial action with respect to the foregoing regulated substances or tanks or related claims arising out of environmental contamination or human exposure to contamination at or from our properties.

If excessive moisture accumulates in our buildings or on our building materials, it may trigger mold growth. Mold may emit airborne toxins or irritants. Inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination and other biological contaminants (including pollen, viruses and bacteria) could also impair indoor air quality at our buildings. Impaired indoor air quality may cause a variety of adverse health effects, such as allergic reactions. If mold or other airborne contaminants exist or appear at our properties, we may have to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the contaminants or increase indoor ventilation. If indoor air quality were impaired, we may have to temporarily relocate some or all of a property's tenants and could be liable to our tenants, their employees or others for property damage and/or personal injury.

Some of the properties that we own contain ACBM and we could be liable for such fines or penalties. We cannot assure our stockholders, including holders of the Series A preferred stock, that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders, including distributions or dividends on the Series A preferred stock, or such that costs or other remedial measures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

Environmental laws and regulations can change rapidly and we may become subject to more stringent environmental laws and regulations in the future. Compliance with more stringent environmental laws and regulations could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Regulations under building codes and human rights codes generally require that public buildings, including office buildings, be made accessible to disabled persons. Non-compliance could result in the imposition of fines by the government or the award of damages to private litigants. If we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties to comply with these codes, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We may also incur significant costs complying with other regulations. Our properties are subject to various federal, state, provincial and local regulatory requirements, such as state, and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. Existing requirements may change and compliance with future requirements may require significant unanticipated expenditures that could affect our cash flows and results from operations.

Existing conditions at some of our properties may expose us to liability related to environmental matters, which may exceed our environmental insurance coverage limits. Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or other environmental site assessments on all of the properties in our portfolio. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey, and the assessments may fail to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns.

In connection with its due diligence of MPG prior to entering into the Merger Agreement, initial environmental tests were conducted at certain of MPG's Downtown Los Angeles properties and a widely used commercial building material used in certain of MPG's Downtown Los Angeles properties was found to contain ACBM. None of the recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. Material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

Losses resulting from the breach of our loan document representations related to environmental issues or hazardous substances will generally be recourse to Brookfield DTLA or one of its subsidiaries pursuant to "non-recourse carve out" guarantees and therefore present a risk to Brookfield DTLA should a special purpose property-owning subsidiary of DTLA Holdings be unable to cover such a loss. We cannot assure our stockholders that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to pay dividends or distributions to our stockholders, including on the Series A preferred stock, or such costs or other remedial measures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

We may suffer a significant loss resulting from fraud, other illegal acts or inadequate or failed internal processes or systems. We may suffer a significant loss resulting from fraud or other illegal acts or inadequate or failed internal processes or systems. We rely on our employees to follow our policies and processes as well as applicable laws in their activities. Risk of illegal acts or failed systems are managed through our infrastructure, controls, systems, policies and people, complemented by central groups focusing on enterprise-wide management of specific operational risks such as fraud, trading, outsourcing, and business disruption, as well as people and systems risks. Failure to manage these risks can result in direct or indirect financial loss, reputational impact, regulatory censure or failure in the management of other risks such as credit or market risk.

We may be subject to litigation. In the ordinary course of our business, we expect that we may be subject to litigation from time to time. The outcome of any such proceedings may materially adversely affect us and may continue without resolution for long periods of time. Any litigation may consume substantial amounts of our management's time and attention, and that time and the devotion of these resources to litigation may, at times, be disproportionate to the amounts at stake in the litigation. The acquisition, ownership and disposition of real property will expose us to certain litigation risks which could result in losses, some of which may be material. Litigation may be commenced with respect to a property we have acquired in relation to activities that took place prior to our acquisition of such property. In addition, at the time of disposition of an individual property, a potential buyer who is passed over in favor of another buyer as part of our efforts to maximize sale proceeds may claim that it should have been afforded the opportunity to purchase the asset or alternatively that such buyer should be awarded due diligence expenses incurred or statutory damages for misrepresentation relating to disclosures made. Similarly, successful buyers may later sue us for losses associated with latent defects or other problems not uncovered in due diligence. We may also be exposed to litigation resulting from the activities of our tenants or their customers.

Our future results may suffer if we are unable to effectively manage our real estate portfolio. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to manage and successfully monitor our operations, costs, regulatory compliance and service quality, and maintain other necessary internal controls.

Future terrorist attacks in the United States could harm the demand for and the value of our properties. Future terrorist attacks in the U.S., such as the attacks that occurred in New York City and Washington, D.C. on September 11, 2001, and other acts of terrorism or war could harm the demand for and the value of our properties. Certain of the properties we own are well-known landmarks and may be perceived as more likely terrorist targets than similar, less recognizable properties, which could potentially reduce the demand for and value of these properties. A decrease in demand or value could make it difficult for us to renew leases or re-lease space at lease rates equal to or above historical rates or then-prevailing market rates or to refinance indebtedness related to our properties. Terrorist attacks also could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction, loss or increased security costs, and the availability of insurance for such acts may be limited or more costly. Four of Brookfield DTLA's properties are located within the Bunker Hill area of Downtown Los Angeles. Because these properties are located so closely together, a terrorist attack on any one of these properties, or in the Downtown Los Angeles or Bunker Hill areas generally, could materially damage, destroy or impair the use by tenants of one or more of these properties. To the extent that our tenants are impacted by future attacks, their ability to continue to honor obligations under their existing leases with us could be adversely affected. Additionally, certain tenants will have termination rights or purchase options in respect of certain casualties.

Climate change may adversely impact our operations and markets. There is significant concern from members of the scientific community and the general public that an increase in global average temperatures due to emissions of greenhouse gases and other human activities have or will cause significant changes in weather patterns and increase the frequency and severity of climate stress events. Climate change, including the impact of global warming, creates physical and financial risk. Physical risks from climate change include an increase in sea level and changes in weather conditions, such as an increase in intense precipitation and extreme heat events, as well as tropical and non-tropical storms. The occurrence of one or more natural disasters, such as hurricanes, fires, floods and earthquakes (whether or not caused by climate change), could cause considerable damage to our properties, disrupt our operations and negatively impact our financial performance. To the extent these events result in significant damage to or closure of one or more of our buildings, our operations and financial performance could be adversely affected through lost tenants and an inability to lease or re-lease the space. In addition, these events could result in significant expenses to restore or remediate a property, increases in fuel (or other energy) prices or a fuel shortage and increases in the costs of insurance if they result in significant loss of property or other insurable damage.

If we are unable to recover from a business disruption on a timely basis, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Our business may be vulnerable to damages from a number of sources, including computer viruses, unauthorized access, energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication failures. Any system failure or accident that causes interruptions in our operations could result in a material disruption to our business. For example, major health issues and pandemics, such as COVID–19, may adversely affect trade and global and local economies. Given the ongoing and dynamic nature of the circumstances, it is difficult to predict the impact of the COVID–19 outbreak on our business. The extent of such impact will depend on future developments, which are highly uncertain and cannot be predicted, including new information which may emerge concerning the severity of COVID–19. If we are unable to recover from a business disruption on a timely basis, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. We may also incur additional costs to remedy damages caused by such disruptions.

TAX RISKS

Failure to maintain our status as a REIT could have significant adverse consequences to us, our ability to make distributions and the value of our stock, including the Series A preferred stock. Brookfield DTLA has elected to be taxed as a REIT pursuant to Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, commencing with its tax period ended December 31, 2013. Brookfield DTLA conducts and intends to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, Brookfield DTLA must satisfy a number of asset, income, organizational, operational, dividend distribution, stock ownership, and other requirements on an ongoing basis. However, qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code, for which only a limited number of judicial and administrative interpretations exist. Even an inadvertent or technical mistake could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our ability to continue to qualify as a REIT depends on the ability of certain of our subsidiaries that own our commercial property assets to individually satisfy the asset, income, organizational, distribution, stock ownership and other requirements discussed above on a continuing basis. Whether these subsidiaries will be able to qualify for taxation as REITs, and therefore whether we will be able to continue to qualify, is a question of fact. Moreover, new tax legislation, administrative guidance or court decisions, in each instance potentially applicable with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to continue to qualify as a REIT.

If Brookfield DTLA fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates, and it may be ineligible to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Brookfield DTLA may also be subject to certain state or local income taxes, or franchise taxes on its REIT activities. Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for investment, debt service and distribution to holders of our stock, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the value of our stock. Distributions to our stockholders if we fail to qualify as a REIT will not be deductible by us, nor will they be required to be made (unless required by the terms of our governing documents). In such event, to the extent of current and accumulated earnings and profits, all distributions to stockholders will be taxable as dividends (whether or not attributable to capital gains of the Company). Subject to certain limitations in the Code, corporate distributees may be eligible for the dividends received deduction. Dividends paid to non-corporate U.S. holders that constitute qualified dividend income will be eligible for taxation at the preferential rates applicable to long-term capital gains, provided certain conditions are met. As a result of all these factors, our failure to continue to qualify as a REIT could impair our business and operating strategies and adversely affect the value of our stock and our ability to make distributions on our stock, including, in each case, the Series A preferred stock.

We may incur other tax liabilities that could reduce our cash flows. We may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets including, but not limited to, taxes on any undistributed income and property and transfer taxes. In order to avoid federal corporate income tax on our earnings, each year we must distribute to holders of our stock, including holders of the Series A preferred stock, at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined before the deductions for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income and net capital gain, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed REIT taxable income and net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to holders of our stock, including holders of the Series A preferred stock, in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distributions to holders of our stock, including holders of the Series A preferred stock, and lower distributions of cash could adversely affect the value of the Series A preferred stock.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends. Certain dividends known as qualified dividends currently are subject to the same tax rates as long-term capital gains, which are lower than rates for ordinary income. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for such reduced rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of our stock, including the Series A preferred stock.

You may be deemed to receive a taxable distribution without the receipt of any cash or property. Under Section 305(c) of the Code, holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock may be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as receiving constructive distributions if the "issue price" of the Series A preferred stock is lower than the redemption price of such Series A preferred stock. If the redemption price exceeds the issue price and, based on all the facts and circumstances as of the date of issuance, redemption pursuant to Brookfield DTLA's right to redeem is more likely than not to occur, then a holder of Series A preferred stock will be deemed to receive a series of constructive distributions of stock in the total amount of such excess, so long as the amount by which the redemption price exceeds the issue price is not de minimis. These constructive distributions will be deemed to be made to such holders in increasing amounts (on a constant-yield basis) during the period from the date of issuance to the date on which it is most likely that the Series A preferred stock will be redeemed, based on all of the facts and circumstances as of the issue date. In addition, constructive distributions could arise in other circumstances as well. In the event a holder of Series A preferred stock receives a constructive distribution, such holder may incur U.S. federal income tax liability with respect to such constructive distribution without receiving any corresponding distribution of cash with which to pay such taxes.

Applicable REIT laws may restrict certain business activities. As a REIT, we are subject to various restrictions on the types of income we can earn, assets we can own and activities in which we can engage. Business activities that could be impacted by applicable REIT laws include, but are not limited to, activities such as developing alternative uses of real estate, including the development and/or sale of properties. To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. In order to meet these tests, we may be required to forgo investments we might otherwise make. Thus, our compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our business and operating strategies, financial condition and results of operations.

We will participate in transactions and make tax calculations for which the ultimate tax determination may be uncertain. We will participate in many transactions and make tax calculations during the course of our business for which the ultimate tax determination will be uncertain. While we believe we maintain provisions for uncertain tax positions that appropriately reflect our risk, these provisions are made using estimates of the amounts expected to be paid based on a qualitative assessment of several factors. It is possible that liabilities associated with one or more transactions may exceed our provisions due to audits by, or litigation with, relevant taxing authorities which may materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

Lease Terms

Brookfield DTLA's properties are typically leased to high credit-rated tenants for terms ranging from five to ten years, although we also enter into some short-term as well as some longer-term leases. Our leases usually require the license of a minimum number of monthly parking spaces at the property and in many cases contain provisions permitting tenants to renew expiring leases at prevailing market rates. Most of our leases are either triple net or modified gross leases. Triple net and modified gross leases are those in which tenants pay not only base rent but also some or all real estate taxes and operating expenses of the leased property. Tenants typically reimburse us the full direct cost, without regard to a base year or expense stop, for use of lighting, heating and air conditioning during non-business hours, and for a certain number of parking spaces. We are generally responsible for structural repairs.

Historical Percentage Leased and Rental Rates

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized rent, and annualized rent per rentable square foot of executed leases at Brookfield DTLA's properties as of the dates indicated:

<u>.</u>	Percentage Leased	 Annualized Rent (1)	 Annualized Rent \$/RSF (2)
December 31, 2019	82.9%	\$ 168,904,581	\$ 26.87
December 31, 2018	86.3%	167,124,493	25.74
December 31, 2017	86.8%	163,123,792	24.98

Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under executed leases as of the date indicated. This amount reflects total base rent before any rent abatements as of the date indicated and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a fully gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2019 for the twelve months ending December 31, 2020 are approximately \$7.9 million, or \$1.25 per leased square foot. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2018 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 were approximately \$12.3 million, or \$1.89 per leased square foot. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2017 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2018 were approximately \$13.2 million, or \$2.03 per leased square foot.

⁽²⁾ Annualized rent per rentable square foot represents annualized rent as computed above, divided by leased square feet as of the same date.

Leasing Activity

The following table summarizes leasing activity at Brookfield DTLA's properties for the year ended December 31, 2019:

	Leasing Activity	Percentage Leased
Leased square feet as of December 31, 2018	6,493,480	86.3 %
Expirations	(950,567)	(12.5)%
New leases	207,575	2.7 %
Renewals	487,479	6.4 %
Remeasurement adjustments	48,170	<u> </u>
Leased square feet as of December 31, 2019	6,286,137	82.9 %

Property Statistics

The following table presents leasing information for executed leases at Brookfield DTLA's properties as of December 31, 2019:

				Square F	eet					
Property	Number of Buildings	Number of Tenants	Year Acquired/ Constructed	Net Building Rentable	% of Net Rentable	% Leased		Annualized Rent (1)		Annualized Rent \$/RSF (2)
BOA Plaza	1	31	2006	1,405,428	18.5%	92.4%	\$	33,692,530	\$	25.93
Wells Fargo Center–North Tower	1	39	2013	1,400,639	18.5%	87.5%		34,910,991		28.49
Gas Company Tower	1	31	2013	1,345,163	17.8%	86.7%		30,737,945		26.35
EY Plaza	1	45	2006	963,682	12.7%	77.3%		19,370,146		26.01
FIGat7th	1	33	2013	316,250	4.2%	89.6%		6,732,258		23.76
Wells Fargo Center–South Tower	1	20	2013	1,124,960	14.8%	68.8%		21,639,487		27.98
777 Tower	1	51	2013	1,024,835	13.5%	77.4%		21,821,224		27.51
	7	250		7,580,957	100.0%	82.9%	\$	168,904,581	s	26.87

Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under executed leases as of December 31, 2019. This amount reflects total base rent before any rent abatements as of December 31, 2019 and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a fully gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2019 for the twelve months ending December 31, 2020 are approximately \$7.9 million, or \$1.25 per leased square foot.

⁽²⁾ Annualized rent per rentable square foot represents annualized rent as computed above, divided by leased square feet as of December 31, 2019.

Tenant Information

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA's properties were leased to 250 tenants. The following table sets forth the annualized rent and leased square feet of our ten largest tenants as of December 31, 2019:

Tenant	 Annualized Rent (1)	% of Total Annualized Rent	Leased Square Feet	% of Total Leased Square Feet	Year of Expiry
1 Latham & Watkins LLP	\$ 10,987,092	6.5%	373,657	5.9%	Various
2 Southern California Gas Company	9,383,128	5.5%	405,848	6.5%	Various
3 The Capital Group Companies	9,277,853	5.5%	407,725	6.5%	Various
4 Wells Fargo Bank National Association	8,396,209	5.0%	339,221	5.4%	Various
5 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	8,070,972	4.8%	242,164	3.9%	Various
6 Bank of America N.A.	7,154,054	4.2%	209,544	3.3%	Various
7 Oaktree Capital Management, L.P.	6,191,602	3.7%	234,264	3.7%	Various
8 Sheppard, Mullin, Richter	3,765,160	2.2%	173,959	2.8%	2025
9 Sidley Austin (CA) LLP	3,467,521	2.1%	135,798	2.2%	2024
10 Ernst & Young U.S. LLP	3,440,514	2.0%	127,613	2.0%	2032
	\$ 70,134,105	41.5%	2,649,793	42.2%	

⁽¹⁾ Annualized rent is calculated as contractual base rent under executed leases as of December 31, 2019. For those leases where rent has not yet commenced, the first month in which rent is to be received is used to determine annualized rent.

The following table sets forth information regarding the lease expirations of our ten largest tenants as of December 31, 2019 (in thousands, except years):

		Leased Square Feet as of December 31,								
Tenant		2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Beyond	Year of Final Expiry	
1 Lathan	a & Watkins LLP	26	64	_	_	_	214	70	2031	
2 Southe	rn California Gas Company	28	_	_	_	_	_	378	2026	
3 The Ca	pital Group Companies	4	_	54	_	_	_	350	2033	
4 Wells	Fargo Bank National Association	33	_	_	306	_	_	_	2023	
5 Gibson	, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	_	27	_	_	_	_	215	2035	
6 Bank o	f America N.A.	_	_	_	_	_	_	209	2029	
7 Oaktre	e Capital Management, L.P.	_	26	_	_	_	_	208	2030	
8 Sheppa	rd, Mullin, Richter	_	_	_	_	_	174	_	2025	
9 Sidley	Austin (CA) LLP	_	_	_	_	136	_	_	2024	
10 Ernst &	Young U.S. LLP							128	2032	
Leased	square feet expiring by year	91	117	54	306	136	388	1,558		
Percer	tage of leased square feet expiring by year	1.4%	1.9%	0.8%	4.9%	2.2%	6.2%	24.8%		

On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., whose subsidiary is the lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower. See Part II, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Related Party Transactions."

Lease Expirations

The following table presents a summary of lease expirations at Brookfield DTLA's properties for executed leases as of December 31, 2019, plus currently available space, for future periods. This table assumes that none of our tenants will exercise renewal options or early termination rights, if any, at or prior to their scheduled expirations.

Year	Total Area in Square Feet Covered by Expiring Leases	Percentage of Leased Square Feet	Annualized Rent (1)	Percentage of Annualized Rent	Cu	rrent Rent per Leased Square Foot (2)		Rent per Leased Square Foot at Expiration (3)
2020	322,127	5.1%	\$ 8,965,802	5.3%	\$	27.83	\$	28.36
2021	393,281	6.3%	10,868,649	6.4%		27.64		28.74
2022	381,831	6.1%	10,488,708	6.2%		27.47		30.08
2023	874,675	13.9%	22,046,679	13.0%		25.21		27.97
2024	550,605	8.8%	15,287,695	9.0%		27.77		31.94
2025	773,636	12.3%	21,231,975	12.6%		27.44		33.05
2026	576,222	9.2%	14,115,432	8.4%		24.50		29.29
2027	194,603	3.1%	5,334,335	3.2%		27.41		35.32
2028	102,759	1.6%	3,017,796	1.8%		29.37		39.50
2029	298,185	4.7%	9,611,890	5.7%		32.23		43.15
Thereafter	1,818,213	28.9%	47,935,620	28.4%		26.36		40.50
Total expiring leases	6,286,137	100.0%	\$ 168,904,581	100.0%	\$	26.87	\$	34.02
Currently available	1,294,820						-	
Total rentable square feet	7,580,957							

Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under executed leases as of December 31, 2019. This amount reflects total base rent before any rent abatements as of December 31, 2019 and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a fully gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2019 for the twelve months ending December 31, 2020 are approximately \$7.9 million, or \$1.25 per leased square foot.

⁽²⁾ Current rent per leased square foot represents base rent for executed leases, divided by total leased square feet as of December 31, 2019.

⁽³⁾ Rent per leased square foot at expiration represents base rent, including any future rent steps, and thus represents the base rent that will be in place at lease expiration.

Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA's debt was comprised of loans secured by seven properties. A summary of our debt as of December 31, 2019 is as follows (in millions, except percentage amounts and years):

	 Principal Amount	Percent of Total Debt	Effective Interest Rate	Weighted Average Term to Maturity
Fixed-rate	\$ 908.5	41%	4.19%	3 years
Variable-rate swapped to fixed-rate	230.0	10%	3.88%	1 year
Variable-rate (1) (2)	1,070.8	49%	3.84%	2 years
	\$ 2,209.3	100%	3.99%	2 years

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$29.2 million is available under the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower mortgage loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, leasing commissions, and common area improvements.

See Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources" and Part II, Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7—Secured Debt, Net."

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries may be subject to pending legal proceedings and litigation incidental to its business. After consultation with legal counsel, management believes that any liability that may potentially result upon resolution of such matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or consolidated financial statements as a whole.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

⁽²⁾ As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$43.6 million is available under the 777 Tower mortgage and mezzanine loans that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

There is no established public trading market for the registrant's common stock.

Holders

All of the registrant's issued and outstanding shares of common stock (all of which are privately owned and are not traded on a public market) are held by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC.

Dividends

The registrant has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock in the past. Any future dividends declared would be at the discretion of Brookfield DTLA's board of directors and would depend on its financial condition, results of operations, contractual obligations and the terms of its financing agreements at the time a dividend is considered, and other relevant factors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following tables set forth selected consolidated operating and financial data on a historical basis for Brookfield DTLA and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto that appear in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

(In thousands)

		For	the Ye	ar Ended December	31,		
	 2019	2018		2017		2016	2015
Statement of Operations Data:							
Total revenue	\$ 317,845	\$ 315,680	\$	306,322	\$	310,692	\$ 299,090
Total expenses	367,203	360,337		343,959		348,859	339,444
Total other income (1)	22,697	_		_		_	_
Net loss	 (26,661)	(44,657)		(37,637)		(38,167)	(40,354)
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:							
Series A-1 preferred interest returns	17,213	17,306		17,213		17,213	17,213
Senior participating preferred interest returns	_	_		_		_	2,321
Senior participating preferred interest redemption measurement adjustments	(1,017)	1,482		479		2,428	6,625
Series B preferred interest returns	18,049	17,961		13,435		2,084	_
Series B common interest – allocation of net income (loss)	35,181	28,343		(45,699)		(41,055)	(44,521)
Net loss attributable to Brookfield DTLA	(96,087)	(109,749)		(23,065)		(18,837)	(21,992)
Series A preferred stock dividends	18,548	18,532		18,548		18,548	18,548
Net loss attributable to common interest holders of Brookfield DTLA	\$ (114,635)	\$ (128,281)	\$	(41,613)	\$	(37,385)	\$ (40,540)
Other Information:							
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 39,785	\$ 17,389	\$	31,786	\$	35,828	\$ 29,991
Net cash used in investing activities (2)	(127,775)	(90,065)		(74,696)		(57,350)	(58,061)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	41,208	110,941		20,030		4,341	(36,486)

⁽¹⁾ In 2019, Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA, entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture, which resulted in the derecognition of the assets of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property. The Company recognized a gain from derecognition of assets totaling \$24.8 million representing the difference between the amount of consideration measured and allocated to the assets and their carrying amount as part of other income in the 2019 consolidated statement of operations.

²⁾ In 2018, Brookfield DTLA adopted the guidance in Accounting Standards Update 2016-18, Restricted Cash, which requires entities to include restricted cash with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning and end of period total amounts shown in the statement of cash flows. Therefore, the change in restricted cash is no longer presented as a separate line item within cash flows from investing activities in the Company's consolidated statement of cash flows since such balances are now combined with cash and cash equivalents at both the beginning and end of the reporting period. We have retroactively restated the 2017, 2016 and 2015 consolidated statements of cash flows by reclassifying the decrease or (increase) in restricted cash of \$24.5 million, \$(6.3) million and \$(6.7) million, respectively, from cash flows used in investing activities to net change in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

	 As of December 31,								
	 2019		2018		2017		2016		2015
	(In thousands)								
Balance Sheet Data:									
Total assets	\$ 2,826,972	\$	2,795,658	\$	2,747,815	\$	2,769,959	\$	2,798,010
Secured debt, net	2,199,980		2,140,724		1,991,692		2,076,804		2,111,405
Mezzanine equity	1,054,223		1,015,889		990,749		829,532		726,595
Stockholders' deficit	(520,782)		(440,921)		(342,948)		(258,435)		(184,537)

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto that appear in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods presented. Actual results could ultimately differ from such estimates. Certain prior year balances have been reclassified or restated in order to conform to the current year presentation.

Overview and Background

Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. ("Brookfield DTLA" or the "Company") is a Maryland corporation and was incorporated on April 19, 2013. Brookfield DTLA was formed for the purpose of consummating the transactions contemplated in the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 24, 2013, as amended (the "Merger Agreement"), and the issuance of shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A preferred stock") in connection with the acquisition of MPG Office Trust, Inc. and MPG Office, L.P. (together, "MPG"). Brookfield DTLA is a direct subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("DTLA Holdings", and together with its affiliates excluding the Company and its subsidiaries, the "Manager"). DTLA Holdings is an indirect partially-owned subsidiary of Brookfield Property Partners L.P. ("BPY"), an exempted limited partnership under the Laws of Bermuda, which in turn is the flagship commercial property entity and the primary vehicle through which Brookfield Asset Management Inc. ("BAM"), a corporation under the Laws of Canada, invests in real estate on a global basis.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA owned Bank of America Plaza ("BOA Plaza"), EY Plaza, Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, Gas Company Tower and 777 Tower, which are Class A office properties, and FIGat7th, a retail center nestled between EY Plaza and 777 Tower, all of which are located in the Los Angeles Central Business District (the "LACBD").

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

On May 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC ("New OP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. (the "Property Owner"), the indirect property owner of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property, in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture. See Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4—Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture."

Brookfield DTLA receives its income primarily from lease income generated from the operations of its office and retail properties, and to a lesser extent, revenue from its parking garages.

Brookfield DTLA has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") pursuant to Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), commencing with its tax period ended December 31, 2013. Brookfield DTLA conducts and intends to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT. Accordingly, Brookfield DTLA is not subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that it continues to qualify as a REIT and makes distributions to its stockholders, if any, that generally equal or exceed its taxable income. Brookfield DTLA has elected to treat certain of its subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS"). A TRS is permitted to engage in activities that a REIT cannot engage in directly, such as performing non-customary services for the Company's tenants, holding assets that the Company cannot hold directly and conducting certain affiliate transactions. A TRS is subject to both federal and state income taxes.

Qualification and taxation as a REIT depends upon Brookfield DTLA's ability to meet the various qualification tests imposed under the Code related to annual operating results, asset diversification, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that Brookfield DTLA will be organized or be able to operate in a manner so as to continue to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT. If Brookfield DTLA fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal and state income taxes on our taxable income at regular corporate tax rates, and we may be ineligible to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Brookfield DTLA may be subject to certain state or local income taxes, or franchise taxes on its REIT activities. Brookfield DTLA's taxable income or loss is different than its financial statement income or loss.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Brookfield DTLA's business requires continued access to adequate cash to fund its liquidity needs. The amount of cash Brookfield DTLA currently generates from its operations is not sufficient to cover its operating, financing and investing activities, resulting in "negative cash burn," and there can be no assurance that the amount of Brookfield DTLA's negative cash burn will decrease, or that it will not increase, in the future. If Brookfield DTLA's operating cash flows and capital are not sufficient to cover its operating costs or to repay its indebtedness as it comes due, we may issue additional debt and/or equity, including to affiliates of Brookfield DTLA, which issuances could further adversely impact the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or other distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock. In many cases, such securities may be issued if authorized by the board of directors of Brookfield DTLA without the approval of holders of the Series A preferred stock. See "—Potential Uses of Liquidity—Property Operations" below.

Sources and Uses of Liquidity

Brookfield DTLA's potential liquidity sources and uses are, among others, as follows:

	Sources	Uses
•	Cash on hand;	Property operations;
•	Cash generated from operations;	 Capital expenditures and leasing costs;
•	Contributions from noncontrolling interests;	Payments in connection with debt; and
•	Other contributions; and	 Distributions to noncontrolling interests.
•	Proceeds from additional secured or unsecured debt financings.	

Potential Sources of Liquidity—

Cash on Hand—

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$34.0 million and \$80.4 million, respectively.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Cash Generated from Operations—

Brookfield DTLA's cash generated from operations is primarily dependent upon (1) the occupancy level of its portfolio, (2) the rental rates achieved on its leases, and (3) the collectability of rent and other amounts billed to its tenants. Net cash generated from operations is tied to the level of operating expenses, described below under "—Potential Uses of Liquidity."

Leasing activity and occupancy level. The following table summarizes leasing activity at Brookfield DTLA's properties for the year ended December 31, 2019:

	Leasing Activity	Percentage Leased
Leased square feet as of December 31, 2018	6,493,480	86.3 %
Expirations	(950,567)	(12.5)%
New leases	207,575	2.7 %
Renewals	487,479	6.4 %
Remeasurement adjustments	48,170	<u> </u>
Leased square feet as of December 31, 2019	6,286,137	82.9 %

Occupancy decreases in the LACBD during the year ended December 31, 2019 are directly attributable to the trend of right-sizing, mainly among legal and financial service tenants.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Rental rates. The following table presents leasing information for executed leases at Brookfield DTLA's properties as of December 31, 2019:

	Square	Feet				
Property	Net Building Rentable	% of Net Rentable	% Leased	Annualized Rent (1)		 Annualized Rent \$/RSF (2)
BOA Plaza	1,405,428	18.5%	92.4%	\$	33,692,530	\$ 25.93
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower	1,400,639	18.5%	87.5%		34,910,991	28.49
Gas Company Tower	1,345,163	17.8%	86.7%		30,737,945	26.35
EY Plaza	963,682	12.7%	77.3%		19,370,146	26.01
FIGat7th	316,250	4.2%	89.6%		6,732,258	23.76
Wells Fargo Center-South Tower	1,124,960	14.8%	68.8%		21,639,487	27.98
777 Tower	1,024,835	13.5%	77.4%		21,821,224	27.51
	7,580,957	100.0%	82.9%	\$	168,904,581	\$ 26.87

Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under executed leases as of December 31, 2019. This amount reflects total base rent before any rent abatements as of December 31, 2019 and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a fully gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2019 for the twelve months ending December 31, 2020 are approximately \$7.9 million, or \$1.25 per leased square foot.

Average asking net effective rents in the LACBD were essentially flat during the year ended December 31, 2019. Management believes that on average our current rents are at market in the LACBD.

⁽²⁾ Annualized rent per rentable square foot represents annualized rent as computed above, divided by leased square feet as of December 31, 2019.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table presents a summary of lease expirations at Brookfield DTLA's properties for executed leases as of December 31, 2019, plus currently available space, for future periods. This table assumes that none of our tenants will exercise renewal options or early termination rights, if any, at or prior to their scheduled expirations.

Year	Total Area in Square Feet Covered by Expiring Leases	Percentage of Leased Square Feet	Annualized Ann				Current Rent per Leased Square Foot (2)		Rent per Leased Square Foot at Expiration (3)
2020	322,127	5.1%	\$	8,965,802	5.3%	\$	27.83	\$	28.36
2021	393,281	6.3%	,	10,868,649	6.4%		27.64	•	28.74
2022	381,831	6.1%		10,488,708	6.2%		27.47		30.08
2023	874,675	13.9%		22,046,679	13.0%		25.21		27.97
2024	550,605	8.8%		15,287,695	9.0%		27.77		31.94
2025	773,636	12.3%		21,231,975	12.6%		27.44		33.05
2026	576,222	9.2%		14,115,432	8.4%		24.50		29.29
2027	194,603	3.1%		5,334,335	3.2%		27.41		35.32
2028	102,759	1.6%		3,017,796	1.8%		29.37		39.50
2029	298,185	4.7%		9,611,890	5.7%		32.23		43.15
Thereafter	1,818,213	28.9%		47,935,620	28.4%		26.36		40.50
Total expiring leases	6,286,137	100.0%	\$	168,904,581	100.0%	\$	26.87	\$	34.02
Currently available	1,294,820			 _					
Total rentable square feet	7,580,957								

⁽¹⁾ Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contractual rent under executed leases as of December 31, 2019. This amount reflects total base rent before any rent abatements as of December 31, 2019 and is shown on a net basis; thus, for any tenant under a partial gross lease, the expense stop, or under a fully gross lease, the current year operating expenses (which may be estimates as of such date), are subtracted from gross rent. Total abatements for executed leases as of December 31, 2019 for the twelve months ending December 31, 2020 are approximately \$7.9 million, or \$1.25 per leased square foot.

Collectability of amounts due from our tenants. Brookfield DTLA's lease income depends on collecting amounts, including rent and other contractual amounts, billed to its tenants, and in particular from its major tenants. In the event of tenant defaults, Brookfield DTLA may experience delays in enforcing its rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in pursuing legal possession of the tenant's space and recovery of any amounts due from the tenant. This is particularly true in the case of the bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant or where the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation is acting as receiver.

⁽²⁾ Current rent per leased square foot represents base rent for executed leases, divided by total leased square feet as of December 31, 2019.

⁽³⁾ Rent per leased square foot at expiration represents base rent, including any future rent steps, and thus represents the base rent that will be in place at lease expiration.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Contributions from Noncontrolling Interests—

At the time of the merger with MPG, DTLA Holdings made a commitment to contribute up to \$260.0 million in cash or property to New OP, which directly or indirectly owns the Brookfield DTLA properties, for which it will be entitled to receive a market rate of return determined at the time of contribution ("preferred return").

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company received cash contributions totaling \$40.7 million from DTLA Holdings under this commitment, which are entitled to a 9.0% preferred return. The Company used the funds for capital expenditures and leasing costs. As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, \$44.5 million is available to the Company under this commitment for future funding.

Other Contributions—

In addition to amounts received under the commitment described above, during the year ended December 31, 2019 the Company received contributions to additional paid-in capital totaling \$1.7 million from DTLA Holdings, which were used for general corporate purposes.

Proceeds from Additional Secured or Unsecured Debt Financings—

Wells Fargo Center-South Tower-

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company received \$2.6 million from the lender for approved leasing costs under the future advance portion of the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower mortgage loan.

As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$29.2 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs, including tenant improvements and inducements, leasing commissions, and common area improvements.

777 Tower—

On October 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA refinanced the mortgage loan secured by the 777 Tower office property and received net proceeds totaling approximately \$271.5 million, of which \$220.0 million was used to repay the loan that previously encumbered the property, with the remainder to be used for capital and tenant improvements at the Company's properties.

As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$43.6 million is available under the 777 Tower mortgage and mezzanine loans that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs, including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Potential Uses of Liquidity—

The following are the projected uses, and some of the potential uses, of cash in the near term.

Property Operations—

Brookfield DTLA's business requires continued access to adequate cash to fund its liquidity needs. The amount of cash Brookfield DTLA currently generates from its operations is not sufficient to cover its operating, financing and investing activities, resulting in "negative cash burn," and there can be no assurance that the amount of Brookfield DTLA's negative cash burn will decrease, or that it will not increase, in the future. Should the cash generated by Brookfield DTLA's properties not be sufficient to fund their operations, such cash would be provided by DTLA Holdings or another source of funds available to the Company or, if such cash were not made available, the Company might not have sufficient cash to funds its operations.

Capital Expenditures and Leasing Costs—

Capital expenditures fluctuate in any given period, subject to the nature, extent and timing of improvements required to maintain Brookfield DTLA's properties. Leasing costs also fluctuate in any given period, depending upon such factors as the type of property, the length and type of the lease, the involvement of external leasing agents and overall market conditions.

Brookfield DTLA expects that capital improvements and leasing activities at its properties will require material amounts of cash for at least several years. Brookfield DTLA projects spending approximately \$398 million over the next five years consisting of \$233 million for tenant improvements, \$85 million for capital expenditures and \$80 million for leasing costs. The expected capital improvements include, but are not limited to, renovations and physical capital upgrades to Brookfield DTLA's properties, upgrades to fire alarm, security and HVAC systems, and elevator upgrades.

As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, future advance amounts are available under the loans secured by the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower and 777 Tower office properties of \$29.2 million and \$43.6 million, respectively, that can be drawn to fund future approved leasing costs, including tenant improvements and inducements and leasing commissions, and, in the case of Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, common area improvements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Payments in	Connection with Debt—	
-------------	-----------------------	--

Wells Fargo Center-North Tower-

Brookfield DTLA currently intends to extend the debt secured by Wells Fargo Center–North Tower on its scheduled maturity in October 2020. The Company has three options to extend the maturity date of this debt, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity dates of both of the mezzanine loans are extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreements to extend these loans.

EY Tower—

Brookfield DTLA currently intends to refinance the debt secured by EY Plaza on or about its scheduled maturity in November 2020. There can be no assurance that the refinancing of this debt can be accomplished, what terms will be available in the market for this type of financing at the time of any refinancing, and whether a principal paydown will be needed when the debt is refinanced (based on market conditions.)

Distributions to Noncontrolling Interests—

During the year ended December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA made distributions to DTLA Holdings totaling \$20.6 million as preferred returns and \$34.5 million as a return of capital on the Series B preferred interest using cash on hand.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA's debt was comprised of loans secured by seven properties. A summary of our debt as of December 31, 2019 is as follows (in millions, except percentage amounts and years):

	 Principal Amount	Percent of Total Debt	Effective Interest Rate	Weighted Average Term to Maturity
Fixed-rate	\$ 908.5	41%	4.19%	3 years
Variable-rate swapped to fixed-rate	230.0	10%	3.88%	1 year
Variable-rate (1) (2)	1,070.8	49%	3.84%	2 years
	\$ 2,209.3	100%	3.99%	2 years

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$29.2 million is available under the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower mortgage loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, leasing commissions, and common area improvements.

⁽²⁾ As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, a future advance amount of \$43.6 million is available under the 777 Tower mortgage and mezzanine loans that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Certain information with respect to our indebtedness as of December 31, 2019 is as follows (in thousands, except percentage amounts and dates):

	Interest Rate	Contractual Maturity Date	Principal Amount	Annual Debt Service (1)		
Floating-Rate Debt						
Variable-Rate Loans:						
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower (2)	3.39%	10/9/2020	\$ 400,000	\$	13,748	
Wells Fargo Center–North Tower (3)	5.74%	10/9/2020	65,000		3,783	
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower (4)	6.74%	10/9/2020	35,000		2,392	
Wells Fargo Center–South Tower (5)	3.49%	11/4/2021	260,796		9,231	
777 Tower (6)	3.32%	10/31/2024	231,842		7,792	
777 Tower (7)	5.87%	10/31/2024	43,158		2,567	
EY Plaza (8)	6.24%	11/27/2020	35,000		2,215	
Total variable-rate loans			1,070,796		41,728	
Variable-Rate Swapped to Fixed-Rate Loan:						
EY Plaza (9)	3.88%	11/27/2020	230,000		9,047	
Total floating-rate debt			 1,300,796		50,775	
Fixed-Rate Debt						
BOA Plaza	4.05%	9/1/2024	400,000		16,425	
Gas Company Tower	3.47%	8/6/2021	319,000		11,232	
Gas Company Tower	6.50%	8/6/2021	131,000		8,633	
FIGat7th	3.88%	3/1/2023	58,500		2,301	
Total fixed-rate rate debt			 908,500		38,591	
Total secured debt			2,209,296	\$	89,366	
Less: unamortized debt financing costs			9,316	-		
Total secured debt, net			\$ 2,199,980			

⁽¹⁾ Annual debt service for variable-rate loans is calculated using the one-month LIBOR rate in place on the debt as of December 31, 2019 plus the contractual spreads per the loan agreements. Annual debt service for fixed-rate loans is calculated based on contractual interest rates per the loan agreements.

⁽²⁾ This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.65%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity dates of both of the mezzanine loans are extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend this loan.

