



---

# Louisiana-Pacific Corporation Annual Report

---

2006

**BUILD WITH US.®**

Dear LP Shareholders,

2006 was a year of dramatic change in our marketplace and progress for our company. Emerging from one of the best new housing markets in decades, 2006 began with strong product demand and solid pricing. Demand started to decline in the second quarter and continued to fall throughout the remainder of the year. By the fourth quarter, building activity had dropped to the lowest levels seen in many years, with a consequent drop in both demand and prices. Despite this environment, we are glad to report to you that LP employees continued to achieve successes and build a stronger company.

## FINANCIAL RESULTS

LP was profitable in the first three quarters of the year, but a fourth quarter loss lowered annual earnings to \$1.17 per share on net sales of \$2.2 billion. The greatest impact on our 2006 earnings was the significant decline in OSB prices: on January 6, OSB prices (per thousand square feet, North Central 7/16" basis as reported by *Random Lengths*) stood at \$320, by June 30 had slipped to \$208, and by December 29 had declined further to \$158. For the year, sales in the OSB segment were down by \$348 million and profits were lower by \$419 million, with pricing reductions accounting for \$390 million of this decline. On the positive side, LP's TechShield® Radiant Barrier roof sheathing was a bright spot in the OSB business, enjoying 30% growth in the year as escalating fuel prices drove demand for energy-saving products. After a record-setting year in 2005, Engineered Wood Products (EWP) posted its second-best earnings year ever, despite sales and volume downturns in the third and fourth quarters. In our Siding segment, year-over-year volumes of our popular LP SmartSide® and Canoxel® lines grew. In addition, our Chilean operations experienced their highest volume and profitability since start-up.

Our Decking business continues to disappoint, producing poor results in a weak and fragmented market for composite decking products across the industry. We also lost a major account in our interior decorative mouldings business, which hurt the results of this consistently profitable business.

Our balance sheet and financial position remain strong. In February, LP increased the quarterly dividend 20% to \$0.15 per share. In addition to making major capital investments and paying quarterly dividends, we repurchased two million LP shares, and repaid C\$110 million of a C\$235 million loan LP Canada arranged in 2005. At year end 2006, cash and investments stood at almost \$1.2 billion, with net cash at \$837 million. Book value per ending share at December 31, 2006 was \$19.84, compared to \$19.31 at December 31, 2005.

## **OPERATIONS**

We are very proud to report to you that in 2006 our employees once again achieved a best-ever safety record and established LP as an industry leader in this important area of performance. As we continued to strive for an injury-free workplace, we posted an annual Total Incident Rate (TIR) of 0.94, the first year in LP history we have achieved a company TIR of less than 1.0. Seven facilities and all administrative areas posted zero recordable injuries in 2006. In July, the senior management team was honored to join our Golden, British Columbia EWP employees to celebrate *one million* injury-free hours, a first for our company and a rare benchmark achievement in our industry or any industry. These remarkable safety results LP employees are achieving reflect the strong values-based culture we are building at LP. We believe that there is no better way to demonstrate teamwork and respect for people than to value an obsessive focus on safety.

We also maintained our strong environmental performance in 2006, with environmental Notices of Violation (NOVs) at a level matching our record year of 2005. Fifteen of our manufacturing sites have operated for more than five years without receiving a single NOV. Nine of LP's US facilities have qualified for the US Environmental Protection Agency's rigorous Performance Track program, further reflection of our commitment to the environment. Excellent environmental management leads to lower costs as well. OSB mills, for example, reduced unscheduled downtime due to pollution control equipment outages compared to the previous year. In March, LP also received membership in the FTSE4Good Index Series in the United Kingdom, designed to identify companies that meet globally recognized corporate responsibility standards in such areas as environmental sustainability and positive relationships with stakeholders.

## **PEOPLE**

In 2006, we welcomed a new member to our board of directors when Lizanne Gottung, senior vice president and chief human resources officer at Kimberly-Clark Corporation, was elected to the LP board of directors. To our disappointment, Jake Kerr chose not to stand for reelection at the 2007 annual meeting. His industry expertise was of great value to our board deliberations and he will be sorely missed.

On our Senior Management team, Brian Luoma was appointed vice president and general manager of Engineered Wood Products; and Neil Sherman was promoted to vice president of Procurement, Logistics and Supply. Both Brian and Neil are long-time LP employees with deep company experience. With the retirement of Harold Stanton, we were also fortunate to have Rick Olszewski join the LP team as executive vice president, Specialty Products, Sales and Marketing.

During the year, the LP Foundation, our philanthropic arm, introduced the Community Impact Grant program, a new effort to partner with our plant communities. Our plant sites and employees have long supported local organizations through

volunteerism and donations. The Community Impact Grant program provides additional, one-time grants of up to \$100,000 for projects that fit LP values and have significant impact on the communities in which we operate. In 2006, the Foundation awarded grants for such diverse projects as funding school science equipment, building a safer bike trail for children riding to school, upgrading a community center to provide child care, and expanding a local emergency services building. And LP community efforts extend beyond our checkbook. Our large employee involvement in an array of activities garnered us the 2006 Nashville Corporate Philanthropy Program of the Year Award from the Association of Fundraising Professionals.

In 2006, we invested resources in continuous improvement, becoming a Lean Six Sigma (LSS) company. During the year, we trained 16 new Black Belts chosen from current LP employees, and 115 new Green Belts. Using LSS tools, teams identified and tackled a wide variety of projects ranging from manufacturing processes to invoicing. First-year LSS results exceeded our expectations, both in the quality of projects and return on investment. We expect more of the same from LSS in 2007 and beyond, and are engaging greater numbers of people in process improvement and cost-out projects. By mid-year 2007, we should have a total of 34 Black Belts, and plan to train an additional 200 Green Belts in 2007, further infusing LSS into the culture as a powerful approach to lowering costs, eliminating waste and improving processes.

## **STRATEGY**

LP continued to execute our strategic growth plans during the year, investing in manufacturing facilities, in new products, in building our markets and brands, and as always, in employee development. In jesting words, but with serious intent, we have referred to this approach as LP's "salmon strategy" of swimming upstream against a declining market. With the benefit of a strong balance sheet and a management team experienced in these cycles, we are deliberately approaching this market differently from previous downturns. Instead of hunkering down and waiting for the markets to return, we are focused on growing share, building stronger customer relationships, and promoting LP's position as a long-term leader in the building products industry. We are putting additional manufacturing capacity in each of our successful product lines.

LP invested \$240 million in capital during 2006 for the construction of new mills, modernization of current facilities and conversion of aging plants to new product lines. Our Peace Valley OSB joint venture in British Columbia ramped up well during the year with top-quality products. Construction continued on the Clarke Country, Alabama OSB mill with start-up planned by the end of 2007. Expanding on the success of our Chilean operation, we also began construction of a second facility in Lautaro, Chile, which will incorporate equipment dismantled and transported from previously closed and mothballed sites. To keep pace with the rapid growth of LP TechShield Radiant Barrier, we added production capability of this energy-saving product at our OSB mills in Dawson Creek, British Columbia and Silsbee, Texas.

As part of our strategy to convert older OSB mills to the production of higher value-in-use specialty products, our Hayward, Wisconsin mill just completed conversion of its second line to LP SmartSide production. In addition, in September the senior management team joined LP employees, local community members and Maine Governor John Baldacci to break ground on a new Oriented Strand Lumber (OSL) facility being constructed on our Houlton, Maine site. The OSL project builds on LP's expertise in wood strand technology, transforming an older OSB facility with a sustainable wood supply and an excellent workforce into a mill at the forefront of LP's future. At an investment of more than \$100 million, this facility will expand our engineered wood offering into OSL products, used as a lumber substitute for such applications as tall-wall studs, headers, and stair stringers. To further support growth in EWP, we also obtained marketing rights to additional LVL capacity being constructed on the West Coast, scheduled to come on line early in 2008.

When LP moved our headquarters to Nashville in 2004, we chose a downtown location affording a fine view of the Tennessee capitol, the Cumberland River, and the Tennessee Titans football stadium across the river. At that time, we would never have predicted our name would be on that stadium, which LP and the Titans christened "LP Field" in June. Securing NFL stadium naming rights for 10 years was a new step forward in marketing for LP, part of our strategic initiative to increase brand awareness and customer preference for LP products. LP Field has provided a unique venue for building relationships with customers, as well as a wonderful opportunity for supporting philanthropic efforts in Nashville and other NFL communities. Throughout the process, the Titans have been a first-class organization to work with in every way. We look forward to a long-term, mutually rewarding relationship, with this effort serving as a centerpiece of our brand-building efforts.

In last year's letter, we noted we had not found any acquisition candidates that met our criteria of "right business, right time, right price." We spent considerable effort evaluating possible candidates in 2006, but did not find an acquisition that we believed would build shareholder value. Strategic acquisition remains a priority use for our cash balance and we will continue to explore such opportunities within the context of a reduced housing start environment and the resulting expectation of reduced values.

## **PERSPECTIVES ON THE ROAD AHEAD**

No one is predicting anything other than a tough year for building products demand in 2007. Though we believe solid economic and demographic underpinnings exist for residential building over the long term, the strong building activity of the last several years has resulted in an excess inventory of newly constructed homes which must be sold. In this down market, LP faces tough competition in all of our product lines. No competitor wants to lose share or give ground in the marketplace. We believe we are well positioned to fare well in this competitive environment.

We will be neither so bold nor so foolish as to predict when the market will turn, but as the market absorbs the excess new home inventory, demand for building products will inevitably pick up. Our non-OSB segments should be the first LP businesses to feel positive effects of market recovery. Improvement in OSB results may lag, since OSB faces the added stiff challenge of a significant amount of new capacity entering the market. Although we anticipate a tough year for earnings in 2007, by no means are we discouraged. We have purposefully built a balance sheet that gives us staying power in these conditions, and we are optimistic about what we can accomplish during these tough times. While being prudent on the cost side, we view 2007 as a “set-up” year for LP—a time to gain share, put capacity in place to service increased share when building heats up again, as well as a time to continue improving processes, reducing costs, and developing our people.

## **FINAL THOUGHTS**

Our simple and straightforward vision statement remains an important guide and compass to keep us on course. It reminds us who we are, what we do, and why and how we do it. Every day that goes by, the people of LP look to the vision to help steer their actions and decisions:

*LP will be a respected, profitable and growing manufacturer of building products*

*That is the supplier of choice because of our quality products and reliable services, and*


*The employer of choice because we are a safe, ethical, fun, challenging and rewarding place to work.*

We are proud of our senior management team for adopting and demonstrating strong values, and gratified that LP employees are embracing these values. We are working together to build an environment where integrity and safety hold the highest place, where people are respected for what they bring to the game, where teamwork and generosity are embraced, and continuous improvement is expected and rewarded. These are the LP values that will drive quality products, reliable service, strong customer relationships, and in the end, strong returns that you, our shareholders, expect and deserve.

Sincerely,



Richard W. Frost, CEO



E. Gary Cook, Chairman

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-K**

**Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended  
December 31, 2006**

**Commission File Number  
1-7107**

---

**Louisiana-Pacific Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State of Incorporation)

**414 Union Street, Suite 2000**

**Nashville, TN 37219**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**93-0609074**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Registrant's telephone number

(including area code)

**615-986-5600**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, \$1 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Stock Purchase Rights	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes  No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$2,247,700,000

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 104,336,178 of Common Stock, \$1 par value, outstanding as of March 1, 2007.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Definitive Proxy Statement for 2007 Annual Meeting: Part III

Except as otherwise specified and unless the context otherwise requires, references to "LP", the "Company", "we", "us", and "our" refer to Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and its subsidiaries.

---

---

## ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 provide a “safe harbor” for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their businesses and other matters as long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the statements. This report contains, and other reports and documents filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission may contain, forward-looking statements. These statements are or will be based upon the beliefs and assumptions of, and on information available to, our management.

The following statements are or may constitute forward-looking statements: (1) statements preceded by, followed by or that include words like “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “potential,” “continue” or “future” or the negative or other variations thereof and (2) other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts, including without limitation, plans for product development, forecasts of future costs and expenditures, possible outcomes of legal proceedings, capacity expansion and other growth initiatives and the adequacy of reserves for loss contingencies.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to the following:

- changes in general economic conditions;
- changes in the cost and availability of capital;
- changes in the level of home construction activity;
- changes in competitive conditions and prices for our products;
- changes in the relationship between supply of and demand for building products, including the effects of industry-wide increases in manufacturing capacity;
- changes in the relationship between supply of and demand for raw materials, including wood fiber and resins, used in manufacturing our products;
- changes in the cost of and availability of energy, primarily natural gas, electricity and diesel fuel;
- changes in other significant operating expenses;
- changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies, particularly the Canadian dollar, EURO and the Chilean peso;
- changes in general and industry-specific environmental laws and regulations;
- changes in tax laws, and interpretations thereof;
- changes in circumstances giving rise to environmental liabilities or expenditures;
- the resolution of product-related litigation and other legal proceedings; and
- acts of God or public authorities, war, civil unrest, fire, floods, earthquakes and other matters beyond our control.



In addition to the foregoing and any risks and uncertainties specifically identified in the text surrounding forward-looking statements, any statements in the reports and other documents filed by us with the Commission that warn of risks or uncertainties associated with future results, events or circumstances identify important factors that could cause actual results, events and circumstances to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements.

#### **ABOUT THIRD-PARTY INFORMATION**

In this report, we rely on and refer to information regarding industry data obtained from market research, publicly available information, industry publications, U.S. government sources and other third parties. Although we believe the information is reliable, we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the information and have not independently verified it.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. *Business*

#### *General*

Our company, headquartered in Nashville, TN, is a leading manufacturer and distributor of building products. As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately 5,600 employees and operated 28 facilities in the U.S. and Canada and one facility in Chile. Our focus is on delivering innovative, high-quality commodity and specialty building products to retail, wholesale, home building and industrial customers. Our products are used primarily in new home construction, repair and remodeling, and manufactured housing.

#### *Business Segments*

We operate in three segments: Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; and Engineered Wood Products (EWP). In general, our businesses are affected by the level of housing starts; the level of home repairs; the availability and cost of financing; changes in industry capacity; changes in the prices we pay for raw materials and energy; changes in foreign exchange rates, primarily the Canadian dollar; and other operating costs.

### **OSB**

Our OSB segment manufactures and distributes OSB structural panel products.

OSB is an innovative, affordable and environmentally smart product made from wood strands arranged in layers and bonded with resin. OSB serves many of the same uses as unsanded plywood, including roof decking, sidewall sheathing and floor underlayment, but can be produced at a significantly lower cost. In the past decade, land use regulations, endangered species and environmental concerns have resulted in reduced supplies and higher costs for domestic timber, causing many plywood mills to close or divert their production to other uses. OSB has replaced most of the volume lost from these mills. It is estimated that OSB accounts for approximately 58% of the structural panel consumption with plywood accounting for the remainder. We estimate that the overall North American structural panel market is 47 billion square feet with the OSB market comprising an estimated 28 billion square feet of this market. Based upon our production capacity of 6.5 billion square feet (including our joint venture OSB mill with Canfor Corporation), we account for 23% of the OSB market and 14% of the overall North American structural panel market. We believe we are the largest and one of the most efficient producers of OSB in North America.

### **Siding**

Our siding offerings fall into two categories: SmartSide® siding products and related accessories; and hardboard siding and accessory products.

*The SmartSide® Products* Our SmartSide® products consist of a full line of OSB-based sidings, trim, soffit and fascia. These products have quality and performance characteristics similar to solid wood at more attractive prices due to lower raw material and production costs.

*Hardboard Siding Products* Our hardboard siding product offerings include a number of lap and panel products in a variety of patterns and textures.

Additionally, as market demand warrants, amounts of commodity OSB are produced and sold in this segment.

## **Engineered Wood Products**

Our Engineered Wood Products (EWP) segment manufactures and distributes I-joists and laminated veneer lumber (LVL) and other related products. In 2006, we began construction on an oriented strand lumber facility (OSL) in Houlton, Maine. We believe that in North America we are one of the top three producers of I-joists and LVL. A plywood mill associated with our LVL operations in British Columbia is also included in this segment.

We believe that our engineered I-joists, which are used primarily in residential and commercial flooring and roofing systems and other structural applications, are stronger, lighter and straighter than conventional lumber joists. Our LVL is a high-grade, value-added structural product used in applications where extra strength is required, such as headers and beams. It is also used, together with OSB and lumber, in the manufacture of engineered I-joists.

## **Other Products**

Our other products category includes our composite decking, decorative moulding, Chilean OSB operations and our joint venture that produces cellulose insulation. Additionally, our other products category includes our remaining timber and timberlands, and other minor products, services and closed operations.

### *Sales, Marketing and Distribution*

Our sales and marketing efforts are primarily focused on traditional two-step distribution, professional building products dealers, home centers, third-party wholesale buying groups and other retailers. The wholesale distribution channel includes a variety of specialized and broad-line wholesale distributors and dealers focused primarily on the supply of products for use by professional builders and contractors. The retail distribution channel includes large retail chains catering to the do-it-yourself (DIY) and repair and remodeling markets as well as smaller independent retailers.

### *Customers*

We seek to maintain a broad customer base and a balanced approach to national distribution through both wholesale and retail channels. In 2006, our top 10 customers accounted for approximately 47% of our sales, with the largest customer accounting for no more than 8% of our sales. Because a significant portion of our sales are from OSB that is a commodity product sold primarily on the basis of price and availability, we are not dependent on any one customer. Our principal customers include the following:

- Wholesale distribution companies, which supply building materials to retailers on a regional, state or local basis;
- Two-step distributors, who provide building materials to smaller retailers, contractors and others;
- Building materials professional dealers, that specialize in sales to professional builders, remodeling firms and trade contractors that are involved in residential home construction and light commercial building;
- Retail home centers, that provide access to consumer markets with a broad selection of home improvement materials and increasingly serve professional builders, remodelers and trade contractors; and
- Manufactured housing producers, who design, construct and distribute prefabricated residential and light commercial structures, including fully manufactured, modular and panelized structures, for consumer and professional markets.

### *Seasonality*

Our business is subject to seasonal variances, with demand for many of our products tending to be greater during the building season in the second and third quarters. From time to time, we engage in promotional activities designed to stimulate demand for our products, such as reducing our selling prices and providing extended payment terms, particularly at times when demand is otherwise relatively soft. We do this in an effort to better balance supply with demand, manage our inventory levels, manage the logistics of our product shipments, allow our production facilities to run efficiently, meet the terms offered by our competitors, and/or obtain initial orders from customers.

### *Competitors*

The building products industry is highly competitive. We compete internationally with several thousand forest and building products firms, ranging from very large, fully integrated firms to smaller enterprises that may manufacture only one or a few items. We also compete less directly with firms that manufacture substitutes for wood building products. Some competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we do that could, in some instances, give them a competitive advantage over us.

### *Raw Materials*

Wood fiber is the primary raw material used in most of our operations, and the primary source of wood fiber is timber. The primary end-markets for timber harvested in the U.S. are manufacturers who supply: (1) the housing market, where it is used in the construction of new housing and the repair and remodeling of existing housing; (2) the pulp and paper market; and (3) export markets. The supply of timber is limited by access to timber and by the availability of timberlands. The availability of timberlands, in turn, is limited by several factors, including forest management policies, alternate uses of land, and loss to urban or suburban real estate development.

In Canada, we harvest enough timber annually under long-term harvest rights with various Canadian governments and other third parties to support our Canadian production facilities. The average remaining life of our Canadian timber rights is 20 years with provisions for regular renewal.

We purchase approximately 63% of our wood fiber requirements on the open market, through either private cutting contracts or purchased wood arrangements. Our remaining wood fiber requirements (37%) are fulfilled through government contracts, principally in Canada. Because wood fiber is subject to commodity pricing, the cost of various types of timber that we purchase in the market has at times fluctuated greatly due to weather, governmental, economic or other industry conditions. However, our mills are generally located in areas that are in close proximity to large and diverse supplies of timber. Our mills generally have the ability to procure wood fiber at competitive prices from third-party sources.

In addition to wood fiber, we use a significant quantity of various resins in our manufacturing processes. Resin product costs are influenced by changes in the prices of raw materials used to produce resin, primarily petroleum products, as well as demand for resin products.

While the majority of our energy requirements are generated at our plants through the conversion of wood waste, we also purchase substantial amounts of energy in our operations, primarily electricity and natural gas. Energy prices have experienced significant volatility in recent years, particularly in deregulated markets. We attempt to control our exposure to energy price changes through the use of long-term supply agreements.

### *Environmental Compliance*

Our operations are subject to many environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, discharges of pollutants and other emissions on or into land, water and air, the disposal of

hazardous substances or other contaminants, the remediation of contamination and the restoration and reforestation of timberlands. In addition, certain environmental laws and regulations impose liability and responsibility on present and former owners, operators or users of facilities and sites for contamination at such facilities and sites without regard to causation or knowledge of contamination. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations can significantly increase the costs of our operations and otherwise result in significant costs and expenses. In some cases, plant closures can result in more onerous compliance requirements becoming applicable to a facility or a site. Violations of environmental laws and regulations can subject us to additional costs and expenses, including defense costs and expenses and civil and criminal penalties. We cannot assure you that the environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject will not become more stringent, or be more stringently implemented or enforced, in the future.

Our policy is to comply fully with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. In recent years, we have devoted increasing management attention to achieving this goal. In addition, from time to time, we undertake construction projects for environmental control equipment or incur other environmental costs that extend an asset's useful life, improve its efficiency or improve the marketability of certain properties.

The U.S. government has enacted regulations related to Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT). MACT regulations govern the manner in which we measure and control the emissions from our manufacturing facilities into the air. We anticipate, based upon our current facilities that we will be required to spend between \$7 million and \$10 million over the next several years to comply with these regulations.

Additional information concerning environmental matters is set forth under Item 3, Legal Proceedings, and in Note 18 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

#### *Employees*

We employ approximately 5,600 people, about 800 of whom are members of unions. We consider our relationship with our employees generally to be good. There can be no assurance, however, that work stoppages will not occur. During 2006, one union contract relating to a manufacturing facility in Canada expired and has not yet been renewed.

#### *Available Information*

We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Our SEC filings are available to the public over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may also read and copy any document we file at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

In addition, we will make available our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act free of charge through our internet website at <http://www.lpcorp.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

#### *Segment and Price Trend Data*

The following table sets forth, for each of the last three years: (1) production volumes; (2) the average wholesale price of OSB sold in the United States; and (3) logs procured by source. In addition, information concerning our: (1) consolidated net sales by business segment; (2) consolidated profit (loss) by business

segment; (3) identifiable assets by segment; (4) depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested; (5) capital expenditures; and (6) geographic segment information is included at Note 23 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report and information concerning our sales by product line is included in item 7 of this report.

**Product Information Summary**  
**For Years Ended December 31 (Dollar amounts in millions, except per unit)**

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b><u>PRODUCTION VOLUMES(1)</u></b>			
OSB, <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> " basis, million square feet . . . . .	6,011	5,609	5,547
Wood-based siding, <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> " basis, million square feet . . . . .	953	963	1,033
Engineered I-joists, million lineal feet . . . . .	149	166	160
Laminated veneer lumber, thousand cubic feet . . . . .	9,466	11,184	11,860
Composite decking, million lineal feet . . . . .	40	46	40
<b><u>COMMODITY PRODUCT PRICE TRENDS(2)</u></b>			
OSB, MSF, <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub> "- <sup>24</sup> / <sub>16</sub> " span rating (North Central price) . . . . .	\$ 210	\$ 320	\$ 370
<b><u>% LOGS BY SOURCES(3)</u></b>			
Private cutting contracts . . . . .	16	14	11
Government contracts . . . . .	37	41	31
Purchased logs . . . . .	47	45	58
Total volumes—million board feet . . . . .	2,417	2,774	2,367

(1) Includes production at joint ventures

(2) Prices represent yearly averages stated in dollars per thousand square feet (MSF). Source: *Random Lengths*.

(3) Stated as a percentage of total log volume.

**ITEM 1A. Risk Factors**

You should be aware that the occurrence of any of the events described in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this report or in any other of our filings with the SEC could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In evaluating us, you should consider carefully, among other things, the risks described below and the matters described in "About Forward-Looking Statements."

*Cyclical industry conditions and commodity pricing have and may continue to adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.* Our operating results reflect the general cyclical pattern of the building products industry. Demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of residential construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. This cyclicity is influenced by a number of factors, including longer-term interest rates, which in recent years have been at relatively low levels. A significant increase in longer-term interest rates, or the occurrence of other events that reduce levels of residential construction activity, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our primary product, OSB, and a significant portion of our raw materials are globally traded commodity products. In addition, our products are subject to competition from manufacturers worldwide. Historical prices for our products have been volatile, and we, like other participants in the building products industry, have limited influence over the timing and extent of price changes for our products. Product pricing is significantly affected by the relationship between supply and demand in the building products industry. Product supply is influenced

primarily by fluctuations in available manufacturing capacity. Demand is affected by the state of the economy in general and a variety of other factors. The level of new residential construction activity and home repair and remodeling activity primarily affects the demand for our building products. Demand is also subject to fluctuations due to changes in economic conditions, interest rates, population growth, weather conditions and other factors. We are not able to predict with certainty market conditions and selling prices for our products. We cannot assure you that prices for our products will not decline from current levels. A prolonged and severe weakness in the markets for one or more of our principal products, particularly OSB, could seriously harm our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to satisfy our cash requirements, including the payment of interest and principal on our debt.

*We have a high degree of product concentration.* OSB accounted for about 54% of our sales in 2006 and 60% of our sales in 2005 and we expect OSB sales to continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenues and profits in the future. Concentration of our business in the OSB market further increases our sensitivity to commodity pricing and price volatility. We cannot assure you that pricing for OSB or our other products will not decline from current levels.

*Increased industry production capacity for OSB could constrain our operating margins for the foreseeable future.* According to Resource Information Systems, Inc. (RISI), an industry market research organization, total North American OSB annual production capacity increased by about 6 billion square feet from 2000 to 2006 on a  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch equivalent basis and is projected to increase by approximately 12 billion square feet in the 2007 to 2011 period. RISI has projected that total North American demand for OSB will increase by about 13 billion square feet during the same 2007 to 2011 period. If increases in OSB production capacity exceed increases in OSB demand, OSB could have constrained operating margins for the foreseeable future.

*Intense competition in the building products industry could prevent us from increasing or sustaining our net sales and profitability.* The markets for our products are highly competitive. Our competitors range from very large, fully integrated forest and building products firms to smaller firms that may manufacture only one or a few types of products. We also compete less directly with firms that manufacture substitutes for wood building products. Many of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do, and certain of the mills operated by our competitors may be lower-cost producers than the mills operated by us.

*Our results of operations may be harmed by potential shortages of raw materials and increases in raw material costs.* The most significant raw material used in our operations is wood fiber. We currently obtain about 63% of our wood fiber requirements in the open market. Wood fiber is subject to commodity pricing, which fluctuates on the basis of market factors over which we have no control. In addition, the cost of various types of wood fiber that we purchase in the market has at times fluctuated greatly because of governmental, economic or industry conditions. In addition to wood fiber, we also use a significant quantity of various resins in our manufacturing processes. Resin product costs are influenced by changes in the prices or availability of raw materials used to produce resins, primarily petroleum products, as well as demand for and availability of resin products. Selling prices of our products have not always increased in response to raw material cost increases. We are unable to determine to what extent, if any, we will be able to pass any future raw material cost increases through to our customers through product price increases. Our inability to pass increased costs through to our customers could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Many of the Canadian forestlands also are subject to the constitutionally protected treaty or common-law rights of the aboriginal peoples of Canada. Most of British Columbia is not covered by treaties and, as a result, the claims of British Columbia's aboriginal peoples relating to forest resources are largely unresolved, although many aboriginal groups are actively engaged in treaty discussions with the governments of British Columbia and Canada. Final or interim resolution of claims brought by aboriginal

groups are expected to result in additional restrictions on the sale or harvest of timber and may increase operating costs and affect timber supply and prices in Canada. It is possible that, over the long term, such claims could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Our operations require substantial capital.* Capital expenditures for expansion or replacement of existing facilities or equipment or to comply with future changes in environmental laws and regulations may be substantial. Although we maintain our production equipment with regular periodic and scheduled maintenance, we cannot assure you that key pieces of equipment in our various production processes will not need to be repaired or replaced or that we will not incur significant additional costs associated with environmental compliance. The costs of repairing or replacing such equipment and the associated downtime of the affected production line could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. Based on our current operations, we believe our cash flow from operations and other capital resources will be adequate to meet our operating needs, capital expenditures and other cash requirements for the foreseeable future. If for any reason we are unable to provide for our operating needs, capital expenditures and other cash requirements on economic terms, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

*We are subject to significant environmental regulation and environmental compliance expenditures and liabilities.* Our businesses are subject to many environmental laws and regulations, particularly with respect to discharges of pollutants and other emissions on or into land, water and air, and the disposal, remediation of hazardous substances or other contaminants and, in the past, the restoration and reforestation of timberlands. Compliance with these laws and regulations is a significant factor in our business. We have incurred and expect to continue to incur significant expenditures to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Moreover, some or all of the environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject could become more stringent in the future. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations and permit requirements could result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of pollution control equipment or remedial actions.