⁽³⁾ This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.00%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity date of the other mezzanine loan is extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend the mortgage loan.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

- (4) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 5.00%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity date of the other mezzanine loan is extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019 and through the date of this report, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend the mortgage loan. On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in a company whose subsidiary is the lender of this loan. See "Related Party Transactions."
- (5) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.80%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.50%. Brookfield DTLA has two options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$29.2 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, leasing commissions, and common area improvements.
- (6) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.60%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.00%. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$36.8 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions. The Company can draw against this future advance amount as long as a pro rata draw is made against the mezzanine loan future advance amount.
- (7) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.15%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.00%. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$6.8 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions. The Company can draw against this future advance amount as long as a pro rata draw is made against the mortgage loan future advance amount.
- (8) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.55%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 3.50%.
- (9) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.65%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into interest rate swap contracts to hedge this loan, which effectively fix the LIBOR portion of the interest rate at 2.28%. The effective interest rate of 3.88% includes interest on the swaps.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Discussion of Results of Operations

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2018

Consolidated Statements of Operations Information (In millions, except percentage amounts)

			ear Ended iber 31,				
		2019	2018	-	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change	
Revenue:							
Lease income	\$	276.9	\$ 268.1	\$	8.8	3 %	
Parking		39.7	37.3		2.4	6 %	
Interest and other		1.2	10.3		(9.1)	(88)%	
Total revenue	<u> </u>	317.8	315.7		2.1	1 %	
Expenses:					_		
Rental property operating and maintenance		105.7	99.0		6.7	7 %	
Real estate taxes		37.7	40.0		(2.3)	(6)%	
Parking		10.4	10.2		0.2	2 %	
Other expense		9.0	9.9		(0.9)	(9)%	
Depreciation and amortization		105.5	96.2		9.3	10 %	
Interest		98.9	105.0		(6.1)	(6)%	
Total expenses		367.2	360.3		6.9	2 %	
Other Income:							
Gain from derecognition of assets		24.8	_		24.8		
Equity in loss of unconsolidated real estate joint venture		(2.1)	_		(2.1)		
Total other income		22.7	_		22.7		
Net loss	\$	(26.7)	\$ (44.6)	\$	17.9		

Lease Income

Lease income increased \$8.8 million, or 3%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, largely as a result of contractual rent increases and recoverability of higher operating expenses, partially offset by a 3.4% reduction in occupancy.

Interest and Other Revenue

Interest and other revenue decreased \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2019 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, primarily due to a \$9.3 million gain on the sale of artwork no longer on display at our Wells Fargo Center office properties due to renovation activities during 2018, for which there was no comparable activity during 2019.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Rental Property Operating and Maintenance Expense

Rental property operating and maintenance expense increased \$6.7 million, or 7%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, largely due to higher insurance, administrative and repair and maintenance costs.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$9.3 million, or 10%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, primarily due to increased investments in tenant improvements year over year.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$6.1 million, or 6%, for the year ended December 31, 2019 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, mainly due to lower LIBOR rates on our variable-rate debt that were partially offset by an increase in debt outstanding as a result of the refinancing of the 777 Tower mortgage loan in October 2019.

Gain from Derecognition of Assets

During the year ended December 31, 2019, New OP entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in the Property Owner, the indirect property owner of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property, in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture and recognized a \$24.8 million gain. See Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4—Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture."

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2018 to December 31, 2017

See Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Discussion of Results of Operations" in Brookfield DTLA's <u>Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2019</u> for a discussion of the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the year ended December 31, 2017.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Discussion of Consolidated Cash Flows

The following summary discussion of Brookfield DTLA's cash flows is based on the consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 8."Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" and is not meant to be an all-inclusive discussion of the changes in its cash flows for the periods presented below.

A summary of changes in Brookfield DTLA's cash flows is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year En		
	 2019	2018	Dollar Change
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 39,785	\$ 17,389	\$ 22,396
Net cash used in investing activities	(127,775)	(90,065)	(37,710)
Net cash provided by financing activities	41,208	110,941	(69,733)

Operating Activities

Brookfield DTLA's cash flows from operating activities are primarily dependent upon (1) the occupancy level of its portfolio, (2) the rental rates achieved on its leases, and (3) the collectability of rent and other amounts billed to tenants and is also tied to the level of operating expenses. Net cash provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2019 totaled \$39.8 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$17.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2018. The \$22.4 million increase in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to increases in working capital year over year.

Investing Activities

Brookfield DTLA's cash flows from investing activities are generally impacted by the amount of capital expenditures for its properties. Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$127.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$90.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2018. During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company spent \$59.1 million for tenant improvements at BOA Plaza, EY Plaza, 777 Tower and Wells Fargo Center–North Tower in connection with lease renewals by major tenants along with continued atrium renovations and elevator upgrades at Wells Fargo Center totaling \$37.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company spent \$21.3 million for tenant improvements at 777 Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower and Wells Fargo Center–North Tower in connection with lease renewals by major tenants along with continued the atrium renovations and elevator upgrades at Wells Fargo Center totaling \$23.8 million.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Financing Activities

Brookfield DTLA's cash flows from financing activities are generally impacted by its loan activity, and contributions from and distributions to its mezzanine equity holders, and distributions to its stockholders, if any. Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$41.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$110.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2018. Net proceeds from the refinancing of the mortgage loan secured by the 777 Tower office property and cash received from the lender for approved leasing costs under the future advance portion of the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower mortgage loan, partially offset by net distributions to the Series B and senior participating preferred interests, were the primary source of net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2019. Net proceeds from the refinancing of the Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, EY Plaza and FIGgat7th mortgage loans, partially offset by distributions to the Series B and senior participating preferred interests, were the main source of net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2018.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Brookfield DTLA did not have any off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements or obligations as of the date this report was filed, December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Contractual Obligations

The following table provides information with respect to Brookfield DTLA's commitments as of December 31, 2019, including any guaranteed or minimum commitments under contractual obligations (in thousands):

	 2020	 2021	 2022	 2023	 2024	 Thereafter	 Total
Principal payments on secured debt (1)	\$ 765,000	\$ 710,796	\$ _	\$ 58,500	\$ 675,000	\$ _	\$ 2,209,296
Interest payments –							
Fixed-rate debt (2)	38,697	30,590	18,726	16,803	11,025	_	115,841
Variable-rate swapped to fixed-rate debt	8,875	_	_	_	_	_	8,875
Variable-rate debt (3)	37,105	18,148	10,359	10,359	8,656	_	84,627
Tenant-related commitments (4)	23,608	2,700	5,407	1,081	931	1,634	35,361
Construction-related commitments (5)	 10,318						10,318
	\$ 883,603	\$ 762,234	\$ 34,492	\$ 86,743	\$ 695,612	\$ 1,634	\$ 2,464,318

⁽¹⁾ On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in a company whose subsidiary is the lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, which matures in October 2020. See "Related Party Transactions."

⁽²⁾ Interest payments on fixed-rate debt are calculated based on contractual interest rates and scheduled maturity dates.

Interest payments on variable-rate debt are calculated based on scheduled maturity dates and the one-month LIBOR rate in place on the debt as of December 31, 2019 plus the contractual spread per the loan agreements. Interest payments due to the related-party lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower total \$1.9 million during the year ending December 31, 2020.

⁽⁴⁾ Tenant-related commitments include tenant improvements and leasing commissions and are based on executed leases as of December 31, 2019. Tenant-related commitments due to the related-party lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower total \$623 thousand during the year ending December 31, 2020.

⁽⁵⁾ Construction-related commitments include amounts due to contractors related to the atrium renovation project at Wells Fargo Center based on executed contracts as of December 31, 2019.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Related Party Transactions

Management Agreements

Certain subsidiaries of Brookfield DTLA have entered into arrangements with the Manager, pursuant to which the Manager provides property management and various other services. Property management fees under the management agreements entered into in connection with these arrangements are calculated based on 2.75% of rents collected (as defined in the management agreements). In addition, the Company pays an asset management fee to BPY and BAM, which is calculated based on 0.75% of the capital invested by DTLA Holdings in Brookfield DTLA's properties. Leasing management fees paid to the Manager range from 1.00% to 4.00% of expected rents, depending on the terms of the lease and whether a third-party broker was paid a commission for the transaction. Construction management fees are paid to the Manager based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs. Development management fees are paid to the Manager and Brookfield affiliates by the unconsolidated real estate joint venture based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries under these arrangements is as follows (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,							
	 2019		2018		2017			
Property management fee expense	\$ 8,479	\$	8,111	\$	8,136			
Asset management fee expense	6,161		6,330		6,330			
Leasing and construction management fees	5,051		3,209		5,198			
Development management fees (1)	991		_		_			
General, administrative and reimbursable expenses	2,865		3,007		2,613			

⁽¹⁾ Amount presented is calculated by applying the Company's ownership interest percentage in the unconsolidated real estate joint venture as of period end to the amounts capitalized during the period. Amounts capitalized prior to May 31, 2019 (the date our wholly-owned interests in the Property Owner were transferred to the joint venture) are reported at 100%.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Insurance Agreements

Properties held by certain Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates are covered under insurance policies entered into by the Manager that provide, among other things, all risk property and business interruption coverage for BPY's commercial portfolio with an aggregate limit of \$2.5 billion per occurrence as well as an aggregate limit of \$437.5 million of earthquake insurance for California, and \$372.5 million of flood and weather catastrophe insurance. In addition, Brookfield DTLA's properties are covered by a terrorism insurance policy that provides a maximum of \$4.0 billion per occurrence for all of BPY's U.S. properties. Brookfield DTLA is in compliance with the contractual obligations regarding terrorism insurance contained in such policies. Insurance premiums for Brookfield DTLA's properties are paid by the Manager. Brookfield DTLA reimburses the Manager for the amount of fees and expenses related to such policies that have been allocated to the Company's properties as determined by the Manager in its reasonable discretion taking into consideration certain facts and circumstances, including the value of the Company's properties.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates under this arrangement is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,								
		2019	2018			2017			
Insurance expense	\$	9,286	\$	8,026	\$	7,795			

Other Related Party Transactions with BAM Affiliates

A summary of the impact of other related party transactions with BAM affiliates on the Company's consolidated statement of operations is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,								
		2019		2018		2017			
Lease income	\$	5,916	\$	1,928	\$		_		
Interest and other revenue		208		_			_		
Rental property operating and maintenance expense (1)		676		862			579		
Other expense		142		_			_		
Interest expense (2)		613		_			_		

⁽¹⁾ Amounts presented are for purchases of chilled water for air conditioning at one of the Company's properties.

⁽²⁾ On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., whose subsidiary is the lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower. Interest payable to the lender totals \$112 thousand as of December 31, 2019. See "Indebtedness."

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Litigation

See Part I, Item 3. "Legal Proceedings."

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both significant to the overall presentation of Brookfield DTLA's financial condition and results of operations and require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments. The Company considers the following to be its critical accounting policies:

Determination of Controlling Financial Interest

The Company consolidates entities in which it has a controlling financial interest. In determining whether Brookfield DTLA has a controlling financial interest in an entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, management considers factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity ("<u>VIE</u>") and Brookfield DTLA is the primary beneficiary.

A VIE is broadly defined as an entity where either (i) the equity investors as a group, if any, lack the power through voting or similar rights to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance or (ii) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support.

A variable interest holder is considered to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and has the obligation to absorb the losses of, or the right to receive benefits from, the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Brookfield DTLA qualitatively assesses whether it is (or is not) the primary beneficiary of a VIE.

Consideration of various factors includes, but is not limited to, Brookfield DTLA's ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, its form of ownership interest, its representation on the VIE's governing body, the size and seniority of its investment, its ability and the rights of other investors to participate in policy making decisions and its ability to replace the manager of and/or liquidate the entity. Brookfield DTLA is required to continually evaluate its VIE relationships and consolidation conclusion.

Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC. The Company earns a return through an indirect investment in New OP. DTLA Holdings, the parent of Brookfield DTLA, owns all of the common interest in New OP. Brookfield DTLA has an indirect preferred stock interest in New OP and its wholly owned subsidiary is the managing member of New OP. The Company determined that New OP is a VIE and as a result of having the power to direct the significant activities of New OP and exposure to the economic performance of New OP, Brookfield DTLA meets the two conditions for being the primary beneficiary.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture. New OP has noncontrolling interests in a joint venture with DTLA FP IV Holdings. The Company determined that the joint venture is a VIE mainly because its equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the joint venture's activities without additional subordinated financial support. While the joint venture meets the definition of a VIE, Brookfield DTLA is not its primary beneficiary as the Company lacks the power through voting or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the joint venture's economic performance. Therefore, the Company accounts for its ownership interest in the joint venture under the equity method.

Impairment Evaluation

Investments in real estate are reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the real estate may not be recoverable. In such an event, a comparison is made between (i) the current and projected operating cash flows of the property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis and (ii) the carrying amount of the property. If the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by a property are less than its carrying amount, an impairment provision would be recorded to write down the carrying amount of such property to its fair value. Brookfield DTLA assesses fair value based on estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Projections of future cash flows take into account the specific business plan for the property and management's best estimate of the most probable set of economic conditions expected to prevail in the market. Management believes no impairment of Brookfield DTLA's real estate properties existed at December 31, 2019 and 2018.

Recognition of Lease Income

Brookfield DTLA's lease income primarily represents revenue related to agreements for rental of our investments in real estate, subject to Accounting Standards Codification Topic 842, *Leases*. All of the leases in which the Company is the lessor are classified as operating leases. The Company's leases do not have guarantees of residual value of the underlying assets. We manage risk associated with the residual value of our leased assets by carefully selecting our tenants and monitoring their credit quality throughout their respective lease terms. Upon the expiration or termination of a lease, the Company often has the ability to re-lease the space with an existing tenant or to a new tenant within a reasonable amount of time.

The Company's lease income is comprised of variable payments including fixed and contingent rental payments and tenant recoveries. Fixed contractual payments from the Company's leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. This means that, with respect to a particular lease, actual amounts billed in accordance with the lease during any given period may be higher or lower than the amount of lease income recognized during the period. Straight-line rental revenue is commenced when the customer assumes control of the leased premises.

Certain leases with retail tenants also provide for the payment by the lessee of additional rent based on a percentage of the tenant's sales. Percentage rents are recognized as lease income only after the tenant sales thresholds have been achieved.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Tenant recoveries, including reimbursements of utilities, repairs and maintenance, common area expenses, real estate taxes and insurance, and other operating expenses, are recognized as lease income in the period when the applicable expenses are incurred and the tenant's obligation to reimburse us arises.

Some of the Company's leases have termination options that allow the tenant to terminate the lease prior to the end of the lease term under certain circumstances. Termination options generally become effective half way or further into the original lease term and require advance notification from the tenant and payment of a termination fee that reimburses the Company for a portion of the remaining rent under the original lease term and the undepreciated lease inception costs such as commissions, tenant improvements and lease incentives. Termination fees are recognized as lease income at the later of when the tenant has vacated the space or the lease has expired, a fully executed lease termination agreement has been delivered to the Company, the amount of the fee is determinable and collectability of the fee is reasonably assured.

In addition, under Topic 842, Brookfield DTLA must assess on an individual lease basis whether it is probable that the Company will collect the future lease payments throughout the lease term. The Company considers the tenant's payment history and current credit status when assessing collectability. If the collectability of the lease payments is probable at lease commencement, the Company recognizes lease income over the lease term on a straight-line basis. When collectability is not deemed probable at the commencement date, the Company's lease income is constrained to the lesser of (1) the income that would have been recognized if collection were probable, and (2) the lease payments that have been collected from the lessee. If the collectability assessment changes to probable after the commencement date, any difference between the lease income that would have been recognized if collectability had always been assessed as probable and the lease income recognized to date is recognized as a current-period adjustment to lease income. If the collectability assessment changes to not probable after the commencement date, lease income is reversed to the extent that the lease payments that have been collected from the lessee are less than the lease income recognized to date.

Effects of Inflation

Substantially all of Brookfield DTLA's office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations. In addition, many of the leases provide for fixed base rent increases. Brookfield DTLA believes that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Pronouncements Adopted in 2019

Please refer to <u>Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 3—Leases"</u> for a discussion of our adoption of Topic 842, *Leases*, on January 1, 2019.

In August 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2017-12, Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities. This update introduced amendments to Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, intended to make targeted improvements to simplify the application of the hedge accounting guidance in current GAAP. The objective of this update is to improve the financial reporting of hedging relationships to better portray the economic results of an entity's risk management activities in its financial statements. Brookfield DTLA adopted the guidance in ASU 2017-12 on January 1, 2019. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Brookfield DTLA's consolidated financial statements.

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-16, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Secured Overnight Financing Rate ("SOFR") Overnight Index Swap ("OIS") Rate as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes.* ASU 2018-16 amends Topic 815 by expanding the list of U.S. benchmark interest rates permitted in the application of hedge accounting by adding the OIS rate based on SOFR as an eligible benchmark interest rate. Brookfield DTLA adopted this update effective January 1, 2019. Upon adoption on January 1, 2019 and during the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company had no hedges based on SOFR, and hence, the adoption of this update did not have a material impact on Brookfield DTLA's consolidated financial statements. Should the Company issue variable interest rate debt in the future, including SOFR-based debt, and enter into related interest rate hedge agreements to manage the Company's exposure to variable interest rates, the Company will continue applying the interest rate hedge accounting policy that has been applied to the Company's interest rate hedge agreements based on LIBOR.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Accounting Pronouncements Effective January 1, 2020

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, to amend the accounting for credit losses for certain financial instruments. Under the new guidance, an entity recognizes its estimate of expected credit losses as an allowance, which the FASB believes will result in more timely recognition of such losses. In November 2018, the FASB released ASU 2018-19, Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses. This amendment clarifies that receivables arising from operating leases are not within the scope of Subtopic 326-20. Instead, impairment of receivables arising from operating leases should be accounted for in accordance with Subtopic 842-30, Leases—Lessor. ASU 2016-13 and ASU 2018-19 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted as of the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2018, including adoption in an interim period. The majority of the Company's receivables arise in the ordinary course of business under operating leases with its tenants and are therefore not subject to the guidance in Subtopic 326-20. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-13, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement. ASU 2018-13 amends Topic 820 by adding new fair value measurement disclosure requirements, as well as modifying and removing certain disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for any eliminated or modified disclosures. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-17, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Targeted Improvements to Related Party Guidance for Variable Interest Entities*, which amends the related-party guidance in Topic 810. Specifically, ASU 2018-17 removes a sentence in ASC 810-10-55-37D regarding the evaluation of fees paid to decision makers to conform with the amendments in ASU 2016-17. ASU 2018-17 is effective for interim and annual periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Subsequent Event

Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19")

Brookfield DTLA owns, operates and manages commercial office and retail properties in the LACBD and receives its income primarily from lease income generated from tenants of those properties. Our business may be vulnerable to damages from a number of sources, including major health issues and pandemics, such as COVID–19, commerce and travel, which may adversely affect trade and global and local economic conditions. Such adverse developments could include oversupply of or reduced demand for office and retail space; business layoffs; downsizings; relocations; increased telecommuting; or industry slowdowns affecting the tenants of our properties.

Tenants of our properties may experience a downturn in their business from the effects of COVID-19, which could cause the loss of tenants or weaken their financial condition and result in the tenants' inability to make lease payments when due or require rent concessions. In the event of a significant number of lease defaults and/or tenant bankruptcies, it may be difficult, costly and time consuming to attract new tenants and lease the space for the rent and on terms as favorable as the previous leases or at all. The loss of lease payments from tenants and costs of re-leasing would adversely affect our operating results and financial condition, and our cash flows may not be sufficient to meet all of our obligations and liabilities.

Given the ongoing and dynamic nature of the circumstances, it is difficult to predict the impact of the COVID–19 outbreak on our business. The extent of such impact will depend on future developments, which are highly uncertain and cannot be predicted, including new information that may emerge concerning the severity of COVID–19.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate fluctuations may impact Brookfield DTLA's results of operations and cash flows. As of December 31, 2019, \$1,070.8 million, or 49%, of Brookfield DTLA's debt bears interest at variable rates based on one-month LIBOR. Brookfield DTLA does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Brookfield DTLA's interest rate swap and cap contracts in place as of December 31, 2019 are as follows (in thousands, except rate and date information):

	Notional Value	Strike Rate	Effective Date	Expiration Date	 Fair Value
Interest rate swap	\$ 168,151	2.18%	11/27/2013	11/2/2020	\$ (763)
Interest rate swap	54,206	2.47%	3/29/2018	11/2/2020	(380)
Interest rate cap	400,000	4.25%	9/21/2018	10/15/2020	_
Interest rate cap	65,000	4.25%	9/21/2018	10/15/2020	_
Interest rate cap	35,000	4.25%	9/21/2018	10/15/2020	_
Interest rate cap	290,000	4.50%	11/5/2018	11/4/2020	_
Interest rate cap	268,600	4.00%	10/31/2019	11/10/2021	1
Interest rate cap	50,000	4.00%	10/31/2019	11/10/2021	_
Interest rate cap	35,000	3.50%	10/1/2019	11/27/2020	_
					\$ (1,142)

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The impact of an assumed 50 basis point movement in interest rates would have had the following impact on Brookfield DTLA's consolidated statements of operations and financial position during the year ended December 31, 2019 (in thousands):

			Fair V	alue of	lue of		
	 Interest Expense	Secured Debt			Interest Rate Swaps		
50 basis point increase	\$ 5,467	\$	(10,817)	\$	825		
50 basis point decrease	(5,467)		5,715		(830)		

The impact of a 50 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates would have an immaterial effect on the fair value of Brookfield DTLA's interest rate cap contracts as of December 31, 2019.

These amounts were determined considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on Brookfield DTLA's financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Furthermore, in the event of a change of the magnitude discussed above, management may take actions to further mitigate Brookfield DTLA's exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in Brookfield DTLA's financial structure.

Table of Contents

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Item 8.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	57
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2019 and 2018	58
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	60
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	61
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017	63
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	66
56	

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.:

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, NY

March 26, 2020

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2013.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

		2019		2018
ASSETS				
Investments in Real Estate:				
Land	\$	222,555	\$	227,555
Buildings and improvements		2,283,350		2,245,818
Tenant improvements		419,670		361,077
Investments in real estate, gross		2,925,575		2,834,450
Less: accumulated depreciation		466,405		418,205
Investments in real estate, net		2,459,170		2,416,245
Investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture		42,920		_
Cash and cash equivalents		33,964		80,421
Restricted cash		25,024		25,349
Rents, deferred rents and other receivables, net		138,010		151,509
Intangible assets, net		31,895		44,640
Deferred charges, net		68,290		67,731
Due from affiliates		18,359		_
Prepaid and other assets, net		9,340		9,763
Total assets	\$	2,826,972	\$	2,795,658
LIABILITIES AND DEFICIT				
Liabilities:				
Secured debt, net	\$	2,199,980	\$	2,140,724
Accounts payable and other liabilities		79,845		63,678
Due to affiliates		5,400		3,834
Intangible liabilities, net		8,306		12,454
Total liabilities		2,293,531		2,220,690
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 17)				

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (continued)

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	As of December 31,						
	2019			2018			
LIABILITIES AND DEFICIT (continued)							
Mezzanine Equity:							
7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 9,730,370 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2019 and 2018	\$	428,480	\$	409,932			
Noncontrolling Interests:							
Series A-1 preferred interest		418,029		400,816			
Senior participating preferred interest		22,362		23,443			
Series B preferred interest		185,352		181,698			
Total mezzanine equity		1,054,223		1,015,889			
Stockholders' Deficit:							
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2019 and 2018		_		_			
Additional paid-in capital		197,535		195,825			
Accumulated deficit		(499,793)		(385,158)			
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2,341)		(107)			
Noncontrolling interests		(216,183)		(251,481)			
Total stockholders' deficit		(520,782)		(440,921)			
Total liabilities and deficit	\$	2,826,972	\$	2,795,658			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2019	2018	2017			
Revenue:						
Lease income	\$ 276,895	\$ 268,133	\$ 262,207			
Parking	39,715	37,252	37,093			
Interest and other	1,235	10,295	7,022			
Total revenue	317,845	315,680	306,322			
Expenses:						
Rental property operating and maintenance	105,738	98,940	93,945			
Real estate taxes	37,657	40,013	37,758			
Parking	10,373	10,165	9,374			
Other expense	9,031	9,920	11,508			
Depreciation and amortization	105,529	96,264	97,808			
Interest	98,875	105,035	93,566			
Total expenses	367,203	360,337	343,959			
Other Income:						
Gain from derecognition of assets	24,777	_	_			
Equity in loss of unconsolidated real estate joint venture	(2,080)	_	_			
Total other income	22,697	_	_			
Net loss	(26,661)	(44,657)	(37,637)			
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:						
Series A-1 preferred interest returns	17,213	17,306	17,213			
Senior participating preferred interest redemption measurement adjustments	(1,017)	1,482	479			
Series B preferred interest returns	18,049	17,961	13,435			
Series B common interest – allocation of net income (loss)	35,181	28,343	(45,699)			
Net loss attributable to Brookfield DTLA	(96,087)	(109,749)	(23,065)			
Series A preferred stock dividends	18,548	18,532	18,548			
Net loss attributable to common interest holders of Brookfield DTLA	\$ (114,635)	\$ (128,281)	\$ (41,613)			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)

	 For the Year Ended December 31,						
	 2019	2018	2017				
Net loss	\$ (26,661)	\$ (44,657)	\$ (37,637)				
Other comprehensive (loss) income:							
Derivative transactions:							
Unrealized derivative holding (losses) gains	(2,117)	1,548	2,799				
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gain included in net loss	_	1,198	_				
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,117)	350	2,799				
Comprehensive loss	(28,778)	(44,307)	(34,838)				
Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	69,543	65,276	(13,107)				
Comprehensive loss attributable to common interest holders of	(00.051)	n (100 700)	(01 = 24)				
Brookfield DTLA	\$ (98,321)	\$ (109,583)	\$ (21,731)				

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	Number of Shares Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Non- controlling Interest	Total Stockholders' Deficit
Balance, December 31, 2016	1,000	\$ _	\$ 194,210	\$ (215,264)	\$ (1,607)	\$ (235,774)	\$ (258,435)
Net loss				(23,065)		(14,572)	(37,637)
Other comprehensive income					1,334	1,465	2,799
Contributions			_				_
Dividends, preferred returns and redemption measurement adjustments on mezzanine equity				(18,548)		(31,127)	(49,675)
Balance, December 31, 2017	1,000	_	194,210	(256,877)	(273)	(280,008)	(342,948)
Net (loss) income				(109,749)		65,092	(44,657)
Other comprehensive income					166	184	350
Contributions			1,615				1,615
Dividends, preferred returns and redemption measurement adjustments on mezzanine equity				(18,532)		(36,749)	(55,281)
Balance, December 31, 2018	1,000	_	195,825	(385,158)	(107)	(251,481)	(440,921)
Net (loss) income				(96,087)		69,426	(26,661)
Other comprehensive (loss) income					(2,234)	117	(2,117)
Contributions			1,710				1,710
Dividends, preferred returns and redemption measurement adjustments on mezzanine equity				(18,548)		(34,245)	(52,793)
Balance, December 31, 2019	1,000	\$ _	\$ 197,535	\$ (499,793)	\$ (2,341)	\$ (216,183)	\$ (520,782)

Net cash used in investing activities

BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2019	2018	2017				
Cash flows from operating activities:							
	\$ (26,661)	\$ (44,657)	\$ (37,637)				
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	(20,001)	(11,037)	(37,037)				
Depreciation and amortization	105,529	96,264	97,808				
Gain from derecognition of assets	(24,777)	_	_				
Equity in loss of unconsolidated real estate joint venture	2,080	_	_				
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts	165	190	(7)				
Amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases	(195)	222	(2,219)				
Straight-line rent amortization	(10,083)	(11,399)	(11,237)				
Amortization of tenant inducements	3,852	4,228	3,816				
Amortization of debt financing costs and discounts	5,264	9,565	6,400				
Unrealized loss on interest rate cap contracts	44	_	_				
Realized gain on interest rate swap contract	_	(1,198)	_				
Changes in assets and liabilities:							
Rents, deferred rents and other receivables, net	299	(12,179)	(3,850)				
Deferred charges, net	(8,497)	(22,209)	(15,336)				
Due from affiliates	(2,690)	_	_				
Prepaid and other assets, net	(570)	(82)	139				
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(5,541)	6,083	(3,037)				
Due to affiliates	1,566	(7,439)	(3,054)				
Net cash provided by operating activities	39,785	17,389	31,786				
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Expenditures for real estate improvements	(127,775)	(90,065)	(74,696)				

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

(127,775)

(90,065)

(74,696)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

(In thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
		2019		2018		2017	
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Proceeds from secured debt	\$	277,610	\$	1,081,686	\$	470,000	
Principal payments on secured debt		(220,000)		(931,831)		(554,028)	
Proceeds from Series B preferred interest		40,700		_		111,492	
Proceeds from senior participating preferred interest		538		_		520	
Distributions to Series B preferred interest		(20,574)		(26,554)		_	
Repurchases of Series B preferred interest		(34,521)		_		_	
Distributions to senior participating preferred interest		(602)		(3,587)		(470)	
Contributions to additional paid-in capital		1,710		1,615		_	
Purchase of interest rate cap contracts		(35)		_		_	
Debt financing costs paid		(3,618)		(10,388)		(7,484)	
Net cash provided by financing activities		41,208		110,941		20,030	
Net change in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash		(46,782)		38,265		(22,880)	
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year		105,770		67,505		90,385	
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year	\$	58,988	\$	105,770	\$	67,505	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:							
Cash paid for interest	\$	93,020	\$	96,074	\$	88,160	
Cash paid for income taxes		59		1,127		214	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

(In thousands)

For the Year Ended December 31, 2019 2018 2017 Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: \$ 25,616 Accrual for real estate improvements 33,812 \$ 17,179 Contribution of investments in real estate, net to unconsolidated real estate joint venture 20,139 (Decrease) increase in fair value of 1,548 2,799 interest rate swaps (2,117)Writeoff of fully depreciated investments in real estate 37,373 60,298 Writeoff of fully amortized intangible assets 40,077 68,990 Writeoff of fully amortized intangible liabilities 5,766 16,783

The following is a reconciliation of Brookfield DTLA's cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at the beginning and end of the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017:

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
	2019			2018		2017		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	\$	80,421	\$	31,958	\$	30,301		
Restricted cash at beginning of year		25,349		35,547		60,084		
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year	\$	105,770	\$	67,505	\$	90,385		
		<u> </u>		<u>-</u>		<u> </u>		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	33,964	\$	80,421	\$	31,958		
Restricted cash at end of year		25,024		25,349		35,547		
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year	\$	58,988	\$	105,770	\$	67,505		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1—Organization and Description of Business

Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. ("Brookfield DTLA" or the "Company") is a Maryland corporation and was incorporated on April 19, 2013. Brookfield DTLA was formed for the purpose of consummating the transactions contemplated in the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 24, 2013, as amended (the "Merger Agreement"), and the issuance of shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A preferred stock") in connection with the acquisition of MPG Office Trust, Inc. and MPG Office, L.P. (together, "MPG"). Brookfield DTLA is a direct subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("DTLA Holdings", and together with its affiliates excluding the Company and its subsidiaries, the "Manager"). DTLA Holdings is an indirect partially-owned subsidiary of Brookfield Property Partners L.P. ("BPY"), an exempted limited partnership under the Laws of Bermuda, which in turn is the flagship commercial property entity and the primary vehicle through which Brookfield Asset Management Inc. ("BAM"), a corporation under the Laws of Canada, invests in real estate on a global basis.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA owned Bank of America Plaza ("<u>BOA Plaza</u>"), EY Plaza, Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, Gas Company Tower and 777 Tower, which are Class A office properties, and FIGat7th, a retail center nestled between EY Plaza and 777 Tower, all of which are located in the Los Angeles Central Business District (the "LACBD").

On May 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC ("New OP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. (the "Property Owner"), the indirect property owner of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property, in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture with Brookfield DTLA FP IV Holdings, LLC ("DTLA FP IV Holdings"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of DTLA Holdings. See Note 4—"Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture."

Brookfield DTLA receives its income primarily from lease income generated from the operations of its office and retail properties, and to a lesser extent, revenue from its parking garages.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 2—Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

As used in these consolidated financial statements and related notes, unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Brookfield DTLA," the "Company," "us," "we" and "our" refer to Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 include the accounts of Brookfield DTLA and subsidiaries in which it has a controlling financial interest. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation as of and for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

Determination of Controlling Financial Interest

In determining whether Brookfield DTLA has a controlling financial interest in an entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, management considers factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity ("<u>VIE</u>") and Brookfield DTLA is the primary beneficiary.

A VIE is broadly defined as an entity where either (i) the equity investors as a group, if any, lack the power through voting or similar rights to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance or (ii) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support.

A variable interest holder is considered to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and has the obligation to absorb the losses of, or the right to receive benefits from, the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Brookfield DTLA qualitatively assesses whether it is (or is not) the primary beneficiary of a VIE.

Consideration of various factors includes, but is not limited to, Brookfield DTLA's ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, its form of ownership interest, its representation on the VIE's governing body, the size and seniority of its investment, its ability and the rights of other investors to participate in policy making decisions, and its ability to replace the manager of and/or liquidate the entity. Brookfield DTLA is required to continually evaluate its VIE relationships and consolidation conclusion.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC. The Company earns a return through an indirect investment in New OP. DTLA Holdings, the parent of Brookfield DTLA, owns all of the common interest in New OP. Brookfield DTLA has an indirect preferred stock interest in New OP and its wholly owned subsidiary is the managing member of New OP. The Company determined that New OP is a VIE and as a result of having the power to direct the significant activities of New OP and exposure to the economic performance of New OP, Brookfield DTLA meets the two conditions for being the primary beneficiary.

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture. New OP has noncontrolling interests in a joint venture with DTLA FP IV Holdings. The Company determined that the joint venture is a VIE mainly because its equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the joint venture's activities without additional subordinated financial support. While the joint venture meets the definition of a VIE, Brookfield DTLA is not its primary beneficiary as the Company lacks the power through voting or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the joint venture's economic performance. Therefore, the Company accounts for its ownership interest in the joint venture under the equity method.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods presented. For example, estimates and assumptions have been made with respect to the fair value of assets and liabilities for purposes of the contribution of its wholly-owned interests in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a joint venture, the useful lives of assets, recoverable amounts of receivables, impairment of long-lived assets and the fair value of debt. Actual results could ultimately differ from such estimates.

Restatements

In January 2018, Brookfield DTLA adopted, on a retrospective basis, the guidance in Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-18, Restricted Cash, which requires entities to include restricted cash with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning and end of period total amounts shown in the statement of cash flows. Therefore, the change in restricted cash is no longer presented as a separate line item within cash flows from investing activities in the Company's consolidated statement of cash flows since such balances are now combined with cash and cash equivalents at both the beginning and end of the reporting period. We have retroactively restated the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2017 by reclassifying the decrease in restricted cash of \$24.5 million from cash flows used in investing activities to net change in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Reclassifications

On January 1, 2019, Brookfield DTLA adopted Accounting Standards Codification ("<u>ASC</u>") Topic 842, *Leases*, using the modified retrospective transition method. Please refer to <u>Note 3—"Leases"</u> for a discussion of the reclassification of rental income and tenant reimbursements in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company reclassified asset management fees earned by BPY and BAM from rental property operating and maintenance expense to other expense in the consolidated statement of operations. Management does not include asset management fees as an input when evaluating the operating performance of Brookfield DTLA's properties and created a new category within other expense during 2018 to capture such fees. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company reported rental property operating and maintenance expense totaling \$100.3 million and other expense totaling \$5.2 million in the consolidated statement of operations. After the reclassification, rental property operating and maintenance expense now totals \$94.0 million and other expense now totals \$11.5 million in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017. This reclassification had no effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company also reclassified lease termination fees from interest and other income to rental income in the consolidated statement of operations in anticipation of adopting ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company reported interest and other income totaling \$10.3 million and rental income totaling \$162.4 million in the consolidated statement of operations. After the reclassification, interest and other income now totals \$7.0 million and rental income now totals \$165.7 million in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017. See Note 3—"Leases" for reconciliation of lease income reported for the year ended December 31, 2017 in the current year's consolidated statement of operations after the adoption of Topic 842. This reclassification had no effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Significant Accounting Policies

Investments in Real Estate. Net—

Land is carried at cost. Buildings are recorded at historical cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of 60 years. Building improvements are recorded at historical cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from 5 years to 25 years. Land improvements are combined with building improvements for financial reporting purposes and are carried at cost. Tenant improvements that are determined to be assets of Brookfield DTLA are recorded at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of their estimated useful life or the applicable lease term, with the related amortization reported as part of depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Depreciation expense related to investments in real estate during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 totaled \$85.6 million, \$75.7 million and \$73.6 million, respectively, and is reported as part of depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Investments in real estate are reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the real estate may not be recoverable. In such an event, a comparison is made between (i) the current and projected operating cash flows of the property into the foreseeable future on an undiscounted basis and (ii) the carrying amount of the property. If the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by a property are less than its carrying amount, an impairment provision would be recorded to write down the carrying amount of such property to its fair value. Brookfield DTLA assesses fair value based on estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Projections of future cash flows take into account the specific business plan for the property and management's best estimate of the most probable set of economic conditions expected to prevail in the market. Management believes no impairment of Brookfield DTLA's real estate properties existed at December 31, 2019 and 2018.

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture—

As discussed in Note 1—"Organization and Description of Business," on May 31, 2019 New OP entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in the Property Owner in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture with DTLA FP IV Holdings.

The liabilities of the joint venture may only be settled using the assets of 755 South Figueroa and are not recourse to the Company. Brookfield DTLA's exposure to its investment in the joint venture is limited to its investment balance and the Company has no obligation to future contributions to the joint venture. Pursuant to the operating agreement of the joint venture, DTLA FP IV Holdings may be required to fund additional amounts for the development of 755 South Figueroa, routine operating costs, and guaranties or commitments of the joint venture.

Our noncontrolling interests in the joint venture were initially recorded at the fair value of the assets contributed and have been adjusted to redemption value as of December 31, 2019. Adjustments to increase or decrease the carrying amount to redemption value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations as equity in loss of unconsolidated real estate joint venture.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—

Cash and cash equivalents include cash, deposits with major commercial banks, and short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Restricted Cash—

Restricted cash consists primarily of deposits for tenant improvements and leasing commissions, reserves for real estate taxes and insurance, and other items as required by certain of the Company's secured debt agreements.

Rents, Deferred Rents and Other Receivables, Net-

Deferred rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed in accordance with lease agreements. Rents, deferred rents and other receivables, net also includes amounts paid to a tenant for improvements owned or costs incurred by the tenant. Such amounts are treated as tenant inducements and are presented in the consolidated balance sheet net of accumulated amortization. Amortization of tenant inducements is recorded on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease as a reduction of lease income in the consolidated statement of operations. See Note 5—"Rents, Deferred Rents and Other Receivables, Net."

In addition, under Topic 842, Brookfield DTLA must assess on an individual lease basis whether it is probable that the Company will collect the future lease payments throughout the lease term. The Company considers the tenant's payment history and current credit status when assessing collectability. If the collectability of the lease payments is probable at lease commencement, the Company recognizes lease income over the lease term on a straight-line basis. When collectability is not deemed probable at the commencement date, the Company's lease income is constrained to the lesser of (1) the income that would have been recognized if collection were probable, and (2) the lease payments that have been collected from the lessee. If the collectability assessment changes to probable after the commencement date, any difference between the lease income that would have been recognized if collectability had always been assessed as probable and the lease income recognized to date is recognized as a current-period adjustment to lease income. If the collectability assessment changes to not probable after the commencement date, lease income is reversed to the extent that the lease payments that have been collected from the lessee are less than the lease income recognized to date. Changes to the collectability of operating leases are recorded as adjustments to lease income in the consolidated statement of operations.

During the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, Brookfield DTLA recorded provisions for doubtful accounts of \$165 thousand and \$190 thousand, and a recovery of doubtful accounts of \$7 thousand, respectively. The Company wrote off rents, deferred rents and other receivables totaling \$478 thousand during the year ended December 31, 2019.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Intangibles Assets and Liabilities, Net—

Brookfield DTLA evaluates each acquisition of real estate to determine whether the integrated set of assets and activities meets the definition of a business and needs to be accounted for as a business combination. An acquisition of an integrated set of assets and activities that does not meet the definition of a business is accounted for as an asset acquisition. For an acquisition of real estate that is accounting for as a business combination, the Company allocates the acquisition consideration (excluding acquisition costs) to the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, noncontrolling interests, and any previously existing ownership interests at fair value as of the acquisition date. Acquired assets include tangible real estate assets consisting primarily of land, buildings, and tenant improvements, as well as identifiable intangible assets and liabilities, including acquired above- and below-market leases, in-place leases and tenant relationships.