Some environmental laws and regulations impose liability and responsibility on present and former owners, operators or users of facilities and sites for contamination at such facilities and sites without regard to causation or knowledge of contamination. In addition, we occasionally evaluate various alternatives with respect to our facilities, including possible dispositions or closures. Investigations undertaken in connection with these activities may lead to discoveries of contamination that must be remediated, and closures of facilities may trigger compliance requirements that are not applicable to operating facilities. Consequently, we cannot assure you that existing or future circumstances or developments with respect to contamination will not require significant expenditures by us.

*We are involved in various environmental matters and product liability and other legal proceedings. The outcome of these matters and proceedings and the magnitude of related costs and liabilities are subject to uncertainties.* The conduct of our business involves the use of hazardous substances and the generation of contaminants and pollutants. In addition, the end-users of many of our products are members of the general public. We currently are and from time to time in the future will be involved in a number of environmental matters and legal proceedings, including legal proceedings involving warranty or non-warranty product liability claims and other claims, including claims for wrongful death, personal injury and property damage alleged to have arisen out of the use or release by us or our predecessors of hazardous substances. Environmental matters and legal matters and proceedings, including class action settlements relating to certain of our products, have in the past caused and in the future may cause us to incur substantial costs. We have established contingency reserves in our consolidated financial statements with respect to the estimated costs of existing environmental matters and legal proceedings to the extent that



our management has determined that such costs are both probable and reasonably estimable as to amount. However, such reserves are based upon various estimates and assumptions relating to future events and circumstances, all of which are subject to inherent uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to environmental and litigation loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly. However, no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time. We may incur costs in respect of existing and future environmental matters and legal proceedings as to which no contingency reserves have been established. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient resources available to satisfy the related costs and expenses associated with these matters and proceedings.

*Settlements of tax exposures may exceed the amounts we have established for known estimated tax exposures.* We maintain reserves for known estimated tax exposures in federal, state and international jurisdictions. Significant income tax exposures may include potential challenges to intercompany pricing, the treatment of financing, acquisition and disposition transactions, the use of hybrid entities and other matters. These exposures are settled primarily through the closure of audits with the taxing jurisdictions and, on occasion, through the judicial process, either of which may produce a result inconsistent with past estimates. We believe that we have established appropriate reserves for known estimated exposures; however, if actual results differ materially from our estimates we could experience a material adverse affect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

*Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could result in currency exchange losses.* A significant portion of our operations are conducted through foreign subsidiaries. The functional currency for our Canadian subsidiary is the U.S. dollar. The financial statements of this foreign subsidiary are remeasured into U.S. dollars using the historical exchange rate for property, plant and equipment, timber and timberlands, goodwill, equity and certain other non-monetary assets and liabilities and related depreciation and amortization on these assets and liabilities. These transaction gains or losses are recorded in foreign exchange gains (losses) in the income statement. The functional currency of our Chilean subsidiary is the Chilean Peso. Translation adjustments, which are based upon the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the weighted average rate for the income statement, are recorded in the Accumulated Comprehensive Income (Loss) section of Stockholders' Equity. Therefore, a strengthening of the Canadian dollar or the Chilean Peso relative to the U.S. dollar may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

**ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

## ITEM 2. *Properties*

Information regarding our principal properties and facilities is set forth in the following tables. Information regarding production capacities is based on normal operating rates and normal production mixes under current market conditions, taking into account known constraints such as log supply. Market conditions, fluctuations in log supply, and the nature of current orders may cause actual production rates and mixes to vary significantly from the production rates and mixes shown.

### ORIENTED STRAND BOARD

#### Oriented Strand Board Panel Plants(1)

13 plants—5,690 million square feet annual capacity,  $\frac{3}{8}$ ” basis

<u>3 shifts per day, 7 days per week</u>	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Athens, GA. . . . .	375
Carthage, TX . . . . .	450
Chambord, Quebec, Canada . . . . .	470
Dawson Creek, BC, Canada . . . . .	390
Hanceville, AL . . . . .	375
Houlton, ME . . . . .	280
Jasper, TX . . . . .	450
Maniwaki, Quebec, Canada . . . . .	650
Roxboro, NC . . . . .	470
Sagola, MI . . . . .	410
Silsbee, TX . . . . .	350
St. Michel, Quebec, Canada . . . . .	500
Swan Valley, Manitoba, Canada . . . . .	520

### SIDING

#### Oriented Strand Board Siding and Specialty Plants

4 plants—930 million square feet annual capacity,  $\frac{3}{8}$ ” basis

<u>3 shifts per day, 7 days per week</u>	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Newberry, MI . . . . .	150
Hayward, WI(2) . . . . .	475
Tomahawk, WI . . . . .	150
Two Harbors, MN . . . . .	155

#### Hardboard plants

2 plants—420 million square feet capacity,  $\frac{3}{8}$ ” inch basis

<u>3 shifts per day, 7 days per week</u>	<u>Square feet in millions</u>
Roaring River, NC . . . . .	300
East River, Nova Scotia, Canada(3) . . . . .	120

ENGINEERED WOOD PRODUCTS

**I-joist Plants(4)**

1 plant—80 million lineal feet annual capacity

<u>1 to 3 shifts per day, 5 days per week</u>	<u>Lineal feet in millions</u>
Red Bluff, CA .....	80

**LVL Plants**

3 plants—12,600 thousand cubic feet annual capacity

<u>1 to 3 shifts per day, 5 days per week</u>	<u>Cubic feet in thousands</u>
Hines, OR. ....	4,000
Golden, BC, Canada .....	4,000
Wilmington, NC. ....	4,600

**OTHER(5)**

**Plastic Mouldings Plant**

1 plant—300 million lineal feet annual capacity

<u>3 shifts per day, 7 days per week</u>	<u>Lineal feet in millions</u>
Middlebury, IN .....	300

**Wood Composite Decking**

2 plants—48 million lineal feet capacity

<u>3 shift per day, 5 days per week</u>	<u>Lineal feet in millions</u>
Meridian, ID. ....	18
Selma, AL. ....	30
OSB .....	Panguipulli, Chile
Plywood. ....	Golden, BC, Canada
Lumber .....	St. Michel, Quebec, Canada

CANADIAN TIMBERLAND LICENSE AGREEMENTS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Acres</u>
British Columbia .....	7,900,000
Manitoba. ....	6,300,000
Nova Scotia. ....	900,000
Quebec .....	31,500,000
Total timberlands under license agreements in Canada. ....	<u>46,600,000</u>

- (1) In addition to the plants described, our <sup>50</sup>/<sub>50</sub> joint venture with Canfor Corporation owns and operates a plant in Peace Valley, British Columbia, Canada, that has an annual production capacity of 820 million square feet of OSB. The land upon which this plant is located is leased from a third party.
- (2) The Hayward, WI OSB siding facility produces both commodity OSB and OSB siding.
- (3) The East River, Nova Scotia, Canada plant produces both hardboard panel products and hardboard siding products.

- (4) In addition to the plant described, our 50/50 joint venture with Abitibi-Consolidated owns and operates a plant in St. Prime, Quebec, Canada and a plant in La Rouche, Quebec, Canada. The annual production capacity of these facilities is 140 million lineal feet.
- (5) The above table does not reflect the 12 cellulose insulation facilities that are operated by U.S. GreenFiber, LLC LP's 50/50 joint venture with Casella Waste Systems.

We also have timber-cutting rights on approximately 47,100 acres on government and privately owned timberlands in the U.S.

Our Canadian subsidiary has arrangements with four Canadian provincial governments which give our subsidiary the right to harvest a volume of wood off public land from defined forest areas under supply and forest management agreements, long-term pulpwood agreements, and various other timber licenses. The acreage noted above is the gross amount of the licenses and is not reflective of the amount of timber acreage that we currently manage. We also obtain wood from private parties in certain cases where the provincial governments require us to obtain logs from private parties prior to harvesting from the licenses to meet our raw materials needs. The timberland licenses above do not include the timber we have under license associated with our joint venture OSB mill with Canfor Corporation located in British Columbia.

### **ITEM 3. *Legal Proceedings***

Certain environmental matters and legal proceedings are discussed below.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

We are involved in a number of environmental proceedings and activities, and may be wholly or partially responsible for known or unknown contamination existing at a number of other sites at which we have conducted operations or disposed of wastes. Based on the information currently available, management believes that any fines, penalties or other costs or losses resulting from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

#### **SIDING MATTERS**

On October 15, 2002, a jury returned a verdict of \$29.6 million against us in a Minnesota State Court action entitled *Lester Building Systems, a division of Butler Manufacturing Company, and Lester's of Minnesota, Inc., v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and Canton Lumber Company*. On December 13, 2002, the District of Oregon, which maintains jurisdiction over a previously settled nationwide class action suit involving OSB siding manufactured by us and installed prior to January 1, 1996, permanently enjoined the Minnesota state trial court from entering judgment against us with respect to \$11.2 million of the verdict that related to siding that was subject to the nationwide OSB siding settlement. We satisfied this verdict, less the enjoined amount, during the second quarter of 2004. Lester's appealed the District Court's injunction to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and, on October 24, 2005, the Court of Appeals decided in a 2 to 1 decision to vacate the District Court's injunction. As a result of this decision, the injunction was lifted and the state court judgment of \$11.2 million was entered on December 22, 2006. On January 19, 2007, LP filed its notice of appeal to the Minnesota State Court of Appeals. Based upon the information currently available, we believe that any further liability related to this case is remote and, accordingly, have not recorded any accrual with respect to our potential exposure.

#### **NATURE GUARD CEMENT SHAKES MATTERS**

We are a defendant in a class action lawsuit, captioned as *Nature Guard Cement Roofing Shingle Cases*, that was filed in the Superior Court for Stanislaus County, California. The plaintiffs in this action are a class of persons owning structures on which Nature Guard Fiber Cement Shakes were installed as roofing.

The claims in this action that went to trial, starting December 6, 2005, were breach of express warranties, unfair business practices, and violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA). The plaintiffs sought general, compensatory, special and punitive damages, disgorgement of profits and the establishment of a fund to provide restitution to the purported class members. During the trial, the judge dismissed the CLRA claims and a number of warranty claims and granted our motions to decertify the CLRA class and warranty class. Subsequently, on March 9, 2006, a jury returned a defense verdict on all remaining breach of warranty claims, and on May 23, 2006 the judge signed and filed a Statement of Decision after Court Trial directing entry of judgment in our favor for the remaining class claim of unfair business practices. The judgment incorporating the Statement of Decision was filed on July 20, 2006. Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal on September 12, 2006, without specifying which issues they intend to raise on appeal.

We no longer manufacture or sell fiber cement shakes. We believe the judgment in our favor will be upheld and that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

### **LOCKHART WOOD TREATMENT FACILITY**

During the third quarter of 2004, we received a pre-litigation settlement demand letter from a law firm purporting to represent more than 1,400 potential plaintiffs who allegedly experienced various personal injuries and property damages as a result of the alleged release of chemical substances from our wood treatment facility in Lockhart, Alabama during the period from 1953 to 1998. The letter was also addressed to Pactiv Corporation (“Pactiv”), from whom we acquired the facility in 1983. We, Pactiv, and the potential plaintiffs agreed to exchange information and enter into non-binding mediation, which failed in December 2005. In the months following the failed mediation, plaintiffs’ attorneys filed 19 separate lawsuits purporting to represent a total of 1429 plaintiffs. Each of these cases was filed in, or removed to, the United States District Court for Alabama, which court has designated a lead case under the caption *Melanie Chambers v. Pactiv Corp et al CV 2:06-CV-00083-LES-CSC*. Due to the numerous uncertainties associated with the matters alleged in the letter and subsequent lawsuits, including uncertainties regarding the existence, nature, magnitude and causation of the alleged wrongful death, injuries and property damage, responsibility therefore and defenses thereto, we are not presently able to quantify our financial exposure, if any, relating to such matters. LP intends to defend these suits vigorously.

### **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

We have been named as one of a number of defendants in multiple class action complaints filed on or after February 26, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. These complaints have been dismissed or consolidated into two complaints under one caption: *In Re OSB Anti-Trust Litigation*, Master File No. 06-CV-00826 (PD). The first complaint is a consolidated amended class action complaint filed on March 31, 2006 in which plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of persons and entities who directly purchased OSB from the defendants from May 1, 2002 through the date the complaint was filed (the direct purchaser complaint). The second complaint is a consolidated amended class action complaint, filed on June 15, 2006, in which the plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of persons and entities who indirectly purchased OSB from the defendants from May 1, 2002 through the date the complaint was filed (the indirect purchaser complaint).

The plaintiffs, in both amended and consolidated complaints described above, seek treble damages in unspecified amounts alleged to have resulted from a conspiracy among the defendants to fix, raise, maintain and stabilize the prices at which OSB is sold in the United States, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1. The plaintiffs in the indirect purchaser complaint also seek similar remedies under individual state anti-trust and competition laws as well as consumer protection laws. We believe that the claims asserted are without merit, and intend to defend this matter vigorously. We are unable to

predict whether the court will declare these actions to be class actions, and likewise are unable to predict the potential financial impact of these actions.

#### **OTHER PROCEEDINGS**

We are parties to other legal proceedings. Based on the information currently available, we believe that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

#### **CONTINGENCY RESERVES**

We maintain reserves for the estimated cost of the legal and environmental matters referred to above. However, as with any estimate, there is uncertainty of predicting the outcomes of claims and litigation and environmental investigations and remediation efforts that could cause actual costs to vary materially from current estimates. Due to various uncertainties, we cannot predict to what degree actual payments will exceed the recorded liabilities related to these matters. However, it is possible that, in either the near term or the longer term, revised estimates or actual payments will significantly exceed the recorded liabilities.

For information regarding our financial statement reserves for the estimated costs of the environmental and legal matters referred to above, see Note 18 of the Notes to financial statements included in item 8 in this report.

#### **ITEM 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

No matter was submitted to a vote of LP's security holders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

## PART II

### ITEM 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

The common stock of LP is listed on the New York Stock Exchange with the ticker symbol "LPX." The Dow-Jones newspaper quotations symbol for the common stock is "LaPac." Information regarding the high and low sales prices for the common stock for each quarter of the last two years is as follows:

	<u>1ST QTR</u>	<u>2ND QTR</u>	<u>3RD QTR</u>	<u>4TH QTR</u>
HIGH AND LOW STOCK PRICES				
2006 High .....	\$29.75	\$28.83	\$21.95	\$22.54
Low .....	25.06	21.03	18.05	18.59
2005 High .....	\$28.73	\$26.26	\$27.80	\$28.69
Low .....	24.31	22.06	23.78	24.61


As of February 18, 2007, there were approximately 8,439 holders of record of our common stock. For the year ended December 31, 2005, LP paid cash dividends of \$0.475 per share and for the year ended December 31, 2006, LP paid \$0.60 per share. We currently have no restrictions as to the payment of dividends.

#### ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On November 1, 2003, the Board of Directors authorized LP to purchase from time to time up to 20,000,000 shares of its outstanding stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. LP did not repurchase any of its shares during the fourth quarter of 2006. As of December 31, 2006, the remaining open authorization is 12,264,000 shares.

## PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the total cumulative return to investors, including dividends paid (assuming reinvestment of dividends) and appreciation or depreciation in stock price, from an investment in LP Common Stock for the period December 31, 2001, through December 31, 2006, to the total cumulative return to investors from the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the Standard & Poor's Paper and Forest Products Index for the same period. Stockholders are cautioned that the graph shows the returns to investors only as of the dates noted and may not be representative of the returns for any other past or future period.





**ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data**

<u>Year ended December 31</u>	<u>2006(4)</u>	<u>2005(3)</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003(2)</u>	<u>2002(1)</u>
	Dollar amounts in millions, except per share				
<b>SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT DATA</b>					
Net sales.....	\$2,235.1	\$2,598.9	\$2,730.7	\$2,168.7	\$1,482.5
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	125.5	475.8	420.2	280.7	(9.3)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations....	(1.8)	(19.2)	0.5	(8.3)	(48.9)
Net income (loss).....	123.7	455.5	420.7	272.5	(62.0)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share—basic .....	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.37	\$ 3.88	\$ 2.66	\$ (0.09)
Net income (loss) per share—basic.....	\$ 1.18	\$ 4.18	\$ 3.88	\$ 2.58	\$ (0.59)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share—diluted .....	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.34	\$ 3.84	\$ 2.64	\$ (0.09)
Net income (loss) per share—diluted.....	\$ 1.17	\$ 4.15	\$ 3.84	\$ 2.56	\$ (0.59)
Average shares of common stock outstanding (millions)					
Basic.....	105.1	109.0	108.3	105.5	104.6
Diluted.....	105.5	109.7	109.6	106.5	104.6
Cash dividends declared per common share ....	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.475	\$ 0.30	—	—
<b>SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION</b>					
Total assets .....	\$3,436.4	\$3,598.0	\$3,450.6	\$3,204.4	\$2,780.0
Long-term debt, excluding current portion .....	\$ 644.6	\$ 734.8	\$ 622.5	\$1,020.7	\$1,077.0
Contingency reserves, excluding current portion	\$ 25.6	\$ 31.4	\$ 42.1	\$ 55.6	\$ 106.1
Stockholders' equity.....	\$2,067.4	\$2,042.9	\$1,767.8	\$1,310.9	\$1,006.2

- (1) As of January 1, 2002, LP adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". See Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information.
- (2) As of January 1, 2003, LP adopted SFAS No. 143, "Asset Retirement Obligations". See Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information.
- (3) As of December 31, 2005, LP adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143". See Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information.
- (4) As of January 1, 2006, LP adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") and as of December 31, 2006, LP adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158 "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)". See Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information.

## **ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

### **OVERVIEW**

#### **General**

Our products are used primarily in new home construction, repair and remodeling, and manufactured housing. We also market and sell our products in light industrial and commercial construction and have a modest export business for some of our specialty building products. Our manufacturing facilities are primarily located in the U.S. and Canada, but we also operate a facility in Chile.

To serve these markets, we operate in three segments: Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; and Engineered Wood Products (EWP). OSB is the most significant segment, accounting for 54% of continuing sales in 2006, 60% in 2005 and 64% in 2004.

Our most significant product, OSB, is sold as a commodity for which sales prices fluctuate daily based on market factors over which we have little or no control. We cannot predict whether the prices of our products will remain at current levels, increase or decrease in the future. However, industry analysts have forecasted that the expectation of new capacity coupled with lower new housing activity will likely lead to continued lower pricing for the next twelve to eighteen months. During 2006, commodity OSB prices declined significantly as compared to 2005. During 2005, commodity OSB prices moderated compared to 2004 but nonetheless remained at relatively high cyclical levels. We also experienced significant increases in the cost of petroleum-based raw materials, energy and wood (including log delivery costs) throughout our businesses. In our non-commodity based businesses, we were able to implement price increases to partially mitigate these cost increases. We expect the costs of these inputs to remain at relatively high levels, and possibly to increase further, in the foreseeable future.

#### **Factors Affecting Our Results**

##### *Revenues and Operating Costs.*

We derive our revenues from sales of our products. The unit volumes of products sold and the prices at which sales are made determine the amount of our revenues. These volumes and prices are affected by the overall level of demand for, and supply of, products of the type we sell and comparable or substitute products, and by competitive conditions in our industry.


Our operating results reflect the relationship between the amount of our revenues and our costs of production and other operating costs and expenses. Our costs of production are affected by, among other factors, costs of raw materials (primarily wood fiber and various petroleum-based resins) and energy costs, which in turn are affected by the overall market supply of and demand for these manufacturing inputs. The Canadian dollar strengthened against the U.S. dollar in 2006, causing our costs, as reported in U.S. dollars, to rise.

##### *Demand for Building Products.*

Demand for our products correlates to a significant degree to the level of residential construction activity in North America, which historically has been characterized by significant cyclicity. This activity can be further delineated into three areas: (1) new home construction; (2) repair and remodeling; and (3) manufactured housing.

*New Home Construction.* During the last three years, there has been increased housing activity driven by a combination of higher demand due to the demographics of the U.S. population and a low interest rate environment. The chart below provides a graphical summary of new housing starts in the U.S. since 1960. The level of volatility in housing starts has moderated in recent years. We believe that this is largely due to the continued consolidation among the big homebuilders, shortage of construction laborers

and lengthier processes to obtain appropriate zoning. The chart below depicts actual, rolling five and ten year average housing starts.




Source: Resource International Systems, Inc. (RISI)

*Repair and Remodeling.* Demand for building materials to support home improvement projects is largely tied to the size and age of the existing housing stock in North America. As can be seen from the chart above, the 1970s and 1980s had some of the highest levels of building activity. This puts these homes at an age of 25-35 years, which has been shown to be consistent with the highest per home expenditure rate on repair and remodeling. With the rise in the number and scale of home improvement stores in North America, individuals now have ready and convenient access to obtain the building materials needed for repair and remodeling, as well as increased access to installation services. We believe that the growth rate in spending on repair and remodeling over the last three years has been in the 4-6% range, and has been driven by increased same store sales and the addition of new stores.

*Manufactured Housing.* While new home construction activity has been robust in the last three years, manufactured housing has suffered. There are several factors that have led to the decline in the number of manufactured housing units produced, including a lack of available financing, increased ability of potential customers to purchase site-built starter homes and financial difficulties at some of the larger manufactured housing producers.


*Supply of Building Products.*

OSB is a commodity product, and all of our products are subject to competition from manufacturers worldwide. Product supply is influenced primarily by fluctuations in available manufacturing capacity. According to Resource International Systems Inc. (RISI), an economic consulting firm, total North American OSB annual production is projected to increase by approximately 11.6 billion square feet in the period from 2007 to 2011 while plywood production is projected to decline by 6.4 billion square feet for the same period. The chart below depicts the North America structural wood market in billions of square feet.



*Product Pricing.*

Historical prices for our products have been volatile, and we, like other participants in the building products industry, have limited influence over the timing and extent of price changes for our products. The average North Central wholesale price for OSB (per thousand square feet 7/16” basis) from 1993 through 2006, as calculated by *Random Lengths*, an industry publication, is presented below. RISI’s forecast (as of December 2006) for average North Central wholesale price for OSB (per thousand square feet 7/16” basis) through 2011 is also shown.



**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES**

Presented in Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements in item 8 of this report is a discussion of our significant accounting policies and significant accounting estimates and judgments. The discussion of each of the policies and estimates outlines the specific accounting treatment related to each of these accounting areas.

## Accounting Policies

There are several policies that we have adopted and implemented from among acceptable alternatives that could lead to different financial results had another policy been chosen:

*Inventory valuation.* We use the LIFO (last-in, first-out) method for some of our log inventories with the remaining inventories valued at FIFO (first-in, first-out) or average cost. Our inventories would have been approximately \$2.8 million higher if the LIFO inventories were valued at average cost as of December 31, 2006.

*Property, plant and equipment.* We principally use the units of production method of depreciation for machinery and equipment. This method amortizes the cost of machinery and equipment over the estimated units that will be produced during its estimated useful life.

## Significant Accounting Estimates And Judgments

Throughout the preparation of the financial statements, we employ significant judgments in the application of accounting principles and methods. These judgments are primarily related to the assumptions used to arrive at various estimates. For 2006, these significant accounting estimates and judgments include:

*Legal Contingencies.* Our estimates of loss contingencies for legal proceedings are based on various judgments and assumptions regarding the potential resolution or disposition of the underlying claims and associated costs. In making judgments and assumptions regarding legal contingencies for ongoing class action settlements, we consider, among other things, discernible trends in the rate of claims asserted and related damage estimates and information obtained through consultation with statisticians and economists, including statistical analyses of potential outcomes based on experience to date and the experience of third parties who have been subject to product-related claims judged to be comparable. Due to the numerous variables associated with these judgments and assumptions, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related loss contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to these contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly.

*Environmental Contingencies.* Our estimates of loss contingencies for environmental matters are based on various judgments and assumptions. These estimates typically reflect judgments and assumptions relating to the probable nature, magnitude and timing of required investigation, remediation and/or monitoring activities and the probable cost of these activities, and in some cases reflect judgments and assumptions relating to the obligation or willingness and ability of third parties to bear a proportionate or allocated share of the cost of these activities, including third parties who purchased assets from us subject to environmental liabilities. We consider the ability of third parties to pay their apportioned cost when developing our estimates. In making these judgments and assumptions related to the development of our loss contingencies, we consider, among other things, the activity to date at particular sites, information obtained through consultation with applicable regulatory authorities and third-party consultants and contractors and our historical experience at other sites that are judged to be comparable. Due to the numerous variables associated with these judgments and assumptions, and the effects of changes in governmental regulation and environmental technologies, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to environmental loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change our estimates significantly. At December 31, 2006, we excluded from our estimates approximately \$1.6 million of potential environmental liabilities that we estimate will be allocated to third parties pursuant to existing and anticipated future cost sharing arrangements.

*Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.* We review the long-lived assets held and used by us (primarily property, plant and equipment and timber and timberlands) for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. Identifying these events and changes in circumstances, and assessing their impact on the appropriate valuation of the affected assets under accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates. In general, on assets held and used, impairments are recognized when the book values exceed our estimate of the undiscounted future net cash flows associated with the affected assets. The key assumptions in estimating these cash flows include future production volumes and pricing of commodity or specialty products and future estimates of expenses to be incurred. Our assumptions regarding pricing are based upon the average pricing over the commodity cycle (generally five years) due to the inherent volatility of commodity product pricing. These prices are estimated from information gathered from industry research firms, research reports published by investment analysts and other published forecasts. Our estimates of expenses are based upon our long-range internal planning models and our expectation that we will continue to reduce product costs that will offset inflationary impacts.

When impairment is indicated, the book values of the assets to be held and used are written down to their estimated fair value, which is generally based upon discounted future cash flows. Assets to be disposed of are written down to their estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. Consequently, a determination to dispose of particular assets can require us to estimate the net sales proceeds expected to be realized upon such disposition, which may be less than the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows associated with such assets prior to such determination, and thus require an impairment charge. In situations where we have experience in selling assets of a similar nature, we may estimate net sales proceeds on the basis of that experience. In other situations, we hire independent appraisers to estimate net sales proceeds. Due to the numerous variables associated with our judgments and assumptions relating to the valuation of assets in these circumstances, and the effects of changes in circumstances affecting these valuations, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related impairment charges are subject to substantial uncertainties and, as additional information becomes known, we may change our estimates significantly.

*Income Taxes.* The determination of the provision for income taxes, and the resulting current and deferred tax assets and liabilities, involves significant management judgment, and is based upon information and estimates available to management at the time of such determination. The final income tax liability to any taxing jurisdiction with respect to any calendar year will ultimately be determined long after our financial statements have been published for that year. We maintain reserves for known estimated tax exposures in federal, state and international jurisdictions; however, actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

Judgment is also applied in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. When we consider it to be more likely than not that all or some portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established for the amount of the deferred tax asset that is estimated not to be realizable. As of December 31, 2006, we had established valuation allowances against certain deferred tax assets, primarily related to foreign tax credit carryovers, state net operating losses and credit carryovers and foreign capital loss carryovers. We have not established valuation allowances against other deferred tax assets based upon expected future taxable income and/or tax strategies planned to mitigate the risk of impairment of these assets. Accordingly, changes in facts or circumstances affecting the likelihood of realizing a deferred tax asset could result in the need to record additional valuation allowances.

*Goodwill.* Goodwill and other intangible assets that are deemed to have an indefinite life are no longer amortized. However, these indefinite life assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis, and otherwise when indicators of impairment are determined to exist, by applying a fair value based test. The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is highly subjective and requires significant

judgments at many points during the analysis. In testing for potential impairment, the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, as determined based upon cash flow forecasts, is compared to the book value of the reporting unit. The key assumptions in estimating these cash flows include future production volumes and pricing of commodity products and future estimates of expenses to be incurred. Our assumptions regarding pricing are based upon the average pricing over the commodity cycle (generally five years) due to the inherent volatility of commodity product pricing. These prices are estimated from information gathered from industry research firms, research reports published by investment analysts and other published forecasts. Our estimates of expenses are based upon our long-range internal planning models and our expectation that we will reduce product costs that will offset inflationary impacts.