The principal valuation technique employed by Brookfield DTLA in determining the fair value of identified assets acquired and liabilities assumed is the income approach, which is then compared to the cost approach. Tangible values for investments in real estate are calculated based on replacement costs for like-type quality assets. Above- and below-market lease values are determined by comparing inplace rents with current market rents. In-place lease amounts are determined by calculating the potential lost revenue during the replacement of the current leases in place. Leasing commissions and legal/marketing fees are determined based upon market allowances pro-rated over the remaining lease terms. Loans assumed in an acquisition are analyzed using current market terms for similar debt.

The value of the acquired above- and below-market leases are amortized and recorded as either a decrease (in the case of above-market leases) or an increase (in the case of below-market leases) to lease income in the consolidated statement of operations over the remaining term of the associated lease. The value of tenant relationships is amortized over the expected term of the relationship, which includes an estimated probability of lease renewal. The value of in-place leases is amortized as an expense over the remaining life of the leases. Amortization of tenant relationships and in-place leases is included as part of depreciation and amortization in the consolidated statement of operations.

Deferred Charges, Net—

Deferred charges mainly include initial direct costs, primarily commissions related to the leasing of the Company's office properties, are presented as deferred charges in the consolidated balance sheet net of accumulated amortization totaling \$43.6 million and \$50.3 million as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

All leasing commissions paid for new or renewed leases are capitalized and deferred. Deferred leasing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial fixed terms of the related leases as part of depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statement of operations. Costs to negotiate or arrange a lease, regardless of its outcome, such as fixed employee compensation, tax or legal advice to negotiate lease terms, and lessor costs related to advertising or soliciting potential tenants, are expensed as incurred.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Due From/To Affiliates—

Amounts due from/to affiliates consist of related party receivables from and payables due to affiliates of BPY and BAM, primarily related to lease income and fees for property and asset management and other services. See Note 15—"Related Party Transactions."

Prepaid and Other Assets, Net-

Prepaid and other assets, net include prepaid insurance, real estate taxes and interest, fair value of derivative financial instruments and refundable deposits.

Secured Debt. Net—

Debt secured by our properties are presented in the consolidated balance sheet net of unamortized debt financing costs.

Debt financing costs and discounts totaling \$5.3 million, \$9.6 million, and \$6.4 million were amortized during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively, over the terms of the related loans using the effective interest method and are included as part of interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Any unamortized amounts remaining upon the early repayment of debt are written off, and the related costs and accumulated amortization are removed from the consolidated balance sheet.

Mezzanine Equity—

Mezzanine equity in the consolidated balance sheet is comprised of the Series A preferred stock, a Series A-1 preferred interest, a senior participating preferred interest, and a Series B preferred interest (collectively, the "<u>Preferred Interests</u>"). The Series A-1 preferred interest, senior participating preferred interest and Series B preferred interest are held by a noncontrolling interest holder. The Preferred Interests are classified in mezzanine equity because they are callable, and the holder of the Series A-1 preferred interest, senior participating preferred interest, Series B preferred interest, and some of the Series A preferred stock indirectly controls the ability to elect to redeem such instruments, through its controlling interest in the Company and its subsidiaries. There is no commitment or obligation on the part of Brookfield DTLA or DTLA Holdings to redeem the Preferred Interests.

The Preferred Interests included within mezzanine equity were recorded at fair value on the date of issuance and have been adjusted to the greater of their carrying amount or redemption value as of December 31, 2019 and 2018. Adjustments to increase or decrease the carrying amount to redemption value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations as redemption measurement adjustments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Revenue Recognition—

Lease Income—

Brookfield DTLA's lease income primarily represents revenue related to agreements for rental of our investments in real estate, subject to ASC Topic 842, *Leases*. All of the leases in which the Company is the lessor are classified as operating leases. The Company's leases do not have guarantees of residual value of the underlying assets. We manage risk associated with the residual value of our leased assets by carefully selecting our tenants and monitoring their credit quality throughout their respective lease terms. Upon the expiration or termination of a lease, the Company often has the ability to re-lease the space with an existing tenant or to a new tenant within a reasonable amount of time. None of our tenants accounted for more than 10% of our lease income for the year ended December 31, 2019.

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA has six Class A office properties and one retail center aggregating 7.6 million net building rentable square feet located in the LACBD. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the markets for office space, particularly in Southern California. Such adverse developments could include oversupply of or reduced demand for office space; declines in property values; business layoffs, downsizings, relocations or industry slowdowns affecting tenants of the Company's properties; changing demographics; increased telecommuting; terrorist targeting of or acts of war against high-rise structures; infrastructure quality; California state budgetary constraints and priorities; increases in real estate and other taxes; costs of complying with state, local and federal government regulations or increased regulation and other factors.

The Company's lease income is comprised of variable payments including fixed and contingent rental payments and tenant recoveries. Fixed contractual payments from the Company's leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. This means that, with respect to a particular lease, actual amounts billed in accordance with the lease during any given period may be higher or lower than the amount of lease income recognized during the period. Straight-line rental revenue is commenced when the customer assumes control of the leased premises. During the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, the Company recorded straight-line rental revenue totaling \$10.1 million, \$11.4 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, as part of lease income in the consolidated statements of operations.

Certain leases with retail tenants also provide for the payment by the lessee of additional rent based on a percentage of the tenant's sales. Percentage rents are recognized as lease income in the consolidated statement of operations only after the tenant sales thresholds have been achieved. See Note 16—"Future Minimum Base Rents."

Tenant recoveries, including reimbursements of utilities, repairs and maintenance, common area expenses, real estate taxes and insurance, and other operating expenses, are recognized as part of lease income in the consolidated statement of operations in the period when the applicable expenses are incurred and the tenant's obligation to reimburse us arises.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Some of the Company's leases have termination options that allow the tenant to terminate the lease prior to the end of the lease term under certain circumstances. Termination options generally become effective half way or further into the original lease term and require advance notification from the tenant and payment of a termination fee that reimburses the Company for a portion of the remaining rent under the original lease term and the undepreciated lease inception costs such as commissions, tenant improvements and lease incentives. Termination fees are recognized as part of lease income in the consolidated statement of operations at the later of when the tenant has vacated the space or the lease has expired, a fully executed lease termination agreement has been delivered to the Company, the amount of the fee is determinable and collectability of the fee is reasonably assured.

Parking Revenue—

Parking revenue is recognized in accordance with Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, when the services are provided and the performance obligations are satisfied, which normally occurs at a point in time.

Income Taxes—

Brookfield DTLA has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("<u>REIT</u>") pursuant to Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "<u>Code</u>"), commencing with its tax period ended December 31, 2013. Brookfield DTLA conducts and intends to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT. Accordingly, Brookfield DTLA is not subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that it continues to qualify as a REIT and makes distributions to its stockholders, if any, that generally equal or exceed its taxable income.

Brookfield DTLA has elected to treat certain of its subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("<u>TRS</u>"). A TRS is permitted to engage in activities that a REIT cannot engage in directly, such as performing non-customary services for the Company's tenants, holding assets that the Company cannot hold directly and conducting certain affiliate transactions. A TRS is subject to both federal and state income taxes. The Company's various TRS did not have significant tax provisions during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 or deferred income tax items for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA had net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") totaling \$290.2 million and \$281.5 million, respectively. The NOLs generated prior to January 1, 2018 will begin to expire in 2033, while NOLs generated in tax years beginning January 1, 2018 or later have an indefinite carryforward period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Uncertain Tax Positions—

Brookfield DTLA recognizes tax benefits from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits. Income tax positions must meet a more likely than not recognition threshold. Brookfield DTLA has no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits balance to change during the next 12 months. As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA's 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 tax years remain open under the normal statute of limitations and may be subject to examination by federal, state and local authorities.

Derivative Financial Instruments—

Brookfield DTLA uses interest rate swap and cap contracts to manage risk from fluctuations in interest rates. The Company believes these contracts are with counterparties who are creditworthy financial institutions.

At the inception of the contracts, Brookfield DTLA designates its interest rate swap contracts as cash flow hedges and documents the relationship of the hedge to the underlying transaction. Hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and throughout the life of the hedge to ensure the hedge qualifies for hedge accounting. Changes in fair value associated with hedge ineffectiveness, if any, are recorded as part of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. Changes in fair value of cash flow hedge derivative financial instruments are deferred and recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive loss in the consolidated statement of stockholders' deficit until the underlying transaction affects earnings. In the event that an anticipated transaction is no longer likely to occur, the Company recognizes the change in fair value of the derivative financial instrument in the consolidated statement of operations in the period the determination is made. Interest rate swap assets are included in prepaid and other assets, net and interest rate swap liabilities are included in accounts payable and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Additionally, Brookfield DTLA uses interest rate cap contracts to limit impact of changes in the LIBOR rate on certain of its debt. The Company does not use hedge accounting for these contracts, and as such, changes in fair value are recorded in the period of change as part of other expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

Other Financial Instruments—

Brookfield DTLA's other financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and accounts receivable. Management routinely assesses the financial strength of its tenants and, as a consequence, believes that its accounts receivable credit risk exposure is limited. Brookfield DTLA places its temporary cash investments with federally insured institutions. Cash balances with any one institution may at times be in excess of the federally insured limits.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Fair Value Measurements—

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or the price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and, in many cases, requires management to make a number of significant judgments. Based on the observable inputs used in the valuation techniques, Brookfield DTLA classifies its assets and liabilities measured and disclosed at fair value in accordance with a three-level hierarchy (i.e., Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3) established under ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements*. Brookfield DTLA records certain financial instruments, such as interest rate swap and cap contracts, at fair value on a recurring basis. Certain financial instruments, such as accounts receivable, are not carried at fair value each period but may require nonrecurring fair value adjustments due to write-downs of individual assets.

The fair value of Brookfield DTLA's derivative financial instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. These analyses reflect the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and use observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities, which management considers to be Level 2 inputs. The Company has incorporated credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own and the respective counterparty's non-performance risk in the fair value measurements. See Note 13—"Fair Value Measurements."

The Company estimates the fair value of its debt by calculating the credit-adjusted present value of principal and interest payments for each loan. The calculation incorporates observable market interest rates, which management considers to be Level 2 inputs, assumes that each loan will be outstanding until maturity, and excludes any options to extend the maturity date of the loan available per the terms of the loan agreement, if any. See Note 14—"Financial Instruments."

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Pronouncements Adopted in 2019

Please refer to Note 3—"Leases" for a discussion of our adoption of Topic 842, Leases, on January 1, 2019.

In August 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2017-12, *Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities*. This update introduced amendments to Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, intended to make targeted improvements to simplify the application of the hedge accounting guidance in current GAAP. The objective of this update is to improve the financial reporting of hedging relationships to better portray the economic results of an entity's risk management activities in its financial statements. Brookfield DTLA adopted the guidance in ASU 2017-12 on January 1, 2019. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Brookfield DTLA's consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-16, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Secured Overnight Financing Rate ("SOFR") Overnight Index Swap ("OIS") Rate as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes.* ASU 2018-16 amends Topic 815 by expanding the list of U.S. benchmark interest rates permitted in the application of hedge accounting by adding the OIS rate based on SOFR as an eligible benchmark interest rate. Brookfield DTLA adopted this update effective January 1, 2019. Upon adoption on January 1, 2019 and during the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company had no hedges based on SOFR, and hence, the adoption of this update did not have a material impact on Brookfield DTLA's consolidated financial statements. Should the Company issue variable interest rate debt in the future, including SOFR-based debt, and enter into related interest rate hedge agreements to manage the Company's exposure to variable interest rates, the Company will continue applying the interest rate hedge accounting policy that has been applied to the Company's interest rate hedge agreements based on LIBOR.

Accounting Pronouncements Effective January 1, 2020

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, to amend the accounting for credit losses for certain financial instruments. Under the new guidance, an entity recognizes its estimate of expected credit losses as an allowance, which the FASB believes will result in more timely recognition of such losses. In November 2018, the FASB released ASU 2018-19, Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses. This amendment clarifies that receivables arising from operating leases are not within the scope of Subtopic 326-20. Instead, impairment of receivables arising from operating leases should be accounted for in accordance with Subtopic 842-30, Leases—Lessor.

ASU 2016-13 and ASU 2018-19 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted as of the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2018, including adoption in an interim period. The majority of the Company's receivables arise in the ordinary course of business under operating leases with its tenants and are therefore not subject to the guidance in Subtopic 326-20. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-13, *Fair Value Measurement* (Topic 820): *Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement*. ASU 2018-13 amends Topic 820 by adding new fair value measurement disclosure requirements, as well as modifying and removing certain disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for any eliminated or modified disclosures. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-17, *Consolidation (*Topic 810): *Targeted Improvements to Related Party Guidance for Variable Interest Entities*, which amends the related-party guidance in Topic 810. Specifically, ASU 2018-17 removes a sentence in ASC 810-10-55-37D regarding the evaluation of fees paid to decision makers to conform with the amendments in ASU 2016-17. ASU 2018-17 is effective for interim and annual periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted. Brookfield DTLA does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Segment Reporting

Brookfield DTLA currently operates as one reportable segment, which includes the operation and management of its six commercial office properties and one retail property. Each of Brookfield DTLA's properties is considered a separate operating segment, as each property earns revenues and incurs expenses, individual operating results are reviewed and discrete financial information is available. Management does not distinguish or group Brookfield DTLA's consolidated operations based on geography, size or type. Brookfield DTLA's properties have similar economic characteristics and provide similar products and services to tenants. As a result, Brookfield DTLA's properties are aggregated into a single reportable segment.

Note 3—Leases

Brookfield DTLA's properties are leased to tenants under operating leases. The Company adopted Topic 842, *Leases*, on January 1, 2019 using the modified retrospective transition method. Information in this Note 3 with respect to our leases and lease-related costs and receivables is presented under Topic 842 as of December 31, 2019 and for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017. Topic 842 sets out the principles for recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases for both lessees and lessors. The primary impact of Topic 842 is the recognition of lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet by lessees for leases classified as operating leases. The accounting applied by lessors is largely unchanged. As of January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, the Company had no material ground leases or finance leases where the Company was a lessee and therefore did not record any right-of-use asset or liability in its consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2019.

On the date of adoption, Brookfield DTLA elected the package of practical expedients provided for in Topic 842, including:

- No reassessment of whether any expired or existing contracts were or contained leases;
- No reassessment of the lease classification for any expired or existing leases; and
- No reassessment of initial direct costs for any existing leases.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The package of practical expedients was made as a single election and was consistently applied to all existing leases as of January 1, 2019. The Company also elected the practical expedient provided to lessors in a subsequent amendment to Topic 842 that removed the requirement to separate lease and nonlease components, provided certain conditions were met.

Brookfield DTLA leases its office properties to lessees in exchange for payments from tenants comprised of monthly payments that cover rent, property taxes, insurance and certain cost recoveries. Payments from tenants for reimbursement are considered nonlease components that are separated from lease components and are generally accounted for in accordance with the revenue recognition standard. However, the Company qualified for and elected the practical expedient related to combining the components because the lease component is classified as an operating lease and the timing and pattern of transfer of tenant reimbursements, which is not the predominant component, is the same as the lease component. As such, consideration for tenant reimbursements is accounted for as part of the overall consideration in the lease. Lease income related to variable payments includes fixed and contingent rental payments and tenant recoveries. Such payments from customers are considered nonlease components of the lease and therefore no consideration is allocated to them because they do not transfer a good or service to the customer.

Parking revenue does not qualify for the single lease component practical expedient, discussed above, due to the difference in the timing and pattern of transfer of the Company's parking service obligations and associated lease components within the same lease agreement.

Topic 842 requires lessors to capitalize and amortize only incremental direct leasing costs. All leasing commissions paid in connection with new leases or lease renewals are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial fixed terms of the respective leases as part of depreciation and amortization in the consolidated statement of operations. Initial direct costs, primarily commissions, related to the leasing of our office properties are deferred and are presented as deferred charges in the consolidated balance sheet net of accumulated amortization totaling \$43.6 million and \$50.3 million as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Beginning January 1, 2019, any costs incurred by the Company to negotiate or arrange a lease regardless of its outcome, such as fixed employee compensation, tax or legal advice to negotiate lease terms, and lessor costs related to advertising or soliciting potential tenants are required to be expensed as incurred. During the year ended December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA had no indirect leasing costs that would have been capitalized prior to the adoption of Topic 842.

The election of the package of practical expedients described above permits the Company to continue to account for its leases that commenced before January 1, 2019 under the previous lease accounting guidance for the remainder of their lease terms, and to apply the new lease accounting guidance to leases commencing or modified after January 1, 2019. The Company recorded no net cumulative effect adjustment to the accumulated deficit in the consolidated balance sheet on January 1, 2019 as a result of the adoption of this guidance as there were no indirect leasing costs that were required to be written off.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Reclassification of Prior Period Presentation of Rental Income and Tenant Reimbursements

As described above, rental income and tenant reimbursements related to our operating leases for which Brookfield DTLA is the lessor qualified for the single component practical expedient and are classified as lease income in the consolidated statement of operations. Prior to the adoption of Topic 842, the Company reported rental income and tenant reimbursements separately in the consolidated statement of operations, in accordance with Topic 840. Upon adoption of the new lease accounting standard, the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 have been reclassified to conform to the new single component presentation of rental income and tenant reimbursements, classified within lease income in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

A reconciliation of the revenue line items that were reclassified in Brookfield DTLA's consolidated statement of operations to conform to the current period presentation pursuant to the adoption of Topic 842 and the election of the single component practical expedient is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
	2019			2018		2017		
Rental income (presentation prior to January 1, 2019)	\$	169,625	\$	162,203	\$	165,689		
Tenant reimbursements (presentation prior to January 1, 2019)		107,270		105,930		96,518		
Lease income (presentation effective January 1, 2019)	\$	276,895	\$	268,133	\$	262,207		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 4—Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Venture

On May 31, 2019, New OP entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in the Property Owner in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture with DTLA FP IV Holdings (the "Existing Agreement").

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized a gain from derecognition of assets in the consolidated statement of operations representing the difference between the amount of consideration measured and allocated to the assets and their carrying amount as follows (in thousands):

Consideration	\$	45,000
Investments in real estate, net	\$ 20,139	
Cash and cash equivalents	73	
Prepaid and other assets, net	11	
Carrying amount	 	20,223
Gain from derecognition of assets	\$	24,777

The consideration allocated to the assets contributed to the joint venture by New OP increased by \$9.8 million during the three months ended December 31, 2019 as a result of an amendment to the Existing Agreement. As of December 31, 2019, the Company's ownership interest in the joint venture was 55.8%.