Due to the numerous variables associated with our judgments and assumptions relating to the valuation of assets in these circumstances, and the effects of changes in circumstances affecting these valuations, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related impairment charges, if any, are subject to substantial uncertainties. Consequently, as additional information becomes known, we may change our estimates significantly.

*Pension Plans.* Most of our U.S. employees and many of our Canadian employees participate in defined benefit pension plans sponsored by LP. We account for the consequences of our sponsorship of these plans in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require us to make actuarial assumptions that are used to calculate the related assets, liabilities and expenses recorded in our financial statements. While we believe we have a reasonable basis for these assumptions, which include assumptions regarding long-term rates of return on plan assets, life expectancies, rates of increase in salary levels, rates at which future values should be discounted to determine present values and other matters, the amounts of our pension related assets, liabilities and expenses recorded in our financial statements would differ if we used other assumptions. See further discussion related to pension plans below under the heading “Defined Benefit Pension Plans” and in Note 13 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

*Workers’ Compensations.* We are self insured for most of our U.S. employees workers’ compensation claims. We account for these plans in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require us to make actuarial assumptions that are used to calculate the related assets, liabilities and expenses recorded in our financial statements. While we believe we have a reasonable basis for these assumptions, which include assumptions regarding rates at which future values should be discounted to determine present values, expected future health care costs and other matters. The amounts of our liabilities and related expenses recorded in our financial statements would differ if we used other assumptions.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

We earned net income of \$123.7 million (\$1.17 per diluted share) in 2006, which was comprised of income from continuing operations of \$125.5 million (\$1.19 per diluted share) and a loss from discontinued operations of \$1.8 million (\$0.02 per diluted share). This compares to a net income of \$455.5 million (\$4.15 per diluted share) in 2005, which was comprised of income from continuing operations of \$475.8 million (\$4.34 per diluted share), a loss from discontinued operations of \$19.2 million (\$0.18 per diluted share) and a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$1.1 million (\$0.01 per diluted share). We earned \$420.7 million (\$3.84 per diluted share) in 2004, which was comprised of income from continuing operations of \$420.2 million (\$3.84 per diluted share) and income from discontinued operations of \$0.5 million.

Sales in 2006 were \$2.2 billion, a decrease of 14% from 2005 sales of \$2.6 billion. Sales in 2005 as compared to 2004 were lower by 5%. The decreases in 2006 and 2005 were both largely attributable to

changes in OSB pricing, which is discussed further below. Additionally, in 2006, the U.S. housing market slowed significantly as compared to the comparable periods which affected all of our businesses.

Our results of operations for each of our segments are discussed below, as are results of operations for the “other” category which comprises other products that are not individually significant. See Note 23 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for further information regarding our segments.

## OSB

Our OSB segment manufactures and distributes OSB structural panels. Our OSB segment also sells 100% of the volume sold in North America that is manufactured at a Canadian OSB plant owned by our joint venture with Canfor Corporation (Canfor). This plant began production in November of 2005.

Our strategy to continue to enhance our industry leading position in the OSB business involves: (1) increasing investment in our existing facilities in order to reduce costs and improve throughput and recovery by continuing to focus on efficiency; (2) improving net realizations relative to weighted-average OSB regional pricing; (3) leveraging our expertise in OSB to capitalize on new opportunities for revenue growth through new product lines; and (4) expanding capacity to meet growing OSB demand, but doing so through internal growth at existing facilities, selected acquisitions that meet specific criteria and by building new, low-cost manufacturing facilities to serve particular markets.

OSB is manufactured through the use of wood strands arranged in layers and bonded with resins and wax. Significant cost inputs to produce OSB and approximate breakdown percentages (for the year ended December 31, 2006) include wood (35%), resin and wax (20%), labor and burden (15%), utilities (8%) and manufacturing and other (22%).

Segment profits and related depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested for this segment are as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u> (in millions)	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2006 – 2005</u>	<u>2005 – 2004</u>
Sales .....	\$ 1,212.2	\$ 1,560.4	\$ 1,749.0	(22)%	(11)%
Operating profits .....	\$ 109.6	\$ 528.4	\$ 829.7	(79)%	(36)%
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested. ....	\$ 78.2	\$ 87.7	\$ 94.0		

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2006 compared to 2005 and 2005 compared to 2004 are as follows:

	<u>2006 versus 2005</u>		<u>2005 versus 2004</u>	
	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>
OSB .....	(27)%	3%	(11)%	—

### *2006 compared to 2005*

OSB prices declined during 2006 compared to 2005 due to weakening housing demand coupled with increased industry capacity in OSB. The impact of the reduction in selling price accounted for a decrease in net sales and operating profits of approximately \$393 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to 2005. As compared to the corresponding period of 2005, the increase in sales volume was driven largely by higher production at our existing manufacturing plants and start-up volumes from our Peace Valley joint venture with Canfor Corporation, for which we serve as the North American distributor.



Compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, the primary factors, along with the reduced sales price, for decreased operating profits were the increase in our Canadian dollar denominated manufacturing costs, a portion of the costs associated with the startup of our JV OSB mill and increases in petroleum based raw materials. The Canadian dollar has strengthened significantly since 2005, which causes our Canadian production costs stated in U.S. dollars to increase. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2006, we curtailed a portion of our OSB operations due to the weakened demand which resulted in higher per unit costs.

*2005 compared to 2004*

OSB prices declined during 2005 compared to 2004 due in large part to increased industry capacity which softened prices from the prior year. Lower average selling prices accounted for reduced net sales and operating profits of approximately \$225 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 2004.

Compared to the prior year, the primary factor for decreased operating profits was the lower average selling prices discussed above. Additionally, we experienced a significant increase in the cost of petroleum-based raw materials (principally resins), delivered log costs and energy costs. Compared to 2004, resin costs per unit increased over 30% and delivered log cost per unit increased about 6% for the same period. Additionally, a significant portion of our OSB costs are denominated in Canadian dollars. The Canadian dollar has strengthened significantly since 2004 which caused our costs stated in U.S. dollars to increase. Additionally, LP recorded losses related to the Canfor joint venture in 2005, because the facility did not begin production until November 2005 and was incurring administrative costs throughout the year.

**Siding**

Our siding segment produces and markets composite wood siding and related accessories, interior hardboard products and commodity OSB products. We believe that we are the leading wood composite exterior cladding producer in North America. We manufacture exterior siding and other cladding products for the residential and commercial building markets. Additionally, we are seeking to optimize our current capacity by extending the hardboard lifecycle through innovative new products and features.

Our strategy is to drive product innovation by utilizing our technological expertise in wood and wood composites to better address the needs of our customers. We intend to increase our product offerings and production capacity of higher margin, value-added products through the addition of lower cost plants or the conversion of OSB plants from commodity structural panel production to OSB-based exterior siding products.

Segment profits and related depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested for this segment are as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2006 – 2005</u>	<u>2005 – 2004</u>
			(in millions)		
Sales . . . . .	\$493.4	\$453.5	\$430.7	9%	5%
Operating profits . . . . .	\$ 67.3	\$ 45.2	\$ 51.9	49%	(13)%
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested . . . . .	\$ 18.1	\$ 16.2	\$ 15.0		

Sales in this segment are broken down as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	(in millions)		
OSB-based exterior products.....	\$288.3	\$268.7	\$251.9
Commodity OSB .....	48.5	14.0	8.6
Hardboard siding .....	156.6	170.8	170.2
Total.....	<u>\$493.4</u>	<u>\$453.5</u>	<u>\$430.7</u>

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2006 compared to 2005 and 2005 compared to 2004 are as follows:

	<u>2006 versus 2005</u>		<u>2005 versus 2004</u>	
	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>
OSB-based exterior products.....	6%	3%	4%	(1)%
Commodity OSB .....	(28)%	215%	13%	48%
Hardboard siding .....	6%	(14)%	18%	(13)%

#### *2006 compared to 2005*

Sales volume increased in our OSB-based siding product line as well as for commodity OSB produced at one of our siding mills for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the prior year. Increases in unit shipments in our OSB-based exterior siding product line were a result of market share gains as well as continued development of our siding trim business. The increase in commodity OSB shipment volume is related to our transfer, as of January 1, 2006, of our siding production at our Silsbee, Texas mill to one of two lines at our Hayward, Wisconsin facility. Currently, the Hayward mill continues to produce commodity OSB on one of its two production lines; however, we are in the process of commissioning the second siding production line which will be operational in 2007. In our hardboard product line, sales volume declined and sales prices increased due to a change in product mix that included more siding and less industrial board.

Overall, improvements in operating results for our siding segment for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to increased sales volumes and prices in our OSB-based siding products and improved operating performance due to the transfer of siding production to our more efficient Hayward facility discussed above. These improvements were partially offset by increases in energy and resin costs.

#### *2005 compared to 2004*

Sales volumes in 2005 decreased slightly for OSB-based exterior products while sales prices were higher due to a price increase that took effect on January 1, 2005 to offset increases in raw material costs.

In our hardboard product line, sales volume declined and sales prices increased due to a change in product mix as we shifted production capacity to higher margin siding products and away from interior hardboard products.

The commodity OSB volume increased significantly in 2005 due to market demands in the Southern U.S. for OSB especially in the later portion of 2005 due to the fall hurricanes. See the discussion in our OSB segment above for a discussion of changes in commodity OSB pricing. Additionally, due to persistent operational problems at our Silsbee Texas mill, we sold large quantities of off-grade siding material which sells at a substantially lower margin. In the fall of 2005, we decided to move siding production from Silsbee

to one of two lines at our Hayward, Wisconsin OSB facility beginning in 2006 and to use this facility solely to produce commodity OSB.

Overall, the decline in 2005 operating results for our siding segment compared to 2004 was primarily due to the poor operating performance at our Silsbee, Texas mill mentioned above and increased costs for delivered logs, energy and resin. These declines were partially offset by increased sales prices.

### Engineered Wood Products

Our engineered wood products (EWP) segment manufactures and distributes laminated veneer lumber (LVL), I-joists and other related products. This segment also sells 100% of the I-Joist production of two facilities owned within our joint venture with Abitibi Consolidated. Included in this segment is a plywood mill, which primarily produces plywood as a by-product from the LVL production process.

Our strategy is to strengthen our brand name recognition in the EWP market by enhancing our product mix and quality, providing superior technical support for our customers and leveraging our sales and marketing relationships to cross-sell our EWP products. Additionally, we are seeking to drive costs down by rationalizing production capacity across geographic areas and improving operating efficiencies in our manufacturing facilities.

Segment profits and related depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested for this segment are as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2006 - 2005</u>	<u>2005 - 2004</u>
				<u>(in millions)</u>	
Sales . . . . .	\$ 392.0	\$ 431.4	\$ 399.4	(9)%	8%
Operating profits . . . . .	\$ 33.2	\$ 34.0	\$ 7.2	(2)%	372%
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested . . . . .	\$ 13.9	\$ 14.7	\$ 16.6		

Sales in this segment are broken down as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>(in millions)</u>		
LVL . . . . .	\$ 173.4	\$ 186.7	\$ 164.7
I-joist . . . . .	177.3	197.8	174.7
Plywood . . . . .	5.5	11.2	28.7
Related products . . . . .	35.8	35.7	31.3
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ 392.0</u>	<u>\$ 431.4</u>	<u>\$ 399.4</u>

Percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2006 compared to 2005 and 2005 compared to 2004 are as follows:

	<u>2006 versus 2005</u>		<u>2005 versus 2004</u>	
	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>
LVL . . . . .	—	(9)%	17%	(3)%
I-joist . . . . .	—	(14)%	16%	1%

#### *2006 compared to 2005*

During 2006, we experienced reductions in sales volumes in both LVL and I-Joist. These declines are attributed to a slowdown in the housing market as well as weather related issues, especially extended rain and flooding on the West Coast which occurred primarily in the second quarter of 2006. Although, net

average selling prices remained flat for 2006, we are beginning to see price pressure. For the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the prior year, the results of operations for EWP were slightly lower due primarily to reduced sales volumes. Additionally, we recognized some reductions in raw material costs (primarily OSB and lumber) which were offset by increases in conversion costs due to lower volumes.

*2005 compared to 2004*

During 2005, we experienced slowing sales volumes in LVL and a slight increase in I-joist sales volumes. This comes after two years of double-digit growth per year in both product lines. Sales prices increased due to several significant price increases both at the end of 2004 and early in 2005 to offset higher raw material costs. Our focus continues to be on reductions in conversion costs, better geographic manufacturing and distribution, and maintaining key customer relationships.

The results of operations of our EWP segment improved significantly primarily due to significant price increases which more than offset increases in raw material costs (primarily veneer, OSB and lumber) over the prior year.

**Other Products**

Our other products category includes our moulding, composite decking business, Chilean operations and our joint venture that produces cellulose insulation. Additionally, this category includes our remaining timber and timberlands and other minor products, services and operations closed prior to January 1, 2002.

Profits for this category and related depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested for this category are as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>Increase (decrease)</u>	
				<u>2006 - 2005</u>	<u>2005 - 2004</u>
				(in millions)	
Sales . . . . .	\$ 139.0	\$ 163.7	\$ 161.6	(15)%	1%
Operating profits (losses) . . . . .	\$ (5.8)	\$ 13.0	\$ 14.7	(145)%	(12)%
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested . . . . .	\$ 12.2	\$ 9.0	\$ 7.2		

Sales in this category are broken down as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	(in millions)		
Mouldings . . . . .	\$ 38.7	\$ 42.2	\$ 42.2
Chilean operation . . . . .	36.3	34.0	26.5
Decking . . . . .	47.7	70.5	67.1
Other . . . . .	16.3	17.0	25.8
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ 139.0</u>	<u>\$ 163.7</u>	<u>\$ 161.6</u>

For decking and moulding, percent changes in average sales prices and unit shipments for the year ended 2006 compared to 2005 and 2005 compared to 2004 are as follows:

	<u>2006 versus 2005</u>		<u>2005 versus 2004</u>	
	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>	<u>Average Net Selling Price</u>	<u>Unit Shipments</u>
Moulding . . . . .	(5)%	(4)%	1%	(1)%
Decking . . . . .	7%	(23)%	3%	(2)%

### *2006 compared to 2005*

For the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to 2005, we experienced a decline in sales volumes for our decking business. The decline in this business came primarily in the third and fourth quarters. Volumes had increased during the first six months of 2006 due to the release of finished goods inventory from shipments held in the fourth quarter of 2005 pending resolution of a product certification issue. Although, this issue was resolved in early 2006, emerging certification standards may affect us in the future. In our moulding business, our sales volumes declined slightly. In our Chilean operation, we continued to see higher sales due to increases in both commodity OSB pricing as well as volumes based on acceptance of OSB in the local markets. Overall, operating profits from this category showed a significant decline in 2006 due to lower sales volumes and increased costs due to inefficiencies in our decking operations and reductions due to lost margin on decking accessories. Our supplier of decking accessories began selling direct to customers in 2006.

### *2005 compared to 2004*

During 2005, we continued to see strength in sales in our decking and Chilean businesses. Our moulding sales were flat while our other businesses in this category all showed declining sales. In our mouldings product line, we saw relatively flat sales volumes with slightly higher sales prices. During the year, we were notified of a loss of a key customer, although that customer continued to purchase product from us throughout 2005. We expect to lose these sales in 2006, but have added a new key customer that will partially offset the lost volume. In our composite decking business, we saw a slight decrease in volumes as we terminated some of our distributors and replaced them with the BlueLinx distribution network. While we believe that this replacement will lead to increases in sales in 2006, it caused a disruption in our sales volumes during the latter portion of 2005 as current distributors worked through existing inventory. For both our moulding and decking operations, we saw significant increases in the price of petroleum-based raw materials which negatively impacted margins. In our Chilean operation, we continued to see increased sales due to both higher commodity OSB pricing and increased volumes through better acceptance of OSB in the local markets and increased export volumes to Asia. Additionally, sales of logs to third parties from our timber contracts declined as we worked through the remaining contracts associated with previously sold facilities. Our joint venture to produce cellulose insulation improved significantly in 2005 and 2004 due to lower raw material costs and increased market penetration. Overall, operating profits in this category declined slightly due to increased raw materials costs which were partially offset by our share of increased profits at our insulation joint venture.

## **GENERAL CORPORATE AND OTHER EXPENSE, NET**

Net general corporate expense was \$95 million in 2006 as compared to \$88 million in 2005 and \$104 million in 2004. General corporate and other expenses primarily consist of corporate overhead such as wages and benefits for corporate personnel, professional fees, insurance, travel costs, non-product specific marketing and other expenses. The increase in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily resulted from higher legal expenses associated with a lawsuit resolved in early 2006, stock compensation expenses and the timing of audit fees. Offsetting a portion of these increases was a reduction in incentive plan accruals due to income from continuing operations. The decrease in 2005 as compared to 2004 primarily resulted from several one time charges recorded in 2004 related to stock compensation accruals that were not required in 2005 and several non-recurring credits recorded in 2005 (including a settlement of \$1.6 million).

## **OTHER OPERATING CREDITS AND CHARGES, NET**

For a discussion of other operating credits and charges, net, refer to Notes 1 and 16 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

## **GAIN (LOSS) ON SALES OF AND IMPAIRMENTS OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

For a discussion of gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets, refer to Notes 1 and 17 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

## **INVESTMENT INCOME, NET OF INTEREST EXPENSE**

In 2006, net investment income was \$46.3 million compared to net investment income of \$16.7 million in 2005 and net interest expense in 2004 of \$19.7 million. We earned net investment income in 2006 and 2005, compared to incurring net interest expense in 2004, as we repaid our highest rate debt in late 2004 and in 2005.

## **EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES**

Over the last several years, we have entered into several joint venture arrangements. These include: (1) a joint venture with Casella Waste Management Systems, Inc. to produce cellulose insulation; (2) a joint venture with Canfor Corporation to construct and operate an OSB mill in British Columbia; and (3) a joint venture with Abitibi-Consolidated to construct and operate two I-joist facilities in Quebec.

In August 2000, together with Casella Waste Management Systems, Inc., we each contributed most of the assets of our respective cellulose insulation operations to a joint venture, U.S. GreenFiber, LLC (GreenFiber). Pursuant to the Limited Liability Company Agreement, each company owns 50% of GreenFiber. GreenFiber elected to be treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and therefore the entity is not taxed directly. GreenFiber's operations weakened in 2006 compared to 2005 due to the overall slowing in the new home construction and improved significantly in 2005 compared to 2004 due to higher sales prices and increased market penetration. The results of this operation are included within Other Products.

In 2003, together with Canfor Corporation, we entered into an agreement to jointly construct an 820 million square foot OSB facility in British Columbia, Canada. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, each company owns 50% of the venture with LP being responsible for all North America sales from this facility. The joint venture with Canfor commenced operations as of November 2005. The results of this operation are included in our OSB segment.

In November 2002, we sold some of our I-joist manufacturing equipment to our joint venture with Abitibi-Consolidated to construct and operate an I-joist facility in Eastern Canada. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, each company owns 50% of the venture. This venture commenced operations during 2003. The operating results of this venture improved in 2004. In 2004, we initiated the construction of a second I-joist facility with Abitibi-Consolidated that commenced operations in October 2005. The results of these operations are included in the EWP segment.

## **DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

Included in discontinued operations for 2006, 2005 and 2004 are the results of the operations of mills that have been divested under our divestiture plans. These operations include our plywood, lumber, vinyl siding and industrial panels mills, wholesale operation and our distribution business. The results of operations for these locations are as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
		(in millions)	
Sales . . . . .	(0.2)	\$ 143.0	\$ 263.9
Operating profits (losses) . . . . .	\$(2.9)	\$ (30.7)	\$ 0.7

#### *2006 compared to 2005*

At December 31, 2006, we had no operations classified as discontinued. For 2006, the loss on discontinued operations relates to residual losses from previously discontinued operations.

#### *2005 compared to 2004*

Overall, sales for these operations declined significantly in 2005 as compared to 2004. This decline is primarily related to timing on the sale, transfer or permanent closure of locations. During 2005, we sold one lumber mill, two previously closed sites and our vinyl siding operations.

Included in the operating losses of discontinued operations for 2005 are impairment charges of \$22.9 million, which we recorded to reduce the carrying values of these assets to their estimated fair value less estimated cost to sell, and a gain of \$5.7 million on the sale of the lumber mill and previous closed sites.

### **INCOME TAXES**

In total, we recorded tax provisions of \$23.1 million in 2006, \$49.1 million in 2005 and \$277.7 million in 2004. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the primary differences between the U.S. statutory rate of 35% and our effective rate on continuing operations relates to interest deductible for income tax purposes that is eliminated in the consolidation process, the deduction allowed with respect to income from U.S. production activities, revisions to prior year estimates, the impact of the translation of Canadian operations and a reduction in LP's Canadian deferred tax liabilities due to an enacted decrease in the statutory income tax rate. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the primary differences between the U.S. statutory rate of 35% and our effective rate on income from continuing operations related to the deduction allowed with respect to income from U.S. production activities, interest deductible for income tax purposes that is eliminated in the consolidation process and the reversal of previously recorded accruals for taxes in connection with our repatriation of accumulated earnings from our Canadian subsidiary. In 2004, our effective tax rate differed from the statutory rate primarily due to revisions to estimates recorded in prior years, state income taxes and the effects of foreign exchange gains and losses that were taxable but were eliminated in the consolidation process. We paid approximately \$124.4 million in cash taxes during 2006 and expect to receive \$71 million in related refunds in 2007.

During 2005, LP completed its plans to repatriate accumulated earnings from its Canadian subsidiary to the U.S. LP repatriated approximately \$513 million of Canadian earnings in the fourth quarter of 2005 and recorded a net tax benefit of \$94 million consisting of approximately \$28 million in U.S. federal and state income taxes, an additional \$22 million, net of tax benefit, in Canadian withholding taxes, and reversal of \$144 million of deferred tax liabilities recorded in prior years.

### **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS**

We maintain several qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans in the U.S. and Canada that cover a substantial portion of our employees. We account for all of these plans and provide aggregated disclosures about these plans in the Notes to our financial statements as required by SFAS No. 158 "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)" (SFAS 158), the recognition and disclosure requirements of which were adopted as of December 31, 2006. See Note 13 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report. We estimate that our net periodic pension cost for 2007 will be approximately \$13.8 million. This estimate assumes that we will have no curtailment or settlement expenses in 2007. If a curtailment or settlement does occur in 2007, this estimate may change significantly. We estimate that we will contribute approximately \$8 to \$10 million to our defined benefit pension plans in 2007.

In accordance with SFAS 158, we recognized the funded status of our defined benefit pension plans in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006 and adjusted ending accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the net actuarial loss and prior service cost that had not yet been recognized as components of net periodic pension cost. At December 31, 2006, we have a net actuarial loss of \$76.7 million (\$47.4 million, net of tax) and prior service cost of \$6.2 million (\$3.8 million, net of tax) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Despite increased asset returns and discount rates in the past few years, we still have existing losses due to lower than expected asset returns and discount rates which were lower than previously assumed. Of the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2006, we expect to recognize a net actuarial loss of \$6.0 million (\$3.7 million, net of tax) and prior service cost of \$1.2 million (\$0.7 million, net of tax) as components of net periodic pension cost in 2007, which will account for approximately 52% of our estimated 2007 net periodic pension cost.

The calculation of our net periodic pension cost is based on numerous actuarial assumptions. Our pension expense is most sensitive to changes in our assumptions regarding the long-term rate of return on assets and the discount rate.

For our U.S. plans, which account for more than 85% of the total assets of our defined benefit pension plans, we used a long-term rate of return assumption of 8.0% to calculate the 2006 net periodic pension cost. This assumption is based on information supplied by our plan advisors for our U.S. plans based on the expected returns on the portfolio of assets in those plans. We will continue to monitor the expected long-term rate of return of our pension plan investments and adjust our assumed rate of return as necessary. Additionally, to reduce the impact of market value fluctuations on net periodic pension cost, we use an asset smoothing method that recognizes annual investment gains and losses over four years. We used a long-term rate of return assumption of 7.5% to calculate our 2007 estimated pension expense. A change of 0.5% in the long-term rate of return assumption would change our estimated 2007 net periodic pension cost by approximately \$1.2 million.

For our U.S. plans, we used a discount rate assumption of 5.75% at October 31, 2006, which is our measurement date. This rate is intended to reflect the rates at which the obligations could be effectively settled at that date. We use corporate bond yields published by a recognized financial institution as an indicator of potential settlement rates. The projected payment for each year is discounted using the rates specified by the yield curve. The sum of these discounted payments is the benefit obligation. The discount rate disclosed is the single rate applied to all projected payments that creates an equivalent obligation. More than 85% of our total benefit obligations are related to our U.S. defined benefit pension plans. The discount rate from the October 31, 2005 measurement date of 5.6% was used in the determination of the 2006 net periodic pension cost.

## **LEGAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

For a discussion of legal and environmental matters involving us and the potential impact thereof on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, see Item 3 in this report as well as Note 18 in the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

### **Hardboard Siding Litigation Update**

The following discussion updates should be read in conjunction with the discussion of our hardboard siding litigation set forth in Note 18 in the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.



Cumulative statistics as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 under hardboard settlements are as follows:

	<u>December 31, 2006</u>	<u>December 31, 2005</u>	<u>December 31, 2004</u>
Requests for claims .....	55,300	46,300	39,300
Completed claims received .....	40,700	32,100	24,400
Completed claims pending.....	2,100	2,500	2,600
Claims dismissed .....	9,600	8,800	6,600
Claims settled.....	29,000	20,800	15,200

The average payment amount for settled claims as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$1,100, \$1,200 and \$1,300. Dismissal of claims is typically the result of claims for products not produced by LP or claims that lack sufficient information or documentation after repeated efforts to correct those deficiencies.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

### **Overview**

Our principal sources of liquidity are existing cash and investment balances (including cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments), cash generated by our operations and our ability to borrow under credit facilities. We may also from time to time issue and sell equity or debt securities or engage in other capital market transactions.

Our principal uses of liquidity are paying the costs and expenses associated with our operations, servicing outstanding indebtedness, making capital expenditures and paying dividends to our stockholders. We may also from time to time prepay or repurchase outstanding indebtedness, repurchase shares of our common stock and acquire assets or businesses that are complementary to our operations. Any such repurchases may be commenced, suspended, discontinued or resumed, and the method or methods of effecting any such repurchases may be changed, at any time or from time to time without prior notice.

We expect to be able to meet the future cash requirements of our existing businesses through cash generated from operations, existing cash and investment balances, existing credit facilities and other capital resources. The following discussion provides further details of our liquidity and capital resources.

### **Operating Activities**

During 2006, we generated \$184 million of cash from operating activities compared to \$514 million in 2005. The decrease in cash provided by operations in 2006 was primarily a result of lower commodity OSB pricing and losses in our decking operations.

During 2005, we generated \$514 million of cash from operating activities compared to \$602 million in 2004. The decrease in cash provided by operations in 2005 was primarily a result of lower commodity OSB pricing and increased raw material prices.

During 2004, we generated \$602 million of cash from operating activities. The increase in cash provided by operations in 2004 was primarily a result of improved operating results in our OSB business.

### **Investing Activities**

During 2006, we used approximately \$248 million of cash in investing activities as compared to \$282 million in 2005. Capital expenditures were \$237 million and related primarily to the initial costs associated with our OSB mill in Alabama, OSL facility in Houlton, Maine and improvements in our OSB siding facilities to expand our siding capacities. Additionally, we contributed or loaned \$9 million to our joint

ventures with Canfor Corporation and Abitibi Consolidated for working capital requirements. We also invested a net additional \$90 million to purchase investments with maturities in excess of 90 days to increase our returns. We received \$71 million in proceeds from our notes receivable from asset sales.

During 2005, we used approximately \$282 million of cash in investing activities as compared to \$728 million in 2004. Capital expenditures were \$174 million and related primarily to capital projects to reduce production costs in our OSB facilities, to convert an existing commodity OSB mill to a siding mill, and to increase capacity in our decking operations. Additionally, we contributed \$84 million to our joint ventures with Canfor Corporation to complete the construction of an OSB facility in British Columbia, Canada and with Abitibi - Consolidated for the construction of a second I-Joist facility in Quebec, Canada. We also invested a net additional \$89 million to purchase investments with maturities in excess of 90 days to increase our returns. We received \$53 million from the sale of various assets, including the sales of a lumber mill and our vinyl operations.