Note 5—Rents, Deferred Rents and Other Receivables, Net

Brookfield DTLA's rents, deferred rents and other receivables are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	As of December 31,					
	2019			2018		
Straight-line and other deferred rents	\$	109,859	\$	115,445		
Tenant inducements receivable		33,304		42,642		
Other receivables		7,881		10,437		
Rents, deferred rents and other receivables, gross		151,044		168,524		
Less: accumulated amortization of tenant inducements		13,034		16,701		
allowance for doubtful accounts		_		314		
Rents, deferred rents and other receivables, net	\$	138,010	\$	151,509		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 6—Intangible Assets and Liabilities

Brookfield DTLA's intangible assets and liabilities are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2019			2018		
Intangible Assets		_				
In-place leases	\$	47,872	\$	66,365		
Tenant relationships		15,397		30,078		
Above-market leases		24,367		31,270		
Intangible assets, gross		87,636		127,713		
Less: accumulated amortization		55,741		83,073		
Intangible assets, net	\$	31,895	\$	44,640		
Intangible Liabilities						
Below-market leases	\$	53,795	\$	59,561		
Less: accumulated amortization		45,489		47,107		
Intangible liabilities, net	\$	8,306	\$	12,454		

The impact of the amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases, on lease income and of acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships on depreciation and amortization expense is as follows (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,							
	 2019		2018		2017			
		_		_				
Lease income	\$ 195	\$	(222)	\$	2,219			
Depreciation and amortization expense	8,792		9,642		13,527			

As of December 31, 2019, the estimate of the amortization/accretion of intangible assets and liabilities for future periods is as follows (in thousands):

	 In-Place Leases		Other Intangible Assets	 Intangible Liabilities
2020	\$ 4,879	\$	3,471	\$ 2,910
2021	3,922		2,775	2,282
2022	3,428		2,572	2,149
2023	2,001		2,209	641
2024	1,134		2,088	124
Thereafter	1,516		1,900	200
	\$ 16,880	\$	15,015	\$ 8,306

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 7—Secured Debt, Net

Brookfield DTLA's debt is as follows (in thousands, except dates and percentage amounts):

				oal Amount ecember 31,
	Contractual Maturity Date	Interest Rate	2019	2018
Floating-Rate Debt		_		
Variable-Rate Loans:				
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower (1)	10/9/2020	3.39%	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower (2)	10/9/2020	5.74%	65,000	65,000
Wells Fargo Center-North Tower (3)	10/9/2020	6.74%	35,000	35,000
Wells Fargo Center-South Tower (4)	11/4/2021	3.49%	260,796	258,186
777 Tower (5)	10/31/2024	3.32%	231,842	_
777 Tower (6)	10/31/2024	5.87%	43,158	_
EY Plaza (7)	11/27/2020	6.24%	35,000	35,000
Total variable-rate loans			1,070,796	793,186
Variable-Rate Swapped to Fixed-Rate Loan:				
EY Plaza (8)	11/27/2020	3.88%	230,000	230,000
Total floating-rate debt			1,300,796	1,023,186
Fixed-Rate Debt:				
BOA Plaza	9/1/2024	4.05%	400,000	400,000
Gas Company Tower	8/6/2021	3.47%	319,000	319,000
Gas Company Tower	8/6/2021	6.50%	131,000	131,000
FIGat7th	3/1/2023	3.88%	58,500	58,500
Total fixed-rate debt			908,500	908,500
Debt Refinanced:				
777 Tower			_	220,000
Total debt refinanced			_	220,000
Total secured debt			2,209,296	2,151,686
Less: unamortized debt financing costs			9,316	10,962
Total secured debt, net			\$ 2,199,980	\$ 2,140,724

This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.65%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity dates of both of the mezzanine loans are extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend this loan.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

- (2) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.00%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity date of the other mezzanine loan is extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend the mortgage loan.
- (3) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 5.00%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.25%. Brookfield DTLA has three options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity date of the other mezzanine loan is extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreement to extend the mortgage loan. On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in a company whose subsidiary is the lender of this loan. See Note 15—"Related Party Transactions."
- (4) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.80%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.50%. Brookfield DTLA has two options to extend the maturity date of this loan, each for a period of one year. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$29.2 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), including tenant improvements and inducements, leasing commissions, and common area improvements.
- (5) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.60%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.00%. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$36.8 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions. The Company can draw against this future advance amount as long as a pro rata draw is made against the mezzanine loan future advance amount.
- (6) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.15%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.00%. As of December 31, 2019, a future advance amount of \$6.8 million is available under this loan that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions. The Company can draw against this future advance amount as long as a pro rata draw is made against the mortgage loan future advance amount.
- (7) This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.55%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into an interest rate cap contract that limits the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 3.50%.
- This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.65%. As required by the loan agreement, we have entered into interest rate swap contracts to hedge this loan, which effectively fix the LIBOR portion of the interest rate at 2.28%. The effective interest rate of 3.88% includes interest on the swaps.

The weighted average interest rate of our debt was 3.99% and 4.34% as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively. As of December 31, 2019, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt was approximately two years.

Proceeds from Wells Fargo Center-South Tower Mortgage Loan

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company received \$2.6 million from the lender for approved leasing costs under the future advance portion of the Wells Fargo Center–South Tower mortgage loan.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Debt Refinanced

777 Tower—

On October 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA refinanced the mortgage loan secured by the 777 Tower office property and received net proceeds totaling approximately \$271.5 million, of which \$220.0 million was used to repay the loan that previously encumbered the property, with the remainder to be used for capital and tenant improvements at the Company's properties.

The new \$318.6 million loan is comprised of a \$268.6 million mortgage loan and a \$50.0 million mezzanine loan, each of which bears interest at variable rates equal to LIBOR plus 1.60% and 4.15%, respectively, requires the payment of interest-only until maturity, and matures on October 31, 2024.

On October 31, 2019, initial loan advances under the mortgage and mezzanine loans of \$231.8 million and \$43.2 million, respectively, were disbursed to the Company. As of December 31, 2019, maximum future advance amounts of \$36.8 million and \$6.8 million are available under the mortgage and mezzanine loans, respectively, that can be drawn to fund approved leasing costs (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), including tenant improvements and inducements, and leasing commissions. The Company can draw against the mortgage loan future advance amount as long as a pro rata draw is made against the mezzanine loan future advance amount.

The mortgage and mezzanine loans can be prepaid, in whole or in part, with prepayment fees (as defined in the underlying loan agreements), as long as the mezzanine loan is repaid on a pro rata basis with the mortgage loan, until November 10, 2020, after which the loans may be repaid without penalty.

Debt Maturities

As Brookfield DTLA's debt matures, principal payment obligations present significant future cash requirements. As of December 31, 2019, our debt to be repaid in future periods is as follows (in thousands):

2020	\$ 765,000
2021	710,796
2022	_
2023	58,500
2024	 675,000
	\$ 2,209,296

As of December 31, 2019, \$1,025.8 million of our debt may be prepaid without penalty, \$400.0 million may be defeased (as defined in the underlying loan agreement), \$725.0 million may be prepaid with prepayment penalties, and \$58.5 million is locked out from prepayment until March 1, 2020.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Wells Fargo Center-North Tower-

Brookfield DTLA currently intends to extend the debt secured by Wells Fargo Center–North Tower on its scheduled maturity in October 2020. The Company has three options to extend the maturity date of this debt, each for a period of one year, as long as the maturity dates of both of the mezzanine loans are extended when the maturity date of the mortgage loan is extended. As of December 31, 2019, we meet the criteria specified in the loan agreements to extend these loans.

EY Tower—

Brookfield DTLA currently intends to refinance the debt secured by EY Plaza on or about its scheduled maturity in November 2020. There can be no assurance that the refinancing of this debt can be accomplished, what terms will be available in the market for this type of financing at the time of any refinancing, and whether a principal paydown will be needed when the debt is refinanced (based on market conditions.)

Note 8—Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities

Brookfield DTLA's accounts payable and other liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

		As of December 31,						
		2018						
Tenant improvements and inducements payable	\$	29,140	\$ 27.	,862				
Unearned rent and tenant payables		23,817	17	,077				
Accrued capital expenditures and leasing commissions		18,205	9,	,844				
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		8,683	8.	,895				
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$	79,845	\$ 63.	,678				

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 9—Mezzanine Equity

Series A Preferred Stock

Brookfield DTLA is authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of Series A preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, 9,730,370 shares of Series A preferred stock were outstanding, of which 9,357,469 shares were issued to third parties and 372,901 shares were issued to DTLA Fund Holding Co., a subsidiary of DTLA Holdings.

No dividends were declared on the Series A preferred stock during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017. Dividends on the Series A preferred stock are cumulative, and therefore, will continue to accrue at an annual rate of \$1.90625 per share.

The Series A preferred stock does not have a stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption provisions. Upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the Series A preferred stock will rank senior to our common stock with respect to the payment of distributions. We may, at our option, redeem the Series A preferred stock, in whole or in part, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends on such Series A preferred stock up to and including the redemption date. The Series A preferred stock is not convertible into or exchangeable for any other property or securities of Brookfield DTLA.

As of December 31, 2019, the Series A preferred stock is reported at its redemption value of \$428.5 million calculated using the redemption price of \$25.00 per share plus \$185.2 million of accumulated and unpaid dividends on such Series A preferred stock through December 31, 2019.

Series A-1 Preferred Interest

The Series A-1 preferred interest is held by DTLA Holdings or wholly owned subsidiaries of DTLA Holdings. Interest on the Series A-1 preferred interest is cumulative and accrues at an annual rate of 7.625%.

The Series A-1 preferred interest has mirror rights to the Series A preferred interests issued by New OP, which are held by a wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA. Distributions will be made 47.66% to the common component of the Series A interest and 52.34% to the common component of the Series B interest, which is held by DTLA Holdings. The economic terms of the Series A preferred stock mirror those of the New OP Series A preferred interests, including distributions in respect of the preferred liquidation preference.

As of December 31, 2019, the Series A-1 preferred interest is reported at its redemption value of \$418.0 million calculated using its liquidation value of \$225.7 million plus \$192.3 million of unpaid interest on such Series A-1 preferred interest through December 31, 2019.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Senior Participating Preferred Interest

Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties III LLC ("<u>DTLA OP</u>") issued a senior participating preferred interest to DTLA Holdings in connection with the formation of Brookfield DTLA and the MPG acquisition. The senior participating preferred interest represents a 4.0% participating interest in the residual value of DTLA OP.

As of December 31, 2019, the senior participating preferred interest is reported at its redemption value of \$22.4 million using the value of the participating interest.

Series B Preferred Interest

At the time of the merger with MPG, DTLA Holdings made a commitment to contribute up to \$260.0 million in cash or property to New OP, which directly or indirectly owns the Brookfield DTLA properties, for which it will be entitled to receive a market rate of return determined at the time of contribution ("<u>preferred return</u>"). As of December 31, 2019, \$44.5 million is available to the Company under this commitment for future funding.

The Series B preferred interest in New OP held by DTLA Holdings is effectively senior to the interest in New OP held by Brookfield DTLA and has a priority on distributions senior to the equity securities of such subsidiaries held indirectly by Brookfield DTLA and, as a result, effectively rank senior to the Series A preferred stock. The Series B preferred interest in New OP may limit the amount of funds available to Brookfield DTLA for any purpose, including for dividends or other distributions to holders of its capital stock, including the Series A preferred stock.

As of December 31, 2019, the Series B preferred interest is reported at its redemption value of \$185.4 million calculated using its liquidation value of \$181.0 million plus \$4.4 million of unpaid preferred returns on such Series B preferred interest through December 31, 2019.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Change in Mezzanine Equity

A summary of the change in mezzanine equity is as follows (in thousands, except share amounts):

	Number of		Noncontrolling Interests																
	Shares of Series A Preferred Stock	 Series A Preferred Stock		Series A-1 Preferred Interest		Preferred		Preferred		Senior Participating Preferred Interest		Participating Preferred		Participating Preferred		Participating Preferred		Series B Preferred Interest	 Total Mezzanine Equity
Balance, December 31, 2016	9,730,370	\$ 372,852	\$	366,297	\$	25,019	\$	65,364	\$ 829,532										
Issuance of Series B preferred interest								111,492	111,492										
Dividends		18,548							18,548										
Preferred returns				17,213				13,435	30,648										
Redemption measurement adjustments						479			479										
Contributions from noncontrolling interests						520			520										
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(470)		_	(470)										
Balance, December 31, 2017	9,730,370	391,400		383,510		25,548		190,291	990,749										
Issuance of Series B preferred interest								_	_										
Dividends		18,532							18,532										
Preferred returns				17,306				17,961	35,267										
Redemption measurement adjustments						1,482			1,482										
Contributions from noncontrolling interests						_			_										
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(3,587)		(26,554)	(30,141)										
Balance, December 31, 2018	9,730,370	409,932		400,816		23,443		181,698	1,015,889										
Issuance of Series B preferred interest								40,700	40,700										
Dividends		18,548							18,548										
Preferred returns				17,213				18,049	35,262										
Redemption measurement adjustments						(1,017)			(1,017)										
Contributions from noncontrolling interests						538			538										
Repurchases of noncontrolling interests								(34,521)	(34,521)										
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(602)		(20,574)	(21,176)										
Balance, December 31, 2019	9,730,370	\$ 428,480	\$	418,029	\$	22,362	\$	185,352	\$ 1,054,223										

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company used the cash received from the issuance of the Series B preferred interest for capital expenditures and leasing costs while during the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company used the cash received to pay for costs associated with the refinancing of the Wells Fargo Center–North Tower mortgage loan, including a principal paydown and transaction costs, and for general corporate purposes. Contributions from noncontrolling interests were used for general corporate purposes. All distributions to and repurchases of noncontrolling interests were made using cash on hand.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 10—Stockholders' Deficit

Common Stock

Brookfield DTLA is authorized to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, 1,000 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. No dividends were declared on the Company's common stock during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

Brookfield DTLA has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock in the past. Any future dividends declared would be at the discretion of Brookfield DTLA's board of directors and would depend on its financial condition, results of operations, contractual obligations and the terms of its financing agreements at the time a dividend is considered, and other relevant factors.

Additional Paid-in Capital

During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, Brookfield DTLA received contributions to additional paid-in capital totaling \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, from DTLA Holdings, which were used for general corporate purposes.

Note 11—Noncontrolling Interests

Mezzanine Equity Component

The Series A-1 preferred interest, senior participating preferred interest and Series B preferred interest consist of equity interests of New OP, DTLA OP and New OP, respectively, which are owned directly by DTLA Holdings. These noncontrolling interests are presented as mezzanine equity in the consolidated balance sheet. See Note 9—"Mezzanine Equity."

Stockholders' Deficit Component

The Series B common interest ranks junior to the Series A preferred stock as to dividends and upon liquidation and is presented in the consolidated balance sheet as noncontrolling interest.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 12—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

A summary of the change in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to Brookfield DTLA's derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges is as follows (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,							
	 2019		2018		2017			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ (224)	\$	(574)	\$	(3,373)			
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(2,117)		1,548		2,799			
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	_		(1,198)		_			
Net current-year other comprehensive (loss) income	 (2,117)		350		2,799			
Balance at end of year	\$ (2,341)	\$	(224)	\$	(574)			

Note 13—Fair Value Measurements

Brookfield DTLA's (liabilities) assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall, are as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements Using						
	Total Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical (Liabilities) Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
Interest rate swaps at:								
December 31, 2019	\$ (1,143) \$	_	\$ (1,143)	\$				
December 31, 2018	974	_	974	_				
December 31, 2017	(574)	_	(574)	_				
	92							

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 14—Financial Instruments

Derivative Financial Instruments

A summary of the fair value of Brookfield DTLA's derivative financial instruments is as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31,						
	2	019	2018				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:							
Interest rate swap assets	\$	_	\$	974			
Interest rate swap liabilities		(1,143)		_			

A summary of the effect of derivative financial instruments reported in the consolidated financial statements is as follows (in thousands):

	Amount of (Loss) Gain Recognized in AOCL	Amount of Gain Reclassified from AOCL to Statement of Operations
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Interest rate swaps for the years ended:		
December 31, 2019	\$ (2,117)	\$
December 31, 2018	1,548	1,198
December 31, 2017	2,799	_

The gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2018 is included as part of interest and other revenue in the consolidated statement of operations.

Interest Rate Swaps—

As of December 31, 2019, Brookfield DTLA held the following interest rate swap contracts pursuant to the terms of the EY Plaza mortgage loan agreement (in thousands, except percentages and dates):

 Notional Amount	Swap Rate	LIBOR Spread	Effective Interest Rate	Expiration Date		
\$ 168,151	2.18%	1.65%	3.83%	11/2/2020		
54,206	2.47%	1.65%	4.12%	11/2/2020		
\$ 222,357	2.28%	1.65%	3.88%			
\$	\$ 168,151 54,206	Amount Rate \$ 168,151 2.18% 54,206 2.47%	Amount Rate Spread \$ 168,151 2.18% 1.65% 54,206 2.47% 1.65%	Amount Rate Spread Rate \$ 168,151 2.18% 1.65% 3.83% 54,206 2.47% 1.65% 4.12%		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Interest Rate Caps—

Brookfield DTLA holds interest rate cap contracts pursuant to the terms of certain of its debt agreements with the following notional amounts (in thousands):

	As of D	ecember 31,
	2019	2018
Wells Fargo Center–North Tower	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
Wells Fargo Center–North Tower	65,000	65,000
Wells Fargo Center–North Tower	35,000	35,000
Wells Fargo Center–South Tower	290,000	290,000
777 Tower	268,600	220,000
777 Tower	50,000	<u> </u>
EY Plaza	35,000	35,000
	\$ 1,143,600	\$ 1,045,000

As required by the 777 Tower mortgage and mezzanine loan agreements, on October 31, 2019 the Company entered into interest rate cap contracts with notional amounts totaling \$318.6 million that limit the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to 4.00%. The contracts expire on November 10, 2021.

Other Financial Instruments

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the carrying values of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, other receivables, other assets, accounts payable and other liabilities, and amounts due from or to affiliates approximate fair value.

The estimated fair value and carrying amount of Brookfield DTLA's secured debt is as follows (in thousands):

	 As of December 31	,
	 2019	2018
e	\$ 2,210,389 \$	2,142,813
	2,209,296	2,151,686

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 15—Related Party Transactions

Management Agreements

Certain subsidiaries of Brookfield DTLA have entered into arrangements with the Manager, pursuant to which the Manager provides property management and various other services. Property management fees under the management agreements entered into in connection with these arrangements are calculated based on 2.75% of rents collected (as defined in the management agreements). In addition, the Company pays an asset management fee to BPY and BAM, which is calculated based on 0.75% of the capital invested by DTLA Holdings in Brookfield DTLA's properties. Leasing management fees paid to the Manager range from 1.00% to 4.00% of expected rents, depending on the terms of the lease and whether a third-party broker was paid a commission for the transaction. Construction management fees are paid to the Manager based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs. Development management fees are paid to the Manager and Brookfield affiliates by the unconsolidated real estate joint venture based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries under these arrangements is as follows (in thousands):

		For the Year Ended December 31,											
			2018	2017									
Property management fee expense	\$	8,479	\$	8,111	\$	8,136							
Asset management fee expense		6,161		6,330		6,330							
Leasing and construction management fees		5,051		3,209		5,198							
Development management fees (1)		991		_		_							
General, administrative and reimbursable expenses		2,865		3,007		2,613							

Amount presented is calculated by applying the Company's ownership interest percentage in the unconsolidated real estate joint venture as of period end to the amounts capitalized during the period. Amounts capitalized prior to May 31, 2019 (the date our wholly-owned interests in the Property Owner were transferred to the joint venture) are reported at 100%.

Expenses incurred under these arrangements are included in rental property operating and maintenance expense in the consolidated statement of operations, with the exception of asset management fee expense which is included in other expense. Leasing management fees are capitalized as deferred charges, while construction management fees are capitalized as part of investments in real estate in the consolidated balance sheet.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Insurance Agreements

Properties held by certain Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates are covered under insurance policies entered into by the Manager that provide, among other things, all risk property and business interruption coverage for BPY's commercial portfolio with an aggregate limit of \$2.5 billion per occurrence as well as an aggregate limit of \$437.5 million of earthquake insurance for California, and \$372.5 million of flood and weather catastrophe insurance. In addition, Brookfield DTLA's properties are covered by a terrorism insurance policy that provides a maximum of \$4.0 billion per occurrence for all of BPY's U.S. properties. Brookfield DTLA is in compliance with the contractual obligations regarding terrorism insurance contained in such policies. Insurance premiums for Brookfield DTLA's properties are paid by the Manager. Brookfield DTLA reimburses the Manager for the amount of fees and expenses related to such policies that have been allocated to the Company's properties as determined by the Manager in its reasonable discretion taking into consideration certain facts and circumstances, including the value of the Company's properties.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates under this arrangement, which are included in rental property operating and maintenance expense in the consolidated statement of operations, is as follows (in thousands):

			For the Yea	r Ended December 31,			
	2019			2018	2017		
Insurance expense	\$	9,286	\$	8,026	\$	7,795	

Other Related Party Transactions with BAM Affiliates

A summary of the impact of other related party transactions with BAM affiliates on the Company's consolidated statement of operations is as follows (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,										
	 2019		2018		2017						
Lease income	\$ 5,916	\$	1,928	\$	_						
Interest and other revenue	208		_		_						
Rental property operating and maintenance expense (1)	676		862		579						
Other expense	142		_		_						
Interest expense (2)	613		_		_						

⁽¹⁾ Amounts presented are for purchases of chilled water for air conditioning at one of the Company's properties.

⁽²⁾ On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., whose subsidiary is the lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower. Interest payable to the lender totals \$112 thousand and is presented as part of accounts payable and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2019. See Note 7—"Secured Debt, Net."