During 2004, we used \$728 million of cash in investing activities as compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$340 million in 2003. We also used approximately \$2.6 billion to purchase investments with maturities in excess of 90 days to increase the returns on our investments and received \$2.0 billion on the sale of these types of investments. Additionally, we invested \$148 million in capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment, which were primarily used for capital projects to reduce production costs in certain OSB facilities and increase our composite decking capacity. We also invested \$32 million to fund capital for our joint venture in British Columbia to build an OSB mill. We received \$40 million from the sale of various assets, including the sales of three lumber mills and two inter-related industrial hardboard facilities. Additionally, we reduced our restricted cash associated with secured letters of credit by \$45 million.

Capital expenditures in 2007 are expected to be about \$270 million on projects to reduce our energy, raw materials and resin costs in our current OSB mills, complete the construction of the new OSB mills in Alabama and Chile, complete the construction of the oriented strand lumber facility in Maine and expand capacity in our siding operations.

### **Financing Activities**

In 2006, net cash used in financing activities was \$279 million as compared to \$168 million in 2005. In 2006, we generated \$6 million in proceeds from the sale of common stock under our various equity compensation plans, received a tax benefit of \$4 million related to these sales and paid cash dividends of \$63 million. Additionally, in 2006, we repaid \$186 million of our debt. We also repurchased two million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$41 million and borrowed \$3 million under our revolving credit facility associated with our Chilean operations.

In 2005, net cash used in financing activities was \$168 million as compared to \$261 million in 2004. In 2005, we repaid \$178 million in long-term debt. Additionally, we borrowed \$202 million under a new term loan agreement to fund the repatriation of our accumulated (and future) earnings of our Canadian subsidiary under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA). We repurchased \$151 million (including expenses) in our common stock through an accelerated stock buyback program with a financial intermediary. See Note 1 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report for additional information about this program. We generated \$12 million in proceeds from the sale of common stock under our various equity compensation plans and paid cash dividends of \$52 million.

In 2004, net cash used in financing activities was \$261 million as compared to \$63 million in 2003. In 2004, we repaid \$6 million under our revolving credit facility associated with our Chilean operations and \$260 million of our long-term debt. These long-term debt payments included a premium on the early extinguishment of senior and subordinated notes of approximately \$42 million. Additionally, we generated \$41 million in proceeds from the sale of common stock under our various equity compensation plans and paid cash dividends of \$33 million.

## **Financing Obligations**

### **Credit Facilities**

We have a revolving line of credit, which will expire in September 2009, which provides for a committed borrowing capacity of \$150 million. Subject to the willingness of existing or new lenders under the credit facility to advance additional funds, we may increase our borrowing capacity under the facility by up to an additional \$100 million. The facility allows us to cash collateralize the facility, at our option, in order to lower the cost of such borrowings. If cash collateralized, this facility requires LP to pledge, as security for its reimbursement obligations under the facility, cash collateral in an amount equal to 105% of the face amount of the letters of credit outstanding under the facility at any time. At December 31, 2006, we had no borrowings outstanding under the facility. Letters of credit, issued and outstanding, which reduce our borrowing capacity, totaled approximately \$35.7 million as of December 31, 2006 and were cash collateralized with \$37.5 million.

We also have a \$10 million (Canadian) line of credit facility in Canada. Our ability to obtain letters of credit under this facility ends in December 2007. The facility allows us to cash collateralize the facility, at our option, in order to lower the cost of such borrowings. If cash collateralized, this facility requires LP to pledge, as security for its reimbursement obligations under the facility, cash collateral in an amount equal to 105% of the face amount of the letters of credit outstanding under the facility at any time. Letters of credit issued and outstanding totaled approximately \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2006 and were cash collateralized with \$0.8 million.

We have a \$10 million (Canadian or US) credit facility in Canada. The facility allows us to finance general operating requirements. At December 31, 2006, we had \$3.0 million outstanding under this facility. This amount is included in LP's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet under the caption "accounts payable and accrued liabilities". Subsequent to year end, this facility was increased to \$100 million.

Louisiana Pacific Chile SA (LP Chile) has a credit facility with a Chilean bank for up to \$40 million. LP Chile's ability to draw from this facility ends in December 2008, with the final maturity in March 2015. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 0.2275% (5.59% as of December 31, 2006). The proceeds from the facility are being used to fund construction of an additional OSB plant in Chile. At December 31, 2006, there was \$3.0 million outstanding under this facility. Borrowings under the facility were secured.

Additionally, we have an accounts receivable securitization facility which will expire in November 2007. The facility provides for maximum borrowings of up to of \$100 million, \$21 million of which was eligible for borrowing at December 31, 2006. The maximum available to be borrowed under this facility changes based upon the amount of eligible receivables, as defined, concentration of eligible receivables and other factors. A downgrade in our long-term unsecured senior debt rating below Ba3 by Moody's and/or below BB- by Standard & Poor's would (either immediately or after the passage of six months or upon the occurrence of other specified events) result in an amortization event under this facility, in which event we would be prohibited from making further borrowings under this facility and the maturity of any outstanding borrowings under this facility would be accelerated. At December 31, 2006, we had no borrowings outstanding under this facility.

The following details our debt ratings as of March 1, 2007:

	<u>Moody's</u> <u>Investor Service</u>	<u>Standard &amp;</u> <u>Poor's</u>
Senior Notes . . . . .	Baa3	BBB-

### Contingency Reserves

Contingency reserves, which represent an estimate of future cash needs for various contingencies (principally, payments for siding litigation settlements), totaled \$35 million at December 31, 2006, of which \$9 million is estimated to be payable within one year. As with all accounting estimates, there is inherent uncertainty concerning the reliability and precision of such estimates. As described above and in Note 18 of the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report, the amounts ultimately paid in resolving these contingencies could exceed the current reserves by a material amount.

### Contractual Obligations

The table below summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006 over the next several years. See discussion above concerning provisions that could accelerate the due dates on our long-term debt.

<u>Contractual obligations</u>	<u>Payments due by period</u>				
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
		<u>Dollars amounts in millions</u>			
Long-term debt(1) . . . . .	\$ 47.5	\$217.2	\$61.9	\$334.0	\$ 9.1
Operating leases. . . . .	7.8	6.6	5.4	5.2	4.9
Purchase obligations(2) . . . . .	118.9	5.0	6.4	—	—
Other long-term obligations(3) . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—
Total contractual cash obligations . . . . .	<u>\$174.2</u>	<u>\$228.8</u>	<u>\$73.7</u>	<u>\$339.2</u>	<u>\$14.0</u>

- (1) Includes expected interest payments as well as debt maturities.
- (2) The majority of our purchase obligations are take-or-pay contracts made in the ordinary course of business related to raw materials and utility contracts. Other significant items included in the above table reflect purchase obligations related to legally binding commitments for capital projects. Purchase orders made in the ordinary course of business are excluded from the above table and are cancelable without significant penalty.
- (3) Represents other long-term liability amounts reflected in our consolidated balance sheet that have known payment streams including items such as pension contributions. Under current pension funding regulations, LP has no minimum pension funding required for its US plans in 2007, although LP anticipates contributing approximately \$8 million to \$10 million in 2007 to these plans. Future years are not estimable due to the large number of factors involved in determining minimum pension funding

### Off-Balance Sheet and Other Financing Arrangements

In connection with the sale of southern timber and timberlands in 2003, we received \$26 million in cash and \$410 million in notes receivable from the purchasers of such timber and timberlands. In order to borrow funds in a cost-effective manner: (i) the notes receivable were contributed by us to a Qualified Special Purpose Entity (QSPE) as defined under SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," (ii) the QSPE issued to unrelated third parties bonds supported by a bank letter of credit and the QSPE's reimbursement obligations which are secured by the notes receivable, and (iii) the QSPE distributed to LP, as a return of capital, substantially all of the

proceeds realized by the QSPE from the issuance of its bonds. The QSPE has no sources of liquidity other than the notes receivable. Generally the cash flow generated by the notes receivable will be dedicated to the payment of the bonds issued by the QSPE, and the QSPE's creditors generally will have no recourse to us for the QSPE's obligations (subject to the limited exception described below).

Pursuant to the arrangement described above, during 2003, we contributed \$410.0 million of the notes receivable to the QSPE, the QSPE issued \$368.7 million of its bonds to unrelated third parties and distributed \$365.8 million to LP as a return of capital.

The principal amount of the QSPE's borrowings is approximately 90% of the principal amount of the notes receivable contributed by LP to the QSPE. Our retained interest in the excess of the notes receivable contributed to the unconsolidated subsidiary over the amount of capital distributed by the unconsolidated subsidiary, in the form of an investment in the QSPE, represented \$44.5 million of the "Investments in and advances to affiliates" reflected on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006.

In accordance with SFAS No. 140, the QSPE is not included in our consolidated financial statements and the assets and liabilities of the QSPE are not reflected on our consolidated balance sheet. The QSPE's assets have been removed from our control and are not available to satisfy claims of our creditors (except to the extent of our retained interest, if any, remaining after the claims of QSPE's creditors are satisfied). In general, the creditors of the QSPE have no recourse to our assets, other than our retained interest. However, under certain circumstances, we may be liable for certain liabilities of the QSPE (including liabilities associated with the marketing or remarketing of its bonds and reimbursement obligations associated with the letter of credit supporting the bonds) in an amount not to exceed 10% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes receivable pledged by the QSPE. Our maximum exposure in this regard was approximately \$41 million as of December 31, 2006.

As discussed previously, we have an accounts receivable secured borrowing program. L-P Receivables Corporation (LPRC) is our wholly owned subsidiary and is the special purpose entity into which the receivables of participating domestic subsidiaries are sold. LPRC, in turn, sells an interest in the receivables to various banks and entities. This program is accounted for as a secured borrowing. The receivables outstanding under these programs and the corresponding debt, if any, are included as both Receivables and Long-term debt in our financial statements included in item 8 of this report. Accordingly, there were no amounts associated with this program that were off balance sheet during the three years ended December 31, 2006. As collections reduce previously pledged interest, new receivables are pledged.

In connection with the sales of timberlands in California in 1997 and 1998, we received notes from the purchasers totaling \$403.8 million. The notes receivable were monetized through the issuance of notes payable in a private placement secured by the notes. Proceeds from the notes receivable from the purchasers will be used to fund payments required for the notes payable. During 2006, the first installment under these notes was received and the corresponding debt was paid. The next installment is due in 2008. The notes receivable are classified as long-term "Notes receivable from asset sales" and the notes payable are classified as long-term "Limited recourse notes payable" on the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

## **DIVIDEND**

For 2004, we paid dividends of \$0.05, \$0.075, \$0.075 and \$0.10 per share that were declared in February, May, August and November, respectively. Dividends for 2004 totaled \$32.6 million. For 2005, we paid quarterly dividends of \$0.10, \$0.125, \$0.125 and \$0.125 per share that were declared in February, May, August and November, respectively. Dividends for 2005 totaled \$52 million. For 2006, we paid quarterly dividends of \$0.15 each quarter for a total of \$63.2 million.

## **POTENTIAL IMPAIRMENTS**

We continue to review several mills and investments for potential impairments. Management currently believes we have adequate support for the carrying value of each of these assets based upon the anticipated cash flows that result from our estimates of future demand, pricing and production costs assuming certain levels of planned capital expenditures. However, should the markets for our products deteriorate to levels significantly below cycle average pricing or should we decide to invest capital in alternative projects, it is possible that we will be required to record further impairment charges.

We also review from time to time possible dispositions of various assets in light of current and anticipated economic and industry conditions, our strategic plan and other relevant factors. Because a determination to dispose of particular assets can require management to make assumptions regarding the transaction structure of the disposition and to estimate the net sales proceeds, which may be less than previous estimates of undiscounted future net cash flows, we may be required to record impairment charges in connection with decisions to dispose of assets.

Our decking business, consisting of manufacturing facilities in Selma, Alabama and Meridian, Idaho, is currently operating in a highly competitive and fragmented market and is incurring operating losses. The management team for this business is focused on market research to better understand how to meet the needs of decking customers. Additionally, we have internal resources focused on improving the manufacturing process to help lower costs, improve efficiencies and improve the consistency of quality metrics. The results of these improvement efforts will be applied to our marketing and production programs in 2007. However, should these efforts not lead to the expected improvements in operating results, we are reviewing alternative scenarios which may result in the temporary or permanent closure of one of the manufacturing operations. We, therefore, may be required to recognize an impairment charge related to this business. The net book value of the property, plant and equipment employed in this business was approximately \$41 million at December 31, 2006.

In addition, we own a sawmill in Quebec, Canada. Management is not currently operating this facility, due to market conditions. This facility shares resources, including wood supply and certain infrastructure, with a nearby OSB facility. Because of these shared resources, we have evaluated the sawmill and OSB facility as one operation for purposes of our impairment analysis. Should we be able to separate some or all of the shared resources, we may be required to evaluate the sawmill separately for impairment purposes, which may result in a future impairment charge. The net book value of the property, plant and equipment at the sawmill was approximately \$7 million at December 31, 2006.

## **PROSPECTIVE ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

See Note 1 for discussion of prospective accounting pronouncements in the Notes to the financial statements included in item 8 of this report.

## ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

A portion of our outstanding debt bears interest at variable rates and accordingly is sensitive to changes in interest rates. Interest rate changes would result in gains or losses in the market value of our debt portfolio due to differences in market interest rates and the rates at the inception of the debt agreements. Based upon our indebtedness at December 31, 2006, a 100 basis point interest change would impact pre-tax net income and cash flows by \$1.2 million annually. Based upon our indebtedness at December 31, 2006, the fixed and variable portions of our debt and the expected maturity dates are as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	Expected maturity date			Total	Fair Value
				2010	2011	Thereafter		
	(in millions)							
Long-term debt:								
Fixed rate debt . . . . .	\$0.4	\$ 74.1	\$20.1	\$313.6	\$0.6	\$121.8	\$530.6	\$562.8
Average interest rate . . . . .	5.4%	7.1%	7.4%	8.2%	5.6%	7.0%	7.7%	
Variable rate debt . . . . .	\$ —	\$106.8	\$ 7.6	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$114.4	\$114.4
Average interest rate . . . . .	—	5.3%	3.9%				5.2%	

Additionally, we have long-term notes receivable that contain fixed interest rates. Based upon these notes at December 31, 2006, the fixed portion of our receivables and the expected maturity dates are as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	Expected maturity date			Total	Fair Value
				2010	2011	Thereafter		
	(in millions)							
Long-term receivables:								
Fixed rate receivables . . . . .	—	\$74.4	\$20.0	\$115.2	\$—	\$123.4	\$333.0	\$346.4
Average interest rate . . . . .	—	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	—	7.2%	7.1%	

Our international operations have exposure to foreign currency rate risks, primarily due to fluctuations in the Canadian dollar. Although we have in the past entered into foreign exchange contracts associated with certain of our indebtedness and continue to enter into foreign exchange contracts associated with major equipment purchases to manage a portion of the foreign currency rate risk, we historically have not entered into material currency rate hedges with respect to our exposure from operations, although we may do so in the future.

Some of our products are sold as commodities and therefore sales prices fluctuate daily based on market factors over which we have little or no control. The most significant commodity product we sell is OSB. Based upon an assumed annual production capacity (including our joint venture operation) of 6.5 billion square feet ( $\frac{3}{8}$  " basis) or 5.6 billion square feet ( $\frac{1}{16}$  " basis), a \$1 change in the annual average price on  $\frac{1}{16}$  " basis would change annual pre-tax profits by approximately \$5.6 million.

We historically have not entered into material commodity futures and swaps, although we may do so in the future.

**ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders’ equity, comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R) on December 31, 2006, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* on January 1, 2006 and Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143* on December 31, 2005.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP  
Nashville, Tennessee  
February 28, 2007



**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
**Dollar amounts in millions**

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents .....	\$ 265.7	\$ 607.6
Short-term investments .....	797.0	717.3
Receivables, net .....	157.4	146.8
Inventories .....	246.1	240.3
Prepaid expenses and other current assets .....	9.3	9.6
Deferred income taxes .....	28.5	—
Current portion of notes receivable from asset sales .....	—	70.8
Total current assets .....	<u>1,504.0</u>	<u>1,792.4</u>
Timber and timberlands .....	<u>98.7</u>	<u>97.7</u>
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land, land improvements and logging roads, net of road amortization .....	106.4	105.8
Buildings .....	236.7	233.1
Machinery and equipment .....	1,523.5	1,476.5
Construction in progress .....	178.9	33.5
	<u>2,045.5</u>	<u>1,848.9</u>
Accumulated depreciation .....	<u>(1,153.8)</u>	<u>(1,065.6)</u>
Net property, plant and equipment .....	<u>891.7</u>	<u>783.3</u>
Goodwill, net of amortization .....	273.5	273.5
Other intangible assets, net of amortization .....	3.4	7.4
Notes receivable from asset sales .....	333.0	333.0
Investments in and advances to affiliates .....	212.9	211.0
Long-term investments .....	40.4	13.5
Restricted cash .....	51.8	55.6
Other assets .....	27.0	30.6
Total assets .....	<u>\$ 3,436.4</u>	<u>\$ 3,598.0</u>

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

**Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)**  
**Dollar amounts in millions, except per share**

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt . . . . .	\$ 0.4	\$ 18.9
Current portion of limited recourse notes payable . . . . .	—	69.7
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities . . . . .	240.9	243.2
Current portion of deferred tax liabilities . . . . .	14.6	2.3
Current portion of contingency reserves . . . . .	9.0	12.0
Total current liabilities . . . . .	264.9	346.1
Long-term debt, excluding current portion:		
Limited recourse notes payable . . . . .	326.8	326.8
Other debt . . . . .	317.8	408.0
Total long-term debt . . . . .	644.6	734.8
Deferred income taxes . . . . .	363.9	377.0
Contingency reserves, excluding current portion . . . . .	25.6	31.4
Other long-term liabilities . . . . .	70.0	65.8
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued . . . . .	—	—
Common stock, \$1 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 116,938,950 shares issued . . . . .	116.9	116.9
Additional paid-in capital . . . . .	435.8	435.5
Retained earnings . . . . .	1,870.2	1,809.7
Treasury stock, 12,709,096 shares and 11,158,678 shares, at cost . . . . .	(284.0)	(257.0)
Accumulated comprehensive loss . . . . .	(71.5)	(62.2)
Total stockholders' equity . . . . .	2,067.4	2,042.9
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity . . . . .	\$3,436.4	\$3,598.0

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

**Consolidated Statements of Income**  
**Amounts in millions, except per share**

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Net sales .....	\$ 2,235.1	\$ 2,598.9	\$ 2,730.7
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales .....	1,826.8	1,783.3	1,634.3
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested .....	128.0	132.7	141.1
Selling and administrative .....	166.8	151.3	159.7
Other operating credits and charges, net .....	0.7	6.5	28.7
Loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets, net .....	2.6	3.5	21.5
Total operating costs and expenses .....	<u>2,124.9</u>	<u>2,077.3</u>	<u>1,985.3</u>
Income from operations .....	<u>110.2</u>	<u>521.6</u>	<u>745.4</u>
Non-operating income (expense):			
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest .....	(49.4)	(54.6)	(65.3)
Investment income .....	95.7	71.3	45.6
Loss on early extinguishment of debt .....	—	(0.5)	(41.5)
Foreign currency exchange gains (losses) .....	(2.5)	(1.4)	9.7
Total non-operating income (expense) .....	<u>43.8</u>	<u>14.8</u>	<u>(51.5)</u>
Income from continuing operations before taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	154.0	536.4	693.9
Provision for income taxes .....	24.2	61.3	277.5
Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliates .....	4.3	(0.7)	(3.8)
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	<u>125.5</u>	<u>475.8</u>	<u>420.2</u>
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before taxes .....	(2.9)	(30.7)	0.7
Provision (benefit) for income taxes .....	(1.1)	(11.5)	0.2
Income (loss) from discontinued operations .....	<u>(1.8)</u>	<u>(19.2)</u>	<u>0.5</u>
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	123.7	456.6	420.7
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax .....	—	(1.1)	—
Net income .....	<u>\$ 123.7</u>	<u>\$ 455.5</u>	<u>\$ 420.7</u>
Basic net income (loss) per share:			
Income per share from continuing operations .....	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.37	\$ 3.88
Loss per share from discontinued operations .....	(0.01)	(0.18)	—
Loss per share from cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	—	(0.01)	—
Net income per share .....	<u>\$ 1.18</u>	<u>\$ 4.18</u>	<u>\$ 3.88</u>
Diluted net income (loss) per share:			
Income per share from continuing operations .....	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.34	\$ 3.84
Loss per share from discontinued operations .....	(0.02)	(0.18)	—
Loss per share from cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	—	(0.01)	—
Net income per share .....	<u>\$ 1.17</u>	<u>\$ 4.15</u>	<u>\$ 3.84</u>
Cash dividends per share of common stock .....	<u>\$ 0.60</u>	<u>\$ 0.475</u>	<u>\$ 0.30</u>
Average shares of common stock used to compute net income per share:			
Basic .....	<u>105.1</u>	<u>109.0</u>	<u>108.3</u>
Diluted .....	<u>105.5</u>	<u>109.7</u>	<u>109.6</u>

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Dollar amounts in millions

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Net income	\$ 123.7	\$ 455.5	\$ 420.7
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested	128.0	135.1	145.1
Loss (earnings) of unconsolidated affiliates	4.3	(0.7)	(4.3)
Other operating credits and charges, net	8.5	(2.3)	15.2
Loss on sale or impairment of long-lived assets	2.4	20.7	12.3
Tax effect of exercise of stock options	—	3.8	13.7
Stock-based compensation related to stock plans	6.3	1.6	(0.5)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(3.5)	—	—
Cash settlements of contingencies	(13.5)	(13.5)	(50.4)
Net accretion on available for sale securities	(15.5)	(3.3)	(1.1)
Pension payments (in excess of expense)	(5.6)	(8.3)	(31.8)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	0.5	41.5
Other adjustments, net	5.3	21.0	(21.1)
(Increase) decrease in receivables	(20.6)	45.8	(47.0)
Increase in inventories	(10.3)	(14.4)	(26.0)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses	2.6	3.6	(5.0)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	0.8	(17.2)	(0.3)
(Decrease) increase in deferred income taxes	(29.1)	(113.9)	140.5
Net cash provided by operating activities	183.8	514.0	601.5
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Property, plant, and equipment additions	(236.5)	(173.7)	(147.7)
Proceeds from asset sales	4.1	53.4	40.4
Receipt of proceeds from notes receivable	70.8	—	—
Decrease in restricted cash under letters of credit	16.7	9.9	45.2
Cash paid for purchase of investments	(4,989.7)	(3,813.9)	(2,598.1)
Proceeds from sale of investments	4,898.8	3,724.8	1,960.4
Investment in and advances to joint ventures	(8.7)	(83.9)	(32.0)
Other investing activities, net	(3.0)	1.9	3.4
Net cash used in investing activities	(247.5)	(281.5)	(728.4)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Borrowings of long-term debt	—	202.2	—
Net borrowings (payments) under revolving credit lines	3.0	—	(6.0)
Repayment of long-term debt	(186.4)	(178.1)	(260.0)
Payment of cash dividends	(63.2)	(52.0)	(32.6)
Sale of common stock under equity plans	5.6	11.7	41.2
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	3.5	—	—
Purchase of treasury stock	(41.1)	(150.6)	(2.0)
Other financing activities, net	0.1	(0.8)	(1.6)
Net cash used in financing activities	(278.5)	(167.6)	(261.0)
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>(2.0)</b>	<b>6.7</b>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(341.9)	62.9	(381.2)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	607.6	544.7	925.9
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 265.7	\$ 607.6	\$ 544.7

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

**Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity**

**Dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share amounts**

	<u>Common Stock</u>	<u>Treasury Stock</u>	<u>Additional</u>	<u>Retained</u>	<u>Accumulated</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Paid-In</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Comprehensive</u>	<u>Stockholders'</u>
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Capital</u>	<u>Loss</u>	<u>Equity</u>	
<b>BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003</b> .....	116.9	\$ 116.9	10.5	\$ (195.2)	\$ 442.3	\$ 1,018.1
Net income .....	—	—	—	—	—	420.7
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and for other purposes and other transactions .....	—	—	—	—	—	420.7
Amortization of restricted stock grants .....	—	—	(3.8)	71.3	(16.1)	55.2
Cash dividends, \$0.30 per share .....	—	—	—	—	—	0.1
Purchase of shares for treasury .....	—	—	—	(3.5)	—	(32.6)
Tax benefit of employee stock plan transactions .....	—	—	—	13.7	—	13.7
Other comprehensive income .....	—	—	—	—	3.3	3.3
<b>BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004</b> .....	116.9	116.9	6.8	(127.4)	440.0	1,406.2
Net income .....	—	—	—	—	—	455.5
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and for other purposes and other transactions .....	—	—	(1.0)	21.0	(8.9)	12.1
Amortization of restricted stock grants .....	—	—	—	—	0.6	0.6
Cash dividends, \$0.475 per share .....	—	—	—	—	—	(52.0)
Purchase of shares for treasury .....	—	—	5.4	(150.6)	—	(150.6)
Tax benefit of employee stock plan transactions .....	—	—	—	3.8	—	3.8
Other comprehensive income .....	—	—	—	—	5.7	5.7
<b>BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005</b> .....	116.9	116.9	11.2	(257.0)	435.5	1,809.7
Net income .....	—	—	—	—	—	123.7
Issuance of shares for employee stock plans and for other purposes and other transactions .....	—	—	(0.6)	14.1	(4.3)	9.8
Amortization of restricted stock grants .....	—	—	—	—	1.1	1.1
Cash dividends, \$0.60 per share .....	—	—	—	—	—	(63.2)
Purchase of shares for treasury .....	—	—	2.1	(41.1)	—	(41.1)
Tax benefit of employee stock plan transactions .....	—	—	—	—	3.5	3.5
Other comprehensive income .....	—	—	—	—	—	44.0
Adjustment to initially apply SFAS 158, net of tax .....	—	—	—	—	(53.3)	(53.3)
<b>BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006</b> .....	116.9	116.9	12.7	(284.0)	435.8	1,870.2

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Dollar amounts in millions

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Net income .....	\$123.7	\$455.5	\$420.7
Other comprehensive income, net of tax			
Foreign currency translation adjustments .....	(3.1)	6.7	2.2
Minimum pension liability and intangible asset adjustments .....	46.4	0.8	0.6
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments .....	0.5	(1.9)	0.7
Other .....	0.2	0.1	(0.2)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax .....	44.0	5.7	3.3
Comprehensive income .....	\$167.7	\$461.2	\$424.0

See Notes to the Financial Statements.

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Nature of Operations

Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively LP or the Company) are principally engaged in the manufacture of building products. In addition to its U.S. operations, the Company also maintains manufacturing facilities in Canada and Chile through foreign subsidiaries and joint ventures. The principal customers for the Company's building products are retail home centers, manufactured housing producers, distributors and wholesalers in North America, with minor sales to Asia, Europe and South America.

Over the last several years, LP adopted and implemented plans to sell selected businesses and assets in order to improve operating results, reduce debt and increase financial flexibility. The plans involved divesting LP's plywood, industrial panel, vinyl siding and lumber businesses, fee timber and timberlands, wholesale operations and distribution businesses.

See Note 23 below for further information regarding LP's products and segments.

#### Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. See discussion of specific estimates in this Note under the headings "Asset Impairments," "Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net" and "Goodwill" and in the Notes entitled "Income Taxes," "Retirement Plans and Postretirement Benefits," "Stockholders' Equity," "Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net," "Gain (Loss) on Sale of and Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, Net" and "Contingencies."

#### Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of LP and its majority-owned subsidiaries after elimination of intercompany transactions. The equity method of accounting is used for joint ventures and investments in associated companies over which LP has significant influence but does not have effective control. Significant influence is deemed to exist generally when the Company has an ownership interest in the voting stock of an investee of between 20% and 50%. The cost method of accounting is used for investments when LP has less than 20% ownership interest or the Company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence, and for investments in Qualified Special Purpose Entities, which are not consolidated. Those investments are carried at cost and are adjusted only for other-than temporary declines in their fair value. The carrying value of these investments is recorded in Investments in and advances to affiliates on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. LP's equity in the income and losses of these investments is recorded in Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statements of Income. See Note 8 for further discussion of these investments and advances.

#### Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share are based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus all potentially dilutive securities that were assumed to be converted into

common shares at the beginning of the period under the treasury stock method. This method requires that the effect of potentially dilutive common stock equivalents (employee stock options and incentive shares) be excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the periods in which losses from continuing operations are reported because the effect is anti-dilutive. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	Dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share amounts		
Numerator:			
Income attributed to common shares:			
Income from continuing operations . . . . .	\$125.5	\$475.8	\$420.2
Income (loss) from discontinued operations . . . . .	(1.8)	(19.2)	0.5
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	—	(1.1)	—
Net income . . . . .	<u>\$123.7</u>	<u>\$455.5</u>	<u>\$420.7</u>
Denominator:			
Basic—weighted average common shares outstanding . . . . .	105.1	109.0	108.3
Dilutive effect of employee stock plans . . . . .	0.4	0.7	1.3
Diluted shares outstanding . . . . .	<u>105.5</u>	<u>109.7</u>	<u>109.6</u>
Basic earnings per share:			
Income from continuing operations . . . . .	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.37	\$ 3.88
Loss from discontinued operations . . . . .	(0.01)	(0.18)	—
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	—	(0.01)	—
Net income per share . . . . .	<u>\$ 1.18</u>	<u>\$ 4.18</u>	<u>\$ 3.88</u>
Diluted earnings per share:			
Income from continuing operations . . . . .	\$ 1.19	\$ 4.34	\$ 3.84
Loss from discontinued operations . . . . .	(0.02)	(0.18)	—
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	—	(0.01)	—
Net income per share . . . . .	<u>\$ 1.17</u>	<u>\$ 4.15</u>	<u>\$ 3.84</u>

Outstanding as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were stock options and stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) to purchase 862,300, 301,400 and 208,000 common shares but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the options or SSARs was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the full year, and therefore, the effect would have been antidilutive.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of money market and other high quality investments with an initial maturity of three months or less. Such investments are stated at cost, which approximates market value.

### Investments

LP's short-term and long-term investments are classified as available-for-sale as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115 "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" and are reported at estimated fair value. LP invests in publicly traded, highly liquid securities including U.S. treasury notes, bank obligations, corporate obligations, auction rate securities and commercial paper. Under LP's investment criteria, bank and corporate obligations carry a rating of at least A-1 and commercial paper must have the highest rating obtainable from one or more rating agencies.



Unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, on these investments are reported as a separate component of Accumulated Comprehensive Loss in Stockholders' Equity until realized. Realized gains and losses are recorded in Non-operating income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For purposes of computing realized gains and losses, cost is identified on a specific identification basis. See Note 2 for further discussion.

### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

LP has, where appropriate, estimated the fair value of financial instruments. These fair value amounts may be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of cash flows. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange. When these estimates approximate carrying value, no separate disclosure is shown.

### **Inventory**

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Inventory costs include materials, labor and operating overhead. The LIFO (last-in, first-out) method is used for a minor portion of the Company's log inventories with the remaining inventories valued at FIFO (first-in, first-out) or average cost. See Note 4 for further discussion.

### **Timber and Timberlands**

Timber and timberlands is comprised of timber deeds and allocations of purchase price to Canadian timber harvesting licenses. Timber deeds are transactions in which LP purchases timber, but not the underlying land. The cost of timber deeds are capitalized in timber and timberlands and charged to cost of timber harvested as the volume is removed. Timber that has been severed but has not yet been delivered to a facility is included in timber and timberlands. The values associated with timber licenses were allocated in the purchase price allocations for both Le Groupe Forex (Forex) and the assets of Evans Forest Products (\$131 million at the dates of acquisition). These licenses have a life of twenty to twenty-five years and are renewable every five years. These licenses are amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the license. Cost of timber harvested also includes the amortization of the timber licenses.

### **Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment, including capitalized interest, are recorded at cost. Depreciation for financial statement purposes is provided principally using the units of production method for machinery and equipment which amortizes the cost of equipment over the estimated units that will be produced during its useful life. Provisions for depreciation of buildings, land improvements and the remaining machinery and equipment have been computed using straight-line rates based on the estimated service lives. The effective straight-line lives for the principal classes of property range from three to twenty years.

Logging road construction costs are capitalized and included in land and land improvements. These costs are amortized as the timber volume adjacent to the road system is harvested.

LP capitalizes interest on borrowed funds during construction periods. Capitalized interest is charged to machinery and equipment accounts and amortized over the lives of the related assets. Interest capitalized during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$4.5 million, \$3.7 million and \$4.2 million.

### **Asset Impairments**

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," long-lived assets to be held and used by LP (primarily property, plant and equipment and timber

and timberlands) are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Detailed impairment calculations are performed when the book values exceed expected undiscounted future net cash flows from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. These undiscounted cash flows are based upon management's estimate of future cash inflows and outflows. When impairment is indicated, the book values of the assets are written down to their estimated fair value as calculated by the expected discounted cash flow or estimated net sales price. See Note 17 for a discussion of charges in 2006, 2005 and 2004 related to impairments of property, plant and equipment. Long-lived assets that are held for sale are written down to the estimated sales proceeds less cost to sell unless the estimated net proceeds exceed the carrying value.

### **Income Taxes**

LP accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in LP's financial statements or tax returns. In estimating future tax consequences, LP generally considers all expected future events other than the enactment of changes in tax laws or rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates will be recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date. Additionally, deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. See Note 10 for further discussion of deferred taxes.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

Effective January 1, 2006, LP adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" (SFAS 123R), using the modified prospective transition method and therefore has not restated results for prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS 123). Compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. LP recognizes these compensation costs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the option vesting term of three years. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, LP recognized stock-based compensation expense in accordance with Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB 25). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) regarding the SEC's interpretation of SFAS 123R and the valuation of share-based payments for public companies. LP has applied the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123R. See Note 14 for a further discussion of LP's stock-based compensation.

### **Treasury Stock**

LP records treasury stock purchased at cost. During 2005, LP repurchased 5.4 million shares in connection with an accelerated stock buyback program with a financial intermediary for an aggregate purchase price of \$151 million. Under the terms of the program, the financial intermediary delivered to LP the initial number of shares of common stock during LP's third quarter. During 2006, LP received an additional 166,880 shares as the final adjustment of this program. The total shares purchased were 5,589,297 shares at an average price per share (including fees) of \$26.95. Also, during 2006, LP repurchased 2.0 million shares at an aggregate purchase price of \$41.1 million.

## **Derivative Financial Instruments**

To reduce foreign currency exchange and interest rate risks, LP occasionally utilizes derivative financial instruments. LP has established policies and procedures for risk assessment and for approving, reporting and monitoring of derivative financial instrument activities. Derivative instruments, which include forward exchange, options and futures contracts, are recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at fair value. To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of an exposure to future changes in value, the change in the fair value of the derivative is reported in accumulated comprehensive loss. In general, LP does not utilize financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

For all periods presented, LP utilized forward purchase contracts in the normal course of its operations as a means of managing price risks on the purchase of energy. These contracts generally meet the definition of “normal purchases” under SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” as amended, and are therefore not required to be recorded at fair value. In the event that a contract does not meet the definition of a “normal purchase” as a result of LP’s inability to use all of the energy under the contract, LP records such contracts at the estimated fair value with the corresponding gain or loss recorded in cost of sales. In the event that a contract does not meet the definition of a “normal purchase” as a result of unforeseen circumstances outside of LP’s control, LP records such contracts at their fair value with the corresponding gain or loss recorded in other operating credits and charges, net.

U.S. GreenFiber, LLC (GreenFiber), a fifty percent owned joint venture between LP and Casella Waste Management, Inc. (accounted for under the equity method of accounting), entered into a swap contract for the purchase of raw materials. As of December 31, 2006, GreenFiber recognized \$0.4 million in other comprehensive loss to adjust these contracts to fair market value and, accordingly, LP has recorded its share, \$0.2 million, in LP’s other comprehensive loss. Additionally, LP has provided deferred taxes of \$0.1 million associated with this swap.

## **Foreign Currency Translation**

The functional currency for the Company’s Canadian subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. The financial statements of these foreign subsidiaries are remeasured into U.S. dollars using the historical exchange rate for property, plant and equipment, timber and timberlands, goodwill, equity and certain other non-monetary assets and related depreciation and amortization on these assets and liabilities. LP uses the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for the remaining assets and liabilities, including deferred taxes. A weighted-average exchange rate is used for each period for revenues and expenses. These transaction gains or losses are recorded in Foreign exchange gains (losses) on the Consolidated Statements of Income. The functional currency of LP’s Chilean subsidiary is the Chilean Peso. Translation adjustments, which are based upon the exchange rate at the balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and the weighted-average rate for the income statement, are recorded in Accumulated comprehensive loss in Stockholders’ equity.

## **Goodwill**

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for goodwill and other intangible assets. Under this standard, goodwill and other intangible assets that are deemed to have an indefinite life are no longer being amortized. However, these indefinite life assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis, and when indicators of impairment are determined to exist, by applying a fair value based test. Also, under this statement, goodwill associated with an equity method investee is no longer amortized; however impairment of the investment (including goodwill) should be evaluated based upon APB Opinion No. 18, “The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock” which requires an impairment test when factors indicate an impairment may exist. LP performs the annual

goodwill impairment test as of October 1 each year. LP completed its testing on all reporting units as of October 1, 2006 and determined that no impairment charges were required with respect to reported goodwill as of that date.

### **Restricted Cash**

In accordance with LP's credit facilities, discussed at Note 11, LP has established restricted cash accounts. As of December 31, 2006, a majority of the restricted cash secures letters of credit under LP's revolving credit facility. Under this facility, LP may use cash in an amount equal to 105% of the outstanding letters of credit as collateral for such letters of credit in exchange for lower fees.

### **Revenue Recognition**

Revenue is recognized when customers receive products and title has passed. The following criteria are used to determine that title has passed: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and (4) the collection is reasonably assured.

### **Asset Retirement Obligations**

As of January 1, 2003, LP adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." This statement requires that LP record future asset retirement obligations, which consist primarily of monitoring costs on closed landfills and timber reforestation obligations associated with LP's timber licenses in Canada, in the period in which the obligation is incurred. These costs are recorded at fair value. When the related liability is initially recorded, LP capitalizes the cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its settlement value and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, LP recognizes a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded.

As of December 31, 2005 LP adopted FASB Interpretation No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143" (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation, as used in SFAS No. 143, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and/or method of settlement. Uncertainty about the timing and/or method of settlement of a conditional asset retirement obligation should be factored into the measurement of the liability when sufficient information exists. FIN 47 also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. See Note 15 for further discussion.

### **Other Operating Credits and Charges, Net**

LP classifies significant amounts that management considers unrelated to ongoing core operating activities as Other operating credits and charges, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Such items include, but are not limited to, amounts related to restructuring charges (including severance charges), charges to establish litigation or environmental reserves, gains from insurance recoveries and gains or losses from settlements with governmental or other organizations. Due to the nature of these items, amounts in the income statement can fluctuate from year to year. The determination of which items are considered significant and unrelated to core operations is based upon management's judgment. See Note 16 for a discussion of specific amounts in 2006, 2005 and 2004.

## **Comprehensive Income**

SFAS No. 130, “Reporting Comprehensive Income,” establishes standards for the reporting and presentation of comprehensive income and its components in financial statements. SFAS No. 130 states that all items required to be recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income are reported in a financial statement with the same prominence as other financial statements. Comprehensive income consists of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, minimum pension liability and related intangible asset adjustments, net unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale marketable securities, and unrealized gains and losses on financial instruments qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting, and is presented in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. See Note 22 for further discussion.

## **Present and Prospective Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 provides a two-step process using a recognition threshold and measurement attribute to evaluate a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Guidance is also provided for derecognition, classification and disclosure of uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. LP is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, “Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)” (SFAS 158). This statement requires balance sheet recognition of the overfunded or underfunded status of pension and postretirement benefit plans. Under SFAS 158, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and any remaining transition assets or obligations that have not been recognized under previous accounting standards must be recognized in Accumulated Comprehensive Loss, net of tax effects, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. In addition, the measurement date (the date at which plan assets and the benefit obligation are measured) is required to be the Company’s fiscal year end. Presently, LP uses an October 31 measurement date for a majority of its pension and postretirement benefit plans. LP adopted the recognition provisions and disclosure of SFAS 158 effective December 31, 2006, except for the measurement date provisions, which are not required until fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The non-cash effect of the adoption resulted in a decrease to total assets of approximately \$76.2 million, an increase to total liabilities of approximately \$25.1 million and a reduction to total stockholder’ equity of approximately \$51.1 million, net of tax. The adoption of SFAS 158 did not affect LP’s results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, provides guidance on how to measure fair value under GAAP, and expands fair value measurement disclosures. SFAS 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. LP is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157 on its financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position AUG AIR-1, “Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities” (FSP AUG AIR-1). FSP AUG AIR-1 prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance activities in annual and interim reporting periods. Permitted methods include direct expensing, built-in overhaul and deferral. FSP AUG AIR-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 16, 2006, and retrospective application should be used for all periods presented unless it is impractical to do so. LP is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FSP AUG AIR-1 on its financial position and results of operations.

## Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. In LP's 2005 Consolidated Balance Sheets, LP has reclassified \$4.8 million from prepaid expenses and other current assets to timber and timberlands for timber severed but not yet delivered to facilities to conform to the 2006 presentation.

## 2. INVESTMENTS

Short-term and long-term investments held by LP are debt securities designated as available for sale and are reported at fair market value using the specific identification method. The following table summarizes unrealized gains and losses related to these investments as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
	Dollar amounts in millions			
<i>December 31, 2006</i>				
U.S. treasury and government agency securities . . .	\$ 54.7	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 54.7
Commercial paper . . . . .	375.5	0.1	—	375.6
Corporate obligations . . . . .	220.8	—	0.1	220.7
Auction rate securities . . . . .	186.4	—	—	186.4
Total marketable securities . . . . .	<u>\$837.4</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>	<u>\$837.4</u>
<i>December 31, 2005</i>				
U.S. treasury and government agency securities . . .	\$ 24.0	\$ —	\$0.1	\$ 23.9
Commercial paper . . . . .	149.8	—	—	149.8
Corporate obligations . . . . .	65.2	—	0.1	65.1
Auction rate securities . . . . .	492.0	—	—	492.0
Total marketable securities . . . . .	<u>\$731.0</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$0.2</u>	<u>\$730.8</u>

The contractual maturities of debt securities classified as available for sale at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were as follows:

	<u>2006</u>		<u>2005</u>	
	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
	Dollar amounts in millions			
Due in one year or less . . . . .	\$797.0	\$797.0	\$717.5	\$717.3
Due in one to five years . . . . .	40.4	40.4	13.5	13.5
Total marketable securities . . . . .	<u>\$837.4</u>	<u>\$837.4</u>	<u>\$731.0</u>	<u>\$730.8</u>

Proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments totaled \$4.9 billion and purchases of short-term and long-term investments totaling \$5.0 billion for 2006. The gross realized gains and losses related to the sales of short-term investments were not material for the year ended December 31, 2006. Net unrealized gains and losses are reported as a separate component of Accumulated comprehensive loss in Stockholders' equity.

In accordance with EITF 03-01, “The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments”, the following table shows gross unrealized losses and fair value of those investments that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that individual security has been in a continuous loss position.

	<u>Less than 12 months</u>		<u>More than 12 months</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Losses</u>
<i>December 31, 2006</i>						
U.S. treasury and government agency securities .....	\$ 34.8	\$ —	\$—	\$—	\$ 34.8	\$ —
Commercial paper .....	198.7	—	—	—	198.7	—
Corporate obligations .....	108.3	0.1	—	—	108.3	0.1
Total marketable securities .....	<u>\$341.8</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$341.8</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>
<i>December 31, 2005</i>						
U.S. treasury and government agency securities .....	\$ 24.0	\$0.1	\$—	\$—	\$ 24.0	\$0.1
Commercial paper .....	86.6	—	—	—	86.6	—
Corporate obligations .....	44.6	0.1	—	—	44.6	0.1
Total marketable securities .....	<u>\$155.2</u>	<u>\$0.2</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$155.2</u>	<u>\$0.2</u>

LP believes that the unrealized losses above are minor, resulting from differences in market value and amortized cost of the securities. These unrealized losses are considered temporary, as LP expects to recover substantially all of its costs related to these investments prior to sale or maturity and therefore no impairment has been recorded.

### 3. RECEIVABLES

Receivables consist of the following:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Trade receivables .....	\$ 61.5	\$113.2
Income tax receivables .....	71.1	—
Interest receivables .....	6.6	6.2
Other receivables .....	19.6	29.5
Allowance for doubtful accounts .....	(1.4)	(2.1)
	<u>\$157.4</u>	<u>\$146.8</u>

As described in Note 11, the majority of LP’s trade receivables are available to secure borrowings under a revolving credit facility. Other receivables at December 31, 2006 and 2005 primarily consist of short-term notes receivable, settlements, Canadian sales tax receivables and other items.

#### 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following (work-in-process is not material):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Logs .....	\$ 54.9	\$ 76.3
Other raw materials.....	33.2	38.8
Finished products.....	153.3	120.7
Supplies.....	7.5	8.4
LIFO reserve .....	<u>(2.8)</u>	<u>(3.9)</u>
Total.....	<u>\$246.1</u>	<u>\$240.3</u>

During 2006, liquidation of LIFO layers reduced cost of sales by \$1.1 million.

#### 5. NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM ASSET SALES

Notes receivable from asset sales are related to transactions that occurred during 1997 and 1998. These notes receivable provide collateral for LP's limited recourse notes payable (see Note 11). LP monitors the collectibility of these notes on a regular basis.

	<b>Interest Rate</b>	<b>December 31,</b>	
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
		<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Notes receivable (unsecured), maturing 2008-2012, interest rates fixed .	5.6-7.5%	\$ 49.9	\$ 49.9
Notes receivable (secured), maturing 2008-2018, interest rates fixed. . . .	6.8-7.3%	283.1	353.9
Total.....		<u>333.0</u>	<u>403.8</u>
Current portion .....		—	(70.8)
Long-term portion.....		<u>\$333.0</u>	<u>\$333.0</u>

The weighted average interest rate for all notes receivable from asset sales at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately 7.0 percent. The notes mature as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2007 .....	\$ —
2008 .....	74.4
2009 .....	20.0
2010 .....	115.2
2011 .....	—
2012 and after.....	<u>123.4</u>
Total.....	<u>\$333.0</u>

LP estimates that the fair value of these notes at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$346.4 million and \$430.5 million, respectively.



## 6. GOODWILL

Goodwill by operating segment is as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
OSB .....	\$232.5	\$232.5
Siding .....	32.4	32.4
Other .....	<u>8.6</u>	<u>8.6</u>
Total goodwill.....	<u>\$273.5</u>	<u>\$273.5</u>

## 7. OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets (other than goodwill) are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Goodwill associated with equity investment in GreenFiber (recorded in Investments in and advances to affiliates).....	<u>\$16.4</u>	<u>\$16.4</u>
SFAS No. 87 pension intangible asset .....	—	5.4
Other .....	<u>3.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>
Total other intangible assets .....	<u>3.4</u>	<u>7.4</u>
Total intangible assets.....	<u>\$19.8</u>	<u>\$23.8</u>

See Note 13 for a discussion of the impact of adopting SFAS No. 87, “Employers Accounting for Pension” intangible asset associated with the December 31, 2005 balance.

## 8. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO AFFILIATES

LP has investments in affiliates that are accounted for under both the equity method and the cost method based upon the specific terms of the agreement as well as advances to affiliates. The significant components of these investments and advances are as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Investments accounted for under the equity method .....	\$168.4	\$166.5
Investments accounted for under the cost method (see Note 12).....	<u>44.5</u>	<u>44.5</u>
Total Investments in and advance to affiliates .....	<u>\$212.9</u>	<u>\$211.0</u>

At December 31, 2006, LP’s significant equity method investees, its approximate ownership interest and principle business activity in each investee were as follows:

	<u>Ownership %</u>	
U.S. GreenFiber.....	50%	Established to manufacture and sell cellulose insulation products
Abitibi—LP.....	50%	Established to construct and operate jointly owned I-Joist facilities in Quebec, Canada.
Canfor—LP.....	50%	Established to construct and operate a jointly owned OSB facility in British Columbia, Canada.

These investments do not meet the Regulation S-X significance test requiring the inclusion of the separate investee financial statements or summarized financial information.

LP sells products and raw materials to the Abitibi-LP entity and purchases products for resale from the Abitibi-LP and Canfor-LP entities. LP eliminates profits on these sales and purchases, to the extent the inventory has not been sold through to third parties, on the basis of its 50% interest. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, LP sold \$23.6 million, \$25.2 million and \$22.1 million of products to Abitibi-LP and purchased \$81.6 million, \$73.1 million and \$62.5 million of I-joists from Abitibi-LP. LP also purchased \$81.7 million of OSB from Canfor-LP for the year ended December 31, 2006. Purchases from Canfor-LP were not significant for 2005 or 2004.

## 9. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities were as follows:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	Dollar amounts in millions	
Accounts payable . . . . .	\$139.6	\$129.7
Salaries and wages payable. . . . .	32.3	40.8
Taxes other than income taxes. . . . .	6.9	9.6
Workers' compensation . . . . .	4.8	13.7
Accrued interest. . . . .	17.5	7.9
Other accrued liabilities . . . . .	36.7	33.7
Income taxes payable . . . . .	<u>3.1</u>	<u>7.8</u>
Total Accounts payable and accrued liabilities. . . . .	<u>\$240.9</u>	<u>\$243.2</u>

Other accrued liabilities at December 31, 2006 and 2005 primarily consist of accrued rent, accrued rebates, timber liabilities, current portion of warranty reserves and other items.

## 10. INCOME TAXES

Income before taxes was taxed in domestic and foreign jurisdictions, as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	Dollar amounts in millions		
Domestic . . . . .	\$151.0	\$299.5	\$482.0
Foreign . . . . .	(4.2)	205.1	216.4
Total. . . . .	<u>\$146.8</u>	<u>\$504.6</u>	<u>\$698.4</u>

Income before taxes is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
Income from continuing operations before taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	\$154.0	\$536.4	\$693.9
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates .....	(4.3)	0.7	3.8
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	149.7	537.1	697.7
Income (loss) from discontinued operations.....	(2.9)	(30.7)	0.7
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	—	(1.8)	—
	<u>\$146.8</u>	<u>\$504.6</u>	<u>\$698.4</u>

Provision for income taxes includes the following:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
<i>Current tax provision:</i>			
U.S. federal .....	\$ 60.7	\$ 110.8	\$ 46.7
State and local .....	4.3	10.6	5.1
Foreign .....	(33.1)	37.0	92.2
Net current tax provision .....	<u>31.9</u>	<u>158.4</u>	<u>144.0</u>
<i>Deferred tax provision (benefit):</i>			
U.S. federal .....	(18.4)	(120.6)	119.6
State and local .....	(1.0)	(13.7)	16.9
Foreign .....	10.6	25.0	(2.8)
Net deferred tax provision (benefit).....	<u>(8.8)</u>	<u>(109.3)</u>	<u>133.7</u>
Total income tax provision.....	<u>\$ 23.1</u>	<u>\$ 49.1</u>	<u>\$277.7</u>

The income tax provision has been allocated in accordance with SFAS No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes,” and has been recorded in the financial statements as follows:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
Continuing operations .....	\$24.2	\$ 61.3	\$277.5
Discontinued operations.....	(1.1)	(11.5)	0.2
Cumulative effect of accounting change .....	—	(0.7)	—
Total income tax provision.....	<u>\$23.1</u>	<u>\$ 49.1</u>	<u>\$277.7</u>

Income tax paid during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$124.4 million, \$130.9 million and \$183.5 million. Included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2006 are income tax receivables of \$71million.

The income tax effects of LP’s share of the income or loss of GreenFiber and Canfor-LP OSB Limited Partnership in 2006, 2005 and 2004 are recorded in Provision for income taxes on the Consolidated Statements of Income, while LP’s share of such pre-tax income is recorded in Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates.

The tax effects of significant temporary differences creating deferred tax (assets) and liabilities at December 31 were as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>	
Property, plant and equipment .....	\$ 96.2	\$114.0
Timber and timberlands .....	36.1	40.5
Inventories .....	(3.5)	(7.1)
Accrued liabilities .....	(59.4)	(84.6)
Contingency reserves.....	(.5)	(1.1)
Benefit of capital loss and NOL carryovers.....	(17.3)	(18.1)
Benefit of foreign tax credit carryovers .....	(13.8)	(15.4)
Foreign tax withholding liability .....	15.0	29.0
Benefit of state tax credit carryover .....	(2.6)	(2.0)
Installment sale gain deferral.....	242.3	268.1
Deferred financial income .....	20.2	26.5
Other .....	7.4	(1.2)
Valuation allowance .....	<u>29.9</u>	<u>30.7</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities .....	<u>\$350.0</u>	<u>\$379.3</u>

Deferred tax liabilities are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

Current deferred tax assets .....	\$ (28.5)	\$ —
Current deferred tax liabilities.....	\$ 14.6	\$ 2.3
Deferred tax liabilities.....	\$363.9	\$377.0

The \$17.3 million of capital loss and net operating loss (NOL) carryovers included in the above table at December 31, 2006 consists of \$1.6 million of state NOL carryovers, net of federal taxes, which will expire in various years through 2022, and \$15.7 million of Canadian capital loss carryovers which may be carried forward indefinitely. The foreign tax credit carryover of \$13.8 million will expire in 2015. LP has recorded a valuation allowance against the entire Canadian capital loss carryover, \$0.1 million of the state NOL carryover, \$0.3 million of the state tax credit carryover, and all \$13.8 million of the foreign tax credit carryover.

LP has recorded the above valuation allowances because it does not expect to utilize some or all of the benefits of the state NOL, state tax credit and foreign tax credit carryovers before they expire, and because the Canadian capital loss carryover may only be utilized against future capital gains, the amount of which we are unable to project. If future years' taxable income differs from the estimates used to establish these valuation allowances, LP will be required to record an adjustment resulting in an impact on current tax expense.

Of the total tax benefits resulting from the exercise of employee stock options and other employee stock programs, the amounts booked to Stockholders' equity were \$3.5 million and \$3.8 million for 2006 and 2005, respectively.

U.S. taxes have not been provided on approximately \$12.8 million of undistributed earnings of LP's foreign subsidiaries, which under existing law, are not subject to U.S. tax until distributed as dividends. These earnings have been, and are intended to be, indefinitely reinvested in LP's foreign operations. Furthermore, any taxes paid to the foreign governments on these earnings may be used, in whole or in part, as credits against the U.S. tax on any dividends distributed from such earnings.

The U.S. Internal Revenue Service has completed its examination of the US Federal income tax returns of LP for all years through 2004. LP is subject to numerous ongoing audits by state and foreign tax authorities. LP believes that adequate accruals have been provided for all years.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA) provided for a temporary 85% dividends received deduction on certain foreign earnings repatriated during a one-year period. The deduction resulted in an approximate 5.25% federal tax rate on qualified repatriated earnings. During the fourth quarter of 2005, LP's CEO and Board of Directors approved a domestic reinvestment plan as required by the AJCA and LP's Canadian subsidiary repatriated \$513.1 million in foreign earnings during the quarter.

LP recorded a net tax benefit in 2005 of \$94.3 million related to this \$513.1 million dividend. The net tax benefit consisted of federal taxes payable of \$26.8 million, state taxes payable, net of federal benefits, of \$0.9 million, foreign withholding taxes payable, net of benefits, of \$22.3 million, and a tax benefit of \$144.3 related to a reduction of deferred tax liabilities on both repatriated and unrepatriated foreign earnings that were recorded in prior years.

LP's reserve for tax contingencies related to issues in the United States and foreign locations was \$5.2 million at December 31, 2006 and \$6.3 million at December 31, 2005. This balance reflects the Company's best estimate of the potential liability for known tax contingencies. The decrease in the tax contingency reserve was the net result of resolution of a number of issues offset by current year requirements for asserted and unasserted federal, state and foreign items. Inherent uncertainties exist in the process of estimating tax contingencies for various reasons, including, but not limited to, the complexity of tax laws and regulations and changing interpretations of such laws and regulations through administrative processes and the tax court systems of the various taxing jurisdictions. Based upon information currently available, management believes that resolution of tax contingencies will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity of LP.