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 16—Future Minimum Base Rents

Brookfield DTLA's properties are leased to tenants under net operating leases with initial expiration dates ranging from 2020 to 2035. As of December 31, 2019, the undiscounted cash flows for future minimum base rents to be received from tenants under executed noncancelable operating leases for future periods are as follows (in thousands):

2020	\$ 164,816
2021	165,161
2022	152,236
2023	139,245
2024	121,143
Thereafter	634,167
	\$ 1,376,768

The amounts shown in the table above do not include percentage rents. The Company recorded percentage rents totaling \$0.8 million, \$2.0 million and \$3.1 million as part of lease income in the consolidated statements of operations during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Note 17—Commitments and Contingencies

Concentration of Tenant Credit Risk

Brookfield DTLA generally does not require collateral or other security from its tenants, other than security deposits or letters of credit. Our credit risk is mitigated by the high quality of our existing tenant base, review of prospective tenants' risk profiles prior to lease execution, and frequent monitoring of our tenant portfolio to identify problem tenants. However, since we have a significant concentration of lease income from certain tenants, the inability of those tenants to make payments under their leases could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

A significant portion of Brookfield DTLA's lease income is generated by a small number of tenants. No tenant accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated lease income during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

Concentration of Property Revenue Risk

During the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, BOA Plaza, Wells Fargo Center–North Tower, Wells Fargo Center–South Tower, Gas Company Tower, EY Plaza and 777 Tower each contributed more than 10% of Brookfield DTLA's consolidated revenue. The revenue generated by these six properties totaled 96%, 98% and 100% of Brookfield DTLA's consolidated revenue during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Litigation

Brookfield DTLA and its subsidiaries may be subject to pending legal proceedings and litigation incidental to its business. After consultation with legal counsel, management believes that any liability that may potentially result upon resolution of such matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or consolidated financial statements as a whole.

Capital Commitments

As of December 31, 2019, the Company had \$35.4 million in tenant-related commitments, including tenant improvements and leasing commissions, which are based on executed leases. Additionally, we had \$10.3 million in construction-related commitments related to the atrium renovation project at Wells Fargo Center as of December 31, 2019.

Note 18—Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

	First Quarter Second Quarter					Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter		
)						
Year Ended December 31, 2019									
Total revenue	\$	76,207	\$	79,166	\$	79,612	\$	82,860	
Total expenses		89,540		90,383		90,815		96,465	
Total other income (loss) (1)		_		14,688		(29)		8,038	
Net (loss) income		(13,333)		3,471		(11,232)		(5,567)	
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:									
Series A-1 preferred interest returns		4,303		4,303		4,303		4,304	
Senior participating preferred interest redemption measurement adjustments		(572)		(179)		602		(868)	
Series B preferred interest returns		4,091		4,591		4,966		4,401	
Series B common interest – allocation of net loss		9,925		18,659		5,260		1,337	
Net loss attributable to Brookfield DTLA		(31,080)		(23,903)		(26,363)		(14,741)	
Series A preferred stock dividends		4,637		4,637		4,637		4,637	
Net loss attributable to common interest holders of Brookfield DTLA	\$	(35,717)	\$	(28,540)	\$	(31,000)	\$	(19,378)	

In May 2019, Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA, entered into an agreement to contribute and transfer all of its wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. in exchange for noncontrolling interests in a newly formed joint venture, which resulted in the derecognition of the assets of 755 South Figueroa, a residential development property. As a result of the derecognition of assets, the Company recognized a gain on representing the difference between the amount of consideration measured and allocated to the assets and their carrying amount as part of other income during the Second Quarter of 2019 consolidated statement of operations. The consideration allocated to the assets contributed to the joint venture by New OP increased by \$9.8 million during the Fourth Quarter of 2019 as a result of an amendment to the Existing Agreement, and an additional gain was recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as part of other income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

	 First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Year Ended December 31, 2018				
Total revenue	\$ 75,211	\$ 84,194	\$ 77,151	\$ 79,124
Total expenses	84,990	89,458	91,789	94,100
Net loss	 (9,779)	(5,264)	(14,638)	 (14,976)
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:				
Series A-1 preferred interest returns	4,303	4,303	4,303	4,397
Senior participating preferred interest redemption measurement adjustments	1,657	768	220	(1,163)
Series B preferred interest returns	3,879	3,921	3,965	6,196
Series B common interest – allocation of net (loss) income	(12,695)	(9,889)	(14,531)	65,458
Net loss attributable to Brookfield DTLA	(6,923)	(4,367)	(8,595)	(89,864)
Series A preferred stock dividends	4,637	4,637	4,637	4,621
Net loss attributable to common interest holders of Brookfield DTLA	\$ (11,560)	\$ (9,004)	\$ (13,232)	\$ (94,485)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 19—Investments in Real Estate

A summary of information related to Brookfield DTLA's investments in real estate as of December 31, 2019 is as follows (in thousands):

				al Cost mpany		Costs Ca Subsec Acqu	to			s Amount at W ed at Close of P			Year				
Los Angeles, CA		Encum- brances	Land	В	uildings and Improve- ments		Improve- ments		Carrying Costs	Buildings and g Improve- Land ments (1) Total (2)		Total (2)	Accum- ulated Depre- ciation (3)		Acquired or Con- structed (4)		
Wells Fargo Center— North Tower 333 S. Grand Avenue	\$	500,000	\$ 41,024	\$	455,741	\$	125,794	\$	-	\$	41,024	\$ 581,535	\$	622,559	\$	89,600	2013 A
BOA Plaza 333 S. Hope Street		400,000	54,163		343,976		78,322		_		54,163	422,298		476,461		103,525	2006 A
Wells Fargo Center— South Tower 355 S. Grand Avenue		260,796	21,231		398,238		75,237		_		21,231	473,475		494,706		59,288	2013 A
Gas Company Tower 525-555 W. Fifth Street		450,000	20,742		394,378		77,489		_		20,742	471,867		492,609		63,914	2013 A
EY Plaza 725 S. Figueroa Street		265,000	47,385		242,557		97,124		_		47,385	339,681		387,066		87,597	2006 A
777 Tower 777 S. Figueroa Street		275,000	38,010		296,964		41,113		_		38,010	338,077		376,087		43,560	2013 A
FIGat7th 735 S. Figueroa Street		58,500			44,743		31,344		_		_	76,087		76,087		18,921	2013 C
	\$	2,209,296	\$ 222,555	\$	2,176,597	\$	526,423	\$		\$	222,555	\$ 2,703,020	\$	2,925,575	\$	466,405	

⁽¹⁾ Land improvements are combined with building improvements for financial reporting purposes and are carried at cost.

The aggregate gross cost of Brookfield DTLA's investments in real estate for federal income tax purposes approximated \$2.7 billion as of December 31, 2019.

Depreciation in the consolidated statement of operations is computed on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives: buildings (60 years), building improvements (ranging from 5 years to 25 years), and tenant improvements (the shorter of the useful life or the applicable lease term).

⁽⁴⁾ Year represents either the year the property was acquired by the Company ("A") or the year the property was placed in service by the Company after construction was completed ("C").

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following is a reconciliation of Brookfield DTLA's investments in real estate (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
		2019		2018		2017	
Investments in Real Estate							
Balance at beginning of year	\$	2,834,450	\$	2,756,322	\$	2,740,773	
Additions during the year:							
Improvements		148,637		78,128		75,847	
Deductions during the year:							
Dispositions		20,139		_		_	
Other (1)		37,373		_		60,298	
Balance at end of year	\$	2,925,575	\$	2,834,450	\$	2,756,322	

⁽¹⁾ During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2017, the amount reported represents the cost of fully depreciated buildings and improvements and tenant improvements written off during the period.

The following is a reconciliation of Brookfield DTLA's accumulated depreciation on its investments in real estate (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,					
	 2019		2018		2017	
Accumulated Depreciation						
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 418,205	\$	342,465	\$	329,149	
Additions during the year:						
Depreciation expense	85,573		75,740		73,614	
Deductions during the year:						
Other (1)	37,373		_		60,298	
Balance at end of year	\$ 466,405	\$	418,205	\$	342,465	

¹⁾ During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2017, the amount reported represents the accumulated depreciation of fully depreciated buildings and improvements and tenant improvements written off during the period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Note 20—Subsequent Event

Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19")

Brookfield DTLA owns, operates and manages commercial office and retail properties in the LACBD and receives its income primarily from lease income generated from tenants of those properties. Our business may be vulnerable to damages from a number of sources, including major health issues and pandemics, such as COVID–19, commerce and travel, which may adversely affect trade and global and local economic conditions. Such adverse developments could include oversupply of or reduced demand for office and retail space; business layoffs; downsizings; relocations; increased telecommuting; or industry slowdowns affecting the tenants of our properties.

Tenants of our properties may experience a downturn in their business from the effects of COVID-19, which could cause the loss of tenants or weaken their financial condition and result in the tenants' inability to make lease payments when due or require rent concessions. In the event of a significant number of lease defaults and/or tenant bankruptcies, it may be difficult, costly and time consuming to attract new tenants and lease the space for the rent and on terms as favorable as the previous leases or at all. The loss of lease payments from tenants and costs of re-leasing would adversely affect our operating results and financial condition, and our cash flows may not be sufficient to meet all of our obligations and liabilities.

Given the ongoing and dynamic nature of the circumstances, it is difficult to predict the impact of the COVID–19 outbreak on our business. The extent of such impact will depend on future developments, which are highly uncertain and cannot be predicted, including new information that may emerge concerning the severity of COVID–19.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Brookfield DTLA maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), Brookfield DTLA carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Brookfield DTLA's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, G. Mark Brown, our principal executive officer, and Bryan D. Smith, our principal financial officer, concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of December 31, 2019.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)). Our management, including Messrs. Brown and Smith, evaluated the effectiveness of Brookfield DTLA's internal control over financial reporting using the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2019.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in Brookfield DTLA's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2019 that have materially affected, or that are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information about our Executive Officers

Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc., a Maryland Corporation ("Brookfield DTLA," the "Company." "us," "we" and "our"), does not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing its business. Brookfield DTLA is a direct subsidiary of Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("DTLA Holdings", and together with its affiliates excluding the Company and its subsidiaries, the "Manager"), manages our operations and activities, and it, together with the board of directors and officers, makes decisions on our behalf. Our executive officers are employed by the Manager and the Company does not directly or indirectly pay any compensation to them. The compensation of the executive officers is set by the Manager and the Company has no control over the determination of their compensation. Our executive officers participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by the Manager. The Company has not established any employee benefit plans or entered into any employment agreements with any of our executive officers. In determining the total compensation paid to the Company's executive officers, the Manager considers, among other things, its business, results of operations and financial condition taken as a whole.

Our current executive officers are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Executive Officer Since
G. Mark Brown	55	Chairman of the Board and Principal Executive Officer of Brookfield DTLA (also a Managing Partner in Brookfield Asset Management's real estate group)	2017
Bryan D. Smith	49	Chief Financial Officer of Brookfield DTLA (also a Senior Vice President in Brookfield Asset Management's real estate group)	2018

G. Mark Brown was appointed Chairman of the Board and Principal Executive Officer of Brookfield DTLA in 2017. He has served on the board of directors since the Company was formed in 2013. Mr. Brown is a Managing Partner in Brookfield Asset Management Inc. ("<u>BAM</u>")'s real estate group. He has been employed by the Manager since 2000, and has held various senior executive roles, including Global Chief Investment Officer. The board of directors appointed Mr. Brown as Chairman of the Board and Principal Executive Officer based on, among other factors, his knowledge of the Company and his experience in commercial real estate.

Bryan D. Smith was appointed Chief Financial Officer of Brookfield DTLA in August 2018. He has been employed by the Manager as Senior Vice President since March 2018. Prior to joining Brookfield, Mr. Smith was the Chief Financial Officer of US Real Estate at The Carlyle Group since June 2013. The board of directors appointed Mr. Smith as Chief Financial Officer based on, among other factors, his experience in finance and commercial real estate.

Table of Contents

Directors of the Registrant

Our current board of directors is as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Director Since
G. Mark Brown	55	Director (also Chairman of the Board and Principal Executive Officer of Brookfield DTLA, and a Managing Partner in Brookfield Asset Management's real estate group)	2013
Michelle L. Campbell	49	Director (also Senior Vice President, Secretary of Brookfield DTLA and Senior Vice President in Brookfield Asset Management's real estate group)	2014
Andrew Dakos	54	Director	2017
Murray Goldfarb	45	Director (also a Managing Partner in Brookfield Asset Management's real estate group)	2018
Phillip Goldstein	75	Director	2017
Ian Parker	55	Director (also Chief Operating Officer of Brookfield DTLA and Chief Operating Officer for Brookfield Properties in the Western US and Canada)	2017
Robert L. Stelzl	74	Director	2014

Messrs. Brown, Goldfarb and Parker and Ms. Campbell are employed by the Manager. The Manager manages the Company's operations and activities, and it, together with the board of directors and officers, makes decisions on the Company's behalf. Certain subsidiaries of the Company have entered into arrangements with the Manager, pursuant to which the Manager provides property management and various other services to the Company.

Pursuant to Brookfield DTLA's charter, holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock are entitled to elect two directors ("Preferred Directors") until the full payment (or setting aside for payment) of all dividends on the Series A preferred stock that are in arrears, as well as dividends for the then-current period. Messrs. Dakos and Goldstein are the incumbent Preferred Directors and will continue to serve on the board of directors until their successors are duly elected and qualified or, if earlier, until the full payment (or setting aside for payment) of all dividends on the Series A preferred stock that are in arrears, as well as dividends for the then-current period in accordance with Maryland law, the Company's charter and the Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, dated August 11, 2014 (the "Amended Bylaws").

G. Mark Brown has served on the board of directors since Brookfield DTLA was formed in 2013 and has served as Chairman of the Board and the Company's Principal Executive Officer since 2017. He is a Managing Partner in BAM's real estate group. He has been employed by the Manager since 2000 in various senior executive roles, including Global Chief Investment Officer. The board of directors nominated Mr. Brown to serve as a director based on, among other factors, his knowledge of the Company and his experience in commercial real estate.

Michelle L. Campbell has served on the board of directors since 2014 and has served as Senior Vice President and Secretary of Brookfield DTLA since 2016 and as Vice President and Secretary of the Company since it was formed in 2013. Ms. Campbell is a Senior Vice President in BAM's real estate group and has been employed by the Manager in various legal positions since 2007. The board of directors nominated Ms. Campbell to serve as a director based on, among other factors, her knowledge of the Company and her experience in legal matters and commercial real estate.

Andrew Dakos has served on the board of directors since December 2017, following his election at a Special Meeting of holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock. Mr. Dakos is a Principal of Bulldog Investors, LLC ("Bulldog Investors"), a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC")-registered investment adviser to certain private funds (currently being liquidated), separately-managed accounts and Special Opportunities Fund, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE")-listed registered closed-end investment company ("Special Opportunities Fund"). He co-manages Bulldog Investor's investment strategy. He also serves as President and Director of Special Opportunities Fund, CEO, President and Chairman of Swiss Helvetia Fund, Inc., Trustee of Crossroads Liquidating Trust, and President and Trustee of High Income Securities Fund.

Murray Goldfarb has served on the board of directors since August 2018. Mr. Goldfarb is a Managing Partner in BAM's real estate group. He has been employed by the Manager since 2012. The board of directors nominated Mr. Goldfarb to serve as a director based on, among other factors, his knowledge of the Company and its affiliates and his experience in legal matters and commercial real estate.

Phillip Goldstein has served on the board of directors since December 2017, following his election at a Special Meeting of holders of the Company's Series A preferred stock. Mr. Goldstein is a co-founder and Principal of Bulldog Investors, a SEC-registered investment adviser. He is the lead investment strategist for Bulldog Investors. He also serves as Chairman of The Mexico Equity and Income Fund, Inc., Secretary and Chairman of Special Opportunities Fund, Director of MVC Capital, Inc., Director of Swiss Helvetia Fund, Inc., Trustee of Crossroads Liquidating Trust, and Chairman and Trustee of High Income Securities Fund.

Ian Parker has served on the board of directors since 2017. Mr. Parker is Chief Operating Officer of Brookfield DTLA and is also Chief Operating Officer for Brookfield Properties in the Western US and Canada. He has been employed by the Manager in various senior operational roles since 2005. The board of directors nominated Mr. Parker to serve as a director based on, among other factors, his knowledge of the Company's affiliates and his experience in commercial real estate.

Robert L. Stelzl has served on the board of directors since 2014. Mr. Stelzl is a private real estate investor and investment manager. In 2003, he retired from Colony Capital, LLC, a global real estate private equity investor, after 14 years as a principal and member of the Investment Committee. The board of directors nominated Mr. Stelzl to serve as a director based on, among other factors, his experience in commercial real estate.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Amended Bylaws give the board of directors the flexibility to determine whether the roles of principal executive officer and Chairman of the Board should be held by the same person or two separate individuals. In connection with the listing of the Series A preferred stock on the NYSE, the board of directors determined that having one person serve as both principal executive officer and Chairman of the Board is in the best interest of the Company's stockholders. We believe this structure makes the best use of the principal executive officer's extensive knowledge of the Company and fosters real-time communication between management and the board of directors. Since 2017, Mr. Brown has served as Chairman of the Board and Principal Executive Officer of Brookfield DTLA.

The board of directors is actively involved in overseeing Brookfield DTLA's risk management. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the board of directors is responsible for assessing the major risks facing the Company and its business and approving and monitoring appropriate systems to manage those risks. Under its charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the Company's policies with respect to risk assessment and management, particularly financial risk exposure, and discussing with management the steps taken to monitor and control risks.

Changes to Nominating Procedures for Use by Security Holders

There were no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to the board of directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.

Board Governance Documents

The board of directors maintains a charter for its Audit Committee, has adopted written policies regarding the Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services Provided by the External Auditor and has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors has also adopted the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Personal Trading Policy of BAM, each applicable to the directors, officers and employees of BAM and its subsidiaries. The Company is an indirect subsidiary of BAM.

The Audit Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics are available free of charge on the Company's website at http://www.dtlaofficefund.com under the heading "Reports & Filings—Governance Documents" and are also available in print to any person who sends a written request to that effect to the attention of Michelle L. Campbell, Senior Vice President, Secretary, and Director, Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc., 250 Vesey Street, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10281.

We intend to disclose on the Company's website, http://www.dtlaofficefund.com, under "Reports & Filings—Governance Documents" any amendment to, or waiver of, any provisions of BAM's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to the directors and/or officers of the Company that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under the rules of the SEC or the NYSE.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee was established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Mr. Stelzl is currently Chairman of the Audit Committee and Mr. Dakos is a member of the Audit Committee. Each of Messrs. Stelzl and Dakos is an independent director. Mr. Stelzl has served on the Audit Committee since his election to the board of directors in 2014, and was also appointed as Chair of the Audit Committee in 2014. Mr. Dakos has served on the Audit Committee since March 2018. Based on his experience and expertise, the board of directors has determined that Mr. Stelzl is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC. The independent members of Brookfield DTLA's Audit Committee also satisfy the enhanced independence standards applicable to audit committees set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(i) under the Exchange Act.

Certifications

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 certifications of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer are filed as Exhibit 31.2, respectively, to this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Part IV, Item 15. "Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules."

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Brookfield DTLA does not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing its business. The Manager, through DTLA Holdings, manages our operations and activities, and it, together with the board of directors and officers, makes decisions on our behalf. Our executive officers are employed by the Manager and we do not directly or indirectly pay any compensation to them. The compensation of the executive officers is set by the Manager and we have no control over the determination of their compensation. Our executive officers participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by the Manager. We have not established any employee benefit plans or entered into employment agreements with any of our executive officers. In determining the total compensation paid to our executive officers, the Manager considers, among other things, its business, results of operations and financial condition taken as a whole.

Compensation of Directors

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by each of our independent directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019:

Name (1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (2)	Total (\$)	
(a)	(b)	(h)	
Andrew Dakos	65,000	65,000	
Phillip Goldstein	55,000	55,000	
Robert L. Stelzl	65,000	65,000	

⁽¹⁾ Each non-independent member of the board of directors does not receive any additional compensation from the Company for his or her services as a director.

Compensation Risk Assessment

Brookfield DTLA believes that the compensation policies and practices of the Company, and of the Manager with respect to the executive officers of the Company, appropriately balance risk in connection with the achievement of annual and long-term goals and that they do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking. Brookfield DTLA believes that the compensation policies and practices of the Company, and of the Manager with respect to the executive officers of the Company, are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

⁽²⁾ Amounts shown in Column (b) are those earned during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019 by independent members of the board of directors consisting of an annual retainer fee of \$55,000 and, in the case of Messrs. Dakos and Stelzl, an annual Audit Committee fee of \$10,000.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The board of directors of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) (§229.402(b)) with management; and based on the review and discussions referred to in paragraph (e) (5)(i)(A) of this Item, the board of directors recommended that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.

The Board of Directors

G. Mark Brown, Chairman
Michelle L. Campbell
Andrew Dakos
Murray Goldfarb
Phillip Goldstein
Ian Parker
Robert L. Stelzl

The information required by paragraph (e)(5) of this Item shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material," or to be "filed" with the Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C (17 CFR 240.14a-1 through 240.14b-2 or 240.14c-1 through 240.14c-101), other than as provided in this Item, or to the liabilities of section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), except to the extent that the registrant specifically requests that the information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Principal Stockholders

Common Stock

As of March 20, 2020, DTLA Holdings owns 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Brookfield DTLA's common stock.

Series A Preferred Stock

Based on the Company's review of all forms filed with the SEC by holders of the Series A preferred stock with respect to ownership of shares of Series A preferred stock and other information, as of March 20, 2020, set forth below is a table that shows how much of our Series A preferred stock was beneficially owned on March 20, 2020, by each person known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our Series A preferred stock. Please note that under U.S. securities laws, the Series A preferred stock is generally not considered voting stock and, therefore, persons beneficially owning more than 5% of our Series A preferred stock have no obligation to notify us or the SEC of their beneficial ownership of such Series A preferred stock. Consequently, there may be other holders of more than 5% of the Series A preferred stock that are not known to us.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (1)
(a)	(b)	(c)
Kawa Capital Management Inc. (2)	491,772	5.05%
21500 Biscayne Boulevard		
Suite 700		
Aventura, FL 33180		

- Under Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act, certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, a person shares the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of Series A preferred stock actually outstanding as of March 20, 2020.
- (2) Information regarding Kawa Capital Management Inc. ("Kawa") was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A, filed with the SEC by Kawa on February 14, 2020. Kawa reported that, at February 14, 2020, the following entity and natural person possessed shared power to vote, and shared power to direct the disposition of, the respective amount of shares that follow: Kawa-491,772; and Mr. Daniel Ades-491,772.

Security Ownership of our Directors and Executive Officers

Common Stock

As of March 20, 2020, none of Brookfield DTLA's current directors or current executive officers owns any shares of the Company's common stock.

Series A Preferred Stock

As of March 20, 2020, none of Brookfield DTLA's current directors or current executive officers owns any shares of the Company's Series A preferred stock.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions

Under Brookfield DTLA's Corporate Governance Guidelines, each director is required to inform the board of directors of any potential or actual conflicts, or what might appear to be a conflict of interest he or she may have with the Company. If a director has a personal interest in a matter before the board of directors or a committee, he or she must not participate in any vote on the matter except where the board of directors or the committee has expressly determined that it is appropriate for him or her to do so. Under BAM's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, officer and employee conflicts of interest are generally prohibited as a matter of Company policy.

Management Agreements

Certain subsidiaries of Brookfield DTLA have entered into arrangements with the Manager, pursuant to which the Manager provides property management and various other services. Property management fees under the management agreements entered into in connection with these arrangements are calculated based on 2.75% of rents collected (as defined in the management agreements). In addition, the Company pays an asset management fee to BPY and BAM, which is calculated based on 0.75% of the capital invested by DTLA Holdings in Brookfield DTLA's properties. Leasing management fees paid to the Manager range from 1.00% to 4.00% of expected rents, depending on the terms of the lease and whether a third-party broker was paid a commission for the transaction. Construction management fees are paid to the Manager based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs. Development management fees are paid to the Manager and Brookfield affiliates by the unconsolidated real estate joint venture based on 3.00% of hard and soft construction costs.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries under these arrangements is as follows (in thousands):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,					
	 2019		2018		2017	
Property management fee expense	\$ 8,479	\$	8,111	\$	8,136	
Asset management fee expense	6,161		6,330		6,330	
Leasing and construction management fees	5,051		3,209		5,198	
Development management fees (1)	991		_		_	
General, administrative and reimbursable expenses	2,865		3,007		2,613	

⁽¹⁾ Amount presented is calculated by applying the Company's ownership interest percentage in the unconsolidated real estate joint venture as of period end to the amounts capitalized during the period. Amounts capitalized prior to May 31, 2019 (the date our wholly-owned interests in Brookfield DTLA 4050/755 Inc. were transferred to the joint venture) are reported at 100%.

Insurance Agreements

Properties held by certain Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates are covered under insurance policies entered into by the Manager that provide, among other things, all risk property and business interruption coverage for BPY's commercial portfolio with an aggregate limit of \$2.5 billion per occurrence as well as an aggregate limit of \$437.5 million of earthquake insurance for California, and \$372.5 million of flood and weather catastrophe insurance. In addition, Brookfield DTLA's properties are covered by a terrorism insurance policy that provides a maximum of \$4.0 billion per occurrence for all of BPY's U.S. properties. Brookfield DTLA is in compliance with the contractual obligations regarding terrorism insurance contained in such policies. Insurance premiums for Brookfield DTLA's properties are paid by the Manager. Brookfield DTLA reimburses the Manager for the amount of fees and expenses related to such policies that have been allocated to the Company's properties as determined by the Manager in its reasonable discretion taking into consideration certain facts and circumstances, including the value of the Company's properties.

A summary of costs incurred by the applicable Brookfield DTLA subsidiaries and affiliates under this arrangement is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2019		2018		2017	
Insurance expense	\$	9,286	\$	8,026	\$	7,795

Other Related Party Transactions with BAM Affiliates

A summary of the impact of other related party transactions with BAM affiliates on the Company's consolidated statement of operations is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
		2019		2018		2017	
Lease income	\$	5,916	\$	1,928	\$		_
Interest and other revenue		208		_			_
Rental property operating and maintenance expense (1)		676		862			579
Other expense		142		<u> </u>			_
Interest expense (2)		613		<u> </u>			_

(1) Amounts presented are for purchases of chilled water for air conditioning at one of the Company's properties.

Director Independence

Because the Series A preferred stock is the only publicly listed security of the Company, Brookfield DTLA is a special entity as defined by the NYSE rules on corporate governance (the "NYSE Rules") and has chosen to rely on the NYSE Rules' "special entity exemption" with respect to certain independence requirements. Of the Company's seven directors, three are currently independent of management, DTLA Holdings and the Manager. The board of directors has adopted independence standards as part of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are also available in print to any person who sends a written request to that effect to the attention of our Secretary, as provided for above under the heading "—Board Governance Documents."

The independence standards contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines incorporate the categories of relationships between a director and a listed company that would make a director ineligible to be independent according to the standards issued by the NYSE.

In accordance with NYSE Rules and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, on March 24, 2020, the board of directors affirmatively determined that each of the following directors is and was independent within the meaning of both the Company's and the NYSE's director independence standards, as then in effect:

Robert L. Stelzl Andrew Dakos Phillip Goldstein

On September 30, 2019, BAM acquired a significant interest in Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., whose subsidiary is the lender of the \$35.0 million mezzanine loan due from Wells Fargo Center–North Tower. Interest payable to the lender totals \$112 thousand as of December 31, 2019. See Part III, Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7 "Secured Debt, Net."

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees billed to Brookfield DTLA for professional services rendered by its independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte"):

	 For the Year Ended December 31,				
Fees (1)	 2019				
Audit fees (2)	\$ 776,100	\$ 754,100			
Audit-related fees	_	_			
Tax fees	_	_			
All other fees	_	_			
	\$ 776,100	\$ 754,100			

⁽¹⁾ All services rendered for these fees were pre-approved in accordance with the Audit Committee's policy regarding the approval of audit and non-audit services provided by the external auditor.

Pre-approval Policies and Procedures of the Audit Committee

Brookfield DTLA has adopted written policies, which require the Audit Committee or the Chair of the Audit Committee to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services to be performed for the Company by Deloitte in accordance with applicable law. Any decisions of the Chair of the Audit Committee to pre-approve a permitted service (as defined in the policy) shall be reported to the Audit Committee at each of its regularly schedule meetings. The Audit Committee does not delegate to management its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by Deloitte. The pre-approval of audit and non-audit services may be given at any time up to a year before commencement of the specified service.

⁽²⁾ Audit fees consist of fees for professional services provided in connection with the audits of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements, audits of the Company's subsidiaries required for statute or otherwise, and the performance of interim reviews of the Company's quarterly unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
- 1. Financial Statements
 See Part II, Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."
- 2. Financial Statement Schedules for the Years Ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. See Part II, Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."
- 3. Exhibits (listed by number corresponding to Item 601 of Regulation S-K)

		Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.	S-4	333-189273	3.1	June 12, 2013	
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.	8-K	001-36135	3.2	August 14, 2014	
3.3	Articles of Incorporation of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc.	S-4	333-189273	3.3	June 12, 2013	
<u>3.4</u>	Bylaws of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc.	S-4	333-189273	3.4	June 12, 2013	
3.5	Articles of Amendment of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc.	S-4/A	333-189273	3.5	October 9, 2013	
4.1	Articles Supplementary of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	S-4/A	333-189273	4.1	August 27, 2013	
	Treated block	116				

		Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
<u>4.2</u>	Articles Supplementary of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc. 15% Series B Cumulative Nonvoting Preferred Stock	S-4/A	333-189273	4.2	August 27, 2013	
4.3	Articles Supplementary of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc. 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	S-4/A	333-189273	4.3	August 27, 2013	
<u>4.4</u>	Articles Supplementary of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc. 15% Series B Cumulative Nonvoting Preferred Stock	S-4/A	333-189273	4.4	August 27, 2013	
4.5	Form of Certificate of Series A Preferred Stock of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.	10-K	001-36135	4.1	April 8, 2014	
<u>10.1</u>	Form of Indemnification Agreement of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.	8-K	001-36135	10.1	November 4, 2013	
<u>10.2</u>	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC	8-K	001-36135	10.1	April 1, 2019	
10.3	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties III LLC	8-K	001-36135	10.2	April 1, 2019	
<u>10.4</u>	Loan Agreement dated as of February 6, 2018 by and between BOP FIGat7th LLC, as Borrower, and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, as Lender	8-K	001-36135	10.3	April 1, 2019	
		117				

		Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
10.5	Guaranty as of February 6, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC ("Guarantor") in favor of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("Lender")	10-K	001-36135	10.5	April 1, 2019	
10.6	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of March 29, 2018, by and among EYP Realty, LLC, as Borrower, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner, Landesbank Baden-Württemberg, New York Branch, as Documentation Agent and the Financial Institutions now or hereafter signatories hereto and their assignees pursuant to Section 13.12, as Lenders	8-K	001-36135	10.4	April 1, 2019	
<u>10.7</u>	Mezzanine Loan Agreement dated as of March 29, 2018 by and among EYP Mezzanine, LLC, as Borrower, and RVP Mezz Debt 1 LLC, as Lender	8-K	001-36135	10.5	April 1, 2019	
<u>10.8</u>	Mezzanine Limited Guaranty made as of March 29, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC ("Guarantor") in favor of RVP Mezz Debt 1 LLC ("Lender")	10-K	001-36135	10.8	April 1, 2019	
		118				

		Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
10.9	Loan Agreement dated as of September 21, 2018 among North Tower, LLC, as Borrower, the Financial Institutions party hereto and their Assignees under Section 18.15, as Lenders, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Natixis, New York Branch, as Joint Lead Arranger	8-K	001-36135	10.6	April 1, 2019	
<u>10.10</u>	Completion Guaranty dated September 21, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC (the "Guarantor") in favor of Citibank, N.A. (the "Administrative Agent") and each of the Lenders	10-K	001-36135	10.10	April 1, 2019	
<u>10.11</u>	Limited Recourse Guaranty dated September 21, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC (the "Guarantor") in favor of Citibank, N.A. (the "Administrative Agent") and each of the Lenders	10-K	001-36135	10.11	April 1, 2019	
10.12	Unfunded Obligations Guaranty dated September 21, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC (the "Guarantor") in favor of Citibank, N.A. (the "Administrative Agent") and each of the Lenders	10-K	001-36135	10.12	April 1, 2019	
10.13	Mezzanine A Loan Agreement dated as of September 21, 2018 between North Tower Mezzanine, LLC, as Borrower, and Mirae Asset Daewoo Co., Ltd., as Lender	8-K	001-36135	10.7	April 1, 2019	

Incorporated by Reference Exhibit No. **Exhibit Description** Form File No. Exhibit No. Filing Date 8-K 10.14 Mezzanine B Loan 001-36135 10.8 April 1, 2019 Agreement dated as of September 21, 2018 between North Tower Mezzanine II, LLC, as Borrower, and Citi Global Markets Realty Corp., as Lender 10.15 10-K 001-36135 10.7 Loan Agreement dated March 20, 2017 as of July 11, 2016 between Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth, LLC and Maguire Properties -350 S. Figueroa, LLC, collectively, as Borrower, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch and Barclays Bank PLC, collectively, as Lender 10.16 10-K 10.8 Mezzanine Loan 001-36135 March 20, 2017 Agreement dated as of July 11, 2016 between Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth Mezz I, LLC, as Borrower, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch and Barclays Bank PLC, collectively, as Lender 10.17 Guaranty of Recourse 10-K 001-36135 10.9 March 20, 2017 Obligations executed as of July 11, 2016 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, as Guarantor, for the benefit of Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch and of Barclays Bank PLC, collectively as Lender

		Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
10.18	Mezzanine Guaranty of Recourse Obligations executed as of July 11, 2016 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, as Guarantor, for the benefit of Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch and of Barclays Bank PLC, collectively as Lender	10-K	001-36135	10.10	March 20, 2017	
10.19	Loan Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2019, by and among Maguire Properties – 777 Tower LLC, as Borrower, each of the financial institutions initially a signatory hereto together with their assignees, as Lenders, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo Securities LLC, as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner	8-K	001-36135	10.1	March 26, 2020	
10.20	Limited Guaranty, made as of October 31, 2019, by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, as Guarantor, in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders, and each of the Lenders party to the Loan Agreement	8-K	001-36135	10.2	March 26, 2020	
10.21	Mezzanine Loan Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2019, by and among, 777 Tower Mezzanine, LLC, as Borrower, and Mesa West Core Lending Fund, LLC, as Lender	8-K	001-36135	10.3	March 26, 2020	

		Incorporated by Reference						
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date			
10.22	Mezzanine Limited Guaranty, made as of October 31, 2019, by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC, as Guarantor, in favor of Mesa West Core Lending Fund, LLC, as Lender	8-K	001-36135	10.4	March 26, 2020			
10.23	Loan Agreement dated as of November 5, 2018 by and among Maguire Properties–355 S. Grand, LLC, as Borrower, Landesbank Hessen-Thürigen Girozentrale, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, Barclays Bank PLC, as Syndication Agent, Landesbank Hessen-Thürigen Girozentrale, Barclays Bank PLC and Natixis, New York Branch, as Joint Lead Arrangers. Landesbank Hessen-Thürigen Girozentrale as Hedge Coordinator, and the Financial Institutions now or hereafter signatories hereto and their assignees, as Lenders	8-K	001-36135	10.9	April 1, 2019			
10.24	Limited Guaranty made as of November 5, 2018 by Brookfield DTLA Holdings LLC ("Guarantor") in favor of Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders (together with its successors and assigns, "Administrative Agent") and each of the Lenders party to the Loan Agreement	8-K	001-36135	10.10	April 1, 2019			

		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date
10.25	Loan Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2014, among 333 South Hope Co. LLC and 333 South Hope Plant LLC, collectively, as Borrower, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Lender, and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., as Lender	10-K	001-36135	10.24	March 31, 2015
10.26	Deed of Trust, Assignment of Leases and Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing, dated as of August 7, 2014, by 333 South Hope Co. LLC and 333 South Hope Plant LLC, collectively, as grantor, to Fidelity National Title Company, as trustee, for the benefit of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., collectively, as beneficiary	10-K	001-36135	10.25	March 31, 2015
10.27	Guaranty of Recourse Obligations dated as of August 7, 2014	10-K	001-36135	10.26	March 31, 2015
10.28	Reserve Guaranty dated as of August 7, 2014	10-K	001-36135	10.27	March 31, 2015
10.29	Side Letter regarding Reserve Guaranty dated as of August 7, 2014	10-K	001-36135	10.28	March 31, 2015
<u>21.1*</u>	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant as of December 31, 2019				
31.1*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated March 26, 2020 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				

		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date
31.2*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated March 26, 2020 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				
32.1**	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer dated March 26, 2020 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (1)				

- (b) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K See Item 3 above.
- (c) Financial Statement Schedules See Item 2 above.
- * Filed herewith.
- ** Furnished herewith.
- (1) This exhibit will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 26, 2020

BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

Registrant

By: /s/ G. MARK BROWN

G. Mark Brown Chairman of the Board (Principal executive officer)

By: /s/ BRYAN D. SMITH

Bryan D. Smith Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date:	March 26, 2020	By:	/s/ G. MARK BROWN
			G. Mark Brown Chairman of the Board (Principal executive officer)
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ BRYAN D. SMITH
			Bryan D. Smith Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial and accounting officer)
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ MICHELLE L. CAMPBELL
			Michelle L. Campbell Senior Vice President, Secretary and Director
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ ANDREW DAKOS
			Andrew Dakos Director
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ MURRAY GOLDFARB
			Murray Goldfarb Director
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ PHILLIP GOLDSTEIN
			Phillip Goldstein Director
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ IAN PARKER
			Ian Parker Chief Operating Officer and Director
	March 26, 2020	Ву:	/s/ ROBERT L. STELZL
			Robert L. Stelzl Director

SUBSIDIARIES OF BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

Subsidiaries	Jurisdiction In Which Organized	Percentage of Voting Securities Owned Directly or Indirectly by Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.
Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Inc.	Maryland	100.0%
MPG Office LLC	Maryland	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties II LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 333 South Grand REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 333 Grand Peak TRS LLC	Delaware	100.0%
North Tower Mezzanine II, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
North Tower Mezzanine, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
North Tower, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 333 Grand Oaktree TRS LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 333 Grand Doubleline TRS LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 555 West 5th REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 350 S. Figueroa Mezzanine, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth Mezzanine, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth Mezz III, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth Mezz II, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth Mezz I, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 555 W. Fifth, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 350 S. Figueroa, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 355 South Grand REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 355 S. Grand, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 777 South Figueroa REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
777 Tower Mezzanine, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Maguire Properties – 777 Tower, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA Fund Properties III LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 725 South Figueroa REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
EYP Realty Holdings, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
EYP Mezzanine, LLC	Delaware	100.0%
EYP Realty, LLC	Delaware	100.0%

SUBSIDIARIES OF BROOKFIELD DTLA FUND OFFICE TRUST INVESTOR INC.

Subsidiaries	Jurisdiction In Which Organized	Percentage of Voting Securities Owned Directly or Indirectly by Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.
Brookfield DTLA Figat7th REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
BOP Figat7th LLC	Delaware	100.0%
BOP Figat7th Parking LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA 333 South Hope REIT LLC	Delaware	100.0%
333 South Hope Mezz LLC	Delaware	100.0%
333 South Hope Co. LLC	Delaware	100.0%
333 South Hope Plant LLC	Delaware	100.0%
Brookfield DTLA TRS Inc.	Delaware	87.5%

Note: All of the subsidiaries listed above are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Inactive subsidiaries have not been included in the above list.

CERTIFICATION

I, G. Mark Brown, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting, and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 26, 2020 By: /s/ G. MARK BROWN

G. Mark Brown Chairman of the Board (Principal executive officer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Bryan D. Smith, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
 - 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 26, 2020 By: /s/ BRYAN D. SMITH

Bryan D. Smith Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, each of the undersigned officers of Brookfield DTLA Fund Office Trust Investor Inc., a Maryland corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify, to such officers' knowledge, that:

- (i) The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2019 (the "Periodic Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) Information contained in the Periodic Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 26, 2020 By: /s/ G. MARK BROWN

G. Mark Brown Chairman of the Board (Principal executive officer)

By: /s/ BRYAN D. SMITH

Bryan D. Smith Chief Financial Officer (Principal financial officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.