The following table summarizes the differences between the statutory U.S. federal and effective income tax rates:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Federal tax rate .....	35%	35%	35%
State and local income taxes .....	2	2	3
AJCA repatriation, including state taxes .....	—	(19)	—
Effect of foreign debt structure .....	(5)	(5)	—
Effect of foreign exchange gain (loss) .....	(4)	(1)	3
Impact of tax rate decrease on deferred taxes .....	(5)	—	—
Impact of tax credits .....	(2)	—	—
Other, net .....	(5)	(2)	(1)
	<u>16%</u>	<u>10%</u>	<u>40%</u>

## 11. LONG-TERM DEBT

	Interest Rate	December 31,	
		2006	2005
Dollar amounts in millions			
<i>Debentures:</i>			
Senior notes, maturing 2010, interest rates fixed .....	8.875%	\$199.6	\$199.6
<i>Bank credit facilities:</i>			
Term loan, maturing 2008, interest rates variable .....	5.25	106.8	200.0
Accounts receivable securitization, expiring in 2007, interest rate variable ..		—	—
U.S. revolving credit facility, expiring in 2009, interest rates variable .....		—	—
Canadian revolving credit facility, expiring in 2007, interest rates variable ..		—	—
Chilean term credit facility, maturing 2015, interest rates variable .....	5.59	3.0	—
<i>Limited recourse notes payable:</i>			
Senior notes, payable 2008-2012, interest rates fixed .....	7.1-7.5	47.9	47.9
Senior notes, payable 2006-2018, interest rates fixed .....	6.8-7.3	278.9	348.6
<i>Project revenue financing:</i>			
Project revenue bond financings, payable through 2022, interest rates variable .....	3.5-4.5	7.6	22.8
<i>Other financings:</i>			
Other, interest rates vary .....		1.2	4.5
Total .....		645.0	823.4
Current portion .....		(0.4)	(88.6)
Net long-term debt .....		\$644.6	\$734.8

LP believes the carrying amounts of its variable rate long-term debt approximates fair market value. LP estimated the limited recourse notes payable to have a fair value of approximately \$343 million and \$419 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005. LP estimates the senior notes maturing in 2010 to have a fair market value of \$216 million at December 31, 2006 and \$220 million at December 31, 2005 based upon market quotes.

The underlying assets of the related manufacturing facility typically secure project revenue financings.

In 1997, LP issued \$47.9 million of senior notes in a private placement to institutional investors. The notes mature in principal amounts of \$20 million in 2008, \$20 million in 2009, and \$7.9 million in 2012. They are secured by \$50 million in notes receivable from Sierra Pacific Industries. In the event of a default by Sierra Pacific Industries, LP is fully liable for the notes payable.

LP issued \$348.6 million of senior debt in June 1998 in a private placement to institutional investors. The remaining \$278.9 million of notes mature in principal amounts of \$53.5 million in 2008, \$113.4 million in 2010, \$90.0 million in 2013 and \$22.0 million in 2018. The notes are secured by \$283.1 million of notes receivable from Green Diamond Resource Company (Green Diamond). Pursuant to the terms of the notes payable, in the event of a default by Green Diamond, LP would be liable to pay only 10% of the indebtedness represented by the notes payable.

In September 2004, LP entered into a new five-year revolving credit facility. The new facility, which will expire in September 2009, provides for committed borrowing capacity of \$150 million. Subject to the willingness of existing or new lenders under the credit facility to advance additional funds, LP may increase its borrowing capacity under the facility by up to an additional \$100 million. The facility allows LP to cash collateralize the borrowings and letters of credit outstanding under the facility, at its option, in order to lower the cost of such borrowings and letters of credit. At December 31, 2006, LP had no borrowings

outstanding under the facility. Letters of credit issued and outstanding, which reduce LP's borrowing capacity, totaled approximately \$35.7 million as December 31, 2006 and were cash collateralized with \$37.5 million.

In November 2004, LP renewed an accounts receivable secured revolving credit facility providing for up to \$100 million of borrowing capacity, \$21 million of which was available for borrowing at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2006, there were no outstanding borrowings under this facility. The structure of this facility requires LP to maintain a wholly owned non-qualifying special purpose entity, which is consolidated in accordance with SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities." This entity purchases accounts receivable from LP and borrows from a third party using the receivables as collateral. The transaction is treated as a secured borrowing because the Company has the right to terminate early any borrowings outstanding, allowing LP to retain effective control over the receivables. The pledged receivables outstanding and the corresponding debt, if any, are included in Receivables and Long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2006, any borrowings under this facility would have borne interest at approximately commercial paper rates plus 0.5%. The maximum amount available for borrowing under this facility changes based upon the amount of eligible receivables, concentration of eligible receivables and other factors. The facility contains a provision under which specified downgrades of LP's long-term unsecured senior debt rating could cause an amortization event under this facility.

As of February 20, 2007, LP's credit ratings were

	<u>Moody's Investor Service</u>	<u>Standard &amp; Poor's</u>
Senior Notes . . . . .	Baa3	BBB -

Louisiana Pacific Canada Ltd (LP Canada) has a \$10 million (Canadian) letter of credit facility. LP's ability to obtain letters of credit under this facility ends in December 2007. The facility allows LP Canada to cash collateralize the borrowings and letters of credit outstanding under the facility, at its option, in order to lower the cost of such borrowings and letters of credit. At December 31, 2006, LP Canada had no borrowings outstanding under the facility. Letters of credit issued and outstanding totaled approximately \$0.8 million as December 31, 2006 and were cash collateralized with \$0.8 million. Subsequent to year end, this facility was increased to \$100 million (Canadian).

LP Canada has a \$10 million (Canadian or US) credit facility. The facility allows LP Canada to finance general operating requirements. At December 31, 2006, there was \$3.0 million outstanding under this facility. This amount is included in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In December 2005, LP executed a credit agreement among Louisiana-Pacific Limited Partnership (LPLP) and LP Canada, as borrowers providing for a three-year, unsecured term loan in the principal amount of C\$235 million. The obligations of LPLP and LP Canada under the credit agreement are guaranteed by LP. During 2006, LPLP repaid C\$110 million.

In December 2006, Louisiana Pacific Chile SA (LP Chile) entered into a committed term credit facility with a Chilean bank for up to \$40 million. LP Chile's ability to draw from this facility ends in December 2008, with the final maturity in March 2015. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 0.2275% (5.59% as of December 31, 2006). The proceeds from the facility are being used to fund construction of an additional OSB plant in Chile. At December 31, 2006, there was \$3.0 million outstanding under this facility. Borrowings under the facility were secured.

The weighted average interest rate for all long-term debt at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately 7.3 percent and 6.8 percent. Required repayment of principal for long-term debt is as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2007 .....	\$ 0.4
2008 .....	180.9
2009 .....	27.7
2010 .....	313.6
2011 .....	0.6
2012 and after.....	<u>121.8</u>
Total.....	<u>\$645.0</u>

Cash paid during 2006, 2005 and 2004 for interest (net of capitalized interest) was \$38.0 million, 61.7 million and \$68.3 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, LP repurchased \$6.4 million of its publicly traded debt, the 10.875% Subordinated Notes, ahead of the maturity date. In connection with debt repurchases, LP recorded charges of \$0.5 million and \$41.5 million to reflect the premiums paid and certain transaction costs for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

## **12. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENT**

In connection with the sale of LP's southern timber and timberlands in 2003, LP received cash of \$26.4 million and notes receivable of \$410.0 million from the purchasers of such timber and timberlands. In order to borrow funds in a cost-effective manner: (i) LP contributed the notes receivable to a Qualified Special Purpose Entity (QSPE) as defined under SFAS No. 140, (ii) the QSPE issued to unrelated third parties bonds supported by a bank letter of credit which are secured by the notes receivable, and (iii) the QSPE distributed to LP, as a return of capital, substantially all of the proceeds realized by the QSPE from the issuance of its bonds. The QSPE has no sources of liquidity other than the notes receivable, the cash flow generated by the notes receivable generally will be dedicated to the payment of the bonds issued by the QSPE, and the QSPE's creditors generally will have no recourse to LP for the QSPE's obligations (subject to the limited exception described below).

Pursuant to the arrangement described above, during 2003, LP contributed the \$410.0 million of notes receivable to the QSPE, the QSPE issued \$368.7 million of its bonds to unrelated third parties and distributed \$365.8 million to LP as a return of capital.

The principal amount of the QSPE's borrowings is approximately 90% of the principal amount of the notes receivable contributed by LP to the QSPE. LP's retained interest in the excess of the notes receivable contributed to the unconsolidated subsidiary over the amount of capital distributed by the unconsolidated subsidiary, in the form of an investment in the QSPE, represented \$44.5 million of the Investments in and advances to affiliates on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006. Management believes the book value of this investment approximates market value, as the interest rates on the notes receivable are variable.

In accordance with SFAS No. 140, the QSPE is not included in LP's consolidated financial statements and the assets and liabilities of the QSPE are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The QSPE's assets have been removed from LP's control and are not available to satisfy claims of LP's creditors except to the extent of LP's retained interest, if any, remaining after the claims of QSPE's



creditors are satisfied. In general, the creditors of the QSPE have no recourse to LP's assets, other than LP's retained interest. However, under certain circumstances, LP may be liable for certain liabilities of the QSPE (including liabilities associated with the marketing or remarketing of its bonds and reimbursement obligations associated with the letter of credit supporting the bonds) in an amount not to exceed 10% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes receivable pledged by the QSPE. LP's maximum exposure in this regard was approximately \$41 million as of December 31, 2006. The estimated fair value of this guarantee is not material.

### **13. RETIREMENT PLANS AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS**

LP sponsors various defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans that provide retirement benefits to substantially all of its employees. Vesting generally occurs after 3 to 5 years of service for employees in the U.S. and after 2 years of service for employees in Canada. Most regularly scheduled employees are eligible to participate in these plans except those covered by a collective bargaining agreement, unless the collective bargaining agreement specifically allows for participation in LP's plans. LP contributes to a multiemployer plan for certain employees covered by collective bargaining agreements.

#### **Defined Benefit Plans**

Contributions to the qualified defined benefit pension plans are based on actuarial calculations of amounts to cover current service costs and amortization of prior service costs over periods ranging up to 20 years. LP contributes additional funds as necessary to maintain desired funding levels.

Benefit accruals under the two most significant plans, which account for approximately 85% of the assets and benefit obligations in the tables below, are credited at a rate of 5% of eligible compensation with an interest credit based on the 30-year U.S. Treasury rate. The remaining defined benefit pension plans use a variety of benefit formulas.

LP also maintains a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP), an unfunded, non-qualified defined benefit plan intended to provide supplemental retirement benefits to key executives. Benefits are generally based on compensation in the years immediately preceding normal retirement. LP has established a grantor trust that provides funds for the benefits payable under the SERP. The assets of the grantor trust are invested in corporate-owned life insurance policies. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the trust assets were valued at \$16.3 million and \$14.9 million and are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. LP did not contribute to this trust in 2006 or 2005.

LP adopted the recognition and disclosure requirements of SFAS 158 as of December 31, 2006. Upon adoption, LP recognized a liability for the underfunded status of its defined benefit pension plans, an asset for the overfunded status of its defined benefit pension plans and adjusted ending accumulated comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the net actuarial loss and prior service cost that had not yet been recognized as components of net periodic pension cost. Of the total amounts included in accumulated comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2006, LP expects to recognize a net actuarial loss of \$6.0 million and prior service cost of \$1.2 million as components of net periodic pension cost in 2007. The adoption of SFAS 158 had no effect on LP's Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2006, or for any prior period presented.

The following table sets forth the change in the benefit obligation, the change in plan assets, the funded status and the amounts recognized in the balance sheet for LP sponsored plans:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	Dollar amounts in millions	
<i>Change in benefit obligation:</i>		
Benefit obligation—beginning of year	\$ 277.4	\$ 258.3
Service cost	9.3	8.9
Interest cost	15.6	14.4
Amendments	—	2.9
Actuarial loss	6.7	10.8
Curtailements/settlements	(0.4)	—
Foreign exchange rate changes	(0.2)	1.3
Benefits paid	(19.2)	(19.2)
Benefit obligation—end of year	<u>\$ 289.2</u>	<u>\$ 277.4</u>
<i>Change in assets:</i>		
Fair value of assets—beginning of year	\$ 240.8	\$ 216.8
Actual return on plan assets	33.5	20.4
Employer contribution	21.1	21.8
Curtailements/settlements	(0.4)	—
Foreign exchange rate changes	(0.1)	1.0
Benefits paid	(19.2)	(19.2)
Fair value of assets—end of year	<u>\$ 275.7</u>	<u>\$ 240.8</u>
Funded status—end of year	\$ (13.5)	*
<i>Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of:</i>		
Noncurrent pension assets, included in Other assets	\$ 1.2	*
Current pension liabilities, included in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(0.1)	*
Noncurrent pension liabilities, included in Other long-term liabilities	(14.6)	*
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ (13.5)</u>	<u>*</u>
<i>Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic pension cost and recognized in accumulated comprehensive loss:</i>		
Net actuarial loss	\$ 76.7	*
Prior service cost	6.2	*
Accumulated comprehensive loss (pre-tax)	\$ 82.9	*
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 276.2	\$ 260.4
<i>Pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets:</i>		
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 10.4	\$ 268.9
Accumulated benefit obligation	8.0	251.9
Fair value of assets	—	232.1
<i>Reconciliation of funded status:</i>		
Funded status	*	\$ (36.6)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	*	93.2
Unrecognized prior service cost	*	7.4
Prepaid benefit cost	*	\$ 64.0
<i>Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of:</i>		
Prepaid benefit cost	*	\$ 2.5
Accrued benefit liability	*	(19.8)
Intangible asset	*	5.3
Accumulated comprehensive loss (pre-tax)	*	76.0
Net amount recognized	<u>*</u>	<u>\$ 64.0</u>
Decrease in minimum liability included in accumulated comprehensive loss (net of income taxes):	<u>*</u>	<u>\$ (0.4)</u>
<i>Weighted-average assumptions for obligations as of October 31 (measurement date):</i>		
Discount rate for obligations	5.68%	5.69%
Rate of compensation increase	4.00%	4.07%

\* Not applicable due to change in accounting standard

Net periodic pension cost included the following components:

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>		
Service cost .....	\$ 9.3	\$ 8.9	\$ 10.0
Interest cost .....	15.6	14.4	14.6
Expected return on plan assets .....	(18.4)	(16.9)	(15.5)
Amortization of prior service cost and net transition asset .....	1.2	0.9	0.9
Amortization of net actuarial loss .....	7.9	7.4	5.6
Net periodic pension cost .....	<u>\$ 15.6</u>	<u>\$ 14.7</u>	<u>\$ 15.6</u>
Loss due to curtailment .....	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2.4</u>
<i>Weighted-average assumptions for net periodic pension cost:</i>			
Discount rate for pension cost .....	5.55%	5.46%	6.06%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets .....	7.74%	7.75%	7.86%

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the weighted-average expected long-term rates of return for the broad categories of investments currently held in the plans (adjusted for expected changes), based on historical rates of return for each broad category, as well as factors that may constrain or enhance returns in the broad categories in the future. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is adjusted when there are fundamental changes in expected returns in one or more broad asset categories and when the weighted-average mix of assets in the plans changes significantly.

The following table presents the incremental effects of applying SFAS 158 on individual line items on LP's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2006. If LP had not been required to adopt SFAS 158, it would have recognized an additional minimum liability related to LP's defined benefit pension plans of \$0.1 million in accumulated comprehensive loss at December 31, 2006 pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 87 "Employers' Accounting for Pensions." The effect of recognizing the additional minimum liability is included in the table below in the column "Prior to Adopting SFAS 158."

	<b>Year ended December 31, 2006</b>		
	<b>Prior to Adopting SFAS 158</b>	<b>Adjustments</b>	<b>After Adopting SFAS 158</b>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>		
Intangible assets .....	\$ 5.2	\$ (1.8)	\$ 3.4
Other assets .....	101.4	(74.4)	\$ 27.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities .....	240.8	0.1	240.9
Other long-term liabilities .....	63.5	6.5	70.0
Deferred income taxes .....	395.6	(31.7)	363.9
Accumulated comprehensive loss .....	(20.4)	(51.1)	(71.5)

## Plan Assets

The following table presents the weighted-average asset allocations as of the measurement dates of LP's plans:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>	
<i>Asset category</i>		
Equity securities . . . . .	54.4%	66.0%
Debt securities . . . . .	25.4	21.3
Real estate . . . . .	6.4	4.1
Other, including cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	13.8	8.6
Total . . . . .	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

LP's investment policies for the defined benefit pension plans provide target asset allocations by broad categories of investment and ranges of acceptable allocations. These policies are set by an administrative committee with the goal of maximizing long-term investment returns within acceptable levels of volatility and risk. LP's U.S. plans include real estate, hedge funds and real return investment strategies to increase returns and reduce volatility. LP's plans do not currently invest directly in derivative securities, although such investments may be considered in the future to increase returns and/or reduce volatility.

## Cash Flows

LP currently expects to contribute approximately \$10 million to its defined benefit plans in 2007. This amount may be adjusted for various reasons including potential changes in the laws or administrative rules governing plan funding.

The following table reflects the expected benefit payments from the plans:

<i>Year</i>	<u>Pension Benefits</u> <u>Dollar amounts</u> <u>in millions</u>
2007 . . . . .	\$ 16.1
2008 . . . . .	16.8
2009 . . . . .	18.1
2010 . . . . .	18.6
2011 . . . . .	19.5
2012–2016 . . . . .	130.7

## Defined Contribution Plans

LP also sponsors defined contribution plans in the U.S. and Canada. In the U.S., these plans are primarily 401(k) plans for hourly and salaried employees that allow for pre-tax employee deferrals and a company match of up to 3.5% of an employee's eligible wages (subject to certain limits). Under the profit sharing feature of these plans, LP may elect to contribute a discretionary amount as a percentage of eligible wages. Included in the assets of the 401(k) and profit sharing plans are 2.2 million shares of LP common stock that represented approximately 20% of the total market value of plan assets at December 31, 2006.

In Canada, LP sponsors both defined contribution plans and Registered Retirement Savings Plans for hourly and salaried employees that allow for pre-tax employee deferrals. LP provides a base contribution of 2.5% of eligible earnings for most employees and matches 50% of an employee's deferrals up to a maximum of 3% of each employee's eligible earnings (subject to certain limits).

Expenses related to defined contribution plans and the multiemployer plan in 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$10.9 million, \$8.6 million and \$11.9 million.

### **Other Benefit Plans**

LP has several plans that provide postretirement benefits other than pensions, primarily for salaried employees in the U.S. and certain groups of Canadian employees. The accrued postretirement benefit cost at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.8 million and \$6.0 million. In accordance with SFAS 158, LP recognized \$3.4 million (\$2.2 million net of tax) of net actuarial losses and prior service costs and credits in Accumulated comprehensive loss at December 31, 2006 that had not yet been recognized as components of net periodic pension costs. Net expense related to these plans was not significant in 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Effective August 16, 2004, LP adopted the Louisiana-Pacific Corporation 2004 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan). Pursuant to the Plan, certain management employees are eligible to defer up to 90% of their regular salary and annual cash incentives that exceed the limitation as set forth by the Internal Revenue Service. Each plan participant is fully vested in all deferred compensation and earnings credited to his or her account. The liability under this plan amounted to \$1.5 million at December 31, 2006 and \$0.6 million at December 31, 2005 and is included in Other long-term liabilities on LP's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## **14. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

### **Preferred Stock**

The Company is authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock at \$1.00 par value. At December 31, 2006, no shares of preferred stock have been issued; however, 2,000,000 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock have been reserved for issuance in connection with the Company's Shareholder Rights Plan. Additional series of preferred stock may be designated and the related rights and preferences fixed by action of the Board of Directors.

### **Shareholder Rights Plan**

In May 1998, the Board of Directors approved a shareholder rights plan and declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right for each outstanding common share. Each right represents the right to purchase one hundredth of a share of Preferred Stock, at an exercise price of \$100.00, subject to adjustment. The rights are only exercisable ten days after a person or group acquires, or commences a tender or exchange offer to acquire, beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock.

Subject to the terms of the shareholder rights plan and the discretion of the Board of Directors, each right would entitle the holder to purchase a number of additional shares of common stock of LP having a total market value of twice the exercise price of each right. The rights expire in June 2008, but may be redeemed by action of the Board of Directors prior to that time at \$.01 per right.

### **Common Stock Plans**

At December 31, 2006, LP has stock-based employee compensation plans as described below. The total compensation expense related to all of LP's stock-based compensation plans was \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Prior to January 1, 2006, LP accounted for these plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB 25. Accordingly, LP generally recognized compensation expense only when it modified stock option terms (as required by FASB Interpretation No. 44 (FIN 44)) or granted restricted stock units or restricted stock. Any resulting expense was recognized ratably over the associated service period, which was generally the vesting term of the award.

Prior to January 1, 2006, LP provided pro forma disclosure amounts in accordance with SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure (SFAS 148)”, as if the fair value method defined by SFAS 123 had been applied to its stock-based compensation.

Effective January 1, 2006, LP adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, using the modified prospective transition method and therefore has not restated results for prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123. Compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. LP recognizes these compensation costs net of an estimated forfeiture rate and recognizes the compensation costs for only those shares expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of three years. LP estimated the forfeiture rate for 2006 based on its historical experience during the preceding two fiscal years.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, income before income taxes and net income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$4.6 million and \$3.0 million lower than if we had continued to account for stock-based compensation under APB 25. The impact on basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2006 was a decrease of \$0.03 per share. In addition, prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, LP presented the tax benefit of stock option exercises as operating cash flows. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123R, tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options are classified as financing cash flows.

The following table illustrates the effect (in millions, except per share amounts) on net income and basic and diluted earnings per share as if the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. For purposes of this pro forma disclosure, the value of the options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 as follows:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Expected stock price volatility.....	51.4%	46.0%
Expected dividend rate.....	1.56%	1.11%
Risk-free interest rate.....	3.80%	3.95%
Expected life of options .....	4.0 yrs	7.0 yrs

The average fair value of each option granted during 2005 and 2004 was \$10.61 and \$10.56.

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions, except per share amounts</b>	
Net income, as reported .....	\$455.5	\$420.7
Add: Stock-based employee compensation included in reported net income, net of related income tax effects .....	0.9	9.5
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects .....	(2.8)	(11.1)
Pro forma net income .....	<u>\$453.6</u>	<u>\$419.1</u>
Net income per share—basic, as reported .....	<u>\$ 4.18</u>	<u>\$ 3.88</u>
Net income per share—diluted, as reported .....	<u>\$ 4.15</u>	<u>\$ 3.84</u>
Net income per share—basic, pro forma .....	<u>\$ 4.16</u>	<u>\$ 3.87</u>
Net income per share—diluted, pro forma .....	<u>\$ 4.13</u>	<u>\$ 3.82</u>

#### *Stock Compensation Plans*

LP grants options and stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) to key employees and directors to purchase LP common stock. On exercise or issuance, LP generally issues these shares from treasury. The options and SSARs are granted at market price at the date of grant. For employees, options and SSARs become exercisable over three years and expire ten years after the date of grant. For directors, these options become exercisable in 10% increments every three months, starting three months after the date of grant, and expire ten years after the date of grant. At December 31, 2006, 6,516,498 shares were available under the current stock award plans for stock-based awards. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the fair value of the options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: a risk free interest rate of 4.5%; an expected volatility factor for the market price of the Company's common stock of 45% (based upon historical volatility over the expected life); a dividend yield of 2.0%; and an expected life of 4 years (based upon historical experience). The weighted-average fair value of each option granted during the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$10.06.

The following table summarizes stock options and SSARs outstanding as of December 31, 2006 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

	<u>Options/ SSARs</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)</u>
	Share amounts in thousands			
Options outstanding at January 1, 2004 . . . . .	5,860	\$12.52		
Options granted . . . . .	529	\$21.36		
Options exercised . . . . .	(3,326)	\$12.39		
Options cancelled . . . . .	<u>(313)</u>	\$13.32		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2004 . . . . .	2,750	\$14.35		
Options granted . . . . .	332	\$27.34		
Options exercised . . . . .	(902)	\$13.03		
Options cancelled . . . . .	<u>(45)</u>	\$16.15		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2005 . . . . .	2,135	\$16.89		
Options granted . . . . .	604	\$28.09		
Options exercised . . . . .	(538)	\$10.47		
Options cancelled . . . . .	<u>(67)</u>	\$27.11		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2006 . . . . .	<u>2,134</u>	<u>\$21.37</u>	<u>6.17</u>	<u>\$6.0</u>
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2006 . . . . .	<u>2,127</u>	<u>\$21.35</u>	<u>6.16</u>	<u>\$6.0</u>
Options exercisable at December 31, 2006 . . . . .	<u>1,295</u>	<u>\$17.54</u>	<u>4.53</u>	<u>\$5.9</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between LP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fourth quarter of 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options and SSARs) that would have been received by the holders had all holders exercised their awards on December 31, 2006. This amount changes based on the market value of LP's stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$9.3 million.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$5.6 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options and SSARs. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Cash received from option exercises for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$5.6 million. The tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from option exercises of the share-based payment awards totaled \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### **INCENTIVE SHARE AWARDS**

LP has granted incentive share stock awards (restricted stock units) to selected senior executives as allowed under the current stock award plans. The awards entitle the participant to receive a specified number of shares of LP common stock at no cost to the participant. For all years prior to 2005, these awards vest over a five-year period, subject to vesting acceleration upon the achievement of various stock price targets. The stock price targets were reached for all grants except for fifty percent of the 2004 grants. For the remaining awards granted in 2004, if LP's stock trades at or above \$29.78 per share for five consecutive days prior to the end of the five-year period, the remaining awards will automatically vest on the next anniversary of the date of grant. The 2005 and 2006 awards for employees vest three years from the date of grant. The market value of these grants approximates the fair value. LP recorded compensation



expense related to these awards in 2006, 2005 and 2004 of \$1.1 million, \$0.8 million and \$2.9 million. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$1.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested incentive share awards. This expense will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

The following table summarizes incentive share awards outstanding as of December 31, 2006 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)</u>
Incentive share awards outstanding at January 1, 2004 . . . . .	675,309		
Incentive shares awards granted . . . . .	195,481		
Incentive share awards vested . . . . .	(643,628)		
Incentive share awards cancelled. . . . .	<u>(41,246)</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2004. . .	185,916		
Incentive shares awards granted . . . . .	45,020		
Incentive share awards vested . . . . .	(135,235)		
Incentive share awards cancelled. . . . .	<u>—</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2005. . .	95,701		
Incentive shares awards granted . . . . .	51,859		
Incentive share awards vested . . . . .	(3,672)		
Incentive share awards cancelled. . . . .	<u>(17,305)</u>		
Incentive share awards outstanding at December 31, 2006. . .	<u>126,584</u>	<u>1.78</u>	<u>\$2.7</u>
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2006 . . . . .	<u>125,223</u>	<u>1.78</u>	<u>\$2.7</u>
Incentive share awards exercisable at December 31, 2006 . . .	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

*Restricted Stock*

LP grants restricted stock to certain senior executive employees. The shares vest three years from the date of grant. During the vesting period, the participants have voting rights and receive dividends, but the shares may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered. Additionally, granted but unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. The fair value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant is amortized ratably over the vesting period which is generally three years. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$2.0 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock. This expense will be recognized over the next 1.6 years.

The following table summarizes restricted stock awards outstanding as of December 31, 2006 as well as activity during the three year period then ended.

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Restricted stock awards outstanding at January 1, 2004 .....	60,000	—
Restricted stock awards granted .....	—	—
Restrictions lapsing .....	(60,000)	—
Restricted stock awards cancelled .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2004 .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards granted .....	65,600	\$27.04
Restrictions lapsing .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards cancelled .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2005 .....	<u>65,600</u>	<u>\$27.04</u>
Restricted stock awards granted .....	66,650	\$28.90
Restrictions lapsing .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards cancelled .....	—	—
Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2006 .....	<u>132,250</u>	<u>\$27.98</u>

LP recorded compensation expense related to these awards in 2006, 2005 and 2004 of \$1.2 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million.

LP annually grants to each director restricted stock or restricted stock units. As of December 31, 2006, LP has 50,885 shares (or restricted stock units) outstanding under this program. Compensation expense recognized in 2006 related to these grants was \$0.3 million.

## 15. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

In June of 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, “Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS 143).” This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated retirement costs. SFAS 143 was effective for LP beginning January 1, 2003.

In March of 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, “Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143” (FIN 47), which resulted in more consistent recognition of liabilities relating to asset retirement obligations. FIN 47 clarifies the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in SFAS 143. FIN 47 was effective for LP as of December 31, 2005. As part of this implementation, LP recognized a loss of \$1.8 million (or \$1.1 million after tax), which is shown as a Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2005. This change was primarily associated with conditional asset retirement costs related to wastewater treatment facilities, ponds and above ground storage tanks.

The activity in LP's asset retirement obligation liability for 2006 and 2005 is summarized in the following table.

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>	
Beginning balance .....	\$ 5.4	\$ 2.4
Accretion expense .....	0.3	0.4
Accrued to expense during the year .....	0.2	3.4
Payments made .....	<u>(0.5)</u>	<u>(0.8)</u>
Ending balance.....	<u>\$ 5.4</u>	<u>\$ 5.4</u>

#### 16. OTHER OPERATING CREDITS AND CHARGES, NET

The major components of Other operating credits and charges, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31 are reflected in the table below and described in the paragraphs following the table:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>		
Additions to litigation reserves .....	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (6.0)
Additions to product related warranty reserves .....	—	(7.0)	—
Additions to product related contingency reserves .....	(3.9)	—	—
Reversion of OSB siding settlement funds .....	—	3.0	—
Revisions to environmental contingency reserves .....	—	(1.2)	2.8
Change in method of estimating workers compensation liabilities . . . .	(2.1)	—	—
Gain on insurance recoveries.....	4.7	—	—
Charges associated with corporate relocation.....	—	(2.8)	(12.5)
Charges associated with CEO retirement .....	—	—	(13.1)
Recovery related to assets and liabilities transferred under contractual obligation .....	—	1.0	—
Other .....	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>0.1</u>
	<u>\$ (0.7)</u>	<u>\$ (6.5)</u>	<u>\$ (28.7)</u>

#### 2006

During 2006, LP recorded \$0.7 million in Other operating credits and charges, net. The components of the net charges include:

- a loss of \$3.9 million from increases in product related contingency reserves associated with the National hardboard class action settlement (see Note 18 for further discussion) due primarily to increases in administrative costs;
- a charge of \$2.1 million in connection with a change in the method of estimating future workers' compensation liabilities by incorporating loss development and an increase in the estimate associated with incurred but not yet reported workers compensation claims; and
- a gain of \$4.7 million associated with insurance recoveries related to the hurricane damage sustained in the third and fourth quarter of 2005.

## 2005

During 2005, LP recorded \$6.5 million in Other operating credits and charges, net. The components of the net charges include:

- a gain of \$3.0 million associated with the reversion of undisbursed settlement funds;
- an increase to product related warranty reserves of \$7.0 associated with products that LP no longer manufactures;
- a loss of \$1.2 million for environmental related reserves associated with a facility that was previously held for sale;
- a loss of \$2.8 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee; and
- a gain of \$1.0 million from the recovery of a previous loss associated with the sale of the Samoa, California pulp mill.

## 2004

During 2004, LP recorded \$28.7 million in Other operating credits and charges, net. The components of the net charges include:

- an increase to litigation reserves of \$6.0 million;
- a gain of \$2.8 million associated with the reversal of previously recorded environmental reserves;
- a loss of \$13.1 million associated with certain compensation arrangements impacted by the retirement of LP's Chief Executive Officer; and
- a loss of \$12.5 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee.

## Severance

Over the course of the last three years, LP has entered into several restructuring plans in an effort to sell selected businesses and reduce overall expenses. The detail of the severance accrual and related expense and payments for the last three years is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	Dollar amounts in millions		
Beginning balance . . . . .	\$ 3.5	\$ 1.8	\$ 3.1
Charged to expense, continuing operations . . . . .	1.6	2.7	3.1
Charged to expense, discontinued operations . . . . .	0.1	5.1	0.3
Payments . . . . .	(4.0)	(6.1)	(4.7)
Ending balance . . . . .	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ 3.5</u>	<u>\$ 1.8</u>

The balance of the accrued severance is included in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balance as of December 31, 2006 is payable under contract through 2007. The majority of the severance expense is non-segment related.

## 17. GAIN (LOSS) ON SALE OF AND IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS, NET

The major components of Gain (loss) on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31 are reflected in the table below and are described in the paragraphs following the table:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
Impairment charges on long-lived assets . . . . .	\$ (1.3)	\$ (1.9)	\$ (20.9)
Gain (loss) on sale of other long-lived assets . . . . .	(1.3)	(1.6)	(0.6)
	<u>\$ (2.6)</u>	<u>\$ (3.5)</u>	<u>\$ (21.5)</u>

### 2006

During 2006, LP recorded a net loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets of \$2.6 million. This net loss includes the following items:

- an impairment charge of \$1.3 million on manufacturing equipment that is held for sale to reduce the carrying value of this equipment to its estimated sales price, net of related selling expenses; and
- a net loss of \$1.3 million on the sale of certain other assets.

### 2005

During 2005, LP recorded a net loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets of \$3.5 million. This net loss includes the following items:

- an impairment charge of \$1.4 million on land and buildings previously held for sale, an impairment charge of \$1.7 million on fixed assets no longer used in the production process, and a reversal of \$1.2 million of an impairment charge recorded in 2004 due to management's decision to continue to retain and operate certain timber tenure rights previously classified as discontinued operations; and
- a net loss of \$1.6 million on the sale of certain other assets.

### 2004

During 2004, LP recorded a net loss on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets of \$21.5 million. This net loss includes the following items:

- an impairment charge of \$13.0 million on manufacturing equipment that is held for sale to reduce the carrying value of this equipment to its estimated sales price, net of related selling expenses, an impairment charge of \$3.2 million on timber rights associated with a cedar mill in British Columbia, Canada to reduce the book value to the estimated realizable sales value, and \$4.7 million on the write off of capitalized interest associated with facilities which were closed or sold in prior years; and
- a net loss of \$0.6 million on the sale of certain other assets.

## 18. CONTINGENCIES

LP maintains reserves for various contingent liabilities as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>	
Environmental reserves	\$ 7.7	\$ 10.1
Hardboard siding reserves	25.3	31.0
Other	<u>1.6</u>	<u>2.3</u>
Total contingencies	34.6	43.4
Current portion	<u>(9.0)</u>	<u>(12.0)</u>
Long-term portion	<u>\$25.6</u>	<u>\$ 31.4</u>

LP's estimates of its loss contingencies are based on various assumptions and judgments. Due to the numerous uncertainties and variables associated with these assumptions and judgments, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. LP regularly monitors its estimated exposure to contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change its estimates significantly. While no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time, the amount that LP may ultimately pay in connection with these matters could materially exceed, in either the near term or the longer term, the amounts accrued to date. LP's estimates of its loss contingencies do not reflect potential future recoveries from insurance carriers except to the extent that recovery may from time to time be deemed probable as a result of an insurer's agreement to payment terms.

### **Environmental Proceedings**

LP is involved in a number of environmental proceedings and activities, and may be wholly or partially responsible for known or unknown contamination existing at a number of other sites at which it has conducted operations or disposed of wastes. Based on the information currently available, management believes that any fines, penalties or other costs or losses resulting from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity of LP.

LP maintains a reserve for undiscounted estimated environmental loss contingencies. This reserve is primarily for estimated future costs of remediation of hazardous or toxic substances at numerous sites currently or previously owned by the Company. LP's estimates of its environmental loss contingencies are based on various assumptions and judgments, the specific nature of which varies in light of the particular facts and circumstances surrounding each environmental loss contingency. These estimates typically reflect assumptions and judgments as to the probable nature, magnitude and timing of required investigation, remediation and/or monitoring activities and the probable cost of these activities, and in some cases reflect assumptions and judgments as to the obligation or willingness and ability of third parties to bear a proportionate or allocated share of the cost of these activities. Due to the numerous uncertainties and variables associated with these assumptions and judgments, and the effects of changes in governmental regulation and environmental technologies, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates of the related contingencies are subject to substantial uncertainties. LP regularly monitors its estimated exposure to environmental loss contingencies and, as additional information becomes known, may change its estimates significantly. However, no estimate of the range of any such change can be made at this time.

In those instances in which LP's estimated exposure reflects actual or anticipated cost-sharing arrangements with third parties, LP does not believe that it will be exposed to additional material liability as a result of non-performance by such third parties. There are three forms of cost-sharing arrangements under which costs are apportioned to others and are therefore not reflected in LP's environmental reserves. The amounts involved, the number of sites and a description of each are as follows:

- Approximately \$2 million of costs, relating to three sites, pursuant to formal cost-sharing arrangements between LP and one or more third parties.
- Approximately \$4 million of costs, related to four transactions each covering multiple sites, pursuant to agreements contained in purchase and sale documents where LP has sold an asset to a third party and that third party has assumed responsibility for all or a portion of any remediation costs required for the sold asset.
- Approximately \$0.2 million of costs, related to one site undergoing cleanup pursuant to federal or state environmental laws, where multiple parties are involved.

LP considers the financial condition of third parties subject to the cost-sharing arrangements discussed above in determining the amounts to be reflected in LP's environmental reserves. In addition, LP is a party to clean-up activities at two additional sites for which LP does not believe that the failure of a third party to discharge its allocated responsibility would significantly increase LP's financial responsibility based on the manner in which financial responsibility has been, or is expected to be, allocated.

LP's estimates of its environmental loss contingencies do not reflect potential future recoveries from insurance carriers except to the extent that recovery may from time to time be deemed probable as a result of a carrier's agreement to payment terms.

The activity in LP's reserve for estimated environmental loss contingency reserves for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
Beginning balance .....	\$10.1	\$11.4	\$17.9
Adjusted to expense (income) during the year .....	(0.3)	0.8	(3.1)
Payments made .....	<u>(2.1)</u>	<u>(2.1)</u>	<u>(3.4)</u>
Ending balance .....	<u>\$ 7.7</u>	<u>\$10.1</u>	<u>\$11.4</u>

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, LP adjusted its reserves at a number of sites to reflect current estimates of remediation costs.

### **ABT Hardboard Siding Matters**

Between 1995 and 1999, ABT Building Products Corporation ("ABT"), ABTco, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of ABT ("ABTco" and, together with ABT, the "ABT Entities"), Abitibi-Price Corporation ("Abitibi"), a predecessor of ABT, and certain affiliates of Abitibi (the "Abitibi Affiliates" and, together with Abitibi, the "Abitibi Entities") were named as defendants in numerous class action and non-class action proceedings brought on behalf of various persons or purported classes of persons (including nationwide classes in the United States and Canada) who own or have purchased or installed hardboard siding manufactured or sold by the defendants. In general, the plaintiffs in these actions have claimed unfair business practices, breach of warranty, fraud, misrepresentation, negligence, and other theories related to alleged defects, deterioration, or other failure of such hardboard siding, and seek unspecified compensatory, punitive, and other damages (including consequential damage to the structures on which the siding was installed), attorneys' fees and other relief.

LP acquired ABT in February 1999 and ABT was merged into LP in January of 2001. On September 21, 2000, the Circuit Court of Choctaw County, Alabama, under the caption *Foster, et al. v. ABTco, Inc., ABT Building Products Corporation, Abitibi-Price, Inc. and Abitibi-Price Corporation* (No. CV95-151-M), approved a settlement agreement among the defendants and attorneys representing a nationwide class composed of all persons who own or formerly owned homes or, subject to limited exceptions, other buildings or structures on which hardboard siding manufactured by the defendants was installed between May 15, 1975 and May 15, 2000. Except for approximately 30 persons who timely opted out, the settlement includes and binds all members of the settlement class and resolves all claims asserted in the various proceedings described above. Under the settlement agreement, class members will have twenty-five years after their siding was installed to file a claim.

Under the settlement agreement, the defendants will be entitled to elect to make an offer of settlement to an eligible claimant based on the information set forth in the claim submitted by such claimant, and such claimant will be entitled to accept or reject the offer. If an eligible claimant declines the offer, or if no offer is made, such claimant will be entitled to a payment based on an independent inspection. Such payments will be based on a specified dollar amount (calculated on the basis of statewide averages and ranging from \$2.65 to \$6.21, depending upon the state) per square foot of covered siding that has experienced specified types of damage, subject to reduction based on the age of the damaged siding and any failure to paint the damaged siding within stated intervals (except in the case of damaged siding installed on mobile homes, as to which a uniform 50% reduction will apply in all circumstances). If applicable, payments under the settlement will also be subject to reduction to reflect any warranty payments or certain other payments previously recovered by a claimant on account of the damaged siding. Under the settlement agreement, LP (as a successor to ABT) will be required to pay the expenses of administering the settlement and certain other costs.

ABT and Abitibi were parties to an agreement of an allocation of liability with respect to claims related to siding sold prior to October 22, 1992. On June 13, 2001, in exchange for a cash payment from Abitibi of approximately \$19 million which was received in July 2001, LPC, a wholly owned subsidiary of LP, agreed to accept a transfer of all of Abitibi's rights and obligations under the settlement agreement and the allocation agreement; and LP and LPC agreed to indemnify and hold harmless Abitibi from any cost or liability arising from its sale of hardboard siding in the United States. From the date of the agreement, Abitibi has no further rights, obligations or liabilities under either the class action settlement agreement or the allocation agreement. All such rights, obligations and liabilities have been assigned to and accepted and assumed by LPC.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, LP increased its reserves in connection with this class action settlement. The additional reserves reflect revised estimates of undiscounted future claim payments and related administrative costs. LP believes that the reserve balance at December 31, 2006 will be adequate to cover future payments to claimants and related administrative costs. However, it is possible that additional charges may be required in the future.

The activity in the portion of LP's loss contingency reserves relating to hardboard siding contingencies for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
Beginning balance .....	\$31.0	\$37.2	\$43.7
Accrued to expense .....	3.9	—	—
Payments made for claims .....	(7.4)	(4.7)	(5.0)
Payments made for administrative costs .....	(2.2)	(1.5)	(1.5)
Ending balance .....	<u>\$25.3</u>	<u>\$31.0</u>	<u>\$37.2</u>



### **Potential Insurance Recovery**

LP filed suit in June, 2001, against one of ABTco, Inc's insurers seeking indemnity, defense costs, and declaratory relief for claims arising out of a coverage dispute in the ABTco Hardboard Siding Settlement. On May 31, 2005, after trial by jury and post-trial motions filed by the insurer, the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina entered judgment in favor of LP, ordering that the insurer pay damages in the amount of \$11.7 million (\$3.9 million damages trebled under the applicable statute), plus \$2.5 million representing the insurer's share of defense costs for the underlying hardboard siding suit, and that the insurer indemnify LP against 77.5% of any liability and a portion of the administrative costs associated with certain future claims that fall within the insurer's policy periods. On May 31, 2005, the judge also ordered the insurer to reimburse LP \$2 million for the costs in prosecuting this case. On June 29, 2005, the insurer filed a Notice of Appeal. On December 19, 2006, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the Judgment in its entirety. On January 3, 2007, the insurer filed a motion seeking an en banc review which was subsequently denied. LP has not recorded any gain in connection with this judgment as the matter remains on appeal and any such gain is contingent on prevailing in part or in whole at the appellate court.

### **Additional Siding Matters**

On October 15, 2002, a jury returned a verdict of \$29.6 million against LP in a Minnesota State Court action entitled *Lester Building Systems, a division of Butler Manufacturing Company, and Lester's of Minnesota, Inc., v. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and Canton Lumber Company*. On December 13, 2002, the District of Oregon, which maintains jurisdiction over a previously settled nationwide class action suit involving OSB siding manufactured by us and installed prior to January 1, 1996, permanently enjoined the Minnesota state trial court from entering judgment against us with respect to \$11.2 million of the verdict that related to siding that was subject to the nationwide OSB siding settlement. LP satisfied this verdict, less the enjoined amount, during the second quarter of 2004. Lester's appealed the District Court's injunction to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and, on October 24, 2005, the Court of Appeals decided in a 2 to 1 decision to vacate the District Court's injunction. As a result of this decision, the injunction was lifted and the state court judgment of \$11.2 million was entered on December 22, 2006. On January 19, 2007, LP filed its notice of appeal to the Minnesota State Court of Appeals. Based upon the information currently available, LP believes that any further liability related to this case is remote and, accordingly, have not recorded any accrual with respect to our potential exposure.

### **Nature Guard Cement Shakes Matters**

LP is a defendant in a class action lawsuit, captioned as *Nature Guard Cement Roofing Shingle Cases*, that was filed in the Superior Court for Stanislaus County, California. The plaintiffs in this action are a class of persons owning structures on which Nature Guard Fiber Cement Shakes were installed as roofing. The claims in this action that went to trial, starting December 6, 2005, were breach of express warranties, unfair business practices, and violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA). The plaintiffs sought general, compensatory, special and punitive damages, disgorgement of profits and the establishment of a fund to provide restitution to the purported class members. During the trial, the judge dismissed the CLRA claims and a number of warranty claims and granted our motions to decertify the CLRA class and warranty class. Subsequently, on March 9, 2006, a jury returned a defense verdict on all remaining breach of warranty claims, and on May 23, 2006 the judge signed and filed a Statement of Decision after Court Trial directing entry of judgment in LP's favor for the remaining class claim of unfair business practices. The judgment incorporating the Statement of Decision was filed on July 20, 2006. Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal on September 12, 2006, without specifying which issues they intend to raise on appeal.

LP no longer manufactures or sells fiber cement shakes. LP believes the judgment will be upheld and that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on LP's financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

### **Lockhart Wood Treatment Facility**

During the third quarter of 2004, LP received a pre-litigation settlement demand letter from a law firm purporting to represent more than 1,400 potential plaintiffs who allegedly experienced various personal injuries and property damages as a result of the alleged release of chemical substances from LP's wood treatment facility in Lockhart, Alabama during the period from 1953 to 1998. The letter was also addressed to Pactiv Corporation ("Pactiv"), from whom LP acquired the facility in 1983. LP, Pactiv, and the potential plaintiffs agreed to exchange information and enter into non-binding mediation, which failed in December 2005. In the months following the failed mediation, plaintiffs' attorneys filed 19 separate lawsuits purporting to represent a total of 1429 plaintiffs. Each of these cases was filed in, or removed to, the Federal District Court of Alabama which court has designated a lead case under the caption *Melanie Chambers v. Pactiv Corp et al CV 2:06-CV-00083-LES-CSC*. Due to the numerous uncertainties associated with the matters alleged in the letter and subsequent lawsuits, including uncertainties regarding the existence, nature, magnitude and causation of the alleged wrongful death, injuries and property damage, responsibility therefore and defenses thereto, LP is not presently able to quantify LP's financial exposure, if any, relating to such matters. LP intends to defend these suits vigorously.

### **Antitrust Litigation**

LP has been named as one of a number of defendants in multiple class action complaints filed on or after February 26, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. These complaints have been dismissed or consolidated into two complaints under one caption: *In Re OSB Anti-Trust Litigation*, Master File No. 06-CV-00826 (PD). The first complaint is a consolidated amended class action complaint filed on March 31, 2006 in which plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of persons and entities who directly purchased OSB from the defendants from May 1, 2002 through the date the complaint was filed (the direct purchaser complaint). The second complaint is a consolidated amended class action complaint, filed on June 15, 2006, in which the plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of persons and entities who indirectly purchased OSB from the defendants from May 1, 2002 through the date the complaint was filed (the indirect purchaser complaint).

The plaintiffs, in both amended and consolidated complaints described above, seek treble damages in unspecified amounts alleged to have resulted from a conspiracy among the defendants to fix, raise, maintain and stabilize the prices at which OSB is sold in the United States, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1. The plaintiffs in the indirect purchaser complaint also seek similar remedies under individual state anti-trust and competition laws as well as consumer protection laws. LP believes that the claims asserted are without merit, and intends to defend this matter vigorously. LP is unable to predict whether the court will declare these actions to be class actions, and likewise is unable to predict the potential financial impact of these actions.

### **Other Proceedings**

LP and its subsidiaries are parties to other legal proceedings. Based on the information currently available, management believes that the resolution of such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity of LP.

## 19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

LP is obligated to purchase timber under certain cutting contracts that extend to 2009. LP's best estimate of its commitment at current contract rates under these contracts at December 31, 2006 is approximately \$14.4 million for approximately 203.9 million board feet of timber.

LP is primarily self-insured for workers' compensation and employee health care liability costs. Self-insurance liabilities for workers' compensation are determined based upon a valuation performed by an actuarial firm. The estimate of future workers' compensation liabilities incorporates loss development and an estimate associated with incurred but not yet reported claims. These claims are discounted. Self-insurance liabilities for employee health costs are determined actuarially based upon claims filed and estimated claims incurred but not yet reported. These claims are not discounted.

The Company and its subsidiaries lease certain manufacturing, warehousing and other plant sites and equipment. The leases generally provide for the lessee to pay taxes, maintenance, insurance and certain other operating costs of the leased properties.

At December 31, 2006, future minimum annual rent commitments are as follows:

Dollar amounts in millions

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2007 .....	\$ 7.8
2008 .....	6.6
2009 .....	5.4
2010 .....	5.2
2011 .....	4.9
2012 and after .....	<u>7.2</u>
Total .....	<u>\$37.1</u>

As of December 31, 2006, LP has entered into several non-cancelable subleases for a portion of its former corporate headquarters in Portland, Oregon. Minimum annual rent commitments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of \$7.2 million (in total for all years) due in the future. Rental expense amounted to \$26.6 million, \$25.5 million and \$26.8 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004.

## 20. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

LP is a party to contracts in which LP agrees to indemnify third parties for certain liabilities that arise out of or relate to the subject matter of the contract. In some cases, this indemnity extends to related liabilities arising out of the negligence of the indemnified parties, but usually excludes any liabilities caused by gross negligence or willful misconduct of the indemnified parties. LP cannot estimate the potential amount of future payments under these agreements until events arise that would trigger the liability.

Additionally, in connection with certain sales of assets and divestures of businesses, LP has agreed to indemnify the buyer and related parties for certain losses or liabilities incurred by the buyer or such related parties with respect to (1) the representations and warranties made to the buyer by LP in connection with the sales and (2) liabilities related to the pre-closing operations of the assets sold. Indemnities related to pre-closing operations generally include environmental liabilities, tax liabilities and other liabilities not assumed by the buyer.

Indemnities related to the pre-closing operations of sold assets normally do not represent added liabilities for LP, but simply serve to protect the buyer from potential liability associated with the obligations that existed (known and unknown) at the time of the sale. LP records accruals for those pre-closing obligations that are considered probable and estimable. Under FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others," LP is required to record a liability for the fair value of the guarantees that are entered into subsequent to December 31, 2002. LP has not accrued any additional amounts as a result of the indemnity agreements summarized below as LP believes the fair value of the guarantees entered into after December 31, 2002 is not material.

- In connection with various sales of LP's timberlands, LP has agreed to indemnify various buyers with respect to losses resulting from breaches of limited representations and warranties contained in these agreements. These indemnities generally are capped at a maximum potential liability and have an unspecified duration.
- In connection with the exchange of LP's Texas and Louisiana plywood mills and a medium density fiberboard (MDF) mill to Georgia-Pacific Corporation in exchange for Georgia-Pacific's OSB mill in Woodland, Maine in 2002, LP agreed to indemnify Georgia-Pacific Corporation for certain losses resulting from breaches of LP's representations and warranties contained in the exchange agreement. LP is not required to pay under this indemnification obligation until claims against LP, on a cumulative basis, exceed \$500,000. Upon exceeding this \$500,000 threshold, LP is generally required to provide indemnification for any losses in excess of \$500,000, up to a limit of \$15 million. This indemnification expires in September of 2007.
- In connection with the sale of LP's particleboard mill at Missoula, Montana to Roseburg Forest Products Co. in 2003, LP provided a 5-year indemnity for unknown environmental claims, capped at the purchase price of \$17.7 million with a \$1 million deductible. This indemnification expires in February of 2008.
- In connection with the sale of LP's particleboard mill in Arcata, California to Hambro Forest Products in 2002, LP provided an uncapped 7-year indemnity for any claims arising out of the excess equipment. This indemnity will expire in July of 2009.
- In connection with the sale of LP's two inter-related interior hardboard facilities to Decorative Panels International Inc. in 2004, LP provided a 10-year indemnity for unknown environmental claims, capped at \$4 million with a \$0.3 million deductible. This indemnity will expire in May of 2014.
- In connection with the sale by LP Canada Pulp Ltd (LPCP) of its pulp mill in Chetwynd, BC, Canada to Tembec, Ltd in October 2002, LP provided an indemnity of unspecified duration provided by LPCP for liabilities arising out of pre-closing operations. These indemnities, which do not extend to environmental liabilities, are capped at C\$15 million in the aggregate.
- In connection with the sale of LP's sawmill in Gwinn, Michigan to Potlatch Corporation in 2005, LP provided a 2-year indemnity for breaches of limited representations and warranties contained in the agreement, capped at \$2 million with a \$0.2 million deductible. This indemnity will expire in May of 2007.

LP also has various other indemnities that are individually and in the aggregate immaterial.

LP will record a liability related to specific indemnification when future payment is probable and the amount is estimable.

Additionally, LP offers warranties on the sale of most of its products and records an accrual for estimated future claims. Such accruals are based upon historical experience and management's estimate of

the level of future claims. The activity in warranty reserves for the last two years is summarized in the following table.

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>	
Beginning balance .....	\$32.3	\$22.2
Accrued to expense during the year .....	0.8	16.3
Payments made .....	<u>(4.3)</u>	<u>(6.2)</u>
Total warranty reserves.....	28.8	32.3
Current portion .....	<u>(7.0)</u>	<u>(7.0)</u>
Long term portion.....	<u>\$21.8</u>	<u>\$25.3</u>

The current portion of the warranty reserve is included in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities and the long-term portion is included in Other long-term liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## 21. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Over the last several years, LP has adopted and implemented plans to sell selected businesses and assets in order to improve its operating results, reduce its debt and increase financial flexibility. The plan involved divesting LP's plywood, industrial panel, vinyl siding and lumber businesses, fee timber and timberlands, a wholesale operation and its distribution business.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," LP is required to account for the businesses sold or anticipated to be sold within one year as discontinued operations. At December 31, 2006, LP had no operations classified as discontinued. In 2005, LP's discontinued operations included two lumber facilities and vinyl operations. In 2004, LP's discontinued operations included two lumber facilities and two inter-related interior hardboard facilities.

The loss from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 relates to residual charges from previously discontinued operations. Additionally, during 2006, LP recorded a charge of \$2.1 million in connection with a change in the method of estimating future workers' compensation liabilities by incorporating loss development and an increase in the estimate associated with incurred but not yet reported workers' compensation claims. LP also recorded a loss of \$0.5 million related to long-term timber contracts and a gain of \$1.8 million related to refunds of previously paid softwood lumber duties associated with the trade agreements between the U.S. and Canada.

Revenues associated with the discontinued operations were \$143.0 million and \$263.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. Included in the loss or income on discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were impairment charges of \$22.9 million and \$6.7 million to reduce the carrying values of assets to their estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell. During 2005, LP recorded a gain of \$5.7 million on the sale of a lumber mill and two previously closed facilities. During 2004, LP recorded a loss of \$3.8 million on the sale of a lumber mill and two inter-related interior industrial panel facilities, a loss of \$2.3 million on the settlement of an operating lease associated with a mill held for sale and a gain of \$1.2 million related to long-term timber contracts. LP also recorded a gain of \$3.7 million associated with the liquidation of certain LIFO inventories due to reduced log and lumber inventories at sites sold or closed.

## 22. ACCUMULATED COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Accumulated comprehensive loss consists of cumulative translation adjustments, unrealized gains (losses) on certain derivative instruments and pension adjustments. The table below breaks down these balances, net of tax:

	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Pension adjustments	Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	Other	Total
	Dollar amounts in millions				
Balance at December 31, 2003.....	\$(24.3)	\$(47.9)	\$ 1.1	\$(0.1)	\$(71.2)
Activity.....	2.2	0.6	0.7	(0.2)	3.3
Balance at December 31, 2004.....	(22.1)	(47.3)	1.8	(0.3)	(67.9)
Activity.....	6.7	0.8	(1.9)	0.1	5.7
Balance at December 31, 2005.....	(15.4)	(46.5)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(62.2)
Activity.....	(3.1)	(46.4)	0.5	0.2	(9.3)
Balance at December 31, 2006 before adoption of SFAS 158.....	(18.5)	(0.1)	0.4	—	(71.5)
Adjustment to initially adopt SFAS158 .....	—	(51.1)	—	(2.2)	—
Balance at December 31, 2006.....	<u>\$(18.5)</u>	<u>\$(51.2)</u>	<u>\$ 0.4</u>	<u>\$(2.2)</u>	<u>\$(71.5)</u>

Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude income tax expense (benefit) given that these adjustments arise out of the translation of assets into the reporting currency that is separate from the taxable income and is deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time. The pension adjustments in 2006 include a \$46.4 million gain prior to the adoption of SFAS 158 and a \$51.1 million loss related to the adoption of SFAS 158. The pension adjustments included income tax benefit of \$2.2 million in 2006 and income tax expense of \$0.7 million in 2005 and \$0.4 million in 2004. The unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives included income tax expense of \$0.2 million in 2006, income tax benefit of \$0.9 million in 2005 and income tax expense of \$0.2 million in 2004. Included in other is a \$2.2 million loss related to LP's adoption of SFAS 158 on other post retirement benefit plans.

## 23. SEGMENT INFORMATION

LP operates in three segments: Oriented Strand Board (OSB); Siding; and Engineered Wood Products (EWP). LP's business units have been aggregated into these three segments based upon the similarity of economic characteristics, customers and distribution methods. LP's results of operations are summarized below for each of these segments separately as well as for the "other" category which comprises other products that are not individually significant. Segment information was prepared in accordance with the same accounting principles as those described in Note 1. LP evaluates the performance of its business segments based upon operating profits excluding other operating credits and charges, net, gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets, general corporate and other expenses, translation gains and losses, interest and income taxes.

The OSB segment includes OSB products produced in North America. The siding segment includes (1) OSB-based siding products; (2) hardboard siding products; and (3) other hardboard products. The engineered wood products segment includes (1) laminated veneer lumber; (2) I-joists; (3) plywood; and (4) other related products.

Information about LP's product segments is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	Dollar amounts in millions		
<b><i>SALES BY BUSINESS SEGMENT</i></b>			
OSB .....	\$1,212.2	\$1,560.4	\$1,749.0
Siding .....	493.4	453.5	430.7
Engineered Wood Products .....	392.0	431.4	399.4
Other products .....	139.0	163.7	161.6
Less: Intersegment sales .....	(1.5)	(10.1)	(10.0)
Total sales .....	<u>\$2,235.1</u>	<u>\$2,598.9</u>	<u>\$2,730.7</u>
<b><i>PROFIT (LOSS) BY BUSINESS SEGMENT</i></b>			
OSB .....	\$ 109.6	\$ 528.4	\$ 829.7
Siding .....	67.3	45.2	51.9
Engineered Wood Products .....	33.2	34.0	7.2
Other products .....	(5.8)	13.0	14.7
Other operating credits and charges, net .....	(0.7)	(6.5)	(28.7)
Gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets .....	(2.6)	(3.5)	(21.5)
General corporate and other expense, net .....	(95.1)	(88.3)	(104.1)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt .....	—	(0.5)	(41.5)
Foreign currency exchange gains (losses) .....	(2.5)	(1.4)	9.7
Investment income .....	95.7	71.3	45.6
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest .....	(49.4)	(54.6)	(65.3)
Income from continuing operations before taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	149.7	537.1	697.7
Provision for income taxes .....	24.2	61.3	277.5
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle .....	<u>\$ 125.5</u>	<u>\$ 475.8</u>	<u>\$ 420.2</u>

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b><i>DEPRECIATION, AMORTIZATION AND COST OF TIMBER HARVESTED</i></b>			
OSB .....	\$ 78.2	\$ 87.7	\$ 94.0
Siding .....	18.1	16.2	15.0
Engineered Wood Products .....	13.9	14.7	16.6
Other products .....	12.2	9.0	7.2
Non-segment related .....	5.6	5.1	8.3
Total depreciation, amortization and cost of timber harvested .....	<u>\$128.0</u>	<u>\$132.7</u>	<u>\$141.1</u>
<b><i>CAPITAL EXPENDITURES</i></b>			
OSB .....	\$152.6	\$ 65.1	\$ 83.5
Siding .....	30.0	41.8	22.2
Engineered Wood Products .....	35.0	21.6	3.8
Other products .....	15.5	19.2	17.5
Non-segment related .....	3.4	20.2	20.6
Discontinued operations .....	—	5.8	0.1
Total capital expenditures .....	<u>\$236.5</u>	<u>\$173.7</u>	<u>\$147.7</u>

Information concerning identifiable assets by segment is as follows:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Dollar amounts in millions</b>		
<b><i>IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS</i></b>		
OSB .....	\$1,050.3	\$ 912.7
Siding .....	246.5	220.8
Engineered Wood Products .....	170.3	153.3
Other products .....	275.0	312.3
Non-segment related .....	1,694.3	1,998.9
Total assets .....	<u>\$3,436.4</u>	<u>\$3,598.0</u>

Non-segment related assets include long-term notes receivable, cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments, corporate assets and other items.



Export sales are primarily to customers in Asia, South America and Europe. Information concerning LP's geographic segments is as follows:

	<u>Year ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
	<u>Dollar amounts in millions</u>		
<b><i>GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS:</i></b>			
<i>Total Sales—Point of origin</i>			
U.S.....	\$1,857	\$2,150	\$2,242
Canada and other.....	717	955	1,032
Intersegment sales to U.S. ....	(339)	(506)	(543)
Total Sales.....	<u>\$2,235</u>	<u>\$2,599</u>	<u>\$2,731</u>
Export sales (included in above).....	<u>\$ 29</u>	<u>\$ 23</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>
<i>Operating profit (loss)</i>			
U.S.....	\$ 182	\$ 417	\$ 550
Canada and other.....	22	205	351
Other operating credits and charges, net and gain (loss) on sales of and impairments of long-lived assets.....	(3)	(10)	(50)
General corporate expense, loss on extinguishment of debt, translation gains (losses) and interest, net.....	<u>(51)</u>	<u>(75)</u>	<u>(153)</u>
	150	537	698
Provision for income taxes.....	<u>24</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>278</u>
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.....	<u>\$ 126</u>	<u>\$ 476</u>	<u>\$ 420</u>
<i>Identifiable tangible long-lived assets</i>			
U.S.....	\$ 567	\$ 442	\$ 434
Canada and other.....	423	436	467
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 990</u>	<u>\$ 878</u>	<u>\$ 901</u>

The amounts included in the tables above for Canada and other are primarily related to Canada.

#### **24. HEADQUARTERS RELOCATION**

On September 30, 2003, LP announced that it would relocate its corporate headquarters to Nashville, Tennessee. The transition associated with this relocation was completed during 2005, and involved the consolidation of most of LP's management and leadership positions from several offices to its new headquarters. The move resulted in LP's corporate headquarters being closer to the company's production facilities, customers and shareholders. During 2005 and 2004, LP incurred \$2.8 million and \$12.5 million in severance, relocation, moving and recruitment expenses. Additionally, LP spent a total of \$16.7 million in capital expenditures related to the relocation of the headquarters and related facilities in 2006, 2005 and 2004.

### Interim Financial Results (unaudited)

	1ST QTR		2ND QTR		3RD QTR		4TH QTR	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005
(Dollar amounts in millions, except per share)								
<b>QUARTERLY DATA</b>								
Net sales . . . . .	\$ 678.3	\$ 661.4	\$ 652.7	\$ 692.0	\$ 534.5	\$ 621.3	\$ 369.6	\$ 624.2
Gross profit (loss)(1) . . . . .	158.3	202.9	114.6	196.1	32.8	142.2	(25.4)	141.7
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	128.0	165.0	73.1	159.5	8.7	108.9	(55.8)	103.0
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	84.9	105.4	55.1	104.4	9.9	174.6	(24.4)	91.4
Net income (loss) . . . . .	\$ 83.7	\$ 101.7	\$ 55.1	\$ 100.3	\$ 9.5	\$ 168.2	\$ (24.6)	\$ 85.3
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share—basic . . . . .	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.09	\$ 1.61	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.87
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share—diluted . . . . .	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.09	\$ 1.59	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.86
Net income (loss) per share—basic . . . . .	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.09	\$ 1.54	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.82
Net income (loss) per share—diluted . . . . .	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.09	\$ 1.53	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.81
Cash dividends per share . . . . .	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.125	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.125	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.125
<b>SALES BY SEGMENT:</b>								
OSB . . . . .	\$ 397.6	\$ 416.2	\$ 354.6	\$ 403.9	\$ 275.7	\$ 353.0	\$ 184.3	\$ 387.3
Siding . . . . .	120.7	95.4	148.6	125.2	137.1	129.1	87.0	103.8
Engineered wood products . . . . .	112.4	109.3	110.0	120.5	92.7	100.9	76.9	100.7
Other products . . . . .	47.6	42.8	39.5	45.6	29.0	40.1	22.9	35.2
Less intersegment sales . . . . .	—	(2.3)	—	(3.2)	—	(1.8)	(1.5)	(2.8)
Total net sales . . . . .	<u>\$ 678.3</u>	<u>\$ 661.4</u>	<u>\$ 652.7</u>	<u>\$ 692.0</u>	<u>\$ 534.5</u>	<u>\$ 621.3</u>	<u>\$ 369.6</u>	<u>\$ 624.2</u>
<b>PROFIT (LOSS) BY BUSINESS SEGMENT</b>								
OSB . . . . .	\$ 111.0	\$ 171.3	\$ 62.4	\$ 146.6	\$ (9.3)	\$ 98.6	\$ (54.5)	\$ 111.9
Siding . . . . .	18.6	7.0	22.9	16.4	19.0	17.1	6.8	4.7
Engineered wood products . . . . .	11.3	5.6	9.1	12.1	8.3	7.9	4.5	8.4
Other products . . . . .	5.4	5.5	2.8	5.2	(5.0)	1.4	(9.0)	0.9
Other operating credits and charges, net . . . . .	(0.1)	0.3	—	(1.4)	2.9	(0.3)	(3.5)	(5.1)
Gain (loss) on sale of and impairment of long- lived assets . . . . .	0.1	0.2	(0.1)	0.7	(0.9)	(2.3)	(1.7)	(2.1)
General corporate and other expenses, net . . . . .	(28.8)	(23.4)	(23.1)	(20.2)	(23.7)	(20.9)	(19.5)	(23.8)
Loss on early debt extinguishment . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.5)
Foreign currency exchange gains (losses) . . . . .	2.1	(0.6)	(10.6)	(1.4)	(0.2)	0.4	6.2	0.2
Investment income . . . . .	23.0	15.5	24.3	16.9	24.9	18.8	23.5	20.1
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest . . . . .	(13.4)	(15.7)	(14.3)	(15.3)	(11.2)	(13.1)	(10.5)	(10.5)
Income (loss) from operations before taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	129.2	165.7	73.4	159.6	4.8	107.6	(57.7)	104.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes . . . . .	44.3	60.3	18.3	55.2	(5.1)	(67.0)	(33.3)	12.8
Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle . . . . .	<u>\$ 84.9</u>	<u>\$ 105.4</u>	<u>\$ 55.1</u>	<u>\$ 104.4</u>	<u>\$ 9.9</u>	<u>\$ 174.6</u>	<u>\$ (24.4)</u>	<u>\$ 91.4</u>

(1) Gross profit (loss) is income before selling and administrative expenses, other operating credits and charges, net, gain (loss) on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets, net, loss on early debt extinguishment, foreign currency exchange gains (losses), investment income, interest expense, taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle.

Included in Other operating credits and charges, net for continuing operations are the following:

In the first quarter of 2006, LP recorded a charge of \$0.1 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee.

In the third quarter of 2006, LP recorded a gain of \$2.8 million associated with insurance recoveries related to the hurricanes which occurred in the third and fourth quarter of 2005.

In the fourth quarter of 2006, LP recorded a gain of \$1.9 million associated with insurance recoveries related to the hurricanes which occurred in the third and fourth quarter of 2005. LP also recognized a charge of \$2.1 million in connection with a change in the method of estimating future workers' compensation liabilities by incorporating loss development and an increase in the estimate associated with incurred but not yet reported workers' compensation claims. LP also recorded a net charge of \$3.9 million associated with product related warranty reserves associated with LP class action suit due primarily to increases in administrative costs.

In the first quarter of 2005, LP recorded a gain of \$0.9 million due to the recovery of a previous loss associated with the sale of the Samoa, California pulp mill and a charge of \$0.6 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee.

In the second quarter of 2005, LP recorded a charge of \$1.5 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee.

In the third quarter of 2005, LP recorded a charge of \$0.3 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, LP recorded a charge of \$0.4 million associated with the relocation and consolidation of LP's corporate offices to Nashville, Tennessee, a charge of \$1.2 million for environmental related reserves associated with a facility that was previously held for sale, a gain of \$3.0 million associated with the reversion of undisbursed settlement funds and a charge of \$7.0 million for product related warranty reserves associated with products that LP no longer manufactures.

See Note 16 for further discussion on the credits and charges mentioned above.

Included in gain (loss) on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets for continuing operations are the following:

In the third and fourth quarters of 2006, LP recorded impairment charges of \$0.9 million and \$0.5 million on manufacturing equipment that is held for sale to reduce the carrying value to its estimated sales price, net of selling expenses.

In the second quarter of 2005, LP reversed \$1.2 million of the impairment recorded in the first quarter of 2004 due to management's decision to continue to retain and operate certain timber tenure rights previously classified as discontinued operations.

In the third quarter of 2005, LP recorded an impairment charge of \$1.4 million associated with land and buildings previously held for sale.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, LP recorded a loss of \$1.7 million on impairment of fixed assets no longer used in the production process.

See Note 17 for further discussion on the gains and losses on sale of and impairment of long-lived assets mentioned above.

**ITEM 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None

**ITEM 9A. *Controls and Procedures***

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of December 31, 2006, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer carried out, with the participation of the Company's Disclosure Practices Committee and the Company's management, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act (Act). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file under the Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management of the Company on a timely basis in order to comply with the Company's disclosure obligations under the Act and the SEC rules thereunder.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in LP's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during LP's most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's management conducted an assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*. Based on this assessment, the Company's management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, have audited the Company's consolidated financial statements and have issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report included herein.

The certifications of LP's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act have been filed as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this report. Additionally, in 2006 LP's Chief Executive Officer certified to the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") that he was not aware of any violation by LP of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based upon the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 of the Company and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and included an explanatory paragraph referring to the Company adopting the recognition and disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)* on December 31, 2006, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share Based Payment* on January 1, 2006 and Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143* on December 31, 2005.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Nashville, Tennessee

February 28, 2007

### PART III

#### ITEM 10. *Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant*

Information regarding LP's directors is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the caption "Item 1—Election of Directors" in the definitive proxy statement filed by LP for its 2007 annual meeting of stockholders (the "2007 Proxy Statement"). Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the 2007 Proxy Statement. Information regarding LP's audit committee is incorporated herein by reference to the material included under the captions "Board and Committee Meetings," "Finance and Audit Committee" and "Audit Committee Financial Experts" in the 2007 Proxy Statement.

Information regarding each of LP's executive officers as of February 28, 2007, including employment history for the past five years, is set forth below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Title</u>
Richard W. Frost . . .	55	Chief Executive Officer
Curtis M. Stevens . . .	54	Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Financial Officer
Richard S. Olszewski .	50	Executive Vice President, Specialty Products and Sales
Jeffrey N. Wagner . . .	52	Executive Vice President, OSB

*Richard W. Frost* has been Chief Executive Officer since November 2004. He was Executive Vice President, Commodity Products, Engineered Wood, Procurement and Engineering since March 2003 and Executive Vice President, OSB, Procurement and Engineering from May 2002 through February 2003. He previously was Vice President, Timberlands and Procurement from 1996 to April 2002.

*Curtis M. Stevens* has been Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Financial Officer since May 2002. He previously served as Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer from September 1997 to April 2002.

*Richard S. Olszewski* has been Executive Vice President, Specialty Products and Sales since September 2007. Previously he was Vice President of the Fasson Roll Division, North America, a division of Avery Dennison Corporation.

*Jeffrey N. Wagner* has been Executive Vice President of OSB since May 2006 and previously Vice President OSB since November 2004. He served as Vice President, Forest Resources, Supply Management and Logistics from 2003 to 2004. Previously, Mr. Wagner served as Director of Supply Management.

In January 2004, the Board adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to LP's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Code of Ethics is disclosed at LP's website at [www.lpcorp.com](http://www.lpcorp.com).

In January 2005, the Board adopted revised charters for the Nominating Committee and the Compensation Committee and also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines, each of which is disclosed at LP's website at [www.lpcorp.com](http://www.lpcorp.com).

#### ITEM 11. *Executive Compensation*

Information regarding executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions "Compensation of Executive Officers," and "Directors' Compensation," in the 2007 Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and LP's existing equity compensation plans and arrangements is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions "Holders of Common Stock" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in the 2007 Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

There are no transactions of the type required to be disclosed by Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K.

Information regarding transactions with related persons and director independence is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the captions "Nominees," "Continuing Directors," "Corporate Governance," "Audit Committee Financial Experts" and "Related Person Transactions" in the 2007 Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Information regarding fees and services provided by LP's principal accountant and the LP Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures relating thereto is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the caption "Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors" in the 2007 Proxy Statement. In November 2006, the Board adopted a revised charter for the Audit Committee which is disclosed at LP's website at [www.lpcorp.com](http://www.lpcorp.com).

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

**A. Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules**

The following financial statements of LP are included in this report:

Consolidated Balance Sheets—December 31, 2006, and 2005 .....	43
Consolidated Statements of Income—years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 .....	45
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004 .....	46
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity—years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 .....	47
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income—years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 .....	48
Notes to the Financial Statements .....	49
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm .....	95
Interim Financial Results (unaudited).....	

No other financial statement schedules are required to be filed.

**B. Exhibits**

The exhibits filed as part of this report or incorporated by reference herein are listed in the accompanying exhibit index. Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement is identified by an asterisk (\*).



## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the “registrant”), has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2007

**LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION**  
(Registrant)

/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS

Curtis M. Stevens  
*Executive Vice President, Administration, and  
Chief Financial Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Signature and Title</u>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ RICHARD W. FROST</u> Richard W. Frost <i>Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS</u> Curtis M. Stevens <i>Executive Vice President, Administration, and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ E. GARY COOK</u> E. Gary Cook <i>Chairman of the Board</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ COLIN D. WATSON</u> Colin D. Watson <i>Director</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ ARCHIE W. DUNHAM</u> Archie W. Dunham <i>Director</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ LIZANNE C. GOTTUNG</u> Lizanne C. Gottung <i>Director</i>
February 28, 2007	<u>/s/ PAUL W. HANSEN</u> Paul W. Hansen <i>Director</i>

Date

Signature and Title

February 28, 2007

/s/ DUSTAN E. MCCOY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dustan E. McCoy

*Director*

February 28, 2007

/s/ DANIEL K. FRIERSON

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel K. Frierson

*Director*

February 28, 2007

/s/ KURT M. LANDGRAF

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kurt M. Landgraf

*Director*

February 28, 2007

/s/ JOHN C. KERR

\_\_\_\_\_  
John C. Kerr

*Director*

## EXHIBIT INDEX

On written request, Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (LP) will furnish to any record holder or beneficial holder of its common stock any exhibit to this report upon the payment of a fee equal to LP's costs of copying such exhibit plus postage. Any such request should be sent to: Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, 414 Union Street, Suite 2000, Nashville, TN 37219.

Items identified with an asterisk (\*) are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of LP. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1993.
- 3.2 Bylaws of LP, as amended and restated effective November 3, 2006. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2006.
- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of May 26, 1998, between LP and First Chicago Trust Company of New York as Rights Agent. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1 to LP's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed May 26, 1998.
- 4.1(a) Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2001, between LP and First Chicago Trust Company of New York as Rights Agent. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2(a) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
- 4.2 Indenture, dated as of September 14, 1999, among Louisiana-Pacific Acquisition Inc., LP and Laurentian Trust of Canada Inc. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999.
- 4.2(a) First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 22, 2002, by and between Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd. and Laurentian Trust of Canada Inc. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 4.3 Indenture, dated as of April 2, 1999, between LP and First National Bank of Chicago, N.A., as trustee (predecessor to Bank One Trust Company, N.A.). Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2(a) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001.
- 4.3(a) First Supplemental Indenture, dated August 18, 2000, between LP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- 4.3(b) Second Supplemental Indenture, dated August 18, 2000, between LP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- 4.3(c) Third Supplemental Indenture, dated August 13, 2001, between LP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001.
- 4.3(d) Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated March 25, 2004, between LP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company N.A. (formerly Bank One Trust Company, N.A.), as trustee. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

- 10.1 Credit Agreement, dated September 1, 2004, among LP, as borrower, Wachovia Bank National Association, Bank of America, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 2, 2004.
- 10.2 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated for reference November 30, 2001, among LP, Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd. and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.2(a) Waiver and First Amendment, dated as of July 23, 2002, among LP, Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd. and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
- 10.2(b) Second Amendment to 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated for reference November 27, 2002, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2(b) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.2(c) Letter Agreement amending 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated November 27, 2002, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(c) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.2(d) Letter Agreement amending 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated January 27, 2003, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(d) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.2(e) Letter Agreement amending 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated February 24, 2003, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.2(f) Third Amendment to 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated for reference March 14, 2003, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(c) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.2(g) Fourth Amendment to 2001 LP Canada Credit Agreement, dated June 27, 2003, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 (d) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.2(h) Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated for reference September 15, 2003, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 (e) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
- 10.2(i) Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated for reference December 20, 2004, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., LP and Royal Bank of Canada incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(i) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.

- 10.3 Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2001, among LP, LP Wood Polymers, Inc. and LP Receivables Corporation. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.3(a) First Amendment to Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2001, among LP, LP Wood Polymers, Inc. and LP Receivables Corporation. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3(a) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.3(b) Limited Waiver of Credit and Security Agreement and Limited Waiver of and Second Amendment to Receivables Sales Agreement, dated as of July 23, 2002, among LP Receivables Corporation, Wachovia Bank, National Association and Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
- 10.3(c) Third Amendment to the Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of April 25, 2003, among LP and LP Receivables Corporation. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 (c) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.4 Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2001, among LP, LP Receivables Corporation, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.4(a) Fourth Amendment to Limited Waiver and Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 13, 2002, among LP Receivables Corporation, LP, Wachovia Bank, N.A. and Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3(c) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.4(b) Second Amendment to the Credit and Security Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, among LP, LP Receivables Corporation, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 (a) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.4(c) Third Amendment to the Credit and Security Agreement, dated November 12, 2003, among LP, LP Receivables Corporation, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4(c) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.4(d) Fourth Amendment to the Credit and Security Agreement, dated November 14, 2003, among LP, LP Receivables Corporation, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4(d) to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.5(e) Fifth Amendment to the Credit and Security Agreement, dated October 25, 2004, among LP, LP Receivables Corporation, Blue Ridge Asset Funding Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions that are parties thereto. Incorporate herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 1, 2004.

- 10.6 Note Purchase Agreement, dated June 30, 1998, among LP, LP SPV2, LLC and the Purchasers named therein. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998.
- 10.8 Settlement Agreement, dated May 3, 2000, among ABT Building Products Corporation, ABTco, Inc., Abitibi-Price Corporation, attorneys representing plaintiffs in hard board siding class action litigation and the other parties named therein. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 30, 2000.
- 10.8(a) Assignment, Assumption, Release and Indemnification Agreement, dated June 25, 2001, among LP, Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., Abitibi-Price Corporation and Abitibi-Consolidated Inc. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.9 1991 Employee Stock Option Plan. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.B to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996.\*
- 10.10 1992 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (restated as of May 3, 2004) and Related Forms of Option Agreements. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix D to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2004.\*
- 10.11 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan, as restated as of May 3, 2004. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2004.\*
- 10.11(a) Form of Award Agreement for Non-Qualified Stock Options. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005.\*
- 10.11(b) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Incentive Shares. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005.\*
- 10.11(c) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Restricted Stock. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to L-P Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005.\*
- 10.11(d) Form of Award Agreement under the 1997 Incentive Stock Award Plan for Stock Settled Stock Appreciation Rights. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 2, 2006.\*
- 10.12 Annual Cash Incentive Award Plan, as amended and restated as of January 1, 2001. Incorporated herein by reference to Appendix E to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2004.\*
- 10.13 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated as of September 1, 2004. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.\*
- 10.15 2000 Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Plan, as amended and restated March 3, 2004. Incorporate by reference to Appendix c to LP's Proxy Statement dated March 23, 2004.\*
- 10.16 Employment Agreement, dated January 2, 1996, between LP and Mark A. Suwyn. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.L to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997.\*
- 10.16(a) Amendment to Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2003, between LP and Mark A. Suwyn. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 (a) to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.\*
- 10.18 Letter Agreement, dated July 16, 1997, relating to the employment of Curtis M. Stevens. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.O to LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997.\*

- 10.19 Form of Change of Control Employment Agreement between LP and each of Curtis M. Stevens, Richard W. Frost, Joseph B. Kastelic, J. Keith Matheney, Michael J. Tull, Walter M. Wirfs, Jeff Duncan, Jr., W. Lee Kuhre and M. Ward Hubbell. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998.\*
- 10.20 Change of Control Employment Agreement, dated as of February 2, 2005, between LP and Jeffery Wagner. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 30, 2004\*.
- 10.21 2004 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2005. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 30, 2004.\*
- 10.22 Purchase and Sale Agreement between LP and ETT Acquisition Company, LLC, dated July 2, 2003. (Schedules and Exhibits to this agreement, which are identified in the Table of Contents thereof, have been omitted. LP hereby agrees to furnish the same supplementally to the SEC upon request by the SEC.) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.23 Undertaking Letter between Phemus Corporation and LP, dated July 2, 2003. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.24 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, amended and restated effective January 1, 2005. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.\*
- 10.25 Master Confirmation entered into by LP and Goldman Sachs on August 24, 2005. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 24, 2005.
- 10.26 Supplemental Confirmation entered into by LP and Goldman Sachs on August 24, 2005. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 24, 2005.
- 10.27 Credit Agreement, dated December 21, 2005, among Louisiana-Pacific Limited Partnership and Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., as Borrowers, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Syndication Agent, and the other lenders party thereto. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 21, 2005.
- 10.27(a) First Amendment to 2005 Credit Agreement, dated February 23, 2007, among Louisiana-Pacific Limited Partnership and Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., as Borrowers, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Syndication Agent, and the other lenders party thereto
- 10.28 Credit Agreement, dated January 16, 2007, among Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., as Borrowers, Royal Bank of Canada, as lenders.
- 21 List of LP's subsidiaries incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to LP's Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 23 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certifications pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

LP hereby agrees to furnish supplementally to the SEC upon its request any schedules and similar documents omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K and any instruments omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K.

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Richard W. Frost, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2007

/s/ RICHARD W. FROST  
RICHARD W. FROST  
Chief Executive Officer



## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Curtis M. Stevens, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2007

/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS

Curtis M. Stevens  
Chief Financial Officer

**LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION**

411 Union Street, Suite 2000

Nashville, TN 37219-1700

(615)986-5600

February 28, 2007

Securities and Exchange Commission

Judiciary Plaza

450 Fifth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Certification Pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in connection with the filing of the Form 10-K of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (the "Company") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned officers of the Company certifies, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

/s/ RICHARD W. FROST

Name: Richard W. Frost

Title: *Chief Executive Officer*

/s/ CURTIS M. STEVENS

Name: Curtis M. Stevens

Title: *Chief Financial Officer*

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and will be retained by Louisiana-Pacific Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

## LP Executives, Board of Directors and Stockholder Information

### EXECUTIVES

**Richard W. Frost**  
Chief Executive Officer, Director

**Curtis M. Stevens**  
Executive Vice President,  
Administration, and CFO

**Richard S. Olszewski**  
Executive Vice President,  
Specialty Products, Sales and Marketing

**Jeffrey N. Wagner**  
Executive Vice President,  
Oriented Strand Board

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**E. Gary Cook**  
Chairman of the Board

**Archie W. Dunham**  
Chairman of the Board,  
ConocoPhillips (retired)

**Daniel K. Frierson**  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,  
The Dixie Group, Inc.

**Paul W. Hansen**  
Executive Director,  
Izaak Walton League of America

**Lizanne C. Gottung**  
Senior Vice President of Human Resources,  
Kimberly-Clark Corporation

**Kurt M. Landgraf**  
President and Chief Executive Officer,  
Educational Testing Service

**Dustan E. McCoy**  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,  
Brunswick Corporation

**Colin D. Watson**  
President and Chief Executive Officer,  
Vector Aerospace Corporation  
(retired)

**John C. Kerr**  
Chairman, Lignum Investments Ltd.

### STOCKHOLDER INFORMATION

Corporate Office  
414 Union Street, Suite 2000  
Nashville, TN 37219  
tel 615.986.5600  
fax 615.986.5666  
www.lpcorp.com

### ANNUAL MEETING

The annual meeting of stockholders will take place on Thursday, May 3, 2007 in Nashville, Tennessee. Additional copies of LP's Form 10-K Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission will be available on request to the Corporate office.

### DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT

Holdes of common stock may automatically reinvest dividends toward the purchase of additional shares of the Company's common stock. For a copy of a brochure describing the plan and an application, contact:

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.  
Dividend Reinvestment Plans  
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation  
P.O. Box 43081  
Providence, RI 02940-3081  
800.756.8200

Ticker Symbol: LPX  
Louisiana-Pacific Corporation's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Newspaper quotations symbol: LaPac

### TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.  
P.O. Box 43069  
Providence, RI 02940-3081  
800.756.8200  
www.computershare.com/equishere

### INVESTOR RELATIONS CONTACT

Mike Kinney  
Becky Barckley

### MEDIA CONTACT

Mary Cohn

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Nashville, Tennessee

### COUNSEL

Jones Day  
Dallas, Texas